



# Individual Report - Fall 2015 (1a) for INTR 100-02 14604 Breaking Intuition (Daniel Miller Runfola)

## Arts & Sciences Student Course Evaluations Fall 2015

Project Audience 22

Responses Received 20

Response Ratio 90.91%

**Creation Date** Thu, Jan 14, 2016

Technology powered by blue X

## Interdisciplinary Studies (INTR) Summary

Question	This Course				This Instructor				This Evaluation Form			
	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Median	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The instructor presented material effectively.	4.60	5.00	20	0.50	4.51	5.00	45	0.66	4.07	4.00	214	0.87
The instructor gave useful comments on assignments.	4.30	4.50	20	0.80	4.24	4.00	45	0.93	4.14	4.00	214	0.96
The basis for grades was made clear.	4.47	5.00	19	0.70	4.30	5.00	44	0.95	4.10	4.00	213	0.97
The instructor was available for help.	4.75	5.00	20	0.44	4.73	5.00	45	0.69	4.36	5.00	214	0.84
The course fulfilled clearly stated goals.	4.30	4.00	20	0.57	4.11	4.00	45	0.83	4.11	4.00	214	0.86
The assignments contributed to my understanding of the subject.	4.50	4.50	20	0.51	4.49	5.00	45	0.55	4.29	4.00	214	0.85
I learned a lot in this course.	4.40	5.00	20	0.82	4.49	5.00	45	0.69	4.22	4.00	214	0.95
The course was intellectually stimulating.	4.45	4.50	20	0.60	4.44	5.00	45	0.62	4.16	4.00	214	0.94
How would you rate this instructor's overall teaching effectiveness?	4.65	5.00	20	0.49	4.67	5.00	45	0.52	4.11	4.00	214	0.86
How would you rate this course overall?	4.50	5.00	20	0.61	4.43	4.50	44	0.62	4.09	4.00	212	0.89
<b>Overall</b>	4.49	-	-	0.62	4.44	-	-	-	4.17	-	-	-

## GPA Category

GPA_CATEGORY	Count	Percentage
[Empty]	20	100.00%

## Academic Class

ACADEMIC_CLASS_DESC	Count	Percentage
Freshman	16	80.00%
Sophomore	4	20.00%

## I am a major in this department or program.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Yes	1	1	5.56%
No	2	17	94.44%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	18
Mean	1.94
Median	2.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.24

## The instructor presented material effectively.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	0	0.00%
Agree	4	8	40.00%
Strongly Agree	5	12	60.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.60
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.50

## The instructor gave useful comments on assignments.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	4	20.00%
Agree	4	6	30.00%
Strongly Agree	5	10	50.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.30
Median	4.50
Standard Deviation	+/-0.80

## The basis for grades was made clear.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	2	10.53%
Agree	4	6	31.58%
Strongly Agree	5	11	57.89%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	19
Mean	4.47
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.70

## The instructor was available for help.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	0	0.00%
Agree	4	5	25.00%
Strongly Agree	5	15	75.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.75
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.44

### The course fulfilled clearly stated goals.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	1	5.00%
Agree	4	12	60.00%
Strongly Agree	5	7	35.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.30
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.57

### The assignments contributed to my understanding of the subject.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	0	0.00%
Agree	4	10	50.00%
Strongly Agree	5	10	50.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.50
Median	4.50
Standard Deviation	+/-0.51

### I learned a lot in this course.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	4	20.00%
Agree	4	4	20.00%
Strongly Agree	5	12	60.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.40
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.82

### The course was intellectually stimulating.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.00%
Disagree	2	0	0.00%
Neutral	3	1	5.00%
Agree	4	9	45.00%
Strongly Agree	5	10	50.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.45
Median	4.50
Standard Deviation	+/-0.60

### How would you rate this instructor's overall teaching effectiveness?

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Poor	1	0	0.00%
Below Average	2	0	0.00%
Average	3	0	0.00%
Above Average	4	7	35.00%
Excellent	5	13	65.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.65
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.49

### How would you rate this course overall?

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Poor	1	0	0.00%
Below Average	2	0	0.00%
Average	3	1	5.00%
Above Average	4	8	40.00%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	20
Mean	4.50
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.61

**Please comment on the outstanding strengths/weaknesses of the instructor and the course.****Students**

Professor Daniel Miller Runfola is a very good lecturer. The weakest aspects of the course were the guest lectures.

Amazing. I loved this class.

awesome guy, great teacher, loves to help, great motivator

Practical and applicable learning. The course was based around a great deal of computer coding labs. None of the students anticipated this, and it should be made clearer in course descriptions.

The course was still trying to find out where to go. However in the later labs the course has really hit its stride, I've learned far more in this course than any other course at the College so far.

Demonstrated a lot of patience helping students with RStudio language and presenting information in an interesting/engaging way.

This is an awesome class. I would highly recommend people to take this course

I would highly recommend incoming freshmen to take this course as it fuses knowledges from different subjects and I really have learned a lot!

Prof. Runfola was a very engaging lecturer and his talks always built off of each other in order to explore the topic of the course in more depth. His assignments related to the course's topic but never related to each other. The final assignment's goals were not clearly stated.

Dan is obviously really excited about his subject, and I enjoyed both his labs and his lectures. The course is already excellent, and will likely get even better now that he's taught it once. Tyler was also really helpful.

Dan Runfola has been one of the most interesting professors I've ever had. This course was a pleasure to take because of him.

This course was a pleasant surprise, the guest lecturers are probably the weakest portion of the class.

The presence of two instructors led to ambiguity in expectations, but the class was extremely intellectually stimulating, and challenging in the best of ways.