

Outline

- Defect prevention
 - Basic concepts
 - Approaches
 - ✓ Error blocking
 - ✓ Error source removal
 - Techniques
- Process improvement
- Conclusion

QA Alternatives

- Defect and QA:
 - Defect: error/fault/failure.
 - Defect prevention/removal/containment.
 - Map to major QA activities
- Defect prevention (this lecture):
 - Error source removal & error blocking
- Defect removal: Inspection/testing/etc.
- Defect containment: Fault tolerance and failure containment (safety assurance).

Generic Ways for Defect Prevention

- Error blocking
 - Error: missing/incorrect actions
 - Direct intervention
 - Error blocked
 - => fault injections prevented (or errors tolerated)
 - Rely on technology/tools/etc.
- Error source removal
 - Root cause analysis
 - => identify error sources
 - Removal through education/training/etc.

Defect Prevention: Why and How?

- Major factors in favor of defect prevention:
 - Super-linear defect cost û over time
 - ✓ early faults: chain-effect/propagation
 - ✓ difficulty to fix remote (early) faults
 - ✓ in-field problems: cost û significantly
 - Other QA techniques for later phases
 - even inspection after defect injection
- Basis for defect prevention:

Causal and risk analysis

- Analyze pervasive defects
- Cause identification and fixing
- Risk analysis to focus/zoom-in

Defect Cause and Actions

Types of causal analyses:

- Logical (root cause) analysis by expert for individual defects and defect groups
- Statistical (risk) analysis for large data sets with multiple attributes
 - ✓ Model: predictor variables => defects
 - ✓ # defects: often as response variable
- Cause(s) identified via either variation

Actions for identified causes:

- Remedial actions for current product
- Preventive actions for future products:
 - ✓ negate causes or pre-conditions

Common Causes/Preventive Actions

- Education/training to correct human misconceptions as error sources:
 - Product domain knowledge,
 - Development methodology,
 - Development process, etc.
 - Act to remove error sources
 - Cause identification: mostly through root case analysis.

Formal methods:

- Formal specification: to eliminate imprecision in design/implementation (error source removal)
- Formally verify fault absence.

Common Causes/Preventive Actions 2

- Technologies/tools/standards/etc.:
 - Based on empirical evidence
 - Proper selection and consistent usage or enforcement
 - More error blocking than error source removal
 - Cause identification: mostly statistical
- Process improvement:
 - Integration of many factors in processes
 - Based on empirical evidence or logic
 - Define/select/enforce
 - Helping both error blocking and error source removal
 - Cause identification: often implicit

Education and Training

- People: most important factor to quality
 - e.g., vs. impl. Languages
- Development methodology knowledge:
 - Solid CS and SE education
 - Methodology/process/tools/etc.
- Product/domain knowledge:
 - Industry/segment specific knowledge
 - Type of products: new vs. legacy etc.
 - ✓ legacy product: inter-operability
 - General product environment, etc.
- Means of delivery:
 - formal and informal education + on-the-job training.

Other Techniques

- Appropriate software technologies:
 - Formal methods
 - Cleanroom: formal verification + statistical testing
 - Other technologies: CBSE, COTS, etc.
- Appropriate standards/guidelines:
 - Mis-understanding/mis-communication#
 - Empirical evidence for effectiveness
 - Appropriate scope and formality
- Effective methodologies:
 - As package technologies/standards/tools/etc.
 - Empirical evidence
 - Match to the specific product domain

Tools for Error Blocking

- Programming language/environment tools:
 - Syntax-directed editor to match pairs.
 - Syntax checker/enforcer.
 - General tools for coding standards, etc.
- Other tools:
 - Design/code and version control
 - ✓ { examples: CMVC, CVS, etc.
 - Tools for indiv. development activities:
 - testing tools
 - requirement solicitation tools,
 - design automation tools, etc.
- General tools or tool suites for certain methodologies, e.g., Rational Rose.

Process Improvement

- Integration of individual pieces for defect prevention => process improvement
- Selecting appropriate development processes:
 - Process characteristics and capability
 - Match to specific product environment
 - Consideration of culture/experience/etc.
- Process definition and customization
 - Adapt to specific project environment
 - e.g., IBM's PPA from Waterfall
- Process enforcement and ISO/9000:
 - "say what you do"
 - "do what you say"
 - "show me"

Process Maturity for Improvement

- SEI/CMM: Focus on defect prevention
 - maturity level: focus/key practice area
 - √ 1. ad-hoc: competent people/heroics
 - 2. repeatable: project management proc.
 - √ 3. defined: engr-proc./org. support
 - ✓ 4. managed: prod./proc. quality
 - √ 5. optimized: continuous process improvement
 - expectation: maturity û → quality û
 - recently: CMMI for Development (<u>CMMI-DEV</u>, CMMI for Acquisition (<u>CMMI-ACQ</u>, CMMI for Services (<u>CMMI-SVC</u>.
- Other process maturity work
 - SPICE (Software Process Improvement and Capability dEtermination)
 - ✓ international effort
 - ✓ assessment, trial, and technology transfer
 - BOOTSTRAP ESPRIT programme

TAME: Process/Quality Improvement

- QIP: Quality Improvement Paradigm
 - understand baseline
 - intro. process change and assess impact
 - package above for infusion
- GQM: goals/questions/metrics paradigm
 - goal-driven activities
 - questions related to goals
 - metrics to answer questions
- EF: experience factory
 - separation of concerns
 - EF separate from product organization
 - form a feedback/improvement loop

Summary

Key advantages:

- Significant savings if applicable:
 - ✓ avoid downstream problems
- Direct affect important people factor
- Promising tools, methodologies, etc.
- Process improvement: long-lasting and wide-impact

Key limitations:

- Known causes of pervasive problems
- Difficulties analyzing complex problems
- Difficulties with changing environment
- Hard to automate

