Foundations of Machine Learning Assignment 4

Daniel Atighetchi - 3664061- B.Sc.Informatik

Omar Aboulgadayel - 3610497 - M.Sc.Informatik

19. May 2025

Question 1:

Task 1:

Step 1: Expand the Loss

$$L(w) = ||Xw - y||_2^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2$$

$$= (Xw - y)^T (Xw - y) + \lambda w^T w$$

Step 2: Take the derivative with respect to w and set it to 0

$$\nabla_w L = 2X^T X w - 2X^T y + 2\lambda w$$

$$2X^T X w - 2X^T y + 2\lambda w = 0$$

$$X^T X w - X^T y + \lambda w = 0$$

$$(X^{\top}X + \lambda I)w = X^{\top}y$$

Step 3: Solve for w

$$w^* = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

Final Closed-Form Solution

$$w^* = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

Task 2:

$$w^* = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top y$$

- Compute $X^{\top}X$: $O(nd^2)$.
- Invert $X^{\top}X$ (using Cholesky decomposition): $O(d^3)$.
- Compute $X^{\top}y$: O(nd).
- Compute $(X^{\top}X)^{-1}(X^{\top}y)$: $O(d^2)$.

Total Complexity:

$$O(nd^2 + d^3)$$

Task 3:

Woodbury Identity:

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1}VA^{-1}$$

Ridge Regression:

$$w^* = (X^\top X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^\top y$$

Assign:

$$A = \lambda I, \quad U = X^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad C = I, \quad V = X.$$

Plugging in:

$$(X^{\top}X + \lambda I)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}I - \lambda^{-1}X^{\top} \left(I + X\lambda^{-1}X^{\top}\right)^{-1} X\lambda^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda}I - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}X^{\top} \left(I + \frac{1}{\lambda}XX^{\top}\right)^{-1} X$$

Final form:

$$w^* = \frac{1}{\lambda} X^\top \left(I + \frac{1}{\lambda} X X^\top \right)^{-1} y$$

Task 4:

Computation Parts:

- Compute XX^{\top} : $O(n^2d)$.
- Invert $I + \frac{1}{\lambda} X X^{\top}$: $O(n^3)$.
- Multiply X^{\top} with the inverse: $O(n^2d)$.
- Multiply by y: $O(n^2)$.

Total Complexity:

$$O(n^2d + n^3)$$

Task 5:

$$(XX^{\top})_{ij} = x_i^{\top} x_j$$

Represents the inner product between the i-th and j-th data points in X.

Used in kernel methods such as PCA!

Question 3:

Task 1:

The maximum F1-score is 1. This is achieved when both the precision and the recall is 1.

$$\frac{2\cdot 1\cdot 1}{1+1}=1$$

Example: A perfect binary classifier that always predicts the correct class.

The minimum F1 is 0. This is achieved when either the precision or recall is 0.

$$\frac{2 \cdot 0 \cdot x}{0 + x} = 0$$

Example: Classifier always predicts the wrong class for every positive example (e.g., predicts all negatives, missing all positives).

Task 2:

Since $\frac{0}{0}$ is undefined, the F1-score is undefined!

Task 3:

- Total samples: n
- \bullet Positives: m
- Negatives: n-m
- True Positives: Half of the actual positives will be predicted correctly:

$$TP = \frac{m}{2}$$

4

• False Positives: Half of the negatives predicted as positives:

$$FP = \frac{n-m}{2}$$

• False Negatives: Half of the positives predicted as negatives:

$$FN = \frac{m}{2}$$

Now we can plug these values in:

• Precision:

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} = \frac{\frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n-m}{2}} = \frac{m}{n}$$

• Recall:

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} = \frac{\frac{m}{2}}{m} = \frac{1}{2}$$

F1-score:

$$F1 = \frac{2 \cdot \text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} = \frac{2 \cdot \frac{m}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{m}{n} + \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\frac{m}{n}}{\frac{m}{n} + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Special case: n - m = m

Then:

$$n = 2m \Rightarrow \frac{m}{n} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Plug into the F1 formula:

$$F1 = \frac{2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot 0.5}{0.5 + 0.5} = \frac{0.5}{1} = 0.5$$

So the expected F1-score is 0.5 when the classes are balanced and the classifier guesses randomly.

5

Task 4:

1. Cats and Dogs

- C1: Perfect classification (no errors).
- C2: Random guessing (50% accuracy).
- Winner: C1 because it has no misclassifications.

2. Trees

- C1: Horrible classification (all errors).
- C2: Random guessing (50% accuracy).
- Winner: C2 because random guessing is better than getting all wrong.

3. Fire detection

- C1: More False Positives
- C2: More False Negatives
- Winner: C1 since false positives are better in this case than false negatives.

4. Mushroom Soup

- C1: More False Positives
- C2: More False Negatives
- Winner: C2 since false negatives are better in this case than false positives.

Question 4:

Task 1:

${\bf Actual} \ \backslash \ {\bf Predicted}$	Apple	Grapes	Orange
Apple	5	1	2
Grapes	1	7	1
Orange	1	1	6

Task 2:

• Precision:

$$\label{eq:precision} \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Precision} = \frac{TruePositives}{TruePositives + FalsePositives} \end{aligned}$$

• Recall:

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TruePositives}{TruePositives + FalseNegatives}$$

• F1-score:

$$F1\text{-score} = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

Metrics
 Precision
 Recall
 F1

 Apple

$$\frac{5}{7} \approx 0.714$$
 $\frac{5}{8} = 0.625$
 $\frac{2}{3} \approx 0.666$

 Grapes
 $\frac{7}{9} \approx 0.778$
 $\frac{7}{9} \approx 0.778$
 $\frac{7}{9} \approx 0.778$

 Orange
 $\frac{2}{3} \approx 0.666$
 $\frac{3}{4} = 0.75$
 $\frac{12}{17} \approx 0.706$

Task 3:

Micro-averaging calculates metrics globally by counting total TP, FP, FN across all classes.

• Micro Precision:

$$\frac{TruePositives}{TruePositives + FalsePositives} = \frac{18}{25} = 0.72$$

• Micro Recall:

$$\frac{TruePositives}{TruePositives + FalseNegatives} = \frac{18}{25} = 0.72$$

• Micro F1:

$$\frac{2 \cdot (0.72 \cdot 0.72)}{0.72 + 0.72} = \frac{2 \cdot 0.5184}{1.44} = \frac{1.0368}{1.44} = 0.72$$

Macro-averaging computes the metric for each class independently and then takes the average.

Macro-F1 =
$$\frac{0.666 + 0.778 + 0.706}{3} = \frac{2.15}{3} \approx 0.7167$$