

### Exercise on Prudential Policies: Identification of credit supply shocks

The Central Bank asks you to revise the implications for bank lending of a regulation adopted at the end of March 2007. At that time, the Central Bank allowed *large* banks to adopt risk-weights on their assets based on internal (i.e. in-house built) rating models, also known as internal rating based (IRB) approach. The remaining *smaller* banks had to follow the Standardized Approach (SA), with risk-weights provided by the Central Bank.

There are concerns within the Central Bank that such policy triggered a decrease in capital buffers and spurred risk-taking by such large banks, de facto relaxing the macroprudential stance. Your task is to conduct a rigorous microeconomic analysis to inform the Board about whether such concerns are empirically grounded. The period of analysis goes from 2006q1 to 2008q2.

1. The Central Bank adopts a rule such that very large banks only can (risk)-weight their assets through IRB-models. In particular, banks in the top-quartile of the Total Assets (non risk-weighted) distribution as of 2007q1 can adopt IRB models, whereas smaller banks keep using the standard risk-weights provided by the Central Bank. **Investigate bank balance-sheet data and show whether IRB-banks use internal models to reduce capital holdings. You should use both statistical and graphical tools.** *Hint:* Banks may use IRB to reduce the size of risk-weighted assets. You are given information on the Tier-1 Capital Ratio (over Risk-Weighted Assets). However, the Basel III leverage ratio (Tier-1 Capital over Total Assets) may better track capital holdings.

- *Study the distribution of bank total assets as of 2007q1 through the command **summarize***
- *Label banks with total assets above the 2007q1's 75<sup>th</sup> percentile as IRB.*
- *Obtain the leverage ratio and compare its evolution over time across treated and untreated banks*
  - *You can produce either a table with summary statistics across IRB and SA banks, before and after the shocks, and/or a chart showing the evolution of the mean Tier-1 Ratio and Leverage Ratio for IRB and SA banks over time*

2. **Does IRB regulation affect credit supply on average? Provide evidence on the robustness of your findings and try to quantify the effects.** *Hint 1:* on robustness, check the validity of your identifying assumption as best you can and also the non-significant role of outliers in shaping your findings.

- *First, estimate a diff-in-diff model. You might want to use the command **reghdfe**. It works as: `reghdfe y X, absorb(FE1 FE2 FE3...) vce(cluster C1 C2....)`. Note: y is the dependent variable, X your set of covariates. The variables you insert into "absorb" are the fixed effects you want to use. Note: you may use multiple fixed effects. Also, you can use multiple cluster groups C1, C2,...for the estimation of the Var-Covar variance*
- *Robustness Exercises*

- Typically, you want to check stability of coefficients in different versions of the model
- In diff-in-diff exercise, Parallel trend assumption is key. Typically, this is studied looking at the evolution of the treatment effect over time (instead of looking at the simple  $\text{post} \times \text{treatment}$  coefficient)
  - In Stata, you may want to plot the coefficients through the command **coefplot**
- You can also run a placebo test. For instance, would any effect show up if you were to assign a “fake” treatment earlier in the sample?

---

### Practical suggestion

You can run this exercise with the program you are most familiar with.

If you use Stata, you might find useful to use the command **reghdfe**.

If not installed in your Stata, please run:

- **ssc install reghdfe**

This command is useful for estimating regressions (reg) based on high-dimensional fixed effects (hdfe).

It works as follows. Assume you want to estimate a regression of  $y$  over the vector of covariates  $X$ , while controlling for different fixed-effects dummies  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_j$ . Interestingly, this command also allows for multi-way clustering, say over cluster-groups  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ .

Then you can simply run:

- **reghdfe y X, absorb(F1 F2 .... Fj) vce(cluster C1 C2 .... Ck)**

Note: using alternative programs for fixed effects estimation (xtreg, areg) might lead to very slow estimations when  $N$  is large and the fixed-effects vectors contain a large number of dummies.

---

## Description of the data

Variable	Definition	Scale/Format
<b>Identifiers</b>		
firmid	unique firm identifier	number
bankid	unique bank identifier	number
date_q	Current date	Quarterly date
<b>Loan-level data</b>		
lncredit	Outstanding credit granted by bank “b” to firm “f”	Logs
intrate	Average interest rate on credit granted by bank “b” to firm “f”	% (1=1%)
coll_share	Value of Collateral / Credit	% (1=1%)
<b>Bank-level data</b>		
bdepo	bank deposits	% of Total Assets
bcet1	common equity tier-1 capital	% of Risk-Weighted Assets
bsizerw	Risk-Weighted Assets	Logs
bsize	Total (Non risk-weighted) Assets	Logs
<b>Firm level data</b>		
rating	Proxies of firm risk	Rating=1 → Low risk Rating = 2 → Low-medium risk Rating = 3 → High-medium risk Rating = 4 → high risk
empl	Firm employment	Number of employees
fsize	Firm total assets	Logs

