



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

SCUOLA DI INGEGNERIA
Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Ingegneria
Informatica

Illuminant map analysis for image splicing detection

Lorenzo Cioni

ANNO ACCADEMICO 2015/2016

Introduction

Digital images are easy to manipulate thanks to the availability of the **powerful editing software** and **sophisticated digital cameras**.

The development of methods for verifying **image authenticity** is a real need in forensics.

Purpose: to detect image splicing aimed at *deceiving* the viewer.



Forgery detection approaches

Image forensic detection techniques search for *traces*, called *footprints*, that can be grouped into:

1. **Signal level:** signal specific properties left during the editing phase that can be revealed using signal processing-based tools.

Forgery detection approaches

Image forensic detection techniques search for *traces*, called *footprints*, that can be grouped into:

1. **Signal level:** signal specific properties left during the editing phase that can be revealed using signal processing-based tools.
2. **Scene level:** exploiting inconsistencies in scene shadows, lights, reflections, perspective, and geometry of objects.

Forgery detection approaches

Image forensic detection techniques search for *traces*, called *footprints*, that can be grouped into:

1. **Signal level:** signal specific properties left during the editing phase that can be revealed using signal processing-based tools.
2. **Scene level:** exploiting inconsistencies in scene shadows, lights, reflections, perspective, and geometry of objects.

Main advantage: being fairly independent on low-level characteristics of images, they are extremely robust to compression, altering, and other image processing operations

Lighting-based inconsistencies

Methods based on **lighting inconsistencies** are particularly *robust*: a perfect illumination adjustment in a image composition is very hard to achieve.



Lighting-based inconsistencies

Methods based on **lighting inconsistencies** are particularly *robust*: a perfect illumination adjustment in a image composition is very hard to achieve.



1. Object light source inconsistencies

Lighting-based inconsistencies

Methods based on **lighting inconsistencies** are particularly *robust*: a perfect illumination adjustment in a image composition is very hard to achieve.



1. Object light source inconsistencies
2. Illuminant colors inconsistencies

Lighting-based inconsistencies

Methods based on **lighting inconsistencies** are particularly *robust*: a perfect illumination adjustment in a image composition is very hard to achieve.



1. Object light source inconsistencies

2. Illuminant colors inconsistencies

2.1 *Specular dichromatic reflectance models* (Gholap and Bora, 2008 [5])

2.2 Illuminant Maps (IMs)

Illuminant Maps estimation

For the *Illuminant Maps* estimation, two different *state-of-art* techniques are used:

1. A *statistical-based* approach using **Generalized Grayworld Estimate (GGE)** algorithm (Van de Weijer *et al.*, 2007 [3]). Rely on hypotheses related to statistics of image pixels (e.g. the *gray world assumption*).
2. A *physics-based* approach using **Inverse-Intensity Chromaticity (IIC)** method (Riess and Angelopoulou, 2010 [4]). Rely on theoretical formulations of how light interacts with objects (e.g. the *dichromatic reflectance model*)



Image



Illuminant map

Proposed approach

The current *state of the art* approaches requires human interaction.

Proposed approach

The current *state of the art* approaches requires human interaction.



Main goal: *starting from two different approaches, remove the Human from the Loop and introducing some improvements*

Proposed approach

The current *state of the art* approaches requires human interaction.



Main goal: *starting from two different approaches, remove the Human from the Loop and introducing some improvements*


- **Face forgery detection module:** specifically for detecting forgeries involving people. Based on the work presented by Carvalho *et al.* 2016 [1]. Improving and automating the detection process.
- **Regional forgery detection module:** image content independent. Based on the work presented by Fan *et al.* in 2015 [2]. A more general and experimental approach.

Face forgery detection module - 1


Input image




Face forgery detection module - 1




Face forgery detection module - 1




Face forgery detection module - 1




Face forgery detection module - 1



Face forgery detection module - 1



Face forgery detection module - 1




Introducing a *face detector* and *soft classification scores*.

Face forgery detection module - 2

The output of the algorithm consist in a **forgery score** for each detected face.


Face	Score
A	0.354
B	0.354
C	0.375
D	0.396
E	0.936




Regional forgery detection module - 1



Regional forgery detection module - 1




Regional forgery detection module - 1



GGE estimation

$$ke^{n,p,\sigma} = \left(\int \left| \frac{\vartheta^n \mathbf{f}^\sigma(\mathbf{x})}{\vartheta \mathbf{x}^n} \right|^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$


Regional forgery detection module - 1



GGE estimation

$$ke^{n,p,\sigma} = \left(\int \left| \frac{\vartheta^n \mathbf{f}^\sigma(\mathbf{x})}{\vartheta \mathbf{x}^n} \right|^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$


Regional forgery detection module - 1



GGE estimation

$$ke^{n,p,\sigma} = \left(\int \left| \frac{\vartheta^n \mathbf{f}^\sigma(\mathbf{x})}{\vartheta \mathbf{x}^n} \right|^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Regional forgery detection module - 1




GGE estimation $ke^{n,p,\sigma} = \left(\int \left| \frac{\vartheta^n \mathbf{f}^\sigma(\mathbf{x})}{\vartheta \mathbf{x}^n} \right|^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

Two different **reference colors** are considered:

- *Median*: the median illuminant color for each direction
- *Global*: the whole image illuminant color

Regional forgery detection module - 2


The output of the algorithm consist in the forgery **regional mask**.



Work best in presence of **uniform backgrounds colors** and simple light settings.

Regional forgery detection module - 2

The output of the algorithm consist in the forgery **regional mask**.



Work best in presence of **uniform backgrounds colors** and simple light settings.

Evaluation datasets

- **DSO-1:** 200 indoor and outdoor images (100 original and 100 doctored) with an image resolution of 2048×1536 pixels.
- **DSI-1:** 50 downloaded images (25 original and 25 doctored) with different resolutions. Original images are downloaded from *Flickr*, doctored images collected from different websites.



Figura : DSO-1 sample spliced image Figura : DSI-1 sample spliced image

Experimental results - 1

Experimental results for face forgery detection module.

N.	Train	Test	Faces	Accuracy	F-Score
1	DSO-1	DSO-1	540	0.81	0.64
2	DSI-1	DSI-1	133	0.75	0.66
3	DSO-1	DSI-1	540	0.63	0.25
4	DSI-1	DSO-1	130	0.67	0.37

Tabella : Performance of face forgery detection module over single faces using non-uniform weights.

Good results in cross-validation evaluations. In a cross dataset approach, the dataset used for training makes the difference.

Experimental results - 2

Experimental results for regional forgery detection module.

Test case	Train	RC	ACC	AUC	F-Score
Test 1	SplicedCC	Median	0.54	0.53	0.26
Test 2	SplicedCC	Global	0.57	0.57	0.31
Test 3	SplicedDSO	Median	0.53	0.50	0.27
Test 4	SplicedDSO	Global	0.61	0.63	0.33

Tabella : Performance of region forgery detection module

Better results achieved using the **global illuminant color** as reference.

Very low accuracy: not suitable for a forensic approach.

Conclusions

- Two different approaches for forgery detection are presented: a face forgery detection module and a generic region forgery detection module.
- Face module achieved most promising results, but it works only with images involving people forgeries.
- **Future developments:** extend the approach to generic objects with composed by similar material.
- Further improvements can be achieved when more advanced illuminant color estimators become available.

References

- [1] T. Carvalho, et al. *Illuminant-Based Transformed Spaces for Image Forensics*. IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security 11.4 (2016): 720-733.
- [2] Y. Fan, P. Carrè, and C. Fernandez Maloigne. *Image splicing detection with local illumination estimation*. In Image Processing ICIP, 2015.
- [3] J. Van de Weijer, Th. Gevers, A. Gijsenij, *Edge-Based Color Constancy*, IEEE Trans. Image Processing, accepted 2007.
- [4] C. Riess and E. Angelopoulou. 2010. *Scene illumination as an indicator of image manipulation*. In *Proceedings of the 12th international conference on Information hiding*, Berlin, Heidelberg, 66-80.
- [5] S. Gholap and P. Bora. *Illuminant colour based image forensics*. In TENCON IEEE 2008.
- [6] G. Buchsbaum. *A spatial processor model for object colour perception*. Journal of the Franklin Institute, 1980.