

# Verification Report

Bernd Gonska, checked by Marc Behrens

September 5, 2014

## Abstract

This document reviews a file with the title *openETCS Determine Train Location Procedure*. The review lists deviations to the train positioning system of SUBSET-026 version 3.3.0.

## 1 Review of calculating location and train position 0.0.15.pdf

### 1.1 Document and Specification

To avoid confusion the document under review will further be called *document* and this review file will be called *review*.

|                   |   |
|-------------------|---|
| reviewed document | calculating location and train position 0.0.15.pdf  |
| as part of        | Train Position and Locations.zip  |
| location          | <a href="https://github.com/openETCS/SRS-Analysis/commit/edc8a3238e59ad4d2a2440f39e4c791cf6bbf7bd">https://github.com/openETCS/SRS-Analysis/commit/edc8a3238e59ad4d2a2440f39e4c791cf6bbf7bd</a> |
| committer         | <a href="https://github.com/VNuhaan">https://github.com/VNuhaan</a>   |
| committed         | 20. Jul. 2014   |
| title             | Train Position and Locations  |
| issue             | <a href="https://github.com/openETCS/validation/issues/227">https://github.com/openETCS/validation/issues/227</a>   |
| specification     | SUBSET-026 (SRS) chapter 3.6 version 3.3.0. as part of the TSI-CCS [1] [2]  |

The review lists deviations to the train positioning system of SUBSET-26 v3.3.0.

### 1.2 About this Review

There are several types of reviews, they range from a simple comment to a complete verification where every sentence is linked to the SRS and individually

17 judged to be conform or not. The review type naturally depends on the structure  
18 of the reviewed object.

19 The document(under review) contains explanations, new concepts and repetitions  
20 of concepts of the SRS. It does not contain references to requirements and does  
21 not indicate which statements can be literally used as a rule. The document  
22 does not completely cover chapter 3.6 of SUBSET-026 version 3.3.0.

23 This review will only list conceptual deviations to the SRS. Because not all  
24 sentences can be taken literally, the document may contain more deviations but  
25 they are hard to identify.

26 In case a deviation is found the review extracts and reformulates two statements.  
27 The first starts with DOC and represents a consequence of the document. The  
28 second begins with SRS and represents a consequence of the SRS that collides  
29 with the first statement. The second statement also contains references to the  
30 SRS to prove the deviation. Each case has its own headline to indicate where  
31 the deviation is found.

32 Paragraphs starting with "Remark" are comments for clarification.

33 The review closes with a Summary.

## 34 **1.3 Results**

### 35 **1.3.1 About: Chapter 1 Paragraph 2**

36 Remark: To use the LRBG as a reference point is basically the right idea. If  
37 there is an unlinked balise group passed after the LRBG then two reference  
38 locations are used simultaneously. See SRS 3.6.4.7..

### 39 **1.3.2 About: Chapter 1 Paragraph 5**

40 Remark: The SRS is very specific how to calculate distances (see SRS 3.6.4.3  
41 and 3.6.4.7). It describes how distances are relocated at every balise group (BG)  
42 passage and how the confidence interval of the train is readjusted. The only  
43 vague input is how the train estimates a traveled distance based on odometry  
44 information and how fast the estimated odometry error increases while traveling.

### 45 **1.3.3 About: Chapter 1 Paragraph 5 Bullet 1**

46 DOC: The document creates a rule that the confidence interval of a train must  
47 not increase when passing new LRBG.

48 SRS: There is only one confidence interval for train (based on the LRBG) and  
49 possibly one additional one for the newest unlinked BG. In the moment the

50 train passes a new LRBG the train has exactly one confidence interval (for all  
51 locations). Then the confidence interval is reset to be the accuracy of the new  
52 LRBG plus the balise detection inaccuracy (SUBSET-036 version 3.0.0 chapter  
53 4.2.10.2: "Accuracy for vital purposes...shall be within  $\pm 1$  m for each Balise, when  
54 a Balise has been passed."). Since BG can have larger and smaller inaccuracies,  
55 the confidence interval may increase or decrease at the new LRBG. See SRS  
56 3.6.4.2.

#### 57 **1.3.4 About: Chapter 1 Paragraph 5 Bullet 2**

58 DOC: The document suggests that the train can choose between different BG  
59 references to optimize the accuracy of a location information.

60 SRS: The inaccuracy of a location is always zero, the accuracy of the train  
61 position is handled via the train confidence interval. There is only one confidence  
62 interval at a time, it refers to the LRBG (there is one additional confidence  
63 interval when the last BG is unlinked). There is only one way to calculate it.  
64 See SRS 3.6.4.2 for confidence interval. See SRS 3.6.4.7 for secondary confidence  
65 interval.

#### 66 **1.3.5 About: Chapter 2 BG**

67 DOC: The document extends the definition of the LRBG to the case when only  
68 one BG is known which is not linked.

69 SRS: The LRBG is the last relevant balise group. There are clear conditions for  
70 the train to accept a BG as an LRBG. The train can have two reference locations:  
71 One for the LRBG and one for the last unlinked BG. The last unlinked BG  
72 is only used when there is no newer LRBG. See SRS 3.6.4.3 and SRS 3.6.4.7,  
73 3.6.4.7.1, 3.6.4.7.2.

#### 74 **1.3.6 About: Chapter 2 Definition concerning the location of a track** 75 **side element**

76 DOC: In case the distance between ORBG and the following LRBG is not known,  
77 the train uses an uncertain distance after it has passed the new LRBG since the  
78 distance between the LRBG and the ORBG is uncertain.

79 SRS: There are no inaccurate distances. See SRS 3.6.4.3. Such uncertainties are  
80 handled via the confidence interval of the train. See SRS 3.6.4.1 and SRS 3.6.4.2.  
81 The confidence interval does not depend on any distance measured before the  
82 last LRBG. See SRS 3.6.4.2.2.

83 Remark: As a consequence, D.LOCmin\_X and D.LOCmax\_X must be equal.

84 **1.3.7 About: Chapter 3 Paragraph 1 Bullet 2**

85 DOC: the document suggests to take the first unlinked BG as a reference in case  
86 no LRBG is known.

87 SRS: The last unlinked BG must be taken as a reference. See SRS 3.6.4.7.

88 **1.3.8 About: Chapter 3 Below Figure 7 and Complete Chapter 3.1**

89 DOC: The document suggests that the train can choose the BG from where it  
90 can determine its position.

91 SRS: The calculation of the confidence interval and the distance to locations  
92 depend on the current LRBG (respectively the last unlinked BG). Using a more  
93 precise estimation based on other BG position and accuracy is not permitted.  
94 See SRS 3.6.4.2. and 3.6.4.7. and 3.6.4.4.

95 Remark: As a consequence,  $D_{\max\_nom-det.i+1}$  and  $Q_{LOCACC.i+1} + DetectionAcc.i+1$   
96 must be equal.

97 **1.3.9 About: Chapter 4 (DEFINITION OF Dcorrection) and complete chapter 4**  
98

99 DOC: There are several ways to calculate the relocation value for BGs involving  
100 inaccuracies of old and/or announced BGs. This is used to determine location  
101 based inaccuracies.

102 SRS: The two confidence intervals of the train cover all inaccuracies that are  
103 to be used. No other inaccuracies are relevant. The distance between two BGs  
104 is exactly the value given by the linking packet or exactly the distance that is  
105 measured by traveling between the two locations. If the train reads an announced  
106 BG, then the train position and confidence interval is readjusted. The locations  
107 are relocated such that they refer to the new BG with precise distances. See SRS  
108 3.6.4.3.b for the two relocation rules. See SRS 3.6.4.1 and 3.6.4.2 and 3.6.4.4 for  
109 the two confidence intervals. See 3.4.4.4.3 for expectation window of a BG.

110 Remark: As a consequence,  $D_{correctionMax\_ORBG}$  and  $D_{correctionMin\_ORBG}$   
111 and  $D_{correction\_ORBG}$  must be equal.

112 Remark: As a consequence,  $D_{correction\_ORBG} = \text{sum of } D\_LINKs \text{ (if known)} +$   
113  $D\_ODO\_X.Y$  (if distance is not announced) without inaccuracy.

114 **1.3.10 About: Chapter 5**

115 See comments to chapter 4

## 116 1.4 Summary

117 The SRS introduces concepts to describe the train positioning system:

- 118 • The confidence interval of the train position (doubt over, doubt under)
- 119 • Estimated/Max/Min safe front end
- 120 • The expectation window of a balise group
- 121 • LRBG and last unlinked balise group as reference location (two parallel
- 122 coordinate systems)
- 123 • Relocation of location information

124 The document describes a train positioning system that does not directly use  
125 these concepts. The document differs from the SRS in the way how inaccuracies  
126 are calculated and which information can be used to determine a distance between  
127 two objects. Two major deviations have been found:

- 128 • Chapter 3 and 4 are based on the assumption that the train position and  
129 the position of not announced balise groups can be determined in several  
130 ways. The SRS describes one way how these values have to be determined.
- 131 • The document assumes that locations from different ORBGs may have  
132 different accuracies. According to the SRS the train can have at most  
133 two confidence intervals. All locations are calculated without an addi-  
134 tional location based inaccuracy interval (exception: The accuracy of an  
135 announced BG. This is not really an exception if start and end point of  
136 the expectation window are seen as locations).

## 137 1.5 Conclusions/Lessons learned

138 This is a review and not a verification. For a detailed verification a design  
139 document should provide the following:

- 140 • line/sentence/paragraph based numbering allowing to refer to each state-  
141 ment.
- 142 • A precise scope which requirements/chapters of the SRS are completely  
143 covered.
- 144 • References to requirements for each statements that implement a function  
145 of the SRS.
- 146 • Clear distinction between explanations and rules(requirements of the docu-  
147 ment).
- 148 • Clear distinction between implementation of SRS requirements and new  
149 design choices.

150

151 When this is given the following actions can be performed:

- 152 • Coverage analysis.
- 153 • Conformity check for each statement related to the SRS.

- Conformity check for each design choice.

## 1.6 Future Activities

Discuss how to implement the train positioning system with the authors of the design documents.

## References

- [1] European Union. Commission decision of 25 january 2012 on the technical specification for interoperability relating to the control-command and signalling subsystems of the trans-european rail system. *Official Journal of the European Union*, pages L51/1–L51/65, 2012.
- [2] European Union. Commission decision of 6 november 2012 amending decision 2012/88/eu on the technical specifications for interoperability relating to the control-command and signalling subsystems of the trans-european rail system. *Official Journal of the European Union*, pages L311/3–L311/13, 2012.

---

*End of Document*