Verification and Validation Plan for Housemates

Team #9, Housemates
Justin Dang - dangj15
Harris Hamid - hamidh1
Fady Morcos - morocof2
Rizwan Ahsan - ahsanm7
Sheikh Afsar - afsars

April 4, 2024

Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Nov 3 2023	0	Revision 0
$Mar\ 6\ 2024$	1	Update with Unit Testing
$\mathrm{Apr}\ 4\ 2024$	2	Update for Revision 1

Contents

1	Ger	neral Information	1
	1.1	Summary	1
	1.2	Objectives	1
	1.3	Relevant Documentation	1
2	Pla	\mathbf{n}	2
	2.1	Verification and Validation Team	2
	2.2	SRS Verification Plan	2
	2.3	Design Verification Plan	3
	2.4	Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan	3
	2.5	Implementation Verification Plan	3
	2.6	Automated Testing and Verification Tools	3
	2.7	Software Validation Plan	4
3	Svs	tem Test Description	4
	3.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	4
		3.1.1 Task Management System	4
		3.1.2 Bill Management System	6
		3.1.3 Scheduling System	10
		3.1.4 Account System	11
	3.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	15
		3.2.1 Look and Feel Requirements	15
		3.2.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements	16
		3.2.3 Performance Requirements	19
		3.2.4 Operational and Environmental Requirements	20
		3.2.5 Maintainability and Support Requirements	21
		3.2.6 Security Requirements	22
		3.2.7 Compliance Requirements	23
	3.3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements	24
4	Uni	it Test Description	25
	4.1	Unit Testing Scope	26
	4.2	Unit Tests for Task Management Module	26
	4.3	Unit Tests for Bill Management Module	26
	4.4	Unit Tests for Scheduling Module	
	15	Unit Tosts for Account Module	27

5	App	pendix															28
	5.1	Symbo	olic F	Paramet	ers												2
	5.2	Usabil	ity S	urvey.													2
	5.3	Reflec	tion														3
		5.3.1	Kno	owledge	and	d Sl	kills										3
		5.3.2	Apr	oroaches	3												3

1 General Information

1.1 Summary

Housemates is an application that will allow its users to communicate with their housemates more effectively. The Housemates application will have a cost management system to split costs amongst housemates, a chore management system to delegate tasks amongst housemates, and a scheduling system to allow housemates to schedule events.

1.2 Objectives

The objective of this verification and validation plan is to

- 1. Verify the functionality of the major features of Housemates (bill management, task management, scheduling) as described in the SRS.
- 2. Verify the usability of Housemates, specifically focusing on the ease of navigation and learnability of Housemates.
- 3. Verify the performance of Housemates, specifically how Housemates is affected by a large number of users.

1.3 Relevant Documentation

- Development Plan: The development plan contains the tools planned to be used in the development of the application along with the overall schedule for this project. These items will be referenced to in this VnV plan.
- SRS: The software requirements specification contains the functional and non-functional requirements of the Housemates application. These requirements will be tested for in the VnV plan under system testing.
- Hazard Analysis: The hazard analysis document contains the hazards associated with the application. These hazards will need to be tested for in the VnV plan in order to be sure that they are mitigated.
- Design: The design document will contain the underlying design of the Housemates application. The elements of this design will be tested in the unit testing section of this VnV plan.

• VnV Report: The VnV report will document the results of the VnV plan used in this document.

2 Plan

This section contains the different verification and validation plans that will be used throughout the project along with the team responsible for executing them.

2.1 Verification and Validation Team

- Justin Dang: Will focus on task management system and performance testing.
- Harris Hamid: Will focus on bill management system and usability testing.
- Fady Marcos: Will focus on Account system, scheduling system as a part of functional testing
- Rizwan Ahsan: Will focus on task management system and usability testing.
- Sheikh Afsar: Will focus on bill management system and usability testing.

2.2 SRS Verification Plan

- Classmates will review the SRS documentation.
- A TA for SFWRENG 4G06 will review the SRS documentation.
- Traceability between the SRS and the other documents will be checked to ensure correctness.
- Ad-hoc discussions with potential stakeholders to discuss what features they would want in a roommate management app.

2.3 Design Verification Plan

- Classmates will review the Design documentation.
- A TA for SFWRENG 4G06 will review the Design documentation.
- The Design checklists in the Checklists folder will be used by the verification and validation team to review the Design documentation.

2.4 Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan

- Classmates will review the VnV documentation.
- A TA for SFWRENG 4G06 will review the VnV documentation.
- The VnV checklist listed in the Checklists folder will be used by the verification and validation team to review the VnV documentation.
- The tests in the VnV plan will be executed and the results will be documented in the VnV report.

2.5 Implementation Verification Plan

Verification of the implementation will be conducted through performing the system and unit tests described in this VnV plan.

The source code of Housemates will also be inspected using ESLint, which is a static analysis tool that helps identify issues in javascript code. ESLint will automatically be run every time that Housemates compiles. This should help identify issues in the source code of Housemates.

2.6 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

Automated testing was conducted using jest and GitHub actions. Automated testing mainly covers the unit testing of Housemates and is performed automatically whenever a commit is added to the main branch. The purpose of automated testing of Housemates is to ensure that any changes made to the main branch do not break the overall functionality of Housemates. More details on how automated unit tests are performed can be found in the unit testing section of this VnV plan.

2.7 Software Validation Plan

We plan to conduct user testing on a focus group of potential users of the Housemates application after the Revision 0 version is complete. The user testing will be using the usability survey questions as described in the appendix. The results of these usability survey will be used to get feedback on revision 0 specifically focusing on the appearance and usability of Housemates. This feedback will then be used on to create the revision 1 of Housemates.

A demo of the revision 0 of Housemates will also be shown to the instructor of SFWRENG 4G06. Their feedback will also be useful in determining the changes needed for revision 1 of Housemates.

3 System Test Description

3.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

This section contains the tests for the functional requirements. The subsections in this section are based off the SRS and cover the different features of the Housemates application. The fit criterion for each functional requirement of the SRS are used here to help create tests for those functional requirements

3.1.1 Task Management System

This subsection covers the tests for the task management system of the Housemates application. The task management system of the housemates application will allow users to split and delegate common household tasks to their housemates.

1. test-TM1-1

Description: Verify navigation to Task Management page via tasks icon.

Initial State: Housemates app is open.

Input: Tap on the tasks icon.

Output: User is taken to the Task Management page.

Test Case Derivation: This is to be able to navigate to the task management page of the app instead of utilizing one of the other features.

How test will be performed: Open the Housemates app. Tap on the tasks icon. Verify that the Task Management page is displayed.

2. test-TM2-1

Control: Manual

Description: Verify that the Task Management page displays a list of tasks with user's chores highlighted.

Initial State: Task Management page is open.

Input: None.

Output: User can clearly identify their assigned tasks.

Test Case Derivation: This is to be able to clearly view the tasks which the user must complete.

How test will be performed: Open the Task Management page. Verify that a list of tasks is displayed, with user's chores highlighted.

3. test-TM3-1

Control: Manual

Description: Verify creation of a new task.

Initial State: Task Management page is open.

Input: Task Name: "Clean the Living Room" Due Date: 2024-4-05

Output: New task "Clean the Living Room" is added to the list of

tasks.

Test Case Derivation: Users need to be able to add new chores/tasks as needed.

How test will be performed: Open the Task Management page. Click on "Create New Task" button. Fill out the task creation form with the provided input. Click "Create" button. Verify that the new task appears in the list of tasks.

4. test-TM4-1

Control: Manual

Description: Verify assigning a task to a roommate.

Initial State: Task Management page is open.

Input: Task Name: "Take out the garbage". Due Date: 2024-4-05

Roommate Assignment: Fardeen Afsar.

Output: Task is assigned to Fardeen, Fardeen can see.

Test Case Derivation: This feature enables users to divide tasks among roommates.

How test will be performed: Open the Task Management page. Create a task. Choose roommate "Fardeen" from the list of roommates. Login as Fardeen Verify that the task is now assigned to Fardeen and Fardeen can see task.

5. test-TM5-1

Control: Manual

Description: Verify marking a task as completed.

Initial State: Task Management page is open with at least one task.

Input: Task Name: Select a task from the list. Mark task as completed.

Output: Task is marked as completed and status is updated.

Test Case Derivation: Users need to be allowed to track the progress of tasks and mark them as completed when they are done.

How test will be performed: Open the Task Management page. Select a task from the list. Mark the task as completed. Verify that the task is now marked as completed on the Task Management page.

3.1.2 Bill Management System

This subsection covers the tests for the bill management system of the Housemates application. The bill management system of the housemates application will allow users to split bills with their housemates who incur a specific expense.

1. test-BM1-1

This test checks whether a user is able to create a new bill and assign housemates to it

Control: Manual

Initial State: The bill management system is active and ready to handle events the user provides.

Input: Total amount incurred and users who to split among.

Output: A bill is generated with provided total amount being split evenly among housemates.

Test Case Derivation: The system expects a positive value in a specified format according to the chosen currency which is provided in the input the chosen users to share the expense with thus accepted by the system.

How test will be performed: A tester will be provided instructions to follow with a valid bill amount and associated housemates. The tester will then determine by cross-checking whether the result provided by the system matches with the actual result in the instructions.

2. test-BM2-1

This test ensures whether a user (bill issuer) is able to modify an already existing bill

Control: Manual

Initial State: The bill management system is active and ready to handle events the user provides.

Input: User selects to edit any past expense, alters previously inputted information as deemed fit and may change the users by adding or removing users.

Output: A new bill is generated with provided total amount being split evenly among housemates Note: this alteration will not change the date on which this expense was incurred.

Test Case Derivation: The system expects a positive value in a specified format according to the chosen currency which is provided in the input and the chosen users to share the expense with thus accepted by the system.

How test will be performed: A tester will be provided instructions to follow with a valid bill amount and associated housemates. The tester will then determine by cross-checking whether the result provided by the system matches with the actual result in the instructions.

3. test-BM3-1

This test checks whether a user is able to categorize a bill (e.g. food, utilities etc) when created.

Control: Manual

Initial State: The bill management system is active and ready to handle events the user provides.

Input: Select from a pre-defined set of categories to assign the type of bill and other necessary inputs.

Output: A bill is generated with provided total amount being split evenly among housemates along with the nature(type) of the bill.

Test Case Derivation: The system expects the user to choose the type of expense for the bill. This will help the system categorize certain bills together for easier retrieval for user.

How test will be performed: A tester will be provided instructions to follow with a valid bill amount and associated housemates and the chosen bill category. The tester will then determine by cross-checking whether the category of the bill matches with the actual category generated by the bill.

4. test-BM4-1

This test ensures that previously issued bills can be accessed and can verify the status of expense whether it has been paid or not.

Control: Manual

Initial State: The bill management system is active and ready to handle events the user provides.

Input: User selects to view any past expense.

Output: A bill is shown with status of each user who incurred the expense of whether they have paid or received the amount.

Test Case Derivation: The system expects to show an updated bill of all the user and what each user owes or is owed.

How test will be performed: A tester will be provided instructions to follow. It will include instructions to first view the bill and observe the current status of what user owes what, then log in as a user associated to that bill and settle the expense. Now check the previous bill again and verify whether bill has been updated.

5. test-BM5-1

This test ensures that split expenses are correctly calculated.

Control: Manual

Initial State: The bill management system is active and ready to handle events the user provides.

Input: User unevenly splits a bill with price \$ μ in a group with 2 members (one with an expense of $\mu/3$ and one with an expense of $2\mu/3$).

Output: A bill is shown with the total amount split unevenly between the 2 users as described in the input.

Test Case Derivation: The system expects to show the bill split unevenly as described.

How test will be performed: A tester will be provided instructions to follow. It will include instructions to first create a new bill and provide required information in the fields. The tester will then verify that both users see the correct expense.

6. test-BM6-1

This test ensures that a user can settle an expense.

Control: Manual

Initial State: The bill management system is active and ready to handle events the user provides.

Input: User inputs a bill with same inputs as in test-BM1-1. Then the user will pay off their portion of the bill.

Output: The bill will show that the user's portion of the bill has paid off (i.e. amount owed for that user is 0).

Test Case Derivation: The system expects to show that the user should owe \$ 0 once they pay their portion of the bill.

How test will be performed: A tester will be provided instructions to follow. It will include instructions to first create a new bill and provide required information in the fields. The tester will then pay off the users portion of the bill. The amount owed for that user should now be 0 as expected.

3.1.3 Scheduling System

This subsection covers the tests for the scheduling system of the Housemates application. The scheduling system of the housemates application will allow users to schedule events to coordinate with their housemates.

1. test-SS1-1

This test is to ensure users can navigate to the scheduling system page.

Control: Manual

Initial State: The app is launched and in the home interface.

Input: Tap on the scheduling icon.

Output: The scheduling page is displayed to the user.

Test Case Derivation: The expected result in the scheduling page appearing when the scheduling icon is tapped, as described in the requirement SS1.

How test will be performed: A tester will open the app in the home interface and tap on the scheduling icon. They will verify that the scheduling page is successfully displayed.

2. test-SS2-1

This test is to ensure users can create new events and schedule them within the scheduling feature.

Control: Manual

Initial State: The user is on the scheduling page

Input: The user taps on the button to create new event and fills out the event creation form the necessary description.

Output: A new event is listed in the scheduling page.

Test Case Derivation: The system expects to populate the scheduling page with a new event and display it to the user.

How test will be performed: A tester will navigate to the scheduling page, tap on the button to create new event and input necessary details in the form. They will confirm that the new event appears correctly in the scheduling page.

3. test-SS3-1

This test is to ensure users can view all the events scheduled by other roommates on their calendar within the scheduling system.

Control: Manual

Initial State: The user is on the scheduling page that shows the existing events.

Input: User views the calendar within the scheduling system.

Output: The user can clearly distinguish between personal events and shared roommate events on the calendar.

Test Case Derivation: Since the system will already be populated with different user's events, the scheduling system should clearly display all events on the calendar.

How test will be performed: A tester will access the scheduling page, view the calendar, and verify that personal events and shared roommate events are clearly distinguishable.

3.1.4 Account System

This subsection covers the tests for the account system of the Housemates application. The account system of the housemates application will manage and store user data.

1. test-AS1-1

Control: Manual

This test is to ensure a user can create an account.

Initial State: The valid user credentials to be input do not exist in the system yet.

Input: User selects create account option, then selects the username field, inputs valid username, then selects password field and inputs valid password.

Output: Interface displays that user creation was successful and the credentials are now in the system.

Test Case Derivation: The test case is derived based on the requirement of the housemates application that it must allow users to create an account having entered valid and unique credentials and give the user feedback that creation was successful.

How test will be performed: Tester will open app, will press create an account, enter valid credentials and verify that the system allows creation with no issues.

2. test-AS1-2

Control: Manual

This test is to ensure that no two users can exist in the system

Initial State: The valid user already exists in the system.

Input: User selects create account option, then selects the username field, inputs the valid username that is already in the system, then selects password field and inputs valid password.

Output: The system does not create the profile and informs the user that account creation was not successful that username is already taken.

Test Case Derivation: The test case is derived from the requirements of the housemates app that there should not be two users with the same username. How test will be performed: Tester will open app, select create an account option and input a username that is already taken and valid password then submit the form.

3. test-AS1-3

Control: Manual

This test is to ensure that no user profile can be created that does not meet the username and password requirements.

Initial State: The invalid user credentials do not exist in the system.

Input: User selects create account option, then selects the username field, inputs all cases of invalid passwords and all cases of invalid usernames that is already in the system, then selects password field and inputs valid password.

Output: The system does not create the profile and informs the user of the credential requirements and that creation was not successful due to invalid credentials.

Test Case Derivation: The test case is derived from the requirements of the housemates app which is that user credentials must meet certain requirements.

How test will be performed: Tester will open app, select create an account option and input an invalid username and/or password. Tester will test valid username with invalid password, invalid username with valid password and a case where they are both invalid. Tester will also test all cases of invalid username and all cases of invalid password.

4. test-AS2

Control: Manual

This test is to ensure that users can login.

Initial State: The user credentials do exist in the system.

Input: User selects login option, then enters user credentials.

Output: The system lets the user login.

Test Case Derivation: This is derived based on the requirement that users can have working credentials which they can log into the system with.

How test will be performed: Tester will open app, select login feature and enter valid user credentials. System should then let user login to associated account.

5. test-AS3

Control: Manual

This test is to ensure that users can update profile details.

Initial State: User is logged in.

Input: User selects edit profiles option, then enters new user details and saves details.

Output: The system saves new user details.

Test Case Derivation: The test case is derived from the requirements of the housemates app which is that users can edit profile.

How test will be performed: With logged on account tester will select edit profile details option. They will then enter valid profile details and select the save option. System should now display new user profile details.

6. test-AS4

Control: Manual

This test is to ensure that users can delete their account.

Initial State: User is logged in.

Input: User selects delete account option and submits the correct user credentials for that account.

Output: The system should delete that user account.

Test Case Derivation: The test case is derived from the requirements of the housemates app which is that users can delete their account.

How test will be performed: With logged on account Tester will select edit profile details option. They will then enter the correct user

credentials for that account. The account will then be deleted by the system.

7. test-AS5

Control: Manual

This test is to ensure users are able to recover their account in case they for got they forgot their login information.

Initial State: The user account already exists in the system.

Input: User selects the "forget password" option and enters username of an existing user account.

Output: The system sends an email to the email associated with the user account.

Test Case Derivation: The test case is derived from the requirements of the housemates app which is that user should be able to recover their account.

How test will be performed: Tester will open app, select login feature, then select "forget password" feature and enters a username of an account. The email of the associated account will receive an email from the system.

3.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

This section contains the tests for the non-functional requirements. The subsections in this section are based off the SRS. The fit criterion for each non-functional requirement of the SRS are used here to help create tests for those non-functional requirements

3.2.1 Look and Feel Requirements

This section contains the tests for the Look and Feel Requirements.

1. test-LF-A1-1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will observe the interface of the application.

Output/Result: The developers of the application will confirm the interface follows Google's material design guidelines.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will go through all of the various pages/interfaces of the application. They will then confirm it follows the Google's material design guidelines.

2. test-LF-A1-2

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: Users will be shown the application and be asked if it is visually appealing and intuitively designed.

Output/Result: δ % of users will say that the application is visually appealing and intuitively designed.

How test will be performed: A focus of group of users will be shown the application after its development is complete. They will be then given a usability survey (located in the appendix). During this usability survey they will be asked if they find the interface of the application visually appealing and intuitively designed.

3.2.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements

This section contains the tests for the Usability and Humanity Requirements.

1. test-UH-E1-1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The user will be asked to navigate to one of the main features of the application.

Output/Result: The user will be able to navigate to the function within σ taps.

How test will be performed: A focus group of users will be given a phone running the application. They will be asked to navigate to one of the main features of the application. The number of taps to navigate to the asked feature will be recorded.

2. test-UH-E1-2

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: Users will be shown the application and be asked if it they find the application easy to navigate.

Output/Result: δ % of users will say that the application is easy to navigate.

How test will be performed: A focus of group of users will be shown the application after its development is complete. They will be then given a usability survey (located in the appendix). During this usability survey they will be asked if they find the application easy to navigate.

3. test-UH-P1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input: The developers will observe the interface of the application.

Output: The developers of the application will observe that the interface is in Canadian English.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will go through all of the various pages/interfaces of the application. They will then confirm that they are in Canadian English. The interface of Housemates will also be checked with a spell checker in the browser.

4. test-UH-L1-1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input: The user will be given an overview of the application and a walkthrough of a specific feature of the application in 30 minutes. The user will be then asked to use that feature without assistance.

Output: The user will be able to use that feature effectively without external assistance.

How test will be performed: A focus group of users will be given a phone running the application. They will be given an overview of the application and a walkthrough of a specific feature of the application in 30 minutes. They will be then asked to use that feature without assistance.

5. test-UH-L1-2

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: Users will be shown the application in a walkthrough and be asked to use it. Afterwards they will be asked if it they found the application easy to learn.

Output/Result: δ % of users will say that the application is easy to learn.

How test will be performed: A focus of group of users will be shown the application after its development is complete. They will be then given a usability survey (located in the appendix). During this usability survey they will be asked if they find the application easy to learn.

6. test-UH-A1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will observe the interface of the application.

Output/Result: The developers of the application will confirm the interface uses android accessibility features.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will go through all of the various pages/interfaces of the application. They will then confirm the interface uses android accessibility features.

3.2.3 Performance Requirements

This section contains the tests for the Performance Requirements.

1. test-P-SL1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will observe the interface of the application.

Output/Result: The developers of the application will go through all of the various pages/interfaces of the application. They will confirm the response time to user input is within ϵ s for all interactions.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will go through all of the various pages/interfaces of the application. They will record the response time for all possible user interactions.

2. test-P-PA1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will use the bill-splitting feature of the application.

Output/Result: The output of the bill-splitting feature will be accurate to two decimal places.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will use the bill-splitting feature of the application with multiple monetary amounts. The output of bill-splitting feature will be accurate to two decimal places.

3. test-P-RFT1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will disconnect the phone from the server. They will then attempt to use the features of the application.

Output/Result: The features of the application will continue to work for at least θ minutes after losing connection.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will disconnect the phone from the server. They will then attempt to use the features of the application. They will observe how the application performs without connection, and how it performs when connection is resumed.

4. test-P-C1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: ζ users will be simulated trying to use the application at the same time.

Output/Result: The application servers will be able to process their requests.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will simulate ζ people trying to use the application at the same time. The application servers should still able to process user requests. This will be done using Jmeter.

3.2.4 Operational and Environmental Requirements

This section contains the tests for the Operational and Environmental Requirements.

1. test-OE-PE1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone with Android OS.

Input/Condition: The developers will observe the application on multiple different phones running Android OS.

Output/Result: The application will be able to run.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will confirm the application runs on multiple different phones running Android OS.

2. test-OE-PR1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The development of the application is complete.

Input/Condition: The developers will submit the app for the Google Play Store.

Output/Result: The application will be available on the Google Play Store.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will submit the application to the Google Play Store.

3.2.5 Maintainability and Support Requirements

This section contains the tests for the Maintainability and Support Requirements.

1. test-M-M1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The development of the application is complete.

Input/Condition: The developers will check the GitHub for the House-mates application.

Output/Result: The documentation for the application will be available under the docs folder.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will confirm that the documentation for the application is in the GitHub for the Housemates application.

3.2.6 Security Requirements

This section contains the tests for the Security Requirements.

1. test-S-A1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will try to access one account's user data from another user account.

Output/Result: The user data will not be accessible from other user accounts.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will try to access one account's user data from another user account. The user data should not be accessible.

2. test-S-IN1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will attempt to introduce incorrect data into the input fields of the application.

Output/Result: The application will prevent the incorrect data from being entered into the database.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will go through all the possible input fields of the application and try to input incorrect data. The application should prevent this from occurring.

3. test-S-P1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will launch the application.

Output/Result: The application will show the information collection

policy of the application.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will launch the app and confirm it shows the information collection policy of the application on first launch.

3.2.7 Compliance Requirements

This section contains the tests for the Compliance Requirements.

1. test-C-SC1

Type: Non-functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The application is run on a phone.

Input/Condition: The developers will observe the application.

Output/Result: The application will follow the Google Play develop-

ment standards.

How test will be performed: Once the development of the application is complete, the developers will run the app and confirm it follows the Google Play development standards.

3.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

FR ID	TM1	TM2	TM3	TM4	TM5	BM1	BM2	BM3	BM4	BM5
test-TM1-1	×									
test-TM2-1		×								
test-TM3-1			×							
test-TM4-1				×						
test-TM5-1					×					
test-BM1-1						×	×			
test-BM2-1								×		
test-BM3-1									×	
test-BM4-1										×

Table 1: Functional Requirements Traceability Part 1

FR ID	BM6	BM7	SS1	SS2	SS3	AS1	AS2	AS3	AS4	AS5
test-BM5-1	×									
test-BM6-1		×								
test-SS1-1			×							
test-SS2-1				×						
test-SS3-1					X					
test-AS-1-1						×				
test-AS-1-2						×				
test-AS-1-3						×				
test-AS-2							×			
test-AS-3								X		
test-AS-4									×	
test-AS-5										X

Table 2: Functional Requirements Traceability Part 2

NFR ID	LF-A1	UH-E1	UH-P1	UH-L1	UH-A1	P-SL1	P-PA1	P-RFT1
test-LF-A1-1	×							
test-LF-A1-2	×							
test-UH-E1-1		×						
test-UH-E1-2		×						
test-UH-P1			×					
test-UH-L1-1				×				
test-UH-L1-2				×				
test-UH-A1					×			
test-P-SL1						×		
test-P-PA1							×	
test-P-RFT1								×

Table 3: Non-Functional Requirements Traceability Part 1

NFR ID	P-C1	OE-PE1	OE-PR1	M-M1	S-A1	S-IN1	S-P1	C-SC1
test-P-C1	×							
test-OE-PE1		×						
test-OE-PR1			×					
test-M-M1				×				
test-S-A1					×			
test-S-IN1						×		
test-S-P1							×	
test-C-SC1								×

Table 4: Non-Functional Requirements Traceability Part 2

4 Unit Test Description

Unit testing for Housemates will be performed automatically with jest. Unit tests will cover the normal behavior and any notable edge cases of the modules. Tests are labeled as *ModuleName*.test.js in the tests folder. The tests for each module are broken into blocks where *describe* describes what the block does and *it* describes the individual test and what should be its' result.

4.1 Unit Testing Scope

Unit testing for Housemates will occur for the four modules listed earlier in this document, the Task Management System, the Bill Management System, the Scheduling System, and the Account System.

4.2 Unit Tests for Task Management Module

Implemented in taskManagement.test.js

1. UT-T1: Create Task

2. UT-T2: Get Tasks

3. UT-T3: Complete Task

4. UT-T4: Edit Task

4.3 Unit Tests for Bill Management Module

Implemented in billManagement.test.js

1. UT-B1: Get Expense

2. UT-B2: Delete Bill

3. UT-B3: Split Expense

4.4 Unit Tests for Scheduling Module

Implemented in scheduling.test.js

1. UT-S1: Add Event

2. UT-S2: Edit Event

3. UT-S3: Get Events for Group

4. UT-S4: Get Events for a user

5. UT-S5: Delete Event

- 6. UT-S6: Adding Event to Non-existing Group
- 7. UT-S7: Editing Non-existing Event
- 8. UT-S8: Deleting Non-existing Event
- 9. UT-S9: Get Events for Non-existing Group
- 10. UT-S10: Get Events for Group with no Events

4.5 Unit Tests for Account Module

Implemented in account.test.js

- 1. UT-A1: Get User based off built in ID
- 2. UT-A2: Get User based off userID
- 3. UT-A3: Get Group
- 4. UT-A4: Get User Groups
- 5. UT-A5: Error Condition for get User Groups
- 6. UT-A6: Error Condition for get User
- 7. UT-A7: Error Condition for get User off built in ID
- 8. UT-A8: Error Condition for get Group

5 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

5.1 Symbolic Parameters

Symbolic Parameter	Value
μ	30
σ	5
δ	90
ϵ	0.5
θ	10
ζ	100

Table 5: Symbolic Parameters

5.2 Usability Survey

The following usability survey would be distributed by Google Forms to potential stakeholders after a showcase of a preliminary version of the Housemates application. The information in this usability survey will be used in tests in the VnV plan as well as collecting information on potential stakeholders.

Are you currently a	student? *					
O Yes						
○ No						
Are you living with a	any roommat	tes/housema	ates? *			
O Yes						
○ No						
On a scale of 1 to 5	, how often	do you split y	your bills wit	h other peop	le?*	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Not Often	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	Frequently

Figure 1: Part 1 of survey

What was your first im	pression o	f the website	?*			
Long answer text						
On a scale of 1 to 5, ho	ow easy wa	s it to locate	the main 1	eatures or	functions o	f the app? *
	1	2	3	4	5	
Very Hard	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	0	Very Easy
-						
On a scale of 1 to 5, we	ere the inst	ructions pro	vided clear	and easy to	o understar	nd? *
	1	2	3	4	5	
		\circ	\circ			
Hard to understand	0	0	0	\circ	0	Easy to understand
Hard to understand					0	Easy to understand
Hard to understand Did you find the layout					0	Easy to understand
						Easy to understand
Did you find the layout Yes						Easy to understand
Did you find the layout						Easy to understand
Did you find the layout Yes						Easy to understand
Did you find the layout Yes	of the app	to be visuall	y appealin			Easy to understand

Figure 2: Part 2 of survey

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not Satisfied	0	0	0	0	0	Satisfied
oid the app provide he n issue? Yes No	elpful feedba	ck or guidan	ce when you	made a mis	stake or encc	ountered *
Oid you encounter any Yes No	y difficulties v	while comple	eting basic ta	asks within t	he app?*	

Figure 3: Part 3 of survey

Did you encounter a	iny errors or	bugs while u	ısing the app)? *		
○ Yes						
○ No						
Were there any feat	ures or funct	ions that you	u found parti	icularly diffic	ult to use/un	derstand? *
Long answer text						
On a scale of 1 to 5 experience with its		re you to rec	commend thi	s app to oth	ers based on	your *
		re you to rec 2	commend thi	s app to oth	ers based on 5	your *
	usability?					your * Very Likely
experience with its	usability?					your
experience with its	usability?	2	3	4	5	your
experience with its	usability?	2	3	4	5	your

Figure 4: Part 4 of survey

5.3 Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Lifelong Learning. Please answer the following questions:

- 1. What knowledge and skills will the team collectively need to acquire to successfully complete the verification and validation of your project? Examples of possible knowledge and skills include dynamic testing knowledge, static testing knowledge, specific tool usage etc. You should look to identify at least one item for each team member.
- 2. For each of the knowledge areas and skills identified in the previous question, what are at least two approaches to acquiring the knowledge or mastering the skill? Of the identified approaches, which will each team member pursue, and why did they make this choice?

5.3.1 Knowledge and Skills

- The team will need to learn how to use GitHub actions in order to be able to run automatic tests during CI/CD.
- The team needs to acquire dynamic testing knowledge in order to perform the tests in this VnV plan.
- The team needs to acquire usability testing knowledge in order to perform the tests in this VnV plan.
- The team needs to acquire static testing knowledge in order to perform the tests in this VnV plan.
- The team needs to acquire domain specific knowledge on React testing.

5.3.2 Approaches

- GitHub Actions
 - Watch/read online tutorials on GitHub Actions.
 - Re-watch GitHub Actions tutorial for SFWRENG 4G06.

Fady Morcos: The approach chosen to acquire the GitHub skills/action I need to be successful here are to research, read and watch online tutorials on GitHub actions to reinforce my GitHub knowledge. Another thing I will do is re-watch the GitHub actions tutorial posted for the SFWRENG 4G06 course as this is should have reliable information summarized for all of my GitHub needs to be successful.

• Dynamic testing

- Research dynamic testing methods online.
- Look at SFWRENG 3S03: Software Testing notes on dynamic testing.

Rizwan Ahsan: The approach I will choose for learning dynamic testing is researching online to find good dynamic testing techniques. This will give me in-depth knowledge about dynamic testing techniques and allow me to find the best method to perform dynamic testing for our project.

• Static testing

- Research static testing methods online.
- Look at SFWRENG 3S03: Software Testing notes on static testing.

Fardeen Afsar: For static testing, I'll apply the techniques and methods introduced in SFWRENG 3S03, while focusing on usability in code and documentation review. This ensures early identification of defects and adherence to standards. For static testing, I will also opt for a method focused on acquiring knowledge about static testing through online research.

• Usability testing

- Look at testing/research methods from SFWRENG 4HC3: Human Computer Interfaces.
- Research online methods for usability testing.

Justin Dang: The approach I chose for learning usability testing is taking methods used in SFWRENG 4HC3. This is because for 4HC3 we have had a focus on design for usability.

- Domain knowledge on React testing
 - Watch React testing tutorials.
 - Look at React testing website.

Harris Hamid: The approach I'm choosing to actually create test cases for our React web app will be to use jest, a JavaScript testing framework. I'll look at examples at how it is being used in actual production level code.