Solutions Manual for Joseph Taylor's Foundations of Analysis

Michael Senter

Section 1.1

Exercise 1.1.1. If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and a < b, give a description in set theory notation for each of the intervals (a, b), [a, b], [a, b), and (a, b] (see Example 1.1.1).

$$(a,b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$$

$$[a,b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le b\}$$

$$[a,b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x < b\}$$

$$(a,b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x \le b\}.$$

Theorem 1.1.2. If A, B, and C are sets, then $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$.

Proof. If $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$, then $x \in A$ and $x \in (B \cup C)$. Thus, either $x \in B$ or $x \in C$. Thus, $x \in A \cap B$ or in $x \in A \cap C$. Thus, if $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$, then $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$. If an $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$, then either $x \in (A \cap B)$ or $x \in (A \cap C)$. This means that surely $x \in A$, and also that $x \in B \cup C$. Hence, if $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$, then $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$. Therefore, $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$.

Exercise 1.1.3. Solved in Class

Question 1.1.5. What is the intersection of all the closed intervals containing the open interval (0,1)? Justify your answer.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the set of all sets such that $(0,1) \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma}$. The intersection of all closed intervals is denoted $\bigcap \mathcal{A}$. It is defined as

$$\bigcap \mathcal{A} = \{x : x \in A \ \forall A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

In other words, we are looking for some set A such that A is a subset of every other set in A. This set is $A = \{x : 0 < x < 1\}$. Consider any other subset C of A. If $C \neq A$, then necessarily there must exist an element x such that $x \in C$ and $x \notin A$, showing that $A \subset C$ but $C \nsubseteq A$. Since x is not in every subset of A, $x \notin \bigcap A$.

Question 1.1.6. What is the union of all of the closed intervals contained in the open interval (0,1)? Justify your answer.

Let \mathcal{A} be the set containing all sets containing (0,1) as a subset. The union of all these sets is denoted by $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$. An object x is an element of $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$ if there exists some set $C \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $x \in C$. We need to consider two cases: either the object $x \leq 0$ or $1 \leq x$. The case of 0 < x < 1 is trivial. For any x such that $1 \leq x$ we can create a set C such that $C = \{y : 0 < y < x\}$. This since $1 \leq x$, it is guaranteed that $C \subset \mathcal{A}$. The case of $x \leq 0$ is analogous. Hence, $\bigcup \mathcal{A} = (-\infty, \infty)$.

Problem 1.1.7. If A is a collection of subsets of a set X, formulate and prove a theorem like Theorem 1.1.5 (*from book numbering*) for the intersection and union of A.

Theorem 1.1.7. Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$ of some set X. Then $(\bigcup \mathcal{A})^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap ... \cap A_n^c$ and $(\bigcap \mathcal{A})^c = A_1^c \cup A_2^c \cup ... \cup A_n^c$.

Proof. This is a generalization of DeMorgan's law, proved in the book. We begin with the statement $(\bigcup \mathcal{A})^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap ... \cap A_n^c$. We can rewrite $(\bigcup \mathcal{A})^c$ as $(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup ... \cup A_n)^c$. We can then sub-partition this collection of unions into a collection of two unions, as such:

$$(\bigcup \mathcal{A})^c = [A_1 \cup (A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n)]^c$$

Then we will refer to $A_2 \cup ... \cup A_n$ as B. We can then rewrite the above as $(A_1 \cup B)^c$, for which DeMorgans laws apply. Thus, we write $(A_1 \cup B)^c = A_1^c \cap B^c = A_1^c \cap (A_2 \cup ... \cup A_n)^c$. As next step, we sub partition B into two sets, as such

$$(A_2 \cup ... \cup A_n)^c = [A_2 \cup (A_3 \cup \cup A_n)]^c$$

Then DeMorgan's laws apply again as above, and we can write $[A_2 \cup (A_3 \cup \cup A_n)]^c = A_2^c \cap (A_3 \cup ... \cup A_n)^c$. Since intersections and unions are associative, we can then write

$$(\bigcup A)^c = (A_1^c \cap (A_2^c \cap (A_3 \cup ... \cup A_n)^c)) = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap (A_3 \cup ... \cup A_n)^c$$

We continue an inductive application of DeMorgan's laws as outlined above, until we see that $(\bigcup A)^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap ... \cap A_n^c$

The other proof is analogous, requiring a sub-partition of the collection of intersections and rewriting them into series of intersections of two sets to which DeMorgan's laws apply. \Box

Problem 1.1.8. Which of the following functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are one to one and which ones are onto. Justify your answer.

- (a) $f(x) = x^2$; This function is neither onto, nor one-to-one. It is not onto, since there is no x such that f(x) < 0. It is not one-to-one since f(x) = f(-x) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) $f(x) = x^3$; This function is both one-to-one and onto. It is one-to-one since there $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for all x, y such that $x \neq y$. It is onto, as for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f(x) = y.
- (c) $f(x) = e^x$ This function is one-to-one, but not onto. It is one-to-one, for $f(x) \neq f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \neq y$. It fails to be onto since there exists no x such that f(x) < 0 for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.1.9. If $f: A \to B$ is a function and E and F are subsets of B, then $f^{-1}(E \cap F) = f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(F)$.

Proof. If $x \in f^{-1}(E \cap F)$, then $f(x) \in E \cap F$. This means that f(x) is both in E as well as in F. If $f(x) \in E$, then $x \in f^{-1}(E)$. If $f(x) \in F$, then $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. Since f(x) is in both E and F, x is in $f^{-1}(E \cap F)$.

Assume x is in $f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(F)$. Then, $x \in f^{-1}(E)$ as well as $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. If $x \in f^{-1}(E)$, then $f(x) \in E$. If $x \in f^{-1}(F)$, then $f(x) \in F$. Since x is both in $f^{-1}(E)$ as well as $f^{-1}(F)$, we know that $f(x) \in E \cap F$. This implies that $x \in f^{-1}(E \cap F)$.

Since every $x \in f^{-1}(E \cap F)$ implies that $x \in f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(F)$ and vice versa, it is true that $f^{-1}(E \cap F) = f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(F)$.

Theorem 1.1.10. If $f: A \to B$ is a function and E and F are subsets of B, then $f^{-1}(E \setminus F) = f^{-1}(E) \setminus f^{-1}(F)$ if $F \subset E$.

Proof. If $x \in f^{-1}(E \setminus F)$, then $f(x) \in E \setminus F$. Thus $f(x) \in E$ but $f(x) \notin F$. This means that $x \in f^{-1}(E)$ and but also $x \notin f^{-1}(F)$. In other words, $x \in f^{-1}(E) \setminus f^{-1}(F)$.

Assume now that $x \in f^{-1}(E) \setminus f^{-1}(F)$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(E)$ but $x \notin f^{-1}(F)$. This means that $f(x) \in E \setminus F$, and hence $x \in f^{-1}(E \setminus F)$.

It follows that $f^{-1}(E)\backslash f^{-1}(F)=f^{-1}(E\backslash F)$.

Theorem 1.1.11. If $f: A \to B$ is a function and E and F are subsets of A, then $f(E \cup F) = f(E) \cup f(F)$.

Proof. If $y \in f(E \cup F)$, then y = f(x) for some $x \in E$ or $x \in F$. If $x \in E$, then $y \in f(E)$. If $x \in F$, then $y \in f(F)$. Since x is in either one of these, we know that $y \in f(E) \cup f(F)$. Assume now that $y \in f(E) \cup f(F)$. This implies that y = f(x) for some $x \in E$ or $x \in F$. Thus we can write $x \in E \cup F$. Then $y \in f(E \cup F)$.

Since any element of $f(E \cup F)$ is in $f(E) \cup f(F)$ and vice versa, we conclude that $f(E \cup F) = f(E) \cup f(F)$.

Theorem 1.1.12. If $f: A \to B$ is a function and E and F are subsets of A, then $f(E \cap F) \subset f(E) \cap f(F)$.

Proof. Assume that $y \in f(E \cap F)$. Then y = f(x) for some $x \in E \cap F$. This means that both $x \in E$ as well as $x \in F$. Then, $f(x) \in f(E)$ and $f(x) \in f(F)$, showing that $f(x) \in f(E) \cap f(F)$, or - equivalently - that $y \in f(E) \cap f(F)$. This proves that $f(E \cap F) \subset f(E) \cap f(F)$.

Question 1.1.13. Give an example of a function $f: A \to B$ and subsets $F \subset E$ of A for which $f(E)\backslash f(F) = f(E\backslash F)$.

The above conditions are fulfilled for a function f(x) = x with A = B = [0, 10], and the subsets E = [1, 6] and $F = [1, 2] \subset E$.

Exercise 1.1.14. Solved in Class

Exercise 1.1.15. Solved in Class

Section 1.4

Exercise 1.4.1. For each of the following sets, describe the set of all upper bounds for the set:

- (a) the set of odd integers; The integers are unbounded.
- (b) $\{1 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$; The set of all upper bounds for this set is $\{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \ge 1\}$.
- (c) $\{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r^3 < 8\}$; The set of all upper bounds for this set is $\{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x \ge 2\}$.
- (d) $\{\sin x : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$; The set of all upper bounds for this set is $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \ge 1\}$.

Exercise 1.4.2. For each of the sets in (a), (b), (c) of the preceding exercise, find the least upper bound of the set, if it exists.

- (a) There is no upper bound, and hence no least upper bound.
- (b) The least upper bound is 1.
- (c) The least upper bound is 2.

Theorem 1.4.3. If a subset A of \mathbb{R} is bounded above, then the set of all upper bounds for A is a set of the form $[x, \infty)$. What is x?

Proof. Let B denote the set of all upper bounds of A. By definition, a number $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is considered an upper bound for the set A if $z \leq m$ for all $z \in A$. If the set A has a largest number, then this largest number - y' - will be in the set B. In that case, it is obvious that all numbers m > y' will also be upper bounds, since we assumed that $x \leq y'$ for all $x \in A$, and that m > y', it follows that $x \leq y' < m$. Therefore, the set $[y', \infty)$ would be the set of all upper bounds of A.

Assume now that A does not have a largest number. By the completeness theorem we know that any subset A of an ordered field - such as \mathbb{R} - is indeed bounded above. Specifically, according to theorem 1.4.4 of the book we know that any subset of \mathbb{R} not only is bounded above, but has a least upper bound. By definition, a number c is a least upper bound if and only if it is a number such that $x \leq c$ for all $x \in A$, and for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$, if k is an upper bound of A, then $k \geq c$. It is obvious then that the set of all upper bounds of A will be the set $[c, \infty)$ where c is the least upper bound of A.

Exercise 1.4.4. Solved in Class

Exercise 1.4.7. Solved in Class

Section 1.5

Exercise 1.5.1. For each of the following sets, find the set of all extended real numbers x that are greater than or equal to every element of the set. Then find the sup of the set. Does the set have a maximum?

(a) (-10, 10); The set of all numbers greater than this set is the set $[10, +\infty)$. The supremum of the set in question is 10. The set does not have a maximum.

- (b) $\{n^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$; In the extended set of real numbers, the only element greater than or equal to all the elements in the set in question is $+\infty$, which thereby must also be its supremum. The set does not have a maximum.
- (c) $\{\frac{2n+1}{n+1}\}$; The set of all real numbers greater than the set in question is the set $[2, \infty)$. The supremum is 2 and the set does not have a maximum.

Exercise 1.5.2. Find the sup and inf of the following sets. Tell whether each set has a maximum or a minimum.

- (a) (-2, 8]; The infimum of the set is -2 and the supremum is 8. The has a maximum, but not a minimum.
- (b) $\frac{n+2}{n^2+1}$; The infimum of the set is 0, and the supremum is 2. The set has a maximum, but no minimum.
- (c) $\{n/m: n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n^2 < 5m^2\}$; The infimum of the set is $-\sqrt{5}$, and the maximum is $\sqrt{5}$. Seeing that $\sqrt{5}$ is not a rational number, the set has neither a maximum nor a minimum.

Exercise 1.5.3. Prove that if $\sup A < \infty$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $a_n \in A$ such that $\sup A - 1/n < a_n \le \sup A$.

Proof. This is true since we can easily construct an element a_n such that this equality holds. We assume that A is defined for all m/n with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ within A. In this case, we constructs our term to be $a_n = \sup A - 1/(n+1)$. It is obvious that since 1/(n+1) < 1/n, that $\sup A - 1/n < \sup A - 1/(n+1) \le \sup A$.

Alternatively, we may also note that $\sup A - 1/n < \sup A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by definition, so the inequality holds in the trivial case of $a_n = \sup A$.

Exercise 1.5.4. Prove that if $\sup A = \infty$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $a_n \in A$ such that $a_n > n$.

Proof. Assume some set A whose supremum is $+\infty$. In that case, $\forall x \in A, x < \infty$. Both from the Archimedean property and from the Peano Axioms we know that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a successor element n' which is also in \mathbb{N} , such that n < n'. Since there $\nexists a$ such that $a = \infty$, and $n < \infty$, this implies that $\exists a_n$ such that $a_n = n'$ and $a_n \in A$, showing that $n < a_n < \infty$.

Exercise 1.5.5. Formulate and prove the analog of Theorem 1.5.4 for inf.

Theorem. Let A be a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R} and let x be an element of \mathbb{R} . Then

- (a) inf $A \ge x$ if and only if $a \ge x$ for every $a \in A$;
- (b) $x > \inf A$ if and only if x > a for some some $a \in A$.

Proof. By definition, $a \ge x$ if and only if x is a lower bound for A. If x is a lower bound for A, then A is bounded below. This implies that its inf is its greatest lower bound, which is necessarily greater than or equal to x. Conversely, if $\inf A \ge x$, then $\inf A$ is finite and is the greatest lower bound for A. Since $\inf A \ge x$, x is also a lower bound for A. Thus, $\inf A \ge x$ if and only if $a \ge x$ for every $a \in A$.

If $x > \inf A$, then x is not a lower bound for A, which means x > a for some $a \in A$. Conversely, if x > a for some $a \in A$, then $x > \inf A$, since $a \ge \inf A$. Thus, $x > \inf A$ if and only if x > a for some $a \in A$.

Exercise 1.5.6. Prove part (d) of Theorem 1.5.7.

Theorem. Let A, B be non-empty subsets of \mathbb{R} . Then $\sup(A - B) = \sup A - \inf B$.

Proof. According to the book, $\sup(A+B) = \sup A + \sup B$ (proof on p. 30). We can then write $\sup(A+(-B)) = \sup A + \sup(-B)$. We then apply Theorem 1.5.7b, to rewrite $\sup(-B)$ as $-\inf A$. From this it follows that

$$\sup(A + (-B)) = \sup(A - B) = \sup A + (-\inf B) = \sup A - \inf B$$

.

Exercise 1.5.7. Prove (e) of Theorem 1.5.7.

Theorem. Let A, B be non-empty subsets of \mathbb{R} . If $A \subset B$, then $\sup A \leq \sup B$ and $\inf B \leq \inf A$.

Proof. If $A \subset B$, then $a \in A$ implies that $a \in B$ for all a. Then, if $\sup A \in A$, $\sup A \in B$. Since $\sup B \geq b$ for all $b \in B$, it is obvious that $\sup A \leq \sup B$. Assume now that $\sup A \notin A$. In that case, $\sup A - \epsilon \in A$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, $\sup A - \epsilon \in A$ and $\sup A - \epsilon \in B$. By definition, $\sup B$ is greater than or equal to all $b \in B$. This means that if $\sup A - \epsilon \in B$ implies that $\sup A \leq \sup B$. The proof for the infimum is analogous.

Exercise 1.5.10. Prove (a) of Theorem 1.5.10.

Theorem. Let f and g be functions defined on a set containing A as a subset, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a positive constant. Then $\sup_A cf = c \sup_A f$ and $\inf_A cf = c \inf_A f$.

Proof. Let f be function $f:A\to B$. Then $\sup f$ is the supremum of B provided that f is surjective. Let M be an arbitrary upper bound of cx for some $x\in B$. We say that $cx\leq M$ if and only if $x\leq M/c$. This shows that M is an upper bound of cx if and only if M/c is an upper bound of B. Hence, $\sup cB=c\sup B$ and similarly $\sup cf=c\sup f$. The result for the infimum follows similarly.

Exercise 1.5.8. Solved in Class

Exercise 1.5.9. Solved in Class

Exercise 1.5.11. Prove (b) of Theorem 1.5.10.

Theorem. Let f and g be functions defined on a set containing A as a subset, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a positive constant. Then $\sup_A (-f) = -\inf_A f$.

Proof. We have a function $f: A \to B$. A number x is a lower bound for f(a) for all $a \in A$ if and only if -x is an upper bound for the set -f(a). Let L be the set of all lower bounds for f(a). Then -L is the set of all upper bounds for -f(a). Furthermore, the largest member of L and the smallest member of -L are negatives of each other. That is, $-\inf f(a) = \sup(f(a))$, or equivalently $-\inf f = \sup(-f)$.

Exercise 1.5.12. Prove (c) of Theorem 1.5.10.

Theorem. Let f and g be functions defined on a set containing A as a subset, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a positive constant. Then $\sup_A (f+g) \leq \sup_A f + \sup_A g$ and $\inf_A f + \inf_A g \leq \inf_A (f+g)$.

Proof. By definition, $f(a) \leq \sup f$ for all $a \in A$ and $g(a) \leq \sup g$ for all $a \in A$. Therefore, $f(a) + g(a) \leq \sup f + \sup g$. Let c denote the supremum of f + g. We know that $\sup f + \sup g$ is an upper bound for f(a) + g(a). Since the supremum is always less than or equal to an upper bound, we find that $c \leq \sup f + \sup g$. This implies that $\sup (f + g) \leq \sup f + \sup g$. \square

Exercise 1.5.13. Prove (d) of Theorem 1.5.10.

Theorem. Let f and g be functions defined on a set containing A as a subset, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a positive constant. Then $\sup\{f(x) - f(y) : x, y \in A\} = \sup_A f - \inf_A f$.

Proof. This appears somewhat obvious. The function f is defined on A, i.e., for every $a \in A$, f maps to some value f(a) in some set, let's call it B. The value sup f is defined as to be the least upper bound of f(a), i.e. $\nexists x$ such that $f(x) > \sup f$ for some $x \in A$. The infimum is defined as the value such that there is no value $x \in A$ such that $x < \inf f$. The value defined by f(x) - f(y) for all $x, y \in A$ is a measure of the distance between these two values. Since $\sup f$ and $\inf f$ are defined as above, we can see that there cannot be a greater distance between any other two points in B than the distance between $\sup f$ and $\inf f$. Therefore, for any collection of distances between points in B reached by f(x) for all points $x \in A$, the supremum of this collection - namely, the largest value of this set such that no other value is larger - cannot be any other than the distance between the supremum and the infimum of the function itself.

Section 2.1

Exercise 2.1.1. Show that

- (a) if |x-5| < 1, then x is a number greater than 4 and less than 6.; This is equivalent to saying -1 < x 5 < 1. We add 5 to the inequality, and we get 4 < x < 6.
- (b) if |x-3| < 1/2 and |y-3| < 1/2, then |x-y| < 1; We add the inequalities, such that we see |x-3| + |y-3| < 1/2 + 1/2 = 1. We notice that |y-3| = |3-y|. We rewrite using the triangle inequality:

$$|(x-3) + (3-y)| \le |x-3| + |3-y| < 1$$

 $|x-y| \le |x-3| + |3-y| < 1.$

(c) if |x-a| < 1/2 and |y-b| < 1/2, then |x+y-(a+b)| < 1. We add the inequalities and get |x-a| + |y-b| < 1/2 + 1/2 = 1. We can then rewrite using the triangle inequality as above

$$|(x-a) + (y-b)| \le |x-a| + |y-b|$$

$$|x+y-a-b| \le |x-a| + |y-b|$$

$$|x+y-(a-b)| \le |x-a| + |y-b|$$
< 1.

Exercise 2.1.3. Put each of the following sequences in the form $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n$. This requires that you compute the first 3 terms and find an expression for the nth term.

- (a) the sequence of positive odd integers; This is a sequence of the form $1, 3, 5, \ldots$ To find the n th term, we express this sequence as $a_n = 2n 1$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) the sequence defined inductively by $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = -\frac{a_n}{2}$; The sequence begins with $1, -1/2, 1/4, \ldots$ The *n*th term will be something like $a_n = ((-1)^{n-1})/(2^{n-1})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (c) the sequence defined inductively by $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{n+1}$. This is the series $1, 1/3, 1/12, 1/60, \ldots$ The *n*th term is: $a_n = \frac{2}{(n+1)!}$.

Exercise 2.1.4. Find $\lim 1/n^2$.

The larger n become, the smaller $1/n^2$ will become. We guess the limit to be 0. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we need an N such that whenever n > N, $1/n^2 < \epsilon$. We find that this is true whenever $1/\epsilon < n^2$, or in other words - whenever $\sqrt{1/\epsilon} < n$.

Exercise 2.1.5. Find $\lim \frac{2n-1}{3n+1}$.

We guess the limit to be 2/3.

$$\left| \frac{2n-1}{3n+1} - \frac{2}{3} \right| = \left| \frac{3(2n-1) - 2(3n+1)}{3(3n+1)} \right| = \left| \frac{6n-3-6n-2}{9n+3} \right|$$
$$= \left| \frac{5}{9n+3} < \left| \frac{5}{9n} \right| < \left| \frac{5}{n} \right|$$

We must choose an n > N such that $N > \frac{5}{\epsilon}$ so that this will be true.

Exercise 2.1.6. Find $\lim_{n \to \infty} (-1)^n / n$

We guess the limit to be 0. We see $\left|\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\right|$. Hence we need to choose an n > N such that $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for this inequality to be true.

Exercise 2.1.9. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.1.10. Prove that $\lim 2^{-n} = 0$. Hint: prove first that $2^n \ge n$ for all natural numbers n.

Proof. We wish to show that $2^n > n$ for all n. Proof by induction. The base case, $2^1 > 1$ is obviously true, since $2^1 = 2$. We assume now that $2^n > n$ for some n. Then we wish to check 2^{n+1} . But, we can rewrite this simply as $2^n 2^1$. Let $k = 2^n$. Since we know that k > n, it is obvious that 2k > n + 1. Thus, $2^n > n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We note that $2^{-n} = \frac{1}{2^n}$. Thus, $\lim 2^{-n} = \lim \frac{1}{2^n}$. Since 2^n increases until infinity, we see that $1/2^n$ will grow smaller and smaller, since $1/2^n > 1/2^{n+1}$ for all n.

We see that for any $\epsilon > 0$, we need to simply pick n such that $1/\epsilon < 2^n$. As such, the limit is 0.

Exercise 2.1.11. Prove that if $a_n \to 0$ and k is any constant, then $ka_n \to 0$.

If $a_n \to 0$, this means that $a_n < \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. We multiply by k and find that $ka_n < k\epsilon$.

SECTION 2.2

Exercise 2.2.1. Make an educated guess as to what you think the limit is, then use the definition of limit to prove that your guess is correct.

 $\lim \frac{3n^2-2}{n^2+1}$. I assume the limit will be 3. We note that $\frac{3n^2-2}{n^2+1} < \frac{3n^2}{n^2} = 3$. Hence, the limit is 3.

Exercise 2.2.2. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.2.3. Make an educated guess as to what you think the limit is, then use the definition of limit to prove that your guess is correct.

 $\lim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ I assume the limit will be 0. We see $\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right|$; therefore, this is true whenever we choose an n > N such that $\sqrt{N} > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

Exercise 2.2.4. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.2.5. Make an educated guess as to what you think the limit is, then use the definition of limit to prove that your guess is correct.

 $\lim(\sqrt{n^2+N}-n)$ I know as we approach infinity, the limit is 1/2, but have not been able to prove it.

Exercise 2.2.6. Make an educated guess as to what you think the limit is, then use the definition of limit to prove that your guess is correct.

 $\lim (1 + 1/n)^3 = 1$. Proof:

$$|(1+\frac{1}{n})^3 - 1| = |\frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{3}{n^2} + \frac{3}{n} + 1 - 1| = |\frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{3}{n^2} + \frac{3}{n}|$$

We note that each term is of the form c/n or multiples thereof for some constant c. It has already been shown that each such term tends can be made smaller than any ϵ . This also holds for the sum.

Exercise 2.2.8. Prove that if $\lim a_n = a$, then $\lim a_n^3 = a^3$.

$$|a_n^3 - a^3| = |(a_n - a)(a_n^2 + a_n a + a^2)|$$

We then note that we are given that $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$. From this we see that

$$|(a_n - a)(a_n^2 + a_n a + a^2)| < \epsilon(a_n^2 + a_n a + a^2).$$

Exercise 2.2.9. Does the sequence $\{cos(n\pi/3)\}$ have a limit? Justify your answer.

No. The sequence $\{cos(n\pi/3)\}$ oscillates between -1 and 1; a limit cannot converge to two different values. Hence, this sequence does not have a limit.

Exercise 2.2.10. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.2.11. Prove that if $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are sequences with $|a_n| \leq b_n$ for all n and if $\lim b_n = 0$, then $\lim a_n = 0$ also.

We are given that $|a_n| \leq b_n$ for all n. Therefore, we know that $\lim |a_n| \leq \lim b_n$. We know that $\lim b_n = 0$. Hence we can write - equivalently - that $\lim |a_n| \leq 0$. We notice that $|a_n|$ is defined to be greater than or equal to zero. Hence we have $0 \leq \lim |a_n| \leq 0$, from which it follows by the squeeze theorem (proof on p. 43 of the book) $\lim |a_n| = 0$.

Exercise 2.2.12. Prove the following partial converse to Theorem 2.2.3: Suppose $\{a_n\}$ is a convergent sequence. If there is an N such that $a_n \leq c$ for all n > N, then $\lim a_n \leq c$. Also, if there is an N such that $b \leq a_n$ for all n > N, then $b \leq \lim a_n$.

Note that a_n is bounded by c according to the premise. In this case, we can say that $a_n \leq \sup a_n \leq c$ for all n. Let $a = \lim a_n$. We know by definition that $a \leq \sup a_n$, and therefore we can write that $\lim a_n \leq \sup a_n \leq c$.

Likewise, we can say that b is a lower bound for a_n such that $b \leq \inf a_n$. We know that by definition $\inf a_n \leq a$, allowing us to write $b \leq \lim a_n$.

SECTION 2.3

Exercise 2.3.1. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.3.2. Use the Main Limit Theorem to find $\lim \frac{n^2-5}{n^3+2n^2+5}$.

$$\lim \frac{n^2 - 5}{n^3 + 2n^2 + 5} =$$
 (dividing top and bottom by n^3)
$$\lim \frac{1/n - 5/n^3}{1 + 2/n + 5/n^3} =$$

$$\frac{\lim (1/n - 5/n^3)}{\lim (1 + 2/n + 5/n^3)} = \frac{0}{1} = 0.$$

Exercise 2.3.3. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.3.4. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.3.5. Prove Theorem 2.3.2.

Proof. We know that $\lim a_n = 0$, hence we know that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an N such that whenever n > N, $|a_n| < \epsilon$. Likewise, we know that b_n is bounded, such that we can state that $-q \le b_b \le q$. We can then also write $|a_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{|q|}$. We guess that the limit of $(a_n)(b_n)$ is zero, so we write:

$$|a_n b_n - 0| = |a_n b_n| \le |a_n q|$$

$$|a_n b_n| \le |q| \frac{\epsilon}{|q|}$$

$$|a_n b_n| \le \epsilon.$$

Thus, the $\lim a_n b_n = 0$, since we there is an N such that the above inequality is true whenever we pick an n > N.

Exercise 2.3.6. Prove that a sequence $\{a_n\}$ is both bounded above and bounded below if and only if its sequence of absolute values $\{|a_n|\}$ is bounded above.

Proof. By definition, if $\{|a_n|\}$ is bounded above, then there exists some M such that $|a_n| \leq M$ for all n. This is equivalent to saying $-M \leq a_n \leq M$, which proves that $\{a_n\}$ is bounded above and below.

Exercise 2.3.7. Prove part(b) of Theorem 2.3.6.

Proof. Since both a_n and b_n have a limit, we can write $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $|b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. For all ϵ , we have an N such that if we choose n > N, these inequalities are true. We know add them together and find

$$|a_n - a| + |b_n - b| < \epsilon$$

$$|(a_n) + (b_n - b)| \le |a_n - a| + |b_n - b| < \epsilon$$

$$|(a_n + b_n) - (a + b)| \le |a_n - a| + |b_n - b| < \epsilon.$$

Exercise 2.3.8. Prove that if $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence of positive terms and $b_n \to b > 0$, then there is a number m > 0 such that $b_n \ge m$ for all n.

This is true by virtue of the definition of \mathbb{R} . The statement above is equivalent to saying that we are looking for some m such that $0 < m \le b_n$. By definition \mathbb{R} is full, such that between any two numbers, there are infinitely more numbers.

Exercise 2.3.9. Prove part (d) of Theorem 2.3.6. Hint: Use the previous exercise. I.e, that if $a_n \to a$ and $b_n \to b$, $a_n/b_n \to a/b$, if $b \neq 0$ and $b_n \neq 0$ for all n.

Proof.

$$|a_n \frac{1}{b_n} - a \frac{1}{b}| = |a_n \frac{1}{b_n} - a \frac{1}{b_n} + a \frac{1}{b_n} - a \frac{1}{b}| \le |a_n = a| |\frac{1}{b_n}| + |a| |\frac{1}{b_n - b}|$$

We know that $\{1/b_n\}$ is bounded, and hence $\{|1/b_n|\}$ is bounded above. We also have $|a_n-a|\to 0$. Therefore, $|a_n-a||1/b_n|\to 0$. Also, $|a||1/b_n-1/b|\to 0$. By (b) we know that $|a_n-a||1/b_n|+|a||1/b_n-1/b|\to 0$, proving that $a_n/b_n\to a/b$.

Exercise 2.3.10. Prove part (f) of theorem 2.3.6. Hint: use the identity:

$$x^{k} - y^{k} = (x - y)(x^{k-1} + x^{k-2}y + \dots + y^{k-1})$$

with $x = a_n^{1/k}$ and $y = a^{1/k}$, to show that $a_n^{1/k} \to a^{1/k}$ if $a_n \ge 0$ for all n.

Proof. We notice that

$$a_n^{1/k} - a^{1/K} = (a_n - a)(a_n^{1/K-1} + a_n^{1/K-2}a^{1/k} + \dots + a^{1/K-1}) = (a_n - a)b_n$$

where

$$b_n = a_n^{1/K-1} + a_n^{1/K-2} a^{1/k} + \ldots + a^{1/K-1}$$

We know that $\{a_n\}$ converges, and hence that $\{|a_n|\}$ is bounded above. We choose an upper bound m for $\{|a_n|\}$ which satisfies that $|a_n| \leq m$. Then $b_n \leq \frac{1/k}{m}^{1/k}$ sowing that $\{|b_n|\}$ is bounded above. According to theorem 2.3.2 we conclude that $|a_n - a| |b_n| \to -$ and find from theorem 2.3.1 that $a_n^{1/k} \to a^{1/k}$.

Exercise 2.3.12. Prove that $\lim a^{1/n} = 1$. Hint: use the result of the previous exercise.

We notice that $n^{1/n} > 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can therefore write that we are looking for a solution to $n^{1/n} - 1 < \epsilon$. We can rearrange and raise both sides to the *n*th power, resulting in the equation $n < (1 + \epsilon)^n$. We can expand the right hand side using the binomial theorem:

$$n < 1 + n\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\epsilon^2 + \dots$$

As long as $n < \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\epsilon^2$ this inequality holds, requiring that $n > 1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon^2}$. Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an N such that whenever n > N, $|n^{1/n} - 1| < \epsilon$.

Section 2.4

Exercise 2.4.2. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.4.14. Solved in Class

Section 2.5

Exercise 2.5.9. Solved in class

Exercise 2.5.10. Solved in class

Section 2.6

Exercise 2.6.1. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.6.4. Solved in class

Exercise 2.6.7. Solved in Class

Exercise 2.6.9. Solved in class

SECTION 3.1