

# Greedy Algorithms

謝仁偉 副教授  
[jenwei@mail.ntust.edu.tw](mailto:jenwei@mail.ntust.edu.tw)  
國立台灣科技大學 資訊工程系  
2017 Spring

1

## Greedy Algorithms

- For many optimization problems, using dynamic programming to determine the best choices is **overkill**; simpler, more efficient algorithms will do.
- A **greedy algorithm** always makes the choice that **looks best at the moment**.
  - It makes a **locally optimal choice** in the hope that this choice will lead to a globally optimal solution.
  - Greedy algorithms do not always yield optimal solutions, but for many problems they do.

2

## Outline

- **An Activity-Selection Problem**
- Elements of the Greedy Strategy
- Huffman Codes

3

## Activity-Selection Problem (1/2)

- Suppose we have a set  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  of  $n$  proposed **activities** that wish to use a resource, such as a lecture hall, which can serve only one activity at a time.
- Each activity  $a_i$  has a **start time**  $s_i$  and a **finish time**  $f_i$ , where  $0 \leq s_i < f_i < \infty$ .
  - If selected, activity  $a_i$  takes place during the half-open time interval  $[s_i, f_i)$ .
- Activities  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are **compatible** if the intervals  $[s_i, f_i)$  and  $[s_j, f_j)$  do not overlap.
  - That is,  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are compatible if  $s_i \geq f_j$  or  $s_j \geq f_i$ .

4

## Activity-Selection Problem (2/2)

- In the [activity-selection problem](#), we wish to select a maximum-size subset of mutually compatible activities.
- We assume that the activities are sorted in monotonically increasing order of finish time:  
 $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq f_3 \leq \dots \leq f_{n-1} \leq f_n$ .

5

## Example

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$s_i$	1	3	0	5	3	5	6	8	8	2	12
$f_i$	4	5	6	7	9	9	10	11	12	14	16

- The subset  $\{a_3, a_9, a_{11}\}$  consists of mutually compatible activities.
- Could you find a largest subset of mutually compatible activities?
- ➔ We will first consider a dynamic-programming approach and then show that we can always make greedy choices to arrive at an optimal solution.

6

## The Optimal Substructure of the Activity-Selection Problem (1/3)

- Let us denote by  $S_{ij}$  the set of activities that start after activity  $a_i$  finishes and that finish before activity  $a_j$  starts.
- Suppose that we wish to find a [maximum set of mutually compatible activities](#) in  $S_{ij}$ , and suppose further that such a maximum set is  $A_{ij}$ , which includes some activity  $a_k$ .
- By including  $a_k$  in an optimal solution, we are left with [two subproblems](#): finding mutually compatible activities in the set  $S_{ik}$  and finding mutually compatible activities in the set  $S_{kj}$ .

7

## The Optimal Substructure of the Activity-Selection Problem (2/3)

- Let  $A_{ik} = A_{ij} \cap S_{ik}$  and  $A_{kj} = A_{ij} \cap S_{kj}$ , so that  $A_{ik}$  contains the activities in  $A_{ij}$  that finish before  $a_k$  starts and  $A_{kj}$  contains the activities in  $A_{ij}$  that start after  $a_k$  finishes.
- ➔ We have  $A_{ij} = A_{ik} \cup \{a_k\} \cup A_{kj}$
- ➔ The maximum-size set  $A_{ij}$  of mutually compatible activities in  $S_{ij}$  consists of  $|A_{ij}| = |A_{ik}| + |A_{kj}| + 1$  activities.

8