Arai Leyva Andrew Conti Eliot Park Quan Truong

Project 3

Algorithm 1: The Spread of Fire in a Forest

To solve this problem, we would use the Breadth-First-Search method. The idea is that BFS would process the matrix array one "layer" at a time. The algorithm will always return the shortest number of steps.

a. Pseudocode

```
Algorithm1(matrix array) {
       If matrix array is empty:
               return -1
       rows = number of rows in matrix array
       cols = number of columns in matrix array
       queue ← empty queue to hold positions of burned trees
       healthy trees = 0
       days = 0
       For each cell in matrix array:
               If matrix array[r][c] == 2:
                       add (r, c) to queue // burned tree
               Else if matrix array[r][c] == 1:
                       healthy trees += 1 // increment count of healthy trees overall
       If healthy trees == 0:
               return days // no trees to burn
       If queue is empty:
               return -1 // no fire to spread
       While queue is not empty:
               size = len(queue) // size of the queue
               For i from 1 to len(queue):
                       Pop the last tuple (r,c) added from the queue
                       // Check UP
                            nr \leftarrow r - 1
                            nc \leftarrow c
                            IF nr and nc are inside bounds AND forest[nr][nc] == 1:
                               forest[nr][nc] \leftarrow 2
```

```
healthy trees -= 1
                         queue.enqueue((nr, nc))
                      // Check DOWN
                      nr \leftarrow r + 1
                      nc \leftarrow c
                      IF nr and nc are inside bounds AND forest[nr][nc] == 1:
                         forest[nr][nc] \leftarrow 2
                         healthy trees -= 1
                         queue.enqueue((nr, nc))
                      // Check LEFT
                      nr \leftarrow r
                      nc \leftarrow c - 1
                      IF nr and nc are inside bounds AND forest[nr][nc] == 1:
                         forest[nr][nc] \leftarrow 2
                         healthy trees -= 1
                         queue.enqueue((nr, nc))
                      // Check RIGHT
                      nr \leftarrow r
                      nc \leftarrow c + 1
                      IF nr and nc are inside bounds AND forest[nr][nc] == 1:
                         forest[nr][nc] \leftarrow 2
                         healthy trees -= 1
                         queue.enqueue((nr, nc))
        If queue is not empty:
                 davs += 1
If healthy trees == 0:
        Return days
Else:
        Return -1
```

b. Analysis and Efficiency

}

The wildfire spread algorithm is very efficient because it goes through each cell in the forest only once. First, it checks the whole grid to find burned and healthy trees, which takes time based on the size of the grid. Then, it uses a method called Breadth-First Search (BFS) to spread the fire from all burned trees to nearby healthy ones. Each tree

can only catch fire once, and for every tree, the algorithm checks up to four nearby spots (up, down, left, right). So, the total time it takes depends on the number of rows and columns in the grid. We say the time and space used by this algorithm are both "O(m × n)," which just means it grows in a straight line with the size of the grid. This makes it one of the best and most practical ways to solve the problem.

c. Output

```
/usr/bin/python3 "/Users/quantruong/CSU Fullerton Dropbox/Hung Truong/CPSC 335
Algo/CPSC335 Project3/algo1.py"
Sample 1: [[2, 1, 1], [1, 1, 0], [0, 1, 1]]
Output:4
Sample 2: [[2, 1, 1], [0, 1, 1], [1, 0, 0]]
Output:-1
Sample 3: [[0, 2]]
Output:0
Sample 4: [[1, 1, 1, 2], [1, 2, 0, 1], [1, 1, 0, 1]]
Output:2
```

Algorithm 2: Delivery Route Planning

a. Pseudocode

```
Algorithm2(routes, source, destination, max steps):
  nodes ← new empty Set
  add source to nodes
  add destination to nodes
  For each route IN routes:
    add route[0] to nodes // Add the starting node to each route
    add route[1] to nodes // Add the ending node of each route
  End For
  // Get number of unique nodes
  num nodes ← size of nodes
  // Initialize a constant representing infinity
  infinity ← a very large number // Unreachable nodes
  // Map each node to a unique index based on sorted order
  node to index ← map each node in sorted(nodes) to unique index
  // Initialize the distance array with INF for all nodes
  distance ← array of size n filled with INF
```

```
// Set distance for the source node to 0
  distance[node to index[source]] \leftarrow 0
  // Loop k + 1 times to account for paths with at most max stops
  For each iteration from 0 to max stops:
     distance backup ← copy of distance
     For each route in routes:
       from node \leftarrow route[0]
       to node \leftarrow route[1]
       price \leftarrow route[2]
       // Get indices of the starting and ending nodes of the current route
       from index \leftarrow node to index[from node]
       to index \leftarrow node to index[to node]
       // Update cost if new cost is lower than current
       distance[to index] ← minimum of (distance[to index], distance backup
          [from index] + price)
     End For
  End For
  If distance[node to index[destination]] IS infinity:
     Return -1
  Else:
     Return distance[node to index[destination]]
  End If
End Algorithm
```

b. Analysis and Efficiency

Building the nodes set; iterates over m routes, adds 2 per route makes it O(m). Creating node_to_index; sorts the node list and enumerates, which takes $O(n \log n)$. Initializing distance array is O(n). The main loop (k + 1 iterations) makes a copy of distance O(n) with the inner loop over all routes $O(m) \to \text{total}$ for loop is $O((k \times m))$. With the final check being constant, the TOTAL time complexity becomes $\to O(m + n \log n + (k + 1) \times m)$, which subsequently simplifies to $O(n \log n + k \times m)$. In terms of efficiency, the algorithm's performance depends on the relative sizes of n, m, and k. If k is small and m is not as large as n, the algorithm performs reasonably well. However, if k and m are large, the algorithm's runtime can increase considerably, the $O(k \times m)$ term dominates, and performance worsens. It all depends on the value of k, and words well for small values of k.

c. Output

arai@arai-ubuntu:~/Documents/CPSC335 Project3\$ /bin/python3

/home/arai/Documents/CPSC335 Project3/algo2.py

Routes: [[0, 1, 100], [1, 2, 100], [0, 2, 500]], Source: 0, Destination: 2, Stops: 1,

Cheapest Route: 200

Routes: [[0, 1, 100], [1, 2, 100], [0, 2, 500]], Source: 0, Destination: 2, Stops: 0,

Cheapest Route: 500

Routes: [[0, 1, 100], [1, 2, 100], [0, 2, 500]], Source: 0, Destination: 3, Stops: 1,

Cheapest Route: -1

Routes: [], Source: 0, Destination: 1, Stops: 0, Cheapest Route: -1