


Work-Package 4: “V&amp;V”

# ETCS Specification Findings

## Findings of ETCS specification analyses

Stefan Rieger

September 2013



Funded by:


 Federal Ministry  
 of Education  
 and Research

 Région de  
 Bruxelles-  
 Capitale

 GOBIERNO  
 DE ESPAÑA  
 MINISTERIO  
 DE INDUSTRIA, ENERGÍA  
 Y TURISMO

This page is intentionally left blank

**Work-Package 4: “V&V”**

**OETCS/WP1/D02  
September 2013**

# ETCS Specification Findings

## Findings of ETCS specification analyses

Stefan Rieger

TWT GmbH Science & Innovation  
Bernhäuser Straße 40-42  
73765 Neuhausen  
Germany

Description of work

Prepared for openETCS@ITEA2 Project

**Abstract:** This document lists analysis results of the ETCS specification and accompanying standards that indicate problems such as unclearities, inconsistencies, ambiguities, incompleteness or errors. For now it is part of TWT's model verification user story but the goal is to extend its scope.

**Disclaimer:** This work is licensed under the "openETCS Open License Terms" (oOLT) dual Licensing: European Union Public Licence (EURL v.1.1+) AND Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 – (cc by-sa 3.0)

THE WORK IS PROVIDED UNDER openETCS OPEN LICENSE TERMS (oOLT) WHICH IS A DUAL LICENSE AGREEMENT INCLUDING THE TERMS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION PUBLIC LICENSE (VERSION 1.1 OR ANY LATER VERSION) AND THE TERMS OF THE CREATIVE COMMONS PUBLIC LICENSE ("CCPL"). THE WORK IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT AND/OR OTHER APPLICABLE LAW. ANY USE OF THE WORK OTHER THAN AS AUTHORIZED UNDER THIS OLT LICENSE OR COPYRIGHT LAW IS PROHIBITED.

BY EXERCISING ANY RIGHTS TO THE WORK PROVIDED HERE, YOU ACCEPT AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY THE TERMS OF THIS LICENSE. TO THE EXTENT THIS LICENSE MAY BE CONSIDERED TO BE A CONTRACT, THE LICENSOR GRANTS YOU THE RIGHTS CONTAINED HERE IN CONSIDERATION OF YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/>  
<http://joinup.ec.europa.eu/software/page/eupl/licence-eupl>

# Table of Contents

- 1 Purpose of this Document ..... 4
- 2 List of Issues ..... 4
  - 2.1 Subset 026 3.6 Location Principles, Train Position and Train Orientation ..... 4
  - 2.2 Subset 026 5.4 Procedure Start of Mission ..... 4
- References ..... 4

## 1 Purpose of this Document

This document lists findings in the ETCS specification and accompanying standards indicating problems such as inconsistencies, ambiguities, incompleteness or errors that arise during analysis or modelling. The goals are the following:

- Clarify and correct problems to help in system modelling
- Indicate issues in the standard for future improvement
- ...

This document is to be considered as “living document” that is continuously extended during the runtime of the project. Solutions to issues or workarounds shall be added when available.

## 2 List of Issues

### 2.1 Subset 026 3.6 Location Principles, Train Position and Train Orientation

**Issue #1 (3.6.1.3 Train Position):** What is the difference between the *estimated train front end position* and the *train confidence interval*? Both values are contained in the *train position information*. It seems that the *train confidence interval* is a more conservative approximation. If this is the case, how exactly is the *estimated train front end position* defined?

*Resolution:* Write resolution here...

### 2.2 Subset 026 5.4 Procedure Start of Mission

**Issue #2 (5.4.2.2 Train Data):** It is not clear what the train data consists of.

**Issue #3 (5.4.3.2 State S1 - Driver-ID Validation):** The specification states that the driver revalidates the Driver-ID. So it can be assumed that the system relies on correct validation by the driver. Is this true? When does the Driver-ID become invalid or unknown? At End of Mission? The same holds for the *train running number*.

**Issue #4 (5.4.3.2 State S1 - Virtual Balise Cover):** Virtual balise cover is not properly specified. The same holds for the process of setting/removing virtual balise cover. For now this feature is omitted in the models.

**Issue #5 (5.4.3.2 State S2 - Enter/Re-validate Level):** The specification distinguishes the following three cases

1. Entering level (if state *unknown*)
2. Re-validate level (if state *invalid*)
3. Re-enter level (if state *invalid*)

The purpose of this distinction is not clear as entering the level suffices (the current setting is invalid or unknown and thus irrelevant).

## References