

Peer Evaluation

Preston Reep: 10

Preston clearly put in a great deal of effort into the project. He honestly didn't put much work into the code, but he did do his best to make himself available and helpful in other areas. He helped complete most of the presentation, most of the report, and at the very least tried to contribute to the code. He was also proactive, responsive, and tried to set up times to meet and work on the project which I really appreciated.

Chase Rooney: 2.5

Chase was a poor teammate. Wasn't responsive, never worked on the code, barely worked on the presentation or report, and just not helpful in any way. When I asked Chase to work on our chess engine, he'd say he'd work on it the next day and end up not doing anything or making any commits. He hasn't reached out until the past two days when everything is basically already done.

Ethan Gu: 1

Ethan was an awful - sorry for my language - teammate. Even less responsive/proactive than Chase, copied all of his code from the internet (so completely unhelpful), and did not work on the presentation or report at all. I'd delegate tasks for everyone to do and he'd always just make excuses as to why he couldn't do them. Completely, completely unhelpful.

Daniel Xu: 10

Wrote 95% of the code and made 95% of the commits for this project. Always tried to delegate tasks to other people, set up meetings, and was definitely the leader of this project (which makes sense because this was my project idea). Always communicated my most recent commits to the rest of the group and did my best to involve everyone on the project.