



Verification Closing Session: Train Positioning

supported by:



Federal Ministry
of Education
and Research



Région de
Bruxelles-
Capitale



GOBIERNO
DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO
DE CIENCIA
E INNOVACIÓN

Verification Closing Session

Marc Behrens

Brunswick, 28-29.10.2014

WP 4 Verification Closing Session

Agenda day1

Formulate the criteria to judge

- 09:00 - 09:20 alongside of 'information chain' of scenarios – Marc Behrens
- 09:20 - 09:40 alongside safety issues - Jan Welte
- 09:40 - 10:00 alongside interfacing issues - Jens Gerlach
- 10:00 - 10:30 agreement on criteria – Marc Behrens

Presentation of approaches and verification

- 10:30 - 10:50 Presentations from NS on the principles of the calculation of the distance between the train and any track side location - Jan Welvaarts
- 10:50 - 11:00 Break
- 11:00 - 11:20 Talk of Siemens on the principles of the calculation of the distance between the train and any track side location. - Uwe Steinke
- 11:20 - 11:40 Presentation of Verification Results - Bernd Gonska
- 11:40 - 12:00 agreement on the criteria – Marc Behrens

WP 4 Verification Closing Session

Agenda day 1

- 12:00 - 13:00 Lunch
- 13:00 - 14:00 Discussion (chaired by DLR) to find the fundamental differences (if any) – Marc Behrens

Comparing Simulation Results

- 14:00 - 14:45 Comparing Simulation results – Uwe Steinke
- 14:45 - 15:30 Comparing Simulation results – Vincent Nuhaan
- 15:30 - 15:45 Break
- 15:45 - 16:15 Comparing Simulation results – Bernd Gonska
- 16:15 - 17:00 Discussion of the simulation results to support the conclusions of the morning session – Vincent Nuhaan
- 17:00 - 18:00 Converge to one principle solution – comparing on theoretical basis? Marc Behrens/ Bernd Gonska

WP 4 Verification Closing Session

Agenda day 2

Agenda Day 2 29.20.2014

- 09:00 - 18:00 Depending on the outcome of the first day: detail the principle solution (maybe in two groups of 3)
- Working out missing details and agreement on details
- Documenting the results of day 1
- Writing Report

- **US-RO-01: As a railway operator I want to have a safe system in order to reach freedom of unacceptable risk or harm.**
- **US-RO-02: As a railway operator I want to have no special solutions after the system is build.**
- **US-RO-03: As a railway operator I want to take into account all information available for train positioning to reach the highest accuracy and best operating performance.**
- **US-RO-04: As a railway operator I want to have a interoperable ETCS executable model so that I have a reference for further tender.**

Verification Objectives

- **Object verification:**
 - Concept of Train Positioning by Uwe Steinke
 - Concept of Train Positioning by Jan Welvaarts
- **Process exemplification: Of a Verification Closing Session**

VnV Means:

- **Pen and Paper**
- **Independant validation:**
 - LabView validation model and scenarios by Vincent Nuhaan
 - Manual Calculation and scenarios by Bernd Gonska
- **Simulation with Scade**

Criteria to judge train positioning

- **Safe System (US-RO-01)**
 - No unsafe state:
 - *Never act too late (e.g. TSR)*
 - *Never drive into an unsafe situation (e.g. beyond Danger Point)*
- **System to take into account all cases/ exceptions. (US-RO-02)**
 - Cases which are not described within the SRS are to be defined.
 - Cases defined in the SRS have to be applied
- **Highest accuracy and best operating performance (US-RO-03)**
 - Calculation of information chain: Target \leftarrow LRBG \rightarrow Train min/max safe front end or estimated position
- **ETCS Interoperability (US-RO-04)**
 - Or state where we cannot be conform \rightarrow specificational findings

Agreement on criteria to judge

Criteria to judge train positioning

- **Safe System (US-RO-01)**
 - No unsafe state (including accuracies):
 - *Never overestimate the minimum distance to the target*
 - *Never underestimate the maximum distance to the target (e.g. TSR)*
- **System to take into account all cases/ exceptions. (US-RO-02)**
 - Architecture, data structure and formulas should cover all possible situations. Check for completeness of system states.
- **Highest accuracy and best operating performance (US-RO-03)**
 - Never underestimate the minimum distance to the target.
 - Never overestimate the maximum distance to the target.
- **ETCS Interoperability (US-RO-04)**
 - Show conformity to Subset-026 and
 - state where we cannot be conform → specification findings