# LDT Size Bias Analyses

Dani

2022-08-16

# Study 1: Direct Replication

# Participant Level:

```
df.PL = read.csv("Participant.csv")
```

```
RT
t.PL.RT = t.test(df.PL$Small_RT, df.PL$Large_RT, paired = T)
t.PL.RT
## Paired t-test
## data: df.PL$Small_RT and df.PL$Large_RT
## t = 0.95292, df = 107, p-value = 0.3428
\#\# alternative hypothesis: true mean difference is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.791438 5.107937
## sample estimates:
## mean difference
##
           1.65825
t2d(t.PL.RT\$statistic, n=108)
## 0.1833901
```

#### **Error Rate**

```
t.PL.ER = t.test(df.PL$Small_ER, df.PL$Large_ER, paired = T)
t.PL.ER
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: df.PL$Small_ER and df.PL$Large_ER
## t = 2.4269, df = 107, p-value = 0.0169
## alternative hypothesis: true mean difference is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.001356791 0.013458024
## sample estimates:
## mean difference
##
       0.007407407
t2d(t.PL.ER$statistic, n=108)
## 0.4670597
Word-Pair Level:
df.WP = read.csv("WordPair.csv")
RT
t.WP.RT = t.test(df.WP$Small_RT, df.WP$Large_RT, paired = T)
t.WP.RT
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: df.WP$Small_RT and df.WP$Large_RT
## t = 0.45592, df = 44, p-value = 0.6507
## alternative hypothesis: true mean difference is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -9.859799 15.625059
## sample estimates:
## mean difference
          2.88263
##
t2d(t.WP.RT$statistic, n=108)
## 0.08774232
```

# Error Rate

# Study 2: Norming Differences

# ICCs (may need to switch s to "average" rather than "single")

```
model = m, type = t, unit = s)
img_icc = icc(cbind(df.Norm.scaled$PU.IMAG, df.Norm.scaled$GN.IMAG),
              model = m, type = t, unit = s)
fam_icc
         = icc(cbind(df.Norm.scaled$PU.FAM, df.Norm.scaled$GN.FAM),
              model = m, type = t, unit = s)
arou_icc = icc(cbind(df.Norm.scaled$PU.AROU, df.Norm.scaled$GN.AROU),
              model = m, type = t, unit = s)
ests = c(size_icc$value, val_icc$value, gend_icc$value, img_icc$value,
        conc_icc$value, arou_icc$value, fam_icc$value)
lbs = c(size_icc$lbound, val_icc$lbound, gend_icc$lbound, img_icc$lbound,
        conc_icc$lbound, arou_icc$lbound, fam_icc$lbound)
ubs = c(size_icc$ubound, val_icc$ubound, gend_icc$ubound, img_icc$ubound,
       conc_icc$ubound, arou_icc$ubound, fam_icc$ubound)
sigs = c(size_icc$p.value, val_icc$p.value, gend_icc$p.value, img_icc$p.value,
        conc_icc$p.value, arou_icc$p.value, fam_icc$p.value)
forkable = data.frame(ests, lbs, ubs, sigs)
rownames(forkable) = c("size", "valence", "gender", "imageability",
                      "concreteness", "aorusal", "familiarity")
print(knitr::kable(forkable[order(forkable$ests, decreasing = T),], bookend = T, digits = 3))
##
##
               | ests| lbs|
                                  ubs| sigs|
## |:----:|----:|----:|
## |size
                | 0.967| 0.950| 0.978|
## |gender
                                          01
               | 0.946| 0.919| 0.965|
## |valence
               | 0.934| 0.901| 0.956|
                                          01
## |concreteness | 0.822| 0.740| 0.880|
                                          01
## |imageability | 0.720| 0.600| 0.808|
                                          01
## |familiarity | 0.628| 0.481| 0.740|
                                          01
## |aorusal
                | 0.609| 0.458| 0.726|
```

# Paired t-tests Comparing GN and PU

```
paired = T)
 forkable[d,] = cbind(v, round(tmp.t$statistic,3), round(tmp.t$p.value,3))
print(knitr::kable(na.omit(forkable[order(forkable$t.value),]), bookend = T, row.names = F, digits = 3)
##
##
## |Dimension |t.value |p.value |
## |:----|:----|
             |-0.006 | 0.995
## | AROU
## |VAL
             |-0.038 | 0.97
## |FAM
             |-0.097 | 0.923
## |SIZE
             1-0.223
                      0.824
## |IMAG
             0.128
                      10.899
## | CNC
             0.252
                      10.802
## | GEND
                      10.668
             0.43
```

#### Paired t-tests Comparing Small and Large Words

##

```
forkable = data.frame(Dimension = c(""),
                      S_Mean_PU = c(0),
                      L_{Mean_PU} = c(0),
                      S_95CI_PU = c(0),
                      L_95CI_PU = c(0),
                      t.value.PU = c(0),
                      p.value.PU = c(0)
forkable = forkable[-1,]
for(d in 1:7){
  d.s.PU = d*2
  d.1.PU = d*2+1
  v = unlist(strsplit(names(df.Norm.WP)[d.s.PU],"\\.|_"))[2]
  sm.PU
             = mean(df.Norm.WP[[d.s.PU]], na.rm = T)
             = mean(df.Norm.WP[[d.1.PU]], na.rm = T)
  sm.PU.95CI = 1.96*(sd(df.Norm.WP[[d.s.PU]]), na.rm = T) / sqrt(length(df.Norm.WP[[d.s.PU]])))
  lm.PU.95CI = 1.96*(sd(df.Norm.WP[[d.1.PU]]), na.rm = T) / sqrt(length(df.Norm.WP[[d.1.PU]])))
  tmp.t.PU = t.test(df.Norm.WP[[d.1.PU]], df.Norm.WP[[d.s.PU]], paired = T)
  forkable[d,] = cbind(v, round(sm.PU,3), round(lm.PU,3), round(sm.PU.95CI,4), round(lm.PU.95CI,4), round(lm.PU.95CI,4)
                       )
}
print(knitr::kable(forkable[order(forkable$t.value.PU),], bookend = T, row.names = F, digits = 3))
##
```

##	Dimension	S_Mean_PU	L_Mean_PU	S_95CI_PU	L_95CI_PU	<pre> t.value.PU</pre>	<pre> p.value.PU</pre>	
##	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	
##	IMAG	5.961	5.941	0.1915	0.1674	I-0.164	10.87	
##	CNC	15.508	15.392	0.18	0.2312	1-0.758	10.453	1
##	FAM	15.863	15.505	0.1395	0.17	l-3.601	10.001	1
##	AROU	3.113	3.315	0.2148	0.1621	1.431	10.16	1
##	VAL	14.445	4.771	10.3392	0.2301	1.487	0.144	1
##	SIZE	12.431	5.947	0.1536	0.1395	29.214	10	
##	GEND	12.745	3.495	10.2274	0.2081	14.989	10	1

# Study 3: Continuous Predictors and Multi-Level Mediation Analyses

```
df.MLM = read.csv("MLM.csv")
```

# Base RT ~ Size Regression

```
mlm.sizeOnly = lmer(RT ~ SIZE + (1|SubID), data = df.MLM)
summary(mlm.sizeOnly)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: RT ~ SIZE + (1 | SubID)
     Data: df.MLM
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 102819.9
##
## Scaled residuals:
      Min 1Q Median
                              3Q
## -3.6287 -0.6657 -0.0896 0.5470 6.4364
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
           Name
                        Variance Std.Dev.
                                62.07
## SubID
            (Intercept) 3853
                        6456
                                80.35
## Residual
## Number of obs: 8820, groups: SubID, 108
## Fixed effects:
              Estimate Std. Error
                                         df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 528.9315 6.3483 130.9597 83.319 < 2e-16 ***
## SIZE
               -1.5196
                          0.4688 8711.3687 -3.241 0.00119 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
       (Intr)
## SIZE -0.311
```

# A paths

```
mlm.sizePredGend = lmer(GEND ~ SIZE + (1|SubID), data = df.MLM)
## boundary (singular) fit: see help('isSingular')
summary(mlm.sizePredGend)
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: GEND ~ SIZE + (1 | SubID)
     Data: df.MLM
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 19737.3
## Scaled residuals:
##
      Min
            1Q Median
                             3Q
                                      Max
## -2.3359 -0.6814 -0.0252 0.6275 2.4203
##
## Random effects:
                        Variance Std.Dev.
## Groups Name
## SubID
          (Intercept) 0.0000
                                0.0000
## Residual
                        0.5478
                                0.7402
## Number of obs: 8820, groups: SubID, 108
##
## Fixed effects:
                                          df t value Pr(>|t|)
               Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept) 2.271e+00 1.979e-02 8.818e+03 114.73 <2e-16 ***
## SIZE
              2.049e-01 4.316e-03 8.818e+03
                                               47.47
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
       (Intr)
## SIZE -0.917
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## boundary (singular) fit: see help('isSingular')
mlm.sizePredFam = lmer(FAM ~ SIZE + (1|SubID), data = df.MLM)
## boundary (singular) fit: see help('isSingular')
summary(mlm.sizePredFam)
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: FAM ~ SIZE + (1 | SubID)
##
     Data: df.MLM
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 13815.3
```

```
##
## Scaled residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
## -2.9588 -0.5616 0.2249 0.7389 1.6784
## Random effects:
  Groups
                        Variance Std.Dev.
           Name
            (Intercept) 0.0000
                                 0.000
## SubID
## Residual
                        0.2799
                                 0.529
## Number of obs: 8820, groups: SubID, 108
## Fixed effects:
                                            df t value Pr(>|t|)
                Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept) 6.083e+00 1.415e-02 8.818e+03 429.98
                                                         <2e-16 ***
## SIZE
              -9.165e-02 3.085e-03 8.818e+03 -29.71
                                                         <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
       (Intr)
## SIZE -0.917
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## boundary (singular) fit: see help('isSingular')
```

# Size Suppressed by Familiarity

## lmerModLmerTest]

```
mlm.sizeAndFam = lmer(RT ~ SIZE + FAM + (1|SubID), data = df.MLM)
summary(mlm.sizeAndFam)
```

```
## Formula: RT ~ SIZE + FAM + (1 | SubID)
##
     Data: df.MLM
## REML criterion at convergence: 102585.5
## Scaled residuals:
               1Q Median
      Min
                               30
                                      Max
## -3.8036 -0.6544 -0.0925 0.5414 6.6994
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
            Name
                        Variance Std.Dev.
                                  61.97
## SubID
             (Intercept) 3840
                        6288
                                 79.29
## Residual
## Number of obs: 8820, groups: SubID, 108
##
## Fixed effects:
                                          df t value Pr(>|t|)
               Estimate Std. Error
##
## (Intercept) 677.7867
                         11.5957 1348.2250 58.452 < 2e-16 ***
## SIZE
                -3.7630
                            0.4853 8710.3860 -7.754 9.88e-15 ***
## FAM
               -24.4703
                           1.5973 8710.4266 -15.320 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
```

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [

```
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
## (Intr) SIZE
## SIZE -0.413
## FAM -0.838 0.302
```

#### Size Confounded with Gender

```
mlm.sizeAndGend = lmer(RT ~ SIZE + GEND + (1|SubID), data = df.MLM)
summary(mlm.sizeAndGend)
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: RT ~ SIZE + GEND + (1 | SubID)
##
     Data: df.MLM
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 102810.9
## Scaled residuals:
##
      Min
              1Q Median
                              3Q
                                      Max
## -3.5795 -0.6643 -0.0917 0.5441 6.4890
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
           Name
                        Variance Std.Dev.
                                62.07
## SubID
            (Intercept) 3853
                                 80.32
## Residual
                        6452
## Number of obs: 8820, groups: SubID, 108
##
## Fixed effects:
               Estimate Std. Error
                                          df t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 535.8021 6.8698 179.4424 77.994 < 2e-16 ***
## SIZE
               -0.9001
                            0.5251 8710.3042 -1.714 0.08653 .
## GEND
                -3.0248
                           1.1563 8710.3182 -2.616 0.00891 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
        (Intr) SIZE
## SIZE -0.084
## GEND -0.382 -0.451
```

# Double Mediation of Size Effect by both Size and Familiarity

```
mlm.Full = lmer(RT ~ SIZE + FAM + GEND + (1|SubID), data = df.MLM)
summary(mlm.Full)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
```

```
## Formula: RT ~ SIZE + FAM + GEND + (1 | SubID)
##
     Data: df.MLM
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 102541.8
##
## Scaled residuals:
              10 Median
      Min
                               30
                                      Max
## -3.7249 -0.6550 -0.0858 0.5464 6.8612
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
            Name
                        Variance Std.Dev.
## SubID
             (Intercept) 3838
                                 61.95
## Residual
                        6258
                                 79.11
## Number of obs: 8820, groups: SubID, 108
##
## Fixed effects:
##
                                          df t value Pr(>|t|)
               Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept) 710.1395
                         12.6135 1805.0914 56.300 < 2e-16 ***
                -2.4423
                            0.5256 8709.3024 -4.647 3.42e-06 ***
## SIZE
## FAM
               -26.9641
                            1.6397 8709.4805 -16.445 < 2e-16 ***
## GEND
                -7.5648
                            1.1718 8709.3658 -6.456 1.14e-10 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
       (Intr) SIZE
                     FAM
## SIZE -0.194
## FAM -0.840 0.178
## GEND -0.397 -0.389 0.236
```

#### 'bmlm' version

# Size Suppressed by Familiarity

```
## Estimating model, please wait.

##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 1).

## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 0.004 seconds

## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 40 seconds.

## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!

## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:
                        600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
            Elapsed Time: 1379.21 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:
                           764.52 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
                           2143.73 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 0.003 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 30 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:
                          1 / 2000 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:
                        600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:
                        800 / 2000 [ 40%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 1346.23 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2:
                           763.328 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
                           2109.56 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 0.003 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 30 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration:
                          1 / 2000 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%]
                                            (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 949.597 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3:
                           762.501 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
                           1712.1 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 0.003 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 30 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:
                          1 / 2000 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
            Elapsed Time: 1247.83 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:
                           762.199 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
                           2010.03 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
## Warning: Bulk Effective Samples Size (ESS) is too low, indicating posterior means and medians may be
## Running the chains for more iterations may help. See
## https://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html#bulk-ess
## Warning: Tail Effective Samples Size (ESS) is too low, indicating posterior variances and tail quant
## Running the chains for more iterations may help. See
## https://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html#tail-ess
mlm_summary(mlm.fam)
##
     Parameter
                        SE Median
                                    2.5%
                                          97.5% n_eff Rhat
                 Mean
## 1
             a -0.09 0.00
                            -0.09
                                  -0.10
                                          -0.09
                                                  7171
```

-2.77

2.57

4664

6471

5156

1

b -24.46 1.60 -24.46 -27.77 -21.38

2.24

-4.76

1.92

-3.76 0.51 -3.76

2.24 0.17

me

## 2

## 3

## 4

#### Size Confounded with Gender

```
mlm.gend = mlm(d = df.MLM,
              id = "SubID",
              x = "SIZE",
              m = "GEND",
              y = "RT")
## Estimating model, please wait.
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 0.003 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 30 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:
                         1 / 2000 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:
                        600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
                        800 / 2000 [ 40%]
## Chain 1: Iteration:
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 859.452 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:
                           382.301 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
                           1241.75 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 0.004 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 40 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:
                          1 / 2000 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 1259.79 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2:
                           383.352 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
                           1643.14 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 0.003 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 30 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration:
                          1 / 2000 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
            Elapsed Time: 1021.62 seconds (Warm-up)
                           764.889 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
                           1786.51 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'bmlm' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 0.003 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 30 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:
                          1 / 2000 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
                        200 / 2000 [ 10%]
## Chain 4: Iteration:
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:
                        400 / 2000 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:
                        600 / 2000 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:
                        800 / 2000 [ 40%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%]
                                            (Sampling)
```

```
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%]
                                           (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%]
                                           (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 840.071 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:
                           411.102 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
                           1251.17 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
## Warning: Bulk Effective Samples Size (ESS) is too low, indicating posterior means and medians may be
## Running the chains for more iterations may help. See
## https://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html#bulk-ess
## Warning: Tail Effective Samples Size (ESS) is too low, indicating posterior variances and tail quant
## Running the chains for more iterations may help. See
## https://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html#tail-ess
```

## mlm\_summary(mlm.gend)

```
SE Median 2.5% 97.5% n_eff Rhat
##
    Parameter Mean
## 1
          a 0.20 0.00
                          0.20 0.20 0.21 4779
## 2
           b -3.02 1.39
                        -3.00 -5.78 -0.28 2675
## 3
           cp -0.90 0.55
                         -0.90 -1.99 0.19
                                           6064
                                                  1
## 4
           me -0.62 0.29
                        -0.62 -1.19 -0.06
                                          2678
## 5
          c -1.52 0.51 -1.52 -2.53 -0.54 6064
                                                  1
                         0.41 0.03 1.24 3750
## 6
          pme 0.41 3.76
                                                   1
```