

Prepared by: [DanielCawley]

## High

### [H-1] Denial of service attack from looping through players array

**Description:** With each progressing entrance of more users to the array 'PuppyRaffle::players', gas costs become progressively more expensive for users, providing earlier users a financial advantage in the game due to their apparent lower entrance fee.

**Impact:** Increasing costs **Proof of Concept:** Here suggests how the gas costs significantly rise following new additions of people due to the nature of the unbounded for loop. Unbounded for loop:

```
1  for (uint256 i = 0; i < players.length - 1; i++) { //not sure about
    this one
2      for (uint256 j = i + 1; j < players.length; j++) {
3          require(players[i] != players[j], "PuppyRaffle:
          Duplicate player");
4      }
5  }
```

Test case:

```
1  function testDOSAttackVector() public {
2
3
4      address[] memory players = new address[](100);
5      for (uint256 i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
6          players[i] = (address(i));
7      }
8
9
10     uint256 gasStart = gasleft();
11     puppyRaffle.enterRaffle{value: entranceFee * players.length}(
        players);
12     uint256 gasEnd = gasleft();
13
14     uint256 gasUsedFirstHundredPlayers = gasStart-gasEnd;
15
16     console.log("gas cost of first hundred players:",
        gasUsedFirstHundredPlayers );
17
18     address[] memory players2 = new address[](100);
19     for (uint256 i = 0; i < 100; i++) {
20         players2[i] = (address(i + 100));
21     }
22 }
```

```
23
24     uint256 gasStart2 = gasleft();
25     puppyRaffle.enterRaffle{value: entranceFee * players2.length}(
26         players2);
27     uint256 gasEnd2 = gasleft();
28     uint256 gasUsedFirstHundredPlayers2 = gasStart2-gasEnd2;
29
30     require(gasUsedFirstHundredPlayers2 >
31         gasUsedFirstHundredPlayers);
31 }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:**

1. Consider allowing duplicates. Users can make new wallet addresses anyways, so a duplicate check doesn't prevent the same person from entering multiple times, only the same wallet address.
2. Consider using a mapping to check for duplicates. This would allow for constant time lookup of whether a user has already entered.

**[H-2] Reentrancy attack in PuppyRaffle :: Refund allows entrant to drain raffle balance**

IMPACT: HIGH LIKELIHOOD: HIGH

**Description:** The `PuppyRaffle::refund` function does not follow CEI and as a result, enables participants to drain the contract balance.

In the `PuppyRaffle::refund` function, we first make an external call to the `msg.sender` address and only after making that external call do we update the `PuppyRaffle::players` array.

```
1  function refund(uint256 playerIndex) public {
2      address playerAddress = players[playerIndex];
3      require(playerAddress == msg.sender, "PuppyRaffle: Only the
4          player can refund");
5      require(playerAddress != address(0), "PuppyRaffle: Player
6          already refunded, or is not active");
7
8      payable(msg.sender).sendValue(entranceFee);
9
10     players[playerIndex] = address(0);
11     emit RaffleRefunded(playerAddress);
11 }
```

A player who has just entered the raffle could have a `fallback/receive` function that calls the `PuppyRaffle::refund` function again and claim another refund. They could continue the cycle to fully drain the contract balance.

**Impact:** All fees paid by raffle entrants could be stolen by the malicious participant.

**Proof of concept:**

1. User enters raffle
2. Attacker sets up a contract with a `fallback` function that calls `PuppyRaffle::refund`
3. Attacker enters the raffle
4. Attacker calls the `PuppyRaffle::refund` function from their attack contract's `fallback` / `receive` function, fully draining the contract balance.

**Recommended Mitigation** Follow the CEI pattern

**[H-3] Integer overflow of `PuppyRaffle::totalFees` loses fees**

**Description:** In solidity versions prior to 0.8.0 integers were subject to integer overflows.

```
1 uint64 myVar = type(uint64).max
2 myVar = myVar + 1
3 // myVar will be 0
```

**Impact:**

In `PuppyRaffle::selectWinner`, `totalFees` are accumulated for the `feeAddress` to collect later in `PuppyRaffle::withdrawFees`. However, if the `totalFees` variable overflows, the `feeAddress` may not collect the correct amount of fees, leaving fees permanently stuck in the contract.

**Proof of Concept:**

```
1 function testTotalFeesOverflow() public playersEntered {
2     // We finish a raffle of 4 to collect some fees
3     vm.warp(block.timestamp + duration + 1);
4     vm.roll(block.number + 1);
5     puppyRaffle.selectWinner();
6     uint256 startingTotalFees = puppyRaffle.totalFees();
7     // startingTotalFees = 8000000000000000000
8
9     // We then have 89 players enter a new raffle
10    uint256 playersNum = 89;
11    address[] memory players = new address[](playersNum);
12    for (uint256 i = 0; i < playersNum; i++) {
13        players[i] = address(i);
14    }
15    puppyRaffle.enterRaffle{value: entranceFee * playersNum}(
16        players);
17    // We end the raffle
18    vm.warp(block.timestamp + duration + 1);
```

```
18         vm.roll(block.number + 1);
19
20         // And here is where the issue occurs
21         // We will now have fewer fees even though we just finished a
           second raffle
22         puppyRaffle.selectWinner();
23
24         uint256 endingTotalFees = puppyRaffle.totalFees();
25         console.log("ending total fees", endingTotalFees);
26         assert(endingTotalFees < startingTotalFees);
27
28         // We are also unable to withdraw any fees because of the
           require check
29         vm.prank(puppyRaffle.feeAddress());
30         vm.expectRevert("PuppyRaffle: There are currently players
           active!");
31         puppyRaffle.withdrawFees();
32     }
```

### Recommended Mitigation:

1. Use a newer version of solidity
2. Use openzeppelin [SafeMath](#) library
3. Use a uint256
4. Remove the balance check from `PuppyRaffle::withdrawFees`

## Low security

**[L-1] ‘PuppyRaffle::getActivePlayerIndex’ returns 0 for non-existent players and for players at index 0, causing a player at index 0 to incorrectly think that they have not entered the raffle.**

**Description:** If a player is in the `PuppyRaffle::players` array at index 0, this will return 0, but according to the natspec, it will also return 0 if the player is not in the array.

```
1     function getActivePlayerIndex(address player) external view returns (
           uint256) {
2         for (uint256 i = 0; i < players.length; i++) {
3             if (players[i] == player) {
4                 return i;
5             }
6         }
7         return 0;
8     }
```

**Impact:** A player at index 0 may incorrectly think that they have not entered the raffle, and attempt to enter the raffle again, wasting gas.

**Proof of Concept:**

1. User enters the raffle, they are the first entrant
2. `PuppyRaffle::getActivePlayerIndex` returns 0
3. User thinks they have not entered correctly due to the function documentation.

**Recommended Mitigation:** The easiest recommendation would be to revert if the player is not in the array, instead of returning 0.

**Gas****[G-1] Unchanged state variables should be declared constant or immutable**

Reading from storage is far more expensive than reading from a constant or immutable variable

Instances:

- `PuppyRaffle::raffleDuration` should be `immutable`
- `PuppyRaffle::commonImageUri` should be `constant`
- `PuppyRaffle::rareImageUri` should be `constant`
- `PuppyRaffle::legendaryImageUri` should be `constant`

**[G-2] Storage variables in a loop, should be cached to avoid wasting excess gas**

Everytime you read `players.length`, you read from storage, as opposed to reading from memory which is more gas efficient.

```
1 - for (uint256 i = 0; i < players.length - 1; i++) {
2 + uint256 playersLength = players.length;
3 + for (uint256 i = 0; i < playersLength - 1; i++)
4 -     for (uint256 j = i + 1; j < players.length; j++) {
5 +     for (uint256 j = i + 1; j < playersLength; j++) {
6         require(players[i] != players[j], "PuppyRaffle:
          Duplicate player");
7     }
8 }
```