# CMPUT 692 – Topics in Data Management with LLMs Assignment 1

Daniel Penner 1908155

September 29, 2025

All associated code for this assignment can be found on my Github.

# 1 Query Selection

# 1.1 Query List

Table 1: The selected subset of queries.

| Query ID | Database           | Difficulty  |
|----------|--------------------|-------------|
| 91       | financial          | Simple      |
| 96       | financial          | Simple      |
| 101      | financial          | Simple      |
| 108      | financial          | Simple      |
| 117      | financial          | Moderate    |
| 128      | financial          | Moderate    |
| 136      | financial          | Moderate    |
| 149      | financial          | Challenging |
| 169      | financial          | Challenging |
| 173      | financial          | Challenging |
| 393      | card_games         | Simple      |
| 394      | card_games         | Simple      |
| 406      | card_games         | Simple      |
| 411      | card_games         | Simple      |
| 427      | card_games         | Moderate    |
| 432      | card_games         | Moderate    |
| 434      | card_games         | Moderate    |
| 477      | card_games         | Challenging |
| 507      | card_games         | Challenging |
| 513      | card_games         | Challenging |
| 547      | codebase_community | Simple      |
| 550      | codebase_community | Simple      |
| 556      | codebase_community | Simple      |
| 558      | codebase_community | Simple      |

Table 1 (continued)

| Ou our ID | Detahasa                | Difficulty: |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Query ID  | Database                | Difficulty  |
| 572       | codebase_community      | Moderate    |
| 578       | codebase_community      | Moderate    |
| 587       | codebase_community      | Moderate    |
| 598       | codebase_community      | Challenging |
| 639       | codebase_community      | Challenging |
| 701       | codebase_community      | Challenging |
| 789       | superhero               | Simple      |
| 793       | superhero               | Simple      |
| 795       | superhero               | Simple      |
| 806       | superhero               | Simple      |
| 814       | superhero               | Moderate    |
| 817       | superhero               | Moderate    |
| 823       | superhero               | Moderate    |
| 829       | superhero               | Challenging |
| 834       | superhero               | Challenging |
| 835       | superhero               | Challenging |
| 1470      | debit_card_specializing | Simple      |
| 1477      | debit_card_specializing | Simple      |
| 1476      | debit_card_specializing | Challenging |
| 1478      | debit_card_specializing | Simple      |
| 1486      | debit_card_specializing | Simple      |
| 1490      | debit_card_specializing | Moderate    |
| 1501      | debit_card_specializing | Moderate    |
| 1516      | debit_card_specializing | Moderate    |
| 1526      | debit_card_specializing | Challenging |
| 1531      | debit_card_specializing | Moderate    |

# 1.2 Justification

The 5 categories were randomly selected. For each category 10 queries were chosen: 4 simple, 3 moderate, 3 challenging (with the exception of "debit card specializing" which only had 2 challenging).

# 1.3 Methodology

### **1.3.1** Model

The model used for this assignment was GPT-5-mini. A closed-weight model from OpenAI. I selected this model because of its lightweight, and thus resource efficient, nature, along with the fact that it is among the newest and therefore most minimally tested OpenAI models. All settings on the model were left default, partially because GPT-5-mini does not offer control over settings like temperature or Top-p, and partially to test the out of the box effectiveness of this model. At default settings the model has the reasoning\_effort set to minimal and verbosity set to medium.

#### 1.3.2 Code

The SQL predictions were generated by the sql\_generation.mjs file at the root of my assignment repository. This file does the following:

- 1. Parses the subset of queries that I selected from the input\_data/queries.json file.
- 2. Loops through each query and for each:
  - (a) Finds the schema associated with the database that the query is used on.
  - (b) Translates the schema into columns and rows.
  - (c) Queries the model with a list of rules, the formatted schema, and the current query.
- 3. Prints the model's response to the evaluation\_repo/sql\_result/predictions.json file.

### 1.3.3 **Query**

The following data was fed to the model for each question:

Translate the natural language question into a simple, effective SQLite query.

#### RULES:

- 1. Use ONLY the provided table and column names.
- 2. Use ONLY names that appear in the schema description (no synonyms).
- 3. Do not invent new tables, columns, or values.
- 4. Output must be a single line with no explanations or non-SQL text.
- 5. Prefer the simplest correct form that exactly matches the question intent.

#### Schema:

Question:

Where "Schema: " is followed by a list of the schema elements in the form of

```
Tables: <table1>, <table2>, ...
Columns: <column1>, <column2>, ...
```

from the database schema associated with the question and "Question" is followed by the provided natural language question.

### 2 Evaluation

### 2.0.1 Methodology

The official evaluation scripts in the mini\_dev repository were tailored around use for a specific subset of 500 queries from the dev set. Because of this, I had to generate my own mini\_dev\_sqlite.jsonl and mini\_dev\_sqlite\_gold.sql files using the correct SQL associated with each of the natural language questions I selected. They can be found in evaluation\_repo/evaluation/sqlite. There should also be the complete dev\_databases file there which cannot be committed to github due to it's sheer size. This has been locally added and placed in .gitignore on both of my personal devices used for this assignment. These changes were made along with additional logging used to identify issues during the evaluation step.

#### 2.0.2 Results

Three metrics were used to evaluate the LLMs SQL queries:

- 1. Execution Accuracy (EX) Whether the LLMs query has the same output as the gold query.
- 2. Reward Value Execution Score (R-VES) Tests both the correctness and the efficiency relative to the gold query. R-VES was run with 10 iterations per CPU on 16 CPUs simultaneously. Each iteration had a time-out of 2 seconds.
- 3. Soft-F1 (EX) Measures the similarity between the LLMs queries and the gold queries regardless of correctness.

Errors in SQL queries and failures to execute are simply treated as inaccuracies on the part of the LLM, and detract from both the EX and R-VES without halting the running of tests.

| Metric  | Simple (%) | Moderate (%) | Challenging (%) | Total (%) |
|---------|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| EX      | 35.00      | 37.50        | 28.57           | 34.00     |
| R-VES   | 17.42      | 17.08        | 21.43           | 18.43     |
| Soft-F1 | 35.03      | 44.05        | 25.00           | 35.11     |

Table 2: Evaluation results across difficulty levels.

# 3 Analysis

# 3.1 Visual Trends

From simply inspecting the gold SQL file beside the LLM's predictions, it is apparent that the predicted queries are generally longer and more complex than the gold queries despite the instructions to the model being very clear about keeping answers as simple as possible. This could contribute to the R-VES being significantly lower than the EX and Soft-F1, as many of the queries are technically correct, but run slowly. This is likely caused by the default model verbosity being set to medium.

# 3.2 Difficulty Comparison

Strangely, difficulty had a more minimal impact on accuracy than expected. One would theorize that simpler questions would be easier for the model to solve, but the EX and Soft-F1 values are highest for moderate difficulties. The R-VES is even stranger, being highest for the challenging queries. This could imply that while the model's solutions vary heavily from the gold SQL, the optimal queries for the challenging questions are slower and thus the unique solutions concocted by the model have a reduced difference in speed compared to the simple queries where the gold is much quicker.

# 4 Comparison

# 4.1 Selected Models for Comparison

The models papers that were selected for comparison with the aforementioned results were the RSL-SQL technique using DeepSeek-v2 [1] and the SuperSQL model [2], placed 44th and 55th on the leaderboard respectively.

# 4.2 Reported Results

Both models published results for Execution Accuracy and Valid Efficiency scores using the official BIRD evaluation tool for the entire BIRD Dev dataset. These results are compared to those of the GPT-5-mini output in Table 3.

| Model / Method                     | Simple | Moderate | Challenging | Total |
|------------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|-------|
| Execution Accuracy (EX, %)         |        |          |             |       |
| GPT-5-mini (Selected Subset)       | 35.00  | 37.50    | 28.57       | 34.00 |
| SuperSQL (BIRD dev)                | 66.90  | 46.50    | 43.80       | 58.50 |
| RSL-SQL + DeepSeek (BIRD dev)      | 69.73  | 54.09    | 54.48       | 63.56 |
| Valid Efficiency Score / R-VES (%) |        |          |             |       |
| GPT-5-mini (Selected Subset)       | 17.42  | 17.08    | 21.43       | 18.43 |
| SuperSQL (BIRD dev)                | 69.75  | 50.55    | 49.08       | 61.99 |
| RSL-SQL + DeepSeek (BIRD dev)      | _      | _        | _           | 67.68 |
| Soft-F1 (%)                        |        |          |             |       |
| GPT-5-mini (Selected Subset)       | 35.03  | 44.05    | 25.00       | 35.11 |

Table 3: Comparison of evaluation results with GPT-5-mini in this assignment on a 50 query subset [A] with RSL-SQL + Deepseek [1] and SuperSQL [2] on the BIRD development set.

# 4.3 Adjusted for 50 Query Subset

Both the RSL-SQL + DeepSeek-v2 [1] and SuperSQL [2] papers published their generated sets of SQL queries for the BIRD Dev dataset. Using these, I created subsets of their SQL output for the same queries I ran against GPT-5-mini. These were evaluated using the same tools used to evaluate the GPT-5-mini output. Results compared to my own can be found in Table 4.

| Model / Method                     | Simple | Moderate | Challenging | Total |  |
|------------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|-------|--|
| Execution Accuracy (EX, %)         |        |          |             |       |  |
| GPT-5-mini                         | 35.00  | 37.50    | 28.57       | 34.00 |  |
| RSL-SQL + DeepSeek                 | 75.00  | 62.50    | 64.29       | 68.00 |  |
| SuperSQL                           | 60.00  | 56.25    | 50.00       | 56.00 |  |
| Valid Efficiency Score / R-VES (%) |        |          |             |       |  |
| GPT-5-mini                         | 17.42  | 17.08    | 21.43       | 18.43 |  |
| RSL-SQL + DeepSeek                 | 38.48  | 29.58    | 36.24       | 35.00 |  |
| SuperSQL                           | 24.82  | 29.58    | 21.43       | 25.39 |  |
| Soft-F1 (%)                        |        |          |             |       |  |
| GPT-5-mini                         | 35.03  | 44.05    | 25.00       | 35.11 |  |
| RSL-SQL + DeepSeek                 | 75.00  | 68.67    | 60.71       | 68.97 |  |
| SuperSQL                           | 60.00  | 62.21    | 42.86       | 55.91 |  |

Table 4: Comparison of evaluation results with GPT-5-mini in this assignment with RSL-SQL + Deepseek [1] and SuperSQL [2] all using the aforementioned 50 query subset [A].

# 4.4 Strengths and Weaknesses of this Approach

The SuperSQL model and combination of the RSL-SQL technique with the Deepseek both achieved scores for both accuracy and efficiency significantly above what GPT-5-mini accomplished using the techniques

presented in this paper. Both papers for these approaches involved significant training, while this attempt only involved prompting and the full schema being included with each question. Additionally, RSL-SQL involved schema pruning, SuperSQL utilized post-processing, and both papers used schema linking to further optimize the model's performance. All of these techniques are very effective ways of improving the model's understanding of the database associated with a given query.

Both of these papers were assessed against the entire dev dataset which includes over 1500 queries, whereas this report covered only 50. This smaller sample may have affected the average difficulty of queries either positively or negatively for this assignment.

This report involves the analysis of the responses' Soft-F1 scores, a unique metric not employed by this previous research. This provides valuable insight outside of the scope of what is covered in these papers.

### 5 Resources

- OpenAI documentation was used to aid in the implementation of the GPT-5-mini API into the code [3].
- All evaluation code was either taken directly or modified slightly after being taken directly from the bird\_bench/mini\_dev repository on GitHub [4].
- ChatGPT was used to assist in error identification while programming, altering evaluation code, translating JSON files and raw data into lists and tables, and assisting with coding practices for this report [5].

# **A** Generated SQL Queries

Below is the full set of generated SQL queries for the selected natural language questions. Each query is referenced by its question\_id.

```
91: SELECT CASE WHEN AVG(district.A6)>AVG(district.A7) THEN '1995'
                WHEN AVG(district.A6)<AVG(district.A7) THEN '1996'
                ELSE 'tie' END AS higher_year,
          AVG(district.A6) AS avg_1995,
          AVG(district.A7) AS avg_1996
   FROM district;
96: SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT disp.client_id)
   FROM disp
   JOIN account ON disp.account_id = account.account_id
   WHERE UPPER (disp.type) = 'OWNER'
     AND UPPER (account.frequency) LIKE '%WEEK%';
101: SELECT DISTINCT account.account_id
   FROM account
   JOIN trans ON account.account_id = trans.account_id
   WHERE substr (trans.date, 1,4) = '1995'
     AND trans. date = (SELECT MIN(date))
                        FROM trans
                        WHERE substr (date, 1, 4) = '1995');
```

```
108: SELECT t.amount
   FROM trans t
   JOIN account a ON t.account_id=a.account_id
   JOIN loan 1 ON 1.account_id=a.account_id
   WHERE 1.amount=(SELECT MAX(amount) FROM loan)
     AND t.date >= a.date
   ORDER BY t.date
   LIMIT 1:
117: SELECT COALESCE(
      100.0 * SUM(CASE WHEN loan.status = 'closed' THEN loan.amount ELSE 0 END)
      / NULLIF(SUM(loan.amount),0),0)
   FROM loan;
128: SELECT district.A2, COUNT(DISTINCT client.client_id) AS cnt
   FROM client
   JOIN disp ON client.client_id=disp.client_id
   JOIN account ON disp.account_id = account.account_id
   JOIN district ON account. district_id = district. district_id
   WHERE client.gender='F' AND disp.type='OWNER'
   GROUP BY district.A2
   ORDER BY cnt DESC
   LIMIT 9;
136: SELECT loan.account_id, COUNT(*)
   FROM loan
   JOIN account ON loan.account_id=account.account_id
   WHERE loan date BETWEEN '1995-01-01' AND '1997-12-31'
     AND loan.amount >= 250000
     AND account.frequency='M'
     AND loan.status='approved'
   GROUP BY loan.account_id;
149: SELECT DISTINCT account. frequency
   FROM account
   JOIN district ON account.district_id = district.district_id
   WHERE district.A11>8000 AND district.A11<=9000
     AND account.account_id NOT IN (
         SELECT account_id FROM loan
      );
```

```
SELECT CASE WHEN t.s1996=0 THEN NULL
                  ELSE (t.s1997 - t.s1996)*1.0 / t.s1996 END AS growth
         SELECT SUM(CASE WHEN strftime ('%Y', loan.date)='1996' THEN loan.amount ELSE 0 END) AS s1996,
               SUM(CASE WHEN strftime ('%Y', loan.date)='1997' THEN loan.amount ELSE 0 END) AS s1997
169:
         JOIN disp ON loan.account_id=disp.account_id
         JOIN client ON disp.client_id = client.client_id
         WHERE client.gender='M'
       ) t;
173: SELECT account. frequency,
            (SELECT trans.k_symbol
            FROM trans
             WHERE trans.account_id=3 AND trans.type='Debit'
             GROUP BY trans.k_symbol
             HAVING SUM(trans.amount)=3539)
    FROM account
    WHERE account.account_id = 3;
393: SELECT COUNT(*)
    FROM cards
    WHERE artist = 'John Avon' AND has Foil = 0;
394: SELECT COUNT(*)
    FROM cards
    WHERE borderColor = 'white' AND CAST(power AS INTEGER) >= 5;
406: SELECT DISTINCT cards.id
    FROM cards
    JOIN legalities ON cards.uuid = legalities.uuid
    WHERE cards.type LIKE '%Creature%';
411: SELECT cards. artist
   FROM cards
    WHERE cards.text = 'Das perfekte ■ Gegenmittel ■ zu ■ einer ■ dichten ■ Formation';
427: SELECT DISTINCT language
    FROM set_translations
    WHERE setCode = 'ARC';
432: SELECT sets.name
    FROM sets
    JOIN set_translations ON set_translations.setCode = sets.code
    WHERE set_translations.language = 'Russian'
    ORDER BY sets.totalSetSize DESC
    LIMIT 1;
```

```
434: SELECT COUNT(*)
   FROM sets s
   WHERE s.isOnlineOnly = 0
     AND EXISTS (
        SELECT 1 FROM set_translations st
       WHERE st.setCode = s.code AND st.language = 'Japanese'
     AND NOT EXISTS (
       SELECT 1 FROM set_translations st2
       WHERE st2.setCode = s.code AND st2.language != 'Japanese'
      );
477: SELECT DISTINCT cards.artist
   FROM cards
   JOIN sets ON cards.setCode = sets.code
   WHERE sets.name = 'Coldsnap'
     AND cards.artist IN ('Jeremy Jarvis', 'Aaron Miller', 'Chippy');
507: SELECT 100.0 * SUM(isOnlineOnly) / COUNT(*) AS percentage
   FROM (
     SELECT DISTINCT sets.code, sets.isOnlineOnly
     FROM sets
     JOIN set_translations ON sets.code = set_translations.setCode
     WHERE set_translations.language = 'Portuguese ■ (Brazil)'
    );
513: SELECT id
   FROM sets
   WHERE type = 'Commander'
   ORDER BY totalSetSize DESC
   LIMIT 1:
547: SELECT COUNT(*)
   FROM posts
   JOIN users ON posts.OwnerUserId = users.Id
   WHERE users. Age >= 60 AND posts. Score > 19;
550: SELECT posts. Body
   FROM posts
   JOIN tags ON tags. ExcerptPostId = posts. Id
   ORDER BY tags. Count DESC
   LIMIT 1:
556: SELECT AVG(badge_count)
     SELECT users. Id, COUNT(badges. Id) AS badge_count
     FROM users
```

```
LEFT JOIN badges ON users.Id = badges.UserId
      WHERE users. Views > 200
      GROUP BY users. Id
    );
558: SELECT COUNT(*)
    FROM votes
    JOIN posts ON votes. PostId = posts. Id
    WHERE posts.OwnerUserId = 58
      AND date(votes.CreationDate) = '2010-07-19';
       SELECT posts. ViewCount
572:
       FROM posts
       WHERE posts. Title = 'Integration mof Wekamand/or Rapid Miner minto m Informatica Power Center/Developer';
578: SELECT users. DisplayName, users. Reputation
    FROM posts
    JOIN users ON posts. OwnerUserId = users. Id
    WHERE posts. Title = 'Understanding ■ what ■ Dassault ■ i Sight ■ is ■ doing?';
587: SELECT (SELECT AVG(ViewCount)
             FROM posts
             WHERE Tags LIKE '%<humor>%') AS AvgViewCount,
            posts. Title, comments. Text
    FROM posts
    LEFT JOIN comments ON comments. PostId = posts. Id
    WHERE posts. Tags LIKE '%<humor>%';
       SELECT CASE WHEN c0=0 THEN NULL
                  ELSE (c1 - c0) * 100.0 / c0 END AS percentage_difference
       FROM (
598:
         SELECT SUM(CASE WHEN Name='student' AND strftime('%Y', Date)='2010' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS co,
               SUM(CASE WHEN Name='student' AND strftime('%Y', Date)='2011' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS c1
         FROM badges
639: SELECT 100.0 * SUM(CASE WHEN posts. Tags LIKE '%<r>%' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END)
            / COUNT(*) AS percentage
    FROM posts
    WHERE posts. OwnerDisplayName = 'Community';
701: SELECT 100.0 * SUM(CASE WHEN posts. Score > 50 THEN 1 ELSE 0 END)
            / NULLIF(COUNT(*),0) AS percentage
    FROM posts
    WHERE posts.OwnerUserId = (
      SELECT users. Id
      FROM users
      ORDER BY users. Reputation DESC
      LIMIT 1
    );
```

```
789: SELECT AVG(superhero.weight_kg)
   FROM superhero
   JOIN race ON superhero.race_id = race.id
   WHERE race.race = 'Alien';
793: SELECT COUNT(*)
   FROM superhero
   JOIN race ON superhero.race_id = race.id
   JOIN gender ON superhero.gender_id = gender.id
   WHERE race.race = 'god/eternal' AND gender.gender = 'male';
795: SELECT COUNT(*)
   FROM superhero
   JOIN alignment ON superhero.alignment_id = alignment.id
   WHERE lower (alignment.alignment) = 'neutral';
806: SELECT colour.colour
   FROM superhero
   JOIN colour ON superhero.eye_colour_id = colour.id
   WHERE superhero.full_name = 'Karen ■ Beecher - Duncan';
814: SELECT DISTINCT colour.colour
   FROM superhero
   JOIN hero_attribute ON superhero.id = hero_attribute.hero_id
   JOIN colour ON superhero.skin_colour_id = colour.id
   WHERE hero_attribute.attribute_value = 100;
817: SELECT race.race
   FROM superhero
   JOIN gender ON superhero.gender_id = gender.id
   JOIN colour ON superhero.hair_colour_id = colour.id
   JOIN race ON superhero.race_id = race.id
   WHERE gender.gender = 'Male' AND colour.colour = 'Blue';
823: SELECT COUNT(*)
   FROM superhero
   JOIN gender ON superhero.gender_id = gender.id
   JOIN publisher ON superhero.publisher_id = publisher.id
   WHERE gender.gender = 'Female' AND publisher.publisher_name = 'Marvel Comics';
829: SELECT CASE WHEN dc_count>marvel_count THEN 'DC'
                WHEN dc_count<marvel_count THEN 'Marvel ■ Comics'
                ELSE 'Tie' END AS publisher,
           abs(dc_count-marvel_count) AS difference
   FROM (
```

**SELECT (SELECT COUNT(\*)** 

```
FROM superhero s
                JOIN publisher p ON s.publisher_id=p.id
                WHERE p. publisher_name='DC') AS dc_count,
               (SELECT COUNT(*)
                FROM superhero s2
                JOIN publisher p2 ON s2.publisher_id=p2.id
                WHERE p2. publisher_name='Marvel ■Comics') AS marvel_count
     );
834: SELECT 100.0 * SUM(CASE WHEN gender.gender = 'Female' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END)
             / COUNT(*)
    FROM superhero
    JOIN publisher ON superhero.publisher_id = publisher.id
    LEFT JOIN gender ON superhero.gender_id = gender.id
    WHERE publisher.publisher_name = 'George ■ Lucas';
        SELECT AVG(CASE WHEN alignment alignment='good' THEN 1.0 ELSE 0 END)*100 AS percentage
        FROM superhero
835:
        JOIN publisher ON superhero.publisher_id = publisher.id
        LEFT JOIN alignment ON superhero.alignment_id = alignment.id
        WHERE publisher.publisher_name='Marvel Comics';
         SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT gasstations.GasStationID)
         FROM gasstations
1470:
         JOIN transactions_1k ON gasstations.GasStationID = transactions_1k.GasStationID
         JOIN products ON transactions_1k.ProductID = products.ProductID
         WHERE gasstations. Country = 'CZE' AND products. Description = 'Premium';
        SELECT SUM(CASE WHEN customers. Currency='CZK' THEN yearmonth. Consumption ELSE 0 END)
            - SUM(CASE WHEN customers. Currency='EUR' THEN yearmonth. Consumption ELSE 0 END) AS difference
1476:
        FROM yearmonth
        JOIN customers ON yearmonth. CustomerID=customers. CustomerID
        WHERE yearmonth. Date LIKE '2012%';
1477: SELECT strftime ('%Y', transactions_1k.Date) AS Year
    FROM transactions_1k
    JOIN customers ON transactions_1k.CustomerID = customers.CustomerID
    WHERE customers. Currency = 'EUR'
    GROUP BY Year
    ORDER BY SUM(transactions_1k.Amount) DESC
    LIMIT 1:
1478: SELECT customers. Segment
    FROM yearmonth
     JOIN customers ON yearmonth. CustomerID = customers. CustomerID
    GROUP BY customers. Segment
    ORDER BY SUM(yearmonth. Consumption) ASC
    LIMIT 1;
```

```
1486: SELECT CASE WHEN SUM(CASE WHEN Currency='Czech

koruna' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) >
                       SUM(CASE WHEN Currency='euro' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END)
                 THEN 'true' ELSE 'false' END AS more_czech,
            SUM(CASE WHEN Currency='Czech
koruna' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) -
            SUM(CASE WHEN Currency='euro' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS difference
    FROM customers
    WHERE Segment='SME';
1490: SELECT (SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT yearmonth. CustomerID)
             FROM yearmonth
             JOIN customers ON yearmonth. CustomerID=customers. CustomerID
              \textbf{WHERE} \ \ customers \ . \ Segment='LAM' \ \ \textbf{AND} \ \ yearmonth \ . \ Consumption > 46.73)*100.0 
             /(SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT CustomerID)
               FROM customers
               WHERE Segment='LAM');
        SELECT DISTINCT gasstations. Country
        FROM gasstations
1501:
         JOIN transactions_1k ON gasstations. GasStationID = transactions_1k. GasStationID
        WHERE transactions_1k. Date LIKE '2013-06%';
1516: SELECT COUNT(*)
    FROM transactions_1k
    JOIN customers ON transactions_1k.CustomerID = customers.CustomerID
    WHERE customers. Currency = 'CZK'
      AND transactions_1k. Date = '2012/8/26'
      AND transactions_1k. Time < '12:00:00';
1526: SELECT (t.m2012 - t.m2013) / t.m2012 AS decrease_rate
    FROM (
      SELECT MAX(CASE WHEN Date='2012' THEN Consumption END) AS m2012,
              MAX(CASE WHEN Date='2013' THEN Consumption END) AS m2013
      FROM yearmonth
      WHERE CustomerID = (
         SELECT CustomerID
         FROM transactions_1k
         WHERE Date='2012/8/25' AND Amount = 634.8
         LIMIT 1
     ) AS t;
        SELECT customers. CustomerID,
               SUM(transactions_1k.Price) AS total_spent,
               SUM(transactions_1k.Price)/SUM(transactions_1k.Amount) AS avg_price_per_item,
               customers. Currency
1531:
        FROM transactions_1k
        JOIN customers ON transactions_1k. CustomerID=customers. CustomerID
        GROUP BY customers. CustomerID, customers. Currency
        ORDER BY total_spent DESC
```

LIMIT 1;

# References

- [1] Z. Cao, Y. Zheng, Z. Fan, X. Zhang, W. Chen, and X. Bai, "RSL-SQL: Robust Schema Linking in Text-to-SQL Generation," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2411.00073, 2024.
- [2] Boyan Li, Yuyu Luo, Chengliang Chai, Guoliang Li, Nan Tang. The Dawn of Natural Language to SQL: Are We Fully Ready?. PVLDB, 17(11): 3318 3331, 2024. doi:10.14778/3681954.3682003
- [3] OpenAI API Documentation. Retrieved Sept. 2025 from https://platform.openai.com/docs/quickstart
- [4] Bird-Bench. Bird-bench/mini\_dev. Retrieved Sept. 2025 from https://github.com/bird-bench/mini\_dev
- [5] OpenAI, ChatGPT 5 https://chat.openai.com/chat, 2025