



Cybersecurity Risk Evaluation Report (CISS)

Vulnerability Assessment & Reverse Engineering CT-371

Team Members:

Hafsa Ali (CR-06)

Dania Fazal (CR-07)

Alishba Liaquat (CR-19)

Alizeh Mohsin (CR-23)

Mahnoor Shamim (CR-49)

Supervisor: Miss Saadia Arshad

1. Executive Summary:

This report presents a self-developed Cybersecurity Intelligent Scoring System (CISS), a web-based application that evaluates networked systems' security posture using weighted metrics. It simulates a cybersecurity audit model where users assess and quantify risks across 15 domains. The project supports awareness and helps organizations or individuals benchmark their readiness against cyber threats.

2. Project Objective:

- Develop a lightweight, intuitive risk scoring tool.
- Allow manual input of key cybersecurity indicators.
- Generate a composite security risk score based on weighted metrics.
- Present results in a clear, interpretable manner for stakeholders.

3. System Overview:

3.1 Architecture

- **Front-End:** HTML5, CSS (index.html)
- **Back-End:** Python Flask (app.py)
- **Communication:** RESTful API using JSON POST
- **Evaluation Model:** Weighted risk scoring formula

3.2 Technology Stack

Component	Technology
Web Server	Flask (Python)
Client	HTML/CSS
Data Flow	JavaScript/JSON

Media	MP4 Screen Demo
-------	-----------------

4. Evaluation Criteria:

The system uses 15 predefined cybersecurity controls/attributes. Each is assigned a specific weight based on its contribution to overall risk.

ID	Metric Name	Description	Weight
M1	Device Exposure Level	System accessibility and external visibility	0.10
M2	Attack Surface Complexity	Complexity of available services and access points	0.08
M3	Use of Default Credentials	Risk from unchanged factory-set logins	0.10
M4	Firmware Update Mechanism	Availability and automation of firmware updates	0.08
M5	Vulnerability Disclosure Timeline	Responsiveness to disclosed flaws	0.06
M6	Code Integrity	Presence of code signing and anti-tampering measures	0.05
M7	Access Control Granularity	Detail and strictness of access policies	0.07
M8	Encryption Usage	Use of secure cryptographic practices	0.10
M9	Device Identity Verification	Unique and verifiable identity of devices	0.06
M10	Secure Channel Usage	Use of HTTPS, VPNs, encrypted tunnels	0.08
M11	Logging & Monitoring	Availability of activity logs and alerts	0.06
M12	Supply Chain Security	Risk evaluation in procurement and vendor management	0.05
M13	Threat Intelligence Integration	Use of external threat feeds or alerts	0.10
M14	Backup and Recovery Readiness	Existence of disaster recovery or business continuity plans	0.05
M15	Physical Security	Controls against physical tampering or theft	0.06

5. System Workflow:

1. User Input:

- a. End-user accesses a local webpage and fills in ratings (scale 0–10) for each security metric.

2. Data Submission:

- a. Ratings are packaged into a JSON payload and POSTed to the Flask server.

3. Score Calculation:

- a. Server parses the values, applies weights, and computes the final score.

4. Output Delivery:

- a. Score returned as a percentage or normalized number indicating risk level.

6. Sample JSON Input Format:

```
{  
  "deviceExposureLevel": 7,  
  "attackSurfaceComplexity": 5,  
  "defaultCredentials": 9,  
  ...  
  "physicalSecurity": 4  
}
```

7. Result Interpretation:

Score Range	Risk Category	Recommendation
0–3	High Risk	Immediate remediation necessary
4–6	Medium Risk	Prioritize vulnerabilities for mitigation

7–10	Low Risk	Maintain posture, periodic audits
------	----------	-----------------------------------

8. *Testing & Demonstration:*

A video demo (bandicam_2025-05-04_15-29-25-514.mp4) showcases:

- Launching the local server
- Submitting form data
- Viewing real-time score response

9. *Use Cases:*

- **University Projects:** Teaching cyber risk awareness
- **Enterprise Self-Audits:** Quick internal assessments
- **IoT Vendor Evaluation:** Score third-party devices before adoption
- **Incident Response:** Snapshot posture before and after events

10. *IoT devices scoring:*

13.1 *Reference:*

In late 2016, a widespread cyberattack was carried out using a large botnet composed of compromised Internet of Things (IoT) devices, primarily IP cameras and home routers. These devices were infected by the Mirai malware, which systematically scanned the internet for vulnerable IoT devices using factory default usernames and passwords. Once compromised, the devices became part of a coordinated Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attack against critical internet infrastructure.

The affected devices typically:

Operated with default credentials and open network ports (e.g., Telnet),

Lacked secure firmware update mechanisms,

Did not support signed firmware or any form of data encryption,

Were built with minimal or no hardware security features,

Had poor access control granularity, and

Were manufactured without stringent supply chain security oversight.

This case highlighted several systemic weaknesses in IoT security and exemplified how large-scale exploitation can result from inadequate security practices at both the firmware and network levels.

Sources:

Antonakakis et al., "Understanding the Mirai Botnet", arXiv:2007.13410

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13410>

The Verge, "A massive DDoS attack is causing outages across the internet"

<https://www.theverge.com/2016/10/21/13362354/ddos-attack-dyn-dns-internet-outage>

IoT Security Scoring System (CISS)

Network & Exposure Risks

Device Exposure Level	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Attack Surface Complexity	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Default Credentials & Weak Authentication	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Firmware and Patchability

Firmware Update Mechanism	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Vulnerability Disclosure & Patch Time	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Code Integrity & Signed Updates	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Authenticity and Access Control

Access Control Granularity	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Encryption Of Data At Rest & In Transit	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Device Identity and Authentication	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Supply Chain and Hardware Security

Supply Chain Trustworthiness	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Hardware Security Features	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Side-Channel Attack Resistance	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Threat Landscape and Exploitability

Exploitability	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Threat Actor Interest	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Physical Security Risk	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Submit

IoT Security Scoring System (CISS)

Network & Exposure Risks

Device Exposure Level	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="High"/>
Attack Surface Complexity	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Default Credentials & Weak Authentication	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="High"/>

Firmware and Patchability

Firmware Update Mechanism	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Vulnerability Disclosure & Patch Time	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Code Integrity & Signed Updates	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Authenticity and Access Control

Access Control Granularity	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Encryption Of Data At Rest & In Transit	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Device Identity and Authentication	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Supply Chain and Hardware Security

Supply Chain Trustworthiness	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Hardware Security Features	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>
Side-Channel Attack Resistance	<input checked="" type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

Threat Landscape and Exploitability

Exploitability	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="High"/>
Threat Actor Interest	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="High"/>
Physical Security Risk	<input type="button" value="None"/>	<input checked="" type="button" value="Low"/>	<input type="button" value="Medium"/>	<input type="button" value="High"/>

CISS Score: 4.68 - Moderate Risk (Patch vulnerabilities, Improve Security)

Submit

11. Strengths:

- Simple yet informative tool
- Easy customization of weights
- Works offline (no dependency on cloud)
- Transparent logic (open and editable)

12. Limitations:

- Manual entry may introduce bias
- Not integrated with actual vulnerability scanners
- No user authentication or historical tracking
- Fixed weight model, lacks adaptiveness

13. Future Enhancements

- Add user accounts and login
- Enable automated scanning via Nmap APIs
- Include visual dashboards (charts, graphs)
- Integrate with CVE databases for live threat updates
- Support exportable PDF/Excel reports

14. Conclusion:

The CISS project effectively demonstrates how qualitative cybersecurity metrics can be translated into a quantitative model. This tool is a proof-of-concept that highlights the importance of structured security evaluation and presents a foundation for building more advanced, integrated platforms in the future.

