Assignment Brief: Incremental Programming Logbook

Course Title: Programming for Engineers (Level 5)

Deadline: 13th December 23:00

Objective:

In this assignment, you will demonstrate your understanding of various C programming concepts by incrementally building a program. You will be provided with a main.c file containing commented-out method calls. Your task is to implement the necessary functions by creating a myfunctions.h header file and a myfunctions.c implementation file. You will uncomment each part section in main.c as you complete it, remember to build the main.exe to test and submit your work incrementally to Git with suitable commit messages.

After completeting the C part of the logbook, you will continue with the Python part, note that like C you need to uncomment where appropriate and implement the functionality. You should create a myfucntions.py and import it into the main.py script, import myfunctions.

1. You can download the logbook exercises from here:

- Github classroom Assignment
 - https://classroom.github.com/a/dcEp-FSq
- Clone the repository i.e:
 - \$ git clone git@github.com:uniofgreenwich/ELEE1147_Logbooks_YOURGITHUBUSERNAME.git
- The contents of the repo will be as follows:
 - ELEE1147_Logbook
 - * .git/
 - * C/main.c
 - * Python/main.py
 - * Brief.md
 - * README.md

2. Instructions:

Git Workflow:

- 1. Continual git workflow
 - For each completed step you must add and commit all changes.
 - Ensure all commit messgages follow the standard
 - as there are 9 parts to complete, there should be a **minimum** of 9 commits.

2. Update the README.md

- The README.md needs to be updated with the following sections:
 - Introduciton
 - * Describe the purpose of the repo, keep it less than 100 words.
 - * hint: Look at the brief
 - Prerequisites
 - * What do you need to run the code in this repo, include version numbers of software/packages
 - * hint: what is available on the university machines that we have/will be using for this module.
 - Author
 - \ast Add your Github User name as a hyper link to your profile

Intentionally left blank

C: Implement Part 1: Basic Math Operations with Different Data Types

- Uncomment the Part 1 section in main.c.
- Create two new files: myfunctions.h and myfunctions.c.
- In myfunctions.h, add prototypes for the part 1 functions in the now uncommented section
- In myfunctions.c, implement the above functions to perform basic math operations.

C: Implement Part 2: Bitwise Operations

- Uncomment the Part 2 section in main.c.
- In myfunctions.h, add prototypes for the following functions in the now uncommented section
- In myfunctions.c, implement the above functions to perform bitwise operations.

C: Implement Part 3: Memory Management

- Uncomment the Part 3 section in main.c.
- In myfunctions.h, add prototypes for the following functions in the now uncommented section.
- In myfunctions.c, implement the above functions to dynamically allocate memory for an array and initialize it with random values.

C: Implement Part 4: Data Structures

- Uncomment the Part 4 section in main.c.
- In myfunctions.h, add prototypes for the following functions in the now uncommented section.
- In myfunctions.c, implement the above functionality and build data structures called struct

Python: Implement Part 5: Basic Python Operations

- Uncomment the Part 5 section in main.py.
- Create one new file: myfunctions.py.
- In myfunctions.py, implement the above functions to perform basic math operations.

Python: Implement Part 6: Basic Python Bit Wise Operations

- Uncomment the Part 6 section in main.py.
- In myfunctions.py, implement the above functions to perform basic bitwise operations.

Python: Implement Part 7: Memory Management Simulation (Lists in Python)

- Uncomment the Part 7 section in main.py.
- In myfunctions.py, implement the above functions to perform memory management operations.

Python: Implement Part 8: Objects and Classes

- Uncomment the Part 8 section in main.py.
- In myfunctions.py, implement the above functions to perform Object and class operations.

Submission:

- Ensure that you have committed each part section incrementally with appropriate commit messages.
- Push your final changes to the remote repository.
- The final commit hash is the text you place in the submission point.

Intentionally left blank

Grading Criteria:

- Commit Quality (20%): Each commit message should clearly describe the changes made.
- Incremental Submission (20%): Each part section should be committed and pushed incrementally.
- Correctness C (20%): The functions should work correctly as per the requirements of each part.
- Correctness Python (20%): The functions should work correctly as per the requirements of each part.
- Code Quality (20%): Code should be well-organized and commented where necessary.

Full Rubic Below:

Commit Quality 20%

Criteria	Description
Exceptional (80-100%)	All commit messages are clear, descriptive, and well-structured, providing detailed insight into the changes made.
Excellent (70-79%)	Commit messages are clear, descriptive, and structured well.
Very Good (60-69%)	Commit messages are clear and mostly descriptive, with minor issues.
Good (50-59%)	Most commit messages are clear and somewhat descriptive.
Satisfactory (40-49%)	Commit messages are present but may be vague or inconsistent.
Fail (30-39%)	Commit messages are minimal, often unclear or lacking in detail.
Fail (0-29%)	No commit messages, or messages are unclear and lack description.

^{*}Intentionally left blank*

Incremental Submission 20%

Criteria	Description
Exceptional (80-100%)	Each part section is committed and pushed incrementally, with well-timed and consistent commits.
Excellent (70-79%)	Incremental submissions are consistent and well-timed for each part section.
Very Good (60-69%)	Incremental submissions are mostly consistent, with minor issues.
Good (50-59%)	Most part sections are committed incrementally, but there may be minor inconsistencies.
Satisfactory (40-49%)	Some part sections are committed incrementally, but with gaps or inconsistencies.
Fail (30-39%)	Few part sections are committed incrementally; most changes are made in large chunks.
Fail (0-29%)	No incremental submission; commits are made all at once or infrequently.

Correctness C 20%

Criteria	Description
Exceptional (80-100%)	All C functions work correctly as per the part requirements, with no errors.
Excellent (70-79%)	C functions are correct and meet the requirements, with very few or no errors.
Very Good (60-69%)	C functions are mostly correct, with minor errors.
$\mathbf{Good}\ (\mathbf{50\text{-}}\mathbf{59\%})$	Most C functions work correctly, with some errors.
Satisfactory (40-49%)	Some C functions work correctly, but there are significant errors.
Fail (30-39%)	Few C functions work correctly; many errors present.
Fail (0-29%)	The C functions do not work correctly or the code does not meet the requirements.

^{*}Intentionally left blank*

Correctness Python 20%

Criteria	Description
Exceptional (80-100%)	All Python functions work correctly as per the part requirements, with no errors.
Excellent (70-79%)	Python functions are correct and meet the requirements, with very few or no errors.
Very Good (60-69%)	Python functions are mostly correct, with minor errors.
$\operatorname{Good}\ (50\text{-}59\%)$	Most Python functions work correctly, with some errors.
Satisfactory (40-49%)	Some Python functions work correctly, but there are significant errors.
Fail (30-39%)	Few Python functions work correctly; many errors present.
Fail (0-29%)	The Python functions do not work correctly or the code does not meet the requirements.

Code Quality 20%

Criteria	Description
Exceptional (80-100%)	Code is exceptionally well-organized, modular, and commented, demonstrating a high level of understanding.
Excellent (70-79%)	Code is well-organized, modular, and well-commented throughout.
Very Good (60-69%)	Code is well-organized and mostly well-commented, with minor issues.
$\mathbf{Good}\ (\mathbf{50\text{-}}\mathbf{59\%})$	Code is mostly well-organized and commented, but with some inconsistencies.
Satisfactory (40-49%)	Code organization and commenting are inconsistent, leading to confusion.
Fail (30-39%)	Code is poorly organized and lacks sufficient comments.
Fail (0-29%)	Code is disorganized, lacks comments, and is difficult to understand or follow.