Well-Balanced Schemes for Shallow Water Equations

Daniel Cortild

Multiscale Numerical Methods Block 1A 2023-2024

November 10, 2023



y university of groningen



faculty of science and engineering



mathematics and applied mathematics

Shallow Water Equations

Shallow water equations (SWE):

$$h_t + (hu)_x = 0$$
$$(hu)_t + \left(hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2\right)_x = -ghB_x$$

Shallow Water Equations

Shallow water equations (SWE):

$$h_t + (hu)_x = 0$$
$$(hu)_t + \left(hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2\right)_x = -ghB_x$$

Steady-states of the SWE:

$$hu\equiv \hat{q}= ext{constant}$$
 and $E\coloneqq rac{u^2}{2}+g(h+B)\equiv \hat{E}= ext{constant}.$

Shallow Water Equations

Shallow water equations (SWE):

$$h_t + (hu)_x = 0$$

 $(hu)_t + \left(hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2\right)_x = -ghB_x$

Steady-states of the SWE:

$$hu\equiv \hat{q}= ext{constant}$$
 and $E\coloneqq rac{u^2}{2}+g(h+B)\equiv \hat{E}= ext{constant}.$

Goal: Derive a numerical scheme for the SWE that preserves steady-states.

System of Balance Laws

General Form:

$$\mathbf{U}_t + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U})_x = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}),$$

where $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(x, t)$ is a vector of unknowns, **F** is a flux function and **S** is a source term.

System of Balance Laws

General Form:

$$\mathbf{U}_t + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U})_x = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}),$$

where $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(x, t)$ is a vector of unknowns, **F** is a flux function and **S** is a source term.

For SWE, we set $\mathbf{U} = (h, q)$ with q = hu, and

$$\mathbf{F}(h,q) = \left(q, rac{q^2}{h} + rac{gh^2}{2}
ight)^T, \quad ext{and} \quad \mathbf{S}(h,q) = (0, -ghB_x)^T.$$

System of Balance Laws

General Form:

$$\mathbf{U}_t + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U})_x = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}),$$

where $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(x, t)$ is a vector of unknowns, **F** is a flux function and **S** is a source term.

For SWE, we set $\mathbf{U} = (h, q)$ with q = hu, and

$$\mathbf{F}(h,q) = \left(q,rac{q^2}{h}+rac{gh^2}{2}
ight)^T, ext{ and } \mathbf{S}(h,q) = (0,-ghB_{ imes})^T.$$

The system is hyperbolic since the eigenvalues of the Jacobian are given by $q/h \pm \sqrt{gh}$.

Consider a uniform mesh (x_j) with mesh-size Δx and cells $C_j = [x_{j-1/2}, x_{j+1/2}].$

Consider a uniform mesh (x_j) with mesh-size Δx and cells $C_j = [x_{j-1/2}, x_{j+1/2}]$. Approximate de cell average of **U** and **S** over C_j as $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_j(t)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_j(t)$.

Consider a uniform mesh (x_j) with mesh-size Δx and cells $C_j = [x_{j-1/2}, x_{j+1/2}]$. Approximate de cell average of **U** and **S** over C_j as $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_j(t)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_j(t)$.

We aim to solve

$$rac{d}{dt}\overline{f U}_j(t)=-rac{1}{\Delta x}\left[m{\mathcal F}_{j+1/2}(t)-m{\mathcal F}_{j-1/2}(t)
ight]+~\overline{f S}_j(t).$$

Consider a uniform mesh (x_j) with mesh-size Δx and cells $C_j = [x_{j-1/2}, x_{j+1/2}]$. Approximate de cell average of **U** and **S** over C_j as $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_j(t)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_j(t)$.

We aim to solve

$$rac{d}{dt}\overline{f U}_j(t)=-rac{1}{\Delta x}\left[m{\mathcal F}_{j+1/2}(t)-m{\mathcal F}_{j-1/2}(t)
ight]+~\overline{f S}_j(t).$$

Note: We require $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ to compute $\mathcal{F}_{j+1/2}$.

Consider a uniform mesh (x_j) with mesh-size Δx and cells $C_j = [x_{j-1/2}, x_{j+1/2}]$. Approximate de cell average of **U** and **S** over C_j as $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_j(t)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_j(t)$.

We aim to solve

$$rac{d}{dt}\overline{f U}_j(t)=-rac{1}{\Delta x}\left[m{\mathcal F}_{j+1/2}(t)-m{\mathcal F}_{j-1/2}(t)
ight]+~\overline{f S}_j(t).$$

Note: We require $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ to compute $\mathcal{F}_{j+1/2}$.

Solver of choice for semi-discrete ODE: SSPRK(2,2):

$$u^{(1)} = u^n + \Delta t f(u^n), \quad u^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}u^n + \frac{1}{2}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t f(u^{(1)}).$$

Daniel Cortild

Remaining elements:

Remaining elements:

• Reconstruction of $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$;

Remaining elements:

- Reconstruction of $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$;
- Approximation of source term $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{j}$.

Remaining elements:

- Reconstruction of $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$;
- Approximation of source term $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{j}$.

Method A:

Remaining elements:

- Reconstruction of $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$;
- Approximation of source term $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{j}$.

Method A:

• Reconstruct
$$\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = \left(h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}, q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\right)$$
 using linear numerical derivatives of $(\overline{\mathbf{U}}_j) = (\overline{h}_j, \overline{q}_j)$.

Remaining elements:

- Reconstruction of $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$;
- Approximation of source term $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{j}$.

Method A:

• Reconstruct
$$\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = \left(h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}, q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\right)$$
 using linear numerical derivatives of $(\overline{\mathbf{U}}_j) = (\overline{h}_j, \overline{q}_j)$.

• Approximate $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_j$ using the midpoint rule as

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{j}^{(2)} \approx -g \cdot \overline{h_{j}} \cdot B_{x}(x_{j}).$$







Problem: Cannot preserve still-water equilibrium on tilted bottom.

Method B:

Method B:

Define \$\overline{w}_j = B(x_j) + \overline{h}_j\$. Reconstruct \$w_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\$ and \$q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\$ using linear numerical derivatives from \$(\overline{w}_j)\$ and \$(\overline{q}_j)\$. Define

$$h_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = w_{j+1/2}^{\pm} - B_{j+1/2}$$
, and set $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = \left(h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}, q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}
ight)$.

Method B:

Define w
_j = B(x_j) + h
j. Reconstruct w[±]{j+1/2} and q[±]_{j+1/2} using linear numerical derivatives from (w
_j) and (q
_j). Define

$$h_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = w_{j+1/2}^{\pm} - B_{j+1/2}$$
, and set $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = \left(h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}, q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}
ight)$.

• Approximate \overline{S}_j using the midpoint rule combined with finite difference approximation of B_x as

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{j}^{(2)} pprox -g \cdot \overline{h}_{j} \cdot rac{B_{j+1/2} - B_{j-1/2}}{\Delta x}$$











Is capable of preserving the "lake at rest" steady-state.







Is capable of recovering the "lake at rest" steady-state.

Well-Balanced Schemes for SWE







Problem: Is not capable of preserving general steady-states!

Daniel Cortild

Well-Balanced Schemes for SWE

MNM, Block 1A 2023-2024 10 / 15

Preserving Moving-Water Steady-State

Method C:

Preserving Moving-Water Steady-State

Method C:

• Define
$$\overline{E}_j = \frac{\overline{q}_j^2}{2\overline{h}_j^2} + g(\overline{h}_j + B(x_j))$$
. Reconstruct $E_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ and $q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ using linear derivatives from (\overline{E}_j) and (\overline{q}_j) . Define $h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ as the solution to

$$\phi(h) = \left(q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\right)^2 / \left(2 \cdot h^2\right) + g \cdot (h + B_{j+1/2}) - E_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = 0,$$

and set $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = \left(h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}, q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\right).$

Preserving Moving-Water Steady-State

Method C:

• Define $\overline{E}_j = \frac{\overline{q}_j^2}{2\overline{h}_j^2} + g\left(\overline{h}_j + B(x_j)\right)$. Reconstruct $E_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ and $q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ using linear derivatives from (\overline{E}_j) and (\overline{q}_j) . Define $h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}$ as the solution to

$$\phi(h) = \left(q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\right)^2 / \left(2 \cdot h^2\right) + g \cdot (h + B_{j+1/2}) - E_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = 0,$$

and set $\mathbf{U}_{j+1/2}^{\pm} = \left(h_{j+1/2}^{\pm}, q_{j+1/2}^{\pm}\right).$

Approximate S_j using

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{j}^{(2)} \approx -g \cdot \frac{h_{j+1/2}^{-} + h_{j-1/2}^{+}}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{j+1/2} - B_{j-1/2}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\left(u_{j+1/2}^{-} - u_{j-1/2}^{+}\right)^{2}}{4\Delta x} \cdot (h_{j+1/2}^{-} - h_{j-1/2}^{+}).$$

Daniel Cortild

Well-Balanced Schemes for SWE







It is capable of preserving the moving-water steady-states!







It is capable of recovering the moving-water steady-states!

Well-Balanced Schemes for SWE

Method C Applied to Non-Equilibrium Situations

Method C Applied to Non-Equilibrium Situations



Method C Applied to Non-Equilibrium Situations



Thank you!