### Verification Report for Architecture and Design

# of Train Positioning Version 0.1

Marc Behrens (DLR), Bernd Gonska (DLR), Jens Gerlach (Fraunhofer), Bernd Hekele (DB), Jan Welte (TU-BS)

Oct 29, 2014

Abstract

This verification report presents the verification results for the architecture, interfaces and design artifacts for the component "Train Positioning" in the overall openETCS Kernel architecture.

#### 1 Roles

17

- Fausto Cochetti Design (PM)
  - Jens Gerlach Verification of SW/Implementation
- Uwe Steinke Design/Implementation
- Jan Welvaarts Design
  - Vincent Nuhaan Simulation/Design
- Bernd Gonska Verification
- Marc Behrens Verification
- Jan Welte Verification
  - Bernd Hekele Verification

### <sup>5</sup> 2 Verification Object

#### 2.1 Identity of Verification Object

- 22 The component Train Positioning provides the central functionality to de-
- 23 termine the train position based on detected balises and their measured and
- 24 announced distances.

The component is defined in the openETCS System Architecture and Design Specification [3] as F.2.2 Calculate Train Position. Here it is defined that the function shall cover the requirements defined in the SRS [2] Chapter 3.6.

Concepts for Train Positioning have been defined in two parallel work streams, which developed independently two documents and simulations to clarify their approach. To achieve one implementation in context of the overall openETCS architecture both concepts have to be integrated. Respectively, this verification report is concerned with the following two groups of documents, which are handled as one verification object. All artifacts for verification and verification activities are documented in the github issue https://github.com/openETCS/validation/issues/227.

#### Train Positioning concept 1

reviewed document	Train Position and Locations (concept document)
	Train Position and Location Tester (Lab View Simulation)
github location	https://github.com/openETCS/ SRS-Analysis/commit/ edc8a3238e59ad4d2a2440f39e4c791cf6bbf7bd
comitted	10. July 2014
designer	Jan Welvaarts (concept)
	Vincent Nuhaan (simulation)
covered specification	SUBSET-026(SRS) chapter 3.6 version 3.3.0

#### $_{ m 39}$ Train Positioning concept 2

reviewed document	openETCS Determine Train Location Procedure (concept document)
github location	https://github.com/openETCS/ SRS-Analysis/commit/ 153e793955b38c986dad3bfd8d3fbfe8d5ced77e
comitted	21. January 2014
reviewed document	CalculateTrainPosition (SCADE report)
github location	https://github.com/ openETCS/modeling/commit/ 5cea2abbf67da49b324aa8307129b5c47aba2188# diff-9fd0c16adf976a82850cd9184a13f0f5
comitted	1. September 2014
reviewed document	openETCS CalculateTrainPosition implementation Test Scenario 3_linked_2_unlinkedBG (Test Scenarios)
github location	https://github.com/ openETCS/modeling/commit/ 3934d76f1fcba7cb28da7e8131f201182cc7d220
comitted	22. October 2014
designer	Uwe Steinke (concept, SCADE implementation, Test Scenarios)
covered specification	SUBSET-26(SRS) chapter 3.6 version 3.3.0

This verification reports only address the documents in the committed versions named above.

The verification mainly concentrated on the concept papers and took the simulation and the SCADE model only in consideration to specify the approach presented in the concept documents.

#### <sub>7</sub> 2.2 Configuration related Components

The functionality Train Positioning has been defined with respect to the SUBSET-026 System Requirements Specification (SRS) [2] chapter 3.6 version

50 3.3.0 on the legal basis of the Technical Specification for Interoperability Control

5.5.0 on the legal basis of the Technical Specification for Interoperability Con

<sup>51</sup> Command Signalling (TSI-CCS) [4] and amended by [5].

The component limits have to be defined by the openETCS System Architecture and Design Specification, which has to present the interfaces either with respect to data from balise (and radio) messages defined in the SUBSET-026

chapters 7 and 8 or as in consistence with inputs and outputs of related func-

As the openETCS System Architecture and Design Specification have not been available at the beginning of the verification and not all functions interacting with Train Positioning are covered completely, the verification results have to accept assumptions made by the designers. Additionally, no further requirements for the interfaces have been assessed.

## Software Architecture, Interface and Design Verification

- This section presents all verification results concerning the verification object Train Positioning.
- The following subsection present all different verification aspects in accordance with EN 50128 7.3.4.42 [1].

#### 3.1 Internal Consistency

- by Jan Welte and Marc Behrens
- Contant:

71

82

- relations
- historical development
- claim of same approach
- of naming between documents consistence
- Are the internal functional allocation and all related input and output consistent?

#### 77 3.2 Adequacy to fulfill Software Requirements

78 by Bernd Gonska

#### 79 3.2.1 Description of the developed train positioning system

- The train positioning system clearly deviates from the SRS on purpose. This is justified by the intended operational performance.
  - It basically implements the following concepts:
    - All distances are given as the triple of safe distances (minimum,nominal,maximal)
- The estimated position, (also named nominal position) is calculated to be the middle of the maximum safe position and the minimum safe position.

- Each Balise Group (BG) has a an own accuracy and position, relative to the Last Relevant Balise Group (LRBG) and the LRBG accuracy. Locations do not change their reference BG.
- Linking distances and accuracies are used to improve the accuracy when ever possible.
- Accuracy of a distance is calculated taking the worst possible case into account. For example: The accuracy of the distance between two ends of a linking chain includes the first and the last BG accuracy. The distance between two BG without linking is the odometry measured distance. The accuracy is the odometry acuracy during that distance. This inaccuracy is not reseted later.
- The confidence interval of an announced location never increases when a new BG is accepted. Always use the most accurate information. The odometry error estimation is trustworthy enough to optimize linking accuracy and distances.

#### 101 3.2.2 Deviations

86

88

90

93

94

97

qq

100

107

108

109

110

111

112

115

116

117

118

119

121

Within this paragraph the deviations to the specification is described by first mentioning the number of the Subset-026 paragraph [2] and then stating how the design deviates:

- 3.6.4.1 REMARK: There are several confidence intervals: They depend on the announced location.
  - **3.6.4.2:** In addition, the odometry inaccuracy of older track areas and older linking accuracy can be taken into account to widen a the confidence interval for safety reasons. The location accuracy of the LRBG is shortened on if the detected Balise group position is extreme. An old confidence interval can be taken instead of a larger new one.// 3.6.4.2.2: An odometer inaccuracy may not be reset at the new LRBG.
- 3.6.4.3: Even if the linking chain is not complete, linked parts replace odometry distances if they provide higher accuracy.
  - In the "sandwich problem" where two linked BGs enclose an unlinked BG (linked BG1  $\rightarrow$  unlinked BG2  $\rightarrow$  linked BG3) the distance and the accuracy between BG2 and BG3 can be calculated involving the linking accuracy of BG1and BG3 and the linking distance between BG1 and BG3.
  - The estimated distance may differ from the linking distance and from the odometry measured distance. The estimated distance is set to the middle of the maximum and minimum safe distance.
- 3.6.4.3.1: The train takes responsibility, it does not reset inaccuracies if this could lead to unsafe behavior.

- Figure 13 a,b,c: There is more than one confidence interval.
- The confidence interval is calculated differently.
- The estimated distance can be different since it is the middle of the maximum and minimum safe distance.
- Linking distance is not used if it leads to a less accurate distances.
- 3.6.4.4: The estimated distance is the middle of the maximum and the minimum safe position with respect to the possible LRBG position. It may differ from the measured traveled distance.
- 3.6.4.4.1: analogue for the rear end position.
- 3.6.4.7.1: The unlinked BG confidence interval is not reset at the next LRBG.
- 3.6.4.7.2 The unlinked BG confidence interval is not reset at the next unlinked BG. In some cases the estimated traveled distance between two unlinked BG is calculated by using other rules.

#### 137 3.2.3 Readability and Traceability

- by Marc Behrens
- 139 Content

141

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

- traceability of requirements
  - unique references
- Are all related system and software requirements uniquely referenced and is the relationship to other documents clearly defined? Are all parts of the architecture and inputs and outputs referenced to the related requirements.

  Are the elements referred to in the same way in all documents?

#### 3.2.4 Consideration of hardware and software constraints

- Hardware design is out of scope of the openETCS project. No hardware assumptions have been formulated so far.
- 149 Software constraints encompass:
  - 1. Constraints by the software design method. The design should rely on
    - modelling
    - a modular approach
    - defensive programming
  - 2. Restrictions implied by the coding standards. The coding standard should include
    - a coding style guide

- restrict the use of pointers, dynamic objects, recursion and global variables
- 3. Constraints on timing, performance, or memory of individual modules.
- 4. Any constraints implied by the interfacing system (e.g. decoder and encoder functions).
  - 5. Constraints of the operating system.

#### 4 Conclusions

162

164 Concluding the meeting the following points were agreed on as action item list:

#### 4.1 Implementation and Design

- Differences within the simulation and implementation has been detected and the two approaches have to be merged (Jan: Specify, Uwe: Implement)
- 1. synchronize on using the inaccuracies of the BG passed or just of the last BG and the 1st BG (Uwe and Jan Welvaarts)
- 2. backwards calculation of inaccuracies need to be synchronized (Uwe, Jan)
- 3. agree on the output data (Uwe, Jan Welvaarts, Vincent)
- 4. synchronize data structure for balise storage and storage of other trackside related information
- 5. agree on the input data (Uwe, Jan Welvaarts, Vincent)
- 6. integer arithmetic only on the model (design decision of WP3)
- 7. resolution of train position in cm (design decision of WP3)
- 8. Inaccuracy of the Odometer is agreed to be taken into account (Uwe)
- 9. Center Detection accuracy is agreed to be taken into account (Uwe)
- 10. define national values to be used (Jan Welvaarts)
- 11. national values can also change at some point on track (Uwe)
- 181 12. a as common reference for saving the data the start-up position of the OBU is taken
- 13. rules have to be implemented correctly
- 14. Q\_LOCACC will be made dependant on the national values and on the linking information (Uwe, Vincent)
- 15. Implement distance calculation to track objects, other than balises (Uwe)

#### 4.2 Scenarios

- <sup>188</sup> Concerning the scenario simulation the following was decided:
  - 1. Two scenarios to contain all possible situations are to be sketched (Jan)
- 2. Calculate distance related values with the LabView Simulation to be compared with the SCADE execution (Vincent)

#### 192 **4.3** Report

189

199

200

- Concerning the follow up of the verification report the following points were decided:
- 1. Verification report is to be written (Marc, Jan Welte, Jens Gerlach, Bernd Gonska)
- 2. once the design is merged and implemented the verification will rework the results based on the merged version (Marc)
  - 3. traceability to the SRS will be delivered (Jan, Marc)
    - 4. justification on the deviations need to be documented (Jan, Uwe)

#### $\mathbf{References}$

- [1] Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique. Railway applications
   Communication, signalling and processing systems Software for railway
   control and protection systems, EN 50128. EUROPEAN STANDARD, 6
   2011.
- 206 [2] ERA, UNISIG, and EEIG ERTMS USERS GROUP. ERTMS/ ETCS
  207 System Requirements Specification, SUBSET-026. European Rail208 way Agency Document Register, 3 2012. http://www.era.europa.eu/
  209 Document-Register/Documents/Set-2-Index004-SUBSET-026%20v330.
  210 zip.
- [3] Bernd Paymann Hekele. Farhangi Peter Mahlmann. Uwe 211 Steinke, Christian Stahl, and David Mentré. openetcs SVS-212 architecture  $_{\rm tem}$ and design specification. openETCSmod-213 repository, 10 2014. https://github.com/openETCS/ 214 modeling/blob/18cd45f54932b46dde7fea404f21aa5b27bcc516/ openETCS%20ArchitectureAndDesign/FirstIteration/ 216 openETCSArchitectureAndDesignSpecification.pdf?raw=true. 217
- 218 [4] European Union. Commission Decision of 25 January 2012 on the technical specification for interoperability relating to the control-command and signalling subsystems of the trans-European rail system. Official Journal of the European Union, pages L51/1–L51/65, 2012.

European Union. Commission Decision of 6 November 2012 amending Decision 2012/88/EU on the technical specifications for interoperability relating to the control-command and signalling subsystems of the trans-European rail system. Official Journal of the European Union, pages L311/3–L311/13, 2012.