Carleton University Department of Systems and Computer Engineering SYSC 3101 - Programming Languages - Winter 2019

Lab 4 - Local State Variables

References

Two documents at the Racket website provide plenty of information about the Racket dialect of Scheme:

The Racket Guide, https://docs.racket-lang.org/quide/index.html

The Racket Reference, https://docs.racket-lang.org/reference/index.html

A guide to the DrRacket IDE can be found here:

http://docs.racket-lang.org/drracket/index.html

Racket Coding Conventions

Please adhere to the conventions described in the Lab 1 handout.

Getting Started

- 1. Download file lab4.rkt from cuLearn.
- 2. Launch DrRacket and open lab4.rkt.

If necessary, configure DrRacket so that the programming language is Racket. To do this, select Language > Choose Language from the menu bar, then select The Racket Language in the Choose Language dialog box.

#lang racket should appear at the top of the definitions area. Don't delete this line.

Exercise 1

In lectures, we explored different ways to model counters.

File lab4.rkt contains procedure make-upcounter:

```
(define (make-upcounter counter)
  (lambda ()
     (set! counter (+ counter 1))
     counter))
```

make-upcounter is called to create independent counter objects. Each object has its own local state variable named counter:

Step 1: Click DrRacket's Run button.

Type these expressions in the interactions area. What happens when the expressions are evaluated?

```
> (define counter1 (make-upcounter 0))
> (counter1)
> (counter1)
```

What happens when these expressions are evaluated?

```
> ((make-upcounter 0))
> ((make-upcounter 0))
```

Do they do the same thing as the previous example? Make sure you can explain any differences.

Step 2: We should verify that counters are independent objects. Create another counter object:

```
> (define counter2 (make-upcounter 10))
```

Now type these expressions in the interactions area:

```
> (counter1)
> (counter2)
> (counter1)
> (counter2)
```

Why can you conclude that count-up operations on counter1 don't affect counter2, and vice-versa?

Exercise 2

File lab4.rkt contains procedure make-counter. This procedure provides a way to model counters that can perform more than one operation; for example, counting up and down.

Each time make-counter is called, it returns a dispatch procedure that represents a counter object. Each object has its own local state variable named counter.

Type these expressions in the interactions area:

```
> (define counter3 (make-counter 0))
> counter3
```

What is bound to counter3?

When the dispatch procedure is called with the "command" 'inc as an argument, it returns the count-up procedure. When it is given the 'dec message, dispatch returns the count-down procedure. Verify this by typing these expressions in the interactions area:

```
> (counter3 'inc)
> (counter3 'dec)
> (counter3 'reset)
```

Type the following expressions. What do they do?

```
> ((counter3 'inc))
> ((counter3 'inc))
> ((counter3 'dec))
> ((counter3 'dec))
> ((counter3 'dec))
> ((counter3 'reset))
```

Exercise 3

In the make-counter procedure used in Exercise 2, the local state variable counter is a formal parameter of make-counter. We could also create the local state variable explicitly, using let, as shown here:

Notice the changes:

- the body of make-counter-with-let is a let expression;
- counter is now a local variable, and is defined in the let statement;
- the procedure's parameter name has been changed to initial-count, and this parameter is used to initialize counter.

Experiment with make-counter-with-let. (This procedure is defined in lab4.rkt.) Verify that the counter objects returned by this procedure respond to the same commands and return the same values as the objects returned by make-counter.

Exercise 4

In lab4.rkt, make a copy of procedure make-counter-with-let and rename the copy make-counter-ex4. Replace the dispatch procedure with a lambda expression that does the same thing as dispatch.

make-counter-ex4 will return the procedure created when the lambda expression is evaluated.

Test make-counter-ex4 by typing expressions similar to those in Exercise 2.

Exercise 5

In lab4.rkt, make a copy of procedure make-counter-ex4 and rename the copy make-counter-ex5. Modify this procedure so that counter objects recognize two additional messages:

- 'get returns the current value of the counter, but doesn't change its state;
- 'reset resets the counter to 0.

Exercise 6

In lab4.rkt, make a copy of procedure make-counter-ex5 and rename the copy make-counter-ex6. Modify this procedure so that it is passed two arguments: the initial counter value and the amount by which the counter is incremented each time it is given the 'inc command.

For example, here's how we create a counter object with value 0, which increments with a step-size of 5.

```
> (define counter6 (make-counter-ex6 0 5))
> ((counter6 'get))
0
> ((counter6 'inc))
5
> ((counter6 'inc))
10
> ((counter6 'dec))
9
```

```
> ((counter6 'inc))
14
> ((counter6 'reset))
0
```

Exercise 7

In lab4.rkt, make a copy of procedure make-counter-ex6 and rename the copy make-counter-ex7. Modify this procedure so that each counter maintains a "high water mark", which is the maximum value the counter reaches as it is incremented. The counter will return this value when it is given the 'max command. The high water mark is set to 0 when the counter is given the 'reset command.

For example,

```
> (define counter7 (make-counter-ex7 0 2)) ;; count is 0
                                           ;; increment amount is 2
                                           ;; high water mark is 0
> ((counter7 'inc))
> ((counter7 'inc))
> ((counter7 'max))
> ((counter7 'inc))
> ((counter7 'max))
> ((counter7 'dec))
5
> ((counter7 'dec))
> ((counter7 'max))
                      ;; after decrementing the counter twice,
                      ;; the high water mark is still 6
> ((counter7 'reset))
> ((counter7 'max))
```