

# 7 Inner Product Spaces

## 7.1 Inner Products (A&R §6.1)

Based on our experience with the familiar dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we now generalize the notion of dot product to “inner product”. This gives us a way to define analogues of the dot product on real vector spaces other than  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 7.1.1.** *An inner product on a real vector space  $V$  is a function that associates a real number  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$  with each pair of vectors in  $V$  in such a way that the following axioms are satisfied for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  and all scalars  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

1. **Symmetry:**  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$
2. **Linearity:**  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} + k\mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
3. **Positive definiteness:**  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$  with  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  iff  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$

**Definition 7.1.2.** *A real vector space endowed with an inner product is a **real inner product space**.*

**Lemma 7.1.3.**  $\langle \mathbf{u} + k\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + k\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$

**E.g. 7.1.4.** *The dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies all the axioms of an inner product. (This is no coincidence – the axioms were chosen to provide a natural generalization of the dot product to arbitrary real vector spaces.)  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with this inner product is called **Euclidean  $n$ -space**.*

**E.g. 7.1.5.** If  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  are any positive real numbers, then

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = w_1 u_1 v_1 + w_2 u_2 v_2 + \dots + w_n u_n v_n \quad (7.1)$$

defines an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Verify that this definition satisfies the inner product axioms.

Let  $\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  &  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle &= w_1 u_1 v_1 + w_2 u_2 v_2 + \dots + w_n u_n v_n \\ &= w_1 v_1 u_1 + w_2 v_2 u_2 + \dots + w_n v_n u_n \\ &= \langle \underline{v}, \underline{u} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{symmetry is satisfied.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} + k \underline{x} \rangle &= w_1 u_1 (v_1 + k x_1) + \dots + w_n u_n (v_n + k x_n) \\ &= w_1 u_1 v_1 + \dots + w_n u_n v_n \\ &\quad + k (w_1 u_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n u_n x_n) \\ &= \langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle + k \langle \underline{u}, \underline{x} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{linearity.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \underline{v}, \underline{v} \rangle = \underbrace{w_1 v_1^2 + \dots + w_n v_n^2} \geq 0 \quad \text{since } w_i > 0$$

$$\text{If } \langle \underline{x}, \underline{v} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{then} \quad w_i v_i^2 = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow v_i^2 = 0 \quad \text{since } w_i > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v_i = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{v} = \underline{0} \Rightarrow \text{positive definiteness.}$$



**E.g. 7.1.6** (Matrix inner product). Let  $A$  be an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix and define

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{u}^T A^T A \mathbf{v} = \underbrace{(A \mathbf{u}) \cdot (A \mathbf{v})}_{\text{Prob. Set}} \quad (7.2)$$

for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This definition satisfies the inner product axioms.

**E.g. 7.1.7** (Function space inner product). Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two functions in  $C[a, b]$  and define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx. \quad \text{Prob Set} \quad (7.3)$$

This definition satisfies the inner product axioms.

**Remark 7.1.8.** Note that the standard Euclidean dot product is a special case of a matrix inner product (7.2) in which  $A = I$ . More generally, the weighted inner product (7.1) corresponds to taking  $A$  to be diagonal, with diagonal entries  $\sqrt{w_i}$ .

**Remark 7.1.9.** The inner product given in E.g. 7.1.7 is the analogue of the dot product on the function space  $C[a, b]$ .

If  $w(x)$  is a continuous and positive function then one can define a weighted generalization of this inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x) g(x) w(x) dx.$$

This is the analogue of (7.1) on  $C[a, b]$ .

**E.g. 7.1.10.** Let  $V$  be an inner product space and let  $\mathbf{v}$  be any fixed vector in  $V$ . Show that the transformation  $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x} \in V$$

is linear.  $T$  is referred to as a **linear functional**.

Let  $\underline{w}, \underline{x} \in V$  &  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\underline{w} + k\underline{x}) &= \langle \underline{w} + k\underline{x}, \underline{v} \rangle \\ &= \langle \underline{w}, \underline{v} \rangle + k\langle \underline{x}, \underline{v} \rangle \\ &= T(\underline{w}) + kT(\underline{x}) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow T$  is linear.

Inner products can be used to define length and distance on general inner product spaces, just as we used the dot product to define length and distance on Euclidean spaces.

**Definition 7.1.11.** *If  $V$  is a real inner product space, then the **length** (or **norm**) of  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  is*

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}.$$

*The **distance** between two vectors is*

$$d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \rangle}.$$

*(This distance function is an example of a **metric**.)*

The following properties of the norm and distance follow from their definitions and the inner product axioms:

**Theorem 7.1.12.** *If  $V$  is a real inner product space,  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$(a) \quad \|\mathbf{v}\| \geq 0, \text{ with } \|\mathbf{v}\| = 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$(b) \quad \|k\mathbf{v}\| = |k|\|\mathbf{v}\|$$

$$(c) \quad \|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| + \|\mathbf{v}\|$$

$$(d) \quad d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$$

$$(e) \quad d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq 0, \text{ with } d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$$

$$(f) \quad d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \leq d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) + d(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$$

**E.g. 7.1.13.** Suppose we have an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 2u_1 v_1 + 5u_2 v_2.$$

If  $\mathbf{u} = (1, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (2, 3)$ , then find  $\|\mathbf{u}\|$  and  $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ , and compare them with the values obtained if we instead use the dot product.

$$\|\underline{u}\| = \sqrt{\langle \underline{u}, \underline{u} \rangle} = \sqrt{2u_1^2 + 5u_2^2} = \sqrt{22}$$

$$\text{whereas } \|\underline{u}\|_E = \sqrt{\underline{u} \cdot \underline{u}} = \sqrt{5}$$

$$d(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \sqrt{\langle \underline{v} - \underline{u}, \underline{v} - \underline{u} \rangle} = \sqrt{7}$$

$$\text{whereas } d_E(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \sqrt{2}$$

**E.g. 7.1.14.** Consider  $C[0, 2\pi]$  endowed with the inner product given in E.g. 7.1.7. Compute the norm of  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$ .

$$\|\sin\|^2 = \langle \sin, \sin \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2(x) dx = \pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\sin\| = \sqrt{\pi}$$

$$\text{Likewise } \|\cos\| = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

## 7.2 Angles & Orthogonality (A&R §6.2)

### 7.2.1 Angles

One of the most fundamental results concerning inner products is:

**Theorem 7.2.1** (Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality). *If  $V$  is a real inner product space and  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$ , then*

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0 = \|\mathbf{0}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$ . So assume  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Positive definiteness  $\Rightarrow$

$$\langle \mathbf{u} - \lambda \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} - \lambda \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0 \iff$$

$$2 \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle. \text{ But for any } \lambda > 0,$$

$$\langle \lambda \mathbf{u}, \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \text{ and so}$$

$$2 \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 2 \langle \lambda \mathbf{u}, \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq \langle \lambda \mathbf{u}, \lambda \mathbf{u} \rangle + \langle \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbf{v}, \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq \lambda^2 \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \quad (*)$$

Choose  $\lambda$  to minimize RHS:

$f(\lambda) = a\lambda^2 + b\lambda^{-2}$  has a minimum at...