

Graduate Quantum Algebra & Topology Seminar: Multimatrix Algebras (Complete Notes)

Daniel Halmrast

Oct. 12, 2022

Oct. 19, 2022

Following “Exercises in Quantum Algebra” by Penneys (<https://people.math.osu.edu/penneys.2/QuantumAlg>)
Starting in section 3.1.2.

1 Towers of Algebras

1.1 Multimatrix Algebras

Once and for all, denote by A a finite-dimensional complex $*$ -algebra. Define its linear dual by

$$A^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-vect}}(A, \mathbb{C})$$

(which is a finite-dimensional complex vector space at least). We define some subspaces of A^\vee as follows:

Definition 1.1.1. An element $\varphi \in A^\vee$ is

- a *trace* if $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(ba)$ for all $b, a \in A$
- *positive* if $\varphi(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in A$
- a *state* if it is positive and normalized ($\varphi(1) = 1$)
- *faithful* if it is positive and $\varphi(a^*a)$ is nondegenerate on A (i.e. $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$ if and only if $a = 0$).

Let's explore some exercises

Exercise 1. Show that $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has a unique normalized trace, and show that it is positive and faithful.

Proof. Of course, $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has one trace tr , the sum over the eigenvalues (divided by n to normalize it). We show that every other trace is a multiple of this one.

To begin with, observe that traces are all cyclically invariant in the sense that

$$\varphi(abc) = \varphi(bca) = \varphi(cab)$$

and so on for higher products. Hence, the value of the trace only depends on the conjugacy class of the matrix in question. From here on out, let J be a matrix in Jordan canonical form, so that $J = D + (J - D)$ for D diagonal and $(J - D)$ a matrix with at most ones on the above-diagonal.

Decompose J further as

$$J = \lambda_1 D_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n D_n + N_1 + \cdots + N_k$$

where each matrix D_i, N_i has a single one in its entries. We now show that any trace φ takes the same value (up to normalization) as tr on each of these matrices.

For the diagonal matrices D_i , after conjugation by a permutation matrix we are free to place the one anywhere on the diagonal. Summing all such possible placements yields the identity, and so

$$\varphi(I) = n\varphi(D_i)$$

For the nilpotent matrices N_i , we can again use permutation matrices to place the one in the top row second column. Let N be that matrix, and let \tilde{N} be the matrix with a single one in the first row first column. Consider

$$\tilde{N}N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

whereas

$$N\tilde{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\varphi(0) = 0$, by the cyclic property $\varphi(N) = \varphi(N_i) = 0$ as well.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(J) &= \varphi(\lambda_1 D_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n D_n + N_1 + \cdots + N_k) \\ &= \lambda_1 \left(\frac{1}{n} \varphi(1) \right) + \cdots + \lambda_n \left(\frac{1}{n} \varphi(1) \right) + 0 + \cdots + 0 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \varphi(1) (\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(J) \end{aligned}$$

recovering the usual trace.

Clearly, the trace is positive and faithful. □

Exercise 2. Let $A = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with involution $(\alpha, \beta)^* = (\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha})$. Show A has no states.

Proof. Any linear functional on A is the sum of component functionals, each specified by where they send 1. That is

$$\varphi(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi_1(\alpha) + \varphi_2(\beta) = \alpha\varphi_1(1) + \beta\varphi_2(1)$$

and the state condition enforces

$$\varphi_1(1) + \varphi_2(1) = 1$$

hence we find that if $\varphi_1(1) = t$, then $\varphi_2(1) = 1 - t$.

Observe that

$$(\alpha, \beta)^*(\alpha, \beta) = (\bar{\beta}\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

and hence

$$\varphi(a^*a) = \alpha\bar{\beta}t + \bar{\alpha}\beta(1-t) = (\alpha\bar{\beta} - \bar{\alpha}\beta)t + \bar{\alpha}\beta$$

which, for various values of t , is a line connecting $\alpha\bar{\beta}$ to its conjugate. Clearly this is real for all α, β if and only if $t = \frac{1}{2}$.

Finally, we can choose α, β arbitrarily so that $\alpha\bar{\beta}$ is any complex number, hence the range of $\varphi(a^*a)$ is all of \mathbb{R} , contradicting positivity. □

Exercise 3. Show that every state φ on $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be given in the form

$$\varphi(a) = \text{tr}(da)$$

for some positive matrix $d \in A$ of trace 1. Show φ is faithful if and only if d is invertible.

Proof. Consider the linear map

$$\rho : A \rightarrow A^\vee$$

given by sending a matrix d to the linear functional $a \mapsto \text{tr}(da)$. Since tr is faithful, this map is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces.

Suppose $\varphi \in A^\vee$ is positive, so that $\varphi(a^*a) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for all $a \in A$. Using the map above, we can write φ as

$$\varphi(a) = \text{tr}(da)$$

and the positivity condition becomes

$$\text{tr}(d(a^*a)) = \text{tr}(a^*ad) \geq 0$$

As before, we can conjugate to get d in Jordan normal form. Choosing, for example, X_i to be the matrix with a single 1 on the i th spot on the diagonal (so that $X_i^*X_i = X_i$) we find

$$\text{tr}(X_i^*X_id) = \text{tr}(X_id) = \lambda_i$$

where λ_i is the i th eigenvalue of d in the ordering we chose for its Jordan form. Positivity then guarantees all these eigenvalues are positive, and hence by definition d is positive.

Remark. Notice the converse is true as well, if d is positive then it has a square root $d = bb^*$ and hence

$$\varphi(a^*a) = \text{tr}(bb^*a^*a) = \text{tr}((ab)^*(ab)) \geq 0$$

For the other half, clearly if φ is a state, then

$$\varphi(1) = \text{tr}(1d) = \text{tr}(d) = 1$$

forcing d to have trace 1. This, of course, works in reverse as well.

Finally, suppose φ is faithful. Then, the map $\varphi(a^*a) = \text{tr}(da^*a)$ is nondegenerate. Using the X_i matrices again, we find that all eigenvalues of d are positive and nonzero as desired.

Conversely, if d is positive with square root $d = bb^*$ and invertible, then so is b . Hence,

$$\varphi(a^*a) = \text{tr}((ab)^*(ab))$$

Since b is invertible, every matrix a can be written in the form $a = cb^{-1}$, and hence we find

$$\varphi((cb^{-1})^*(cb^{-1})) = \text{tr}(c^*c) \neq 0$$

since tr is faithful. □

Remark. Where does this terminology come from? If an ensemble of particles in a quantum system with e.g. n dimensions worth of states is given so that the relative proportion of particles in state $|\psi_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is p_i , then

$$\sum_i p_i = 1$$

and for any observable $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \rangle &= \sum_i p_i \langle \psi_i | a | \psi_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} p_i \langle \psi_i | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | a | \phi_k \rangle \langle \phi_k | \psi_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} p_i \langle \phi_k | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | a | \phi_k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The element

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$$

is called the “density operator” of the theory and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} p_i \langle \phi_k | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | a | \phi_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \langle \phi_k | \rho | \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_j | a | \phi_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \langle \phi_k | \rho a | \phi_k \rangle = \text{tr}(\rho a)\end{aligned}$$

Since the densities p_i are all real, this operator is self-adjoint, and a quick computation shows

$$\text{tr}(\rho) = \sum_i p_i = 1$$

so the density matrix is normalized. In fact, each p_i is positive, so this operator is positive-definite.

To generalize a bit we make the following definition:

Definition 1.1.2. A $*$ -algebra A is called a *multimatrix algebra* if it is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of matrix algebras. That is,

$$A \cong M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$$

The integers n_i assemble into a vector \vec{n} called the *dimension vector* of the algebra. Multimatrix algebras (by definition) come with *minimal central projections* p_i , projecting onto the i th matrix factor of A .

Exercise 4. Suppose A is a multimatrix algebra and tr is a trace. Show that tr is positive if and only if $\text{tr}(p) \geq 0$ for all projections p . Show that tr is faithful if and only if the inequality is strict for all p .

Proof. Suppose first that tr is positive and p is a projection. Then, clearly,

$$\text{tr}(p^*p) = \text{tr}(p^2) = \text{tr}(p) \geq 0$$

and if tr is faithful and p is nontrivial, this inequality is strict.

Conversely, suppose $\text{tr}(p) \geq 0$ for all projections. In particular, this means tr is positive on the minimal central projections p_i to the matrix factors of A .

Observe that for any element $b = p_i a p_i$ in the range of p_i ,

$$\text{tr}_i(b) = \text{tr}(p_i a p_i) = \text{tr}(a p_i) = \text{tr}(p_i a)$$

defines a linear functional on the i th factor of A via projection. This functional is a trace on this factor, since for all $a, b \in A$ commuting with p_i (i.e. in the range of p_i)

$$\text{tr}(p_i a b p_i) = \text{tr}(a b p_i^2) = \text{tr}(a p_i^2 b) = \text{tr}(b a p_i^2) = \text{tr}(p_i b a p_i)$$

by repeated abuse of the cyclic property.

Now, if $\text{tr}(p_i) > 0$ on p_i , then $\text{tr}(p_i a p_i)$ defines a trace on the i th matrix factor which satisfies

$$\text{tr}(p_i(1)p_i) = \text{tr}(p_i) = \text{tr}(I_i) > 0$$

for I_i the identity matrix in the i th factor. Hence, on this space tr is uniquely determined by the first exercise and is guaranteed to be positive and faithful on this factor.

If $\text{tr}(p_i)$ is zero for some factor, then on this factor

$$\text{tr}(p_i(1)p_i) = \text{tr}(p_i) = 0$$

and hence this trace is zero on the identity matrix, hence it is the zero map.

In all cases, writing the identity as

$$1 = \sum_i p_i$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(a^*a) &= \text{tr}((1)a^*(1)a(1)) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\left(\sum_i p_i\right)a^*\left(\sum_i p_i\right)a\left(\sum_i p_i\right)\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\sum_i (p_i a p_i)^*(p_i a p_i)\right) \\ &= \sum_i \text{tr}_i((p_i a p_i)^*(p_i a p_i)) \end{aligned}$$

and all such factors are guaranteed to be positive by the above. Notice if every tr_i is nontrivial, then tr really is faithful (each a will have nonzero projection onto *some* factor, and the trace on that factor will be nonzero) \square

Exercise 5. Again, let A be a multimatrix algebra, and let p be an orthogonal projection. Define the central support of p , denoted $z(p)$, to be the smallest central projection such that $p \leq z(p)$. That is, $z(p) - p$ is positive. Show that $z(p)$ can be computed as the sum of the minimal central projections p_i satisfying $pp_i \neq 0$.

Proof. Denote by $z'(p)$ the projection

$$z'(p) = \sum_i p_i$$

where the sum runs over all i for which $pp_i \neq 0$. Clearly $z'(p)$, as a sum of central projections, is itself a central projection. What remains to show is that $z'(p)$ is the smallest central projection bigger than p .

Recall that for orthogonal projections p, q we have $p \leq q$ if and only if $p = pq = qp$. Now, $p \leq 1$, so

$$p = p(1) = p\left(\sum_i p_i\right) = \sum_i pp_i = \sum_{pp_i \neq 0} pp_i = p\left(\sum_{pp_i \neq 0} p_i\right)$$

hence $z'(p) \geq p$.

Now, every central projection is a sum over some subset of the p_i projections, so clearly $z'(p)$ is the smallest such projection. \square

Exercise 6. Find a bijective correspondence between faithful tracial states on A and vectors $\vec{\lambda}$ with entries in $(0, 1)$ such that $\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{n} = 1$.

Proof. As noted in a previous exercise, faithful tracial states on A correspond to states satisfying $\text{tr}(p_i) > 0$ for all minimal central projections. As noted, $\text{tr}(p_i)$ is then the value of $\text{tr}(I_i)$ on the i th factor.

The usual trace (we denote by tr_i) is given by

$$\text{tr}_i(I_i) = n_i$$

and so

$$\mathrm{tr}(p_i) = \frac{\mathrm{tr}(p_i)}{n_i} \mathrm{tr}_i(p_i) = \lambda_i \mathrm{tr}_i(p_i)$$

By tr being a state, $\sum_i \mathrm{tr}(p_i) = \mathrm{tr}(1) = 1$ and hence since each $\mathrm{tr}(p_i)$ is positive we have $\mathrm{tr}(p_i) \in (0, 1)$ for each i . Hence, $\lambda_i \in (0, 1)$ for all i . Also,

$$\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{n} = \sum_i \lambda_i n_i = \sum_i \mathrm{tr}(p_i) = 1$$

and hence we have associated to tr a unique vector $\vec{\lambda}$ as desired. Clearly, different values of $\vec{\lambda}$ yield different tracial states.

The converse is also trivially true, we are free to fix λ_i to be any value in $(0, 1)$ subject to the sum constraint. \square

1.2 Operator Algebras

Let H be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, with $B(H)$ its $*$ -algebra of bounded operators under the adjoint involution.

Exercise 7. Show that H is unitarily isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n when an ONB is chosen, so that $B(H)$ is unitarily equivalent to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an ONB for H , and let

$$u : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

be the obvious map sending e_i to the i th standard basis vector in \mathbb{C}^n . By standard computation, u^* is the inverse.

Notice now that conjugation by u

$$x \mapsto u x u^*$$

sends operators in $B(H)$ to operators in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. This map is clearly linear, and since $u^* u = 1$ we see that

$$(xy) \mapsto u x (1) y u^* = u x u^* u y u^*$$

so that this map preserves multiplication. Furthermore,

$$x^* \mapsto u x^* u^* = (u x u^*)^*$$

so this map is a $*$ -homomorphism. Finally, conjugation by u^* is an explicit inverse, so this map is an isomorphism. \square

Exercise 8. Show that a finite-dimensional $*$ -algebra is a C^* -algebra if and only if it has a faithful tracial state. Hence, multimatrix algebras are C^* -algebras.

Proof. Suppose first that A is a finite-dimensional $*$ -algebra with faithful tracial state $\mathrm{tr} \in A^\vee$. There is an obvious inner product we can put on A given by

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^* a)$$

which is indeed linear in a , conjugate-linear in b , and positive-definite since φ is faithful and positive. Hence, this inner product defines a norm on A , making A itself a Hilbert space.

Consider the map

$$L : A \rightarrow B(A)$$

given by sending an element a to the left-multiplication map μ_a given by $\mu_a(x) = ax$. This map is clearly injective since $\mu_a(1) = a \neq 0$. By construction, L is also multiplicative, and observe that

$$\langle \mu_a(x), y \rangle = \varphi(y^*ax) = \varphi((a^*y)^*x) = \langle x, \mu_{a^*}(y) \rangle$$

showing that $\mu_{a^*} = \mu_a^*$ and L is a $*$ -algebra homomorphism.

Hence, A is a $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)$. Since $B(H)$ is a C^* -algebra (under e.g. the operator norm), A is as well with the induced subspace norm.

I'm not quite sure how to do the converse. Clearly if a $*$ -algebra is a $*$ -closed subalgebra of $B(H)$ one can take the trace on $B(H)$ to get a faithful tracial state but in the more abstract setting (i.e. only knowing that the algebra has a norm which satisfies the C^* identity) it is unclear how to proceed. . . \square

For H a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and $S \subseteq B(H)$ some subset, define the commutant of S as the set

$$S' = \{x \in B(H) \mid xs = sx \forall s \in S\}$$

Exercise 9. Show that taking commutants is order-reversing.

Proof. Obvious from definitions. \square

Exercise 10. Show that $S' = S'''$.

Proof. First, we compute that if $x \in S$, then $x(s') = (s')x$ for all $s' \in S'$, hence $x \in S''$. Thus,

$$S \subseteq S''$$

By the previous result, we then have

$$S''' \subseteq S'$$

Conversely, if $x \in S'$, then for all $(s'') \in S''$ we have

$$x(s'') = (s'')x$$

by definition of S'' . But this is exactly the condition for being in S''' , so

$$S' \subseteq S'''$$

\square

Exercise 11. Show that if A is a unital $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)$, then $A = A''$.

Proof. Omitted, its in the reference and is basically a nuts-and-bolts algebra argument. \square

Exercise 12. Show that a finite-dimensional von Neumann algebra is a multimatrix algebra. Furthermore, show that a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is a multimatrix algebra.

Proof. Recall that a von Neumann algebra A is a unital $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)$ which is equal to its double commutant (trivially true in finite dimensions). Recall also that a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is a unital $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)$ closed under the norm topology (also trivially true in finite dimensions).

The key player here is the center $Z(A)$ of the von Neumann algebra.

Lemma 1.1. *The center $Z(A)$ is generated by a finite number of minimal projections.*

Proof. The center $Z(A)$ is an Abelian $*$ -algebra, hence by the spectral theorem we find that $Z(A)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the algebra of continuous functions on a finite space $\text{Spec}(Z(A))$, and hence $Z(A)$ is generated by the functions χ_i with support on a single point. Enumerating $\text{Spec}(Z(A)) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ we get minimal projection operators $p_1, \dots, p_k \in Z(A)$ corresponding to the characteristic functions χ_i .

Since every continuous function on $\text{Spec}(Z(A))$ is of the form

$$f = \sum_i f(\lambda_i) \chi_i$$

the functional calculus guarantees that every element of $Z(A)$ is then of the form

$$z = \sum_i z_i p_i$$

In particular, we get a resolution of the identity

$$1 = \sum_i p_i$$

□

Observe that the spectral resolution gives us

$$1A = \sum_i p_i A = \sum_i p_i A p_i = \sum_i A p_i$$

and since all the projections are mutually orthogonal (by minimality), this sum is direct.

Lemma 1.2. *If p_i is a central projection $p_i \in Z(A)$, $p_i = p_i^* = p_i^2$, then H splits as a representation of A into $p_i H$ and $(1 - p_i)H$. Hence, $H = \bigoplus_i p_i H$ as a representation of A .*

Proof. Since the central projections are orthogonal, it is clear that H splits into $p_i H$ and $(1 - p_i)H$ as an orthogonal direct sum. Since p_i (and $1 - p_i$) are central, these splittings respect the action of A , namely for any $a \in A$ and $v \in p_i H$:

$$av = ap_i v = p_i av$$

showing $av \in p_i H$. □

The structure becomes more clear: each $p_i A p_i$ is a representation on H_i and the direct sum representation is the representation of A on H . Furthermore, since

$$Z(p_i A) = p_i Z(A) = \mathbb{C} p_i$$

is trivial, by considering $p_i A p_i \subseteq B(H_i)$ we reduce to the case of a single factor.

Lemma 1.3. *Suppose A is a unital von Neumann algebra in $B(H)$ with trivial center. Then, A is unitarily equivalent to a matrix algebra, and the representation of A on H is a diagonal direct sum of copies of the defining representation of A .*

Proof. Consider a maximal family of minimal projections $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in A , none of which are in the center by hypothesis, which satisfy $p_i p_j = \delta_{ij}$. We rely on the existence of *partial isometries* between two projections.

Recall that a partial isometry is a factorization of a projection

$$u^* u = p$$

and its interpretation is that of an isometry of $\ker(u)^\perp$ onto its range, extended by zero. In this interpretation, p is projection onto $\ker(u)^\perp$. So, we get the relation

$$uu^*u = u$$

Furthermore, the story can be repeated with the idempotent uu^* , which yields another projection with reversed initial and final subspaces.

Fixing a privileged minimal projection p_1 , define partial isometries v_i satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^* v_i &\leq p_1 \\ v_i v_i^* &\leq p_i \end{aligned}$$

(possible since A has trivial center, by a result from e.g. Vaughan Jones' "Von Neumann Algebras", chapter 4) and since p_i are all minimal, we actually get equality.

Define

$$e_{ij} = v_i v_j^*$$

so that $e_{ii} = p_i$, and $p_i e_{ij} p_j = e_{ij}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij}^* &= e_{ji} \\ e_{ij} e_{kl} &= \delta_{jk} e_{il} \\ \sum_i e_{ii} &= \sum_i p_i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

These elements clearly generate the matrix algebra $M_k(\mathbb{C})$.

Since our collection of minimal projections were all orthogonal, they resolve the identity (by maximality e.g. consider $1 - \sum_i p_i$)

$$1 = \sum_i p_i$$

and hence

$$A = (1)A(1) = \sum_{i,j} p_i A p_j$$

so every element $a \in A$ is of the form

$$a = \sum_{i,j} p_i a p_j = \sum_{i,j} v_i v_i^* a v_j v_j^*$$

but notice that

$$v_i^* a v_j = v_i^* v_i v_i^* a v_j v_j^* v_j = p_1 v_i^* a v_j p_1$$

hence $v_i^* a v_j \in p_1 A p_1 = \mathbb{C} p_1$ so $v_i^* a v_j = \lambda_{ij} p_1$ for some scalar. Hence

$$a = \sum_{i,j} v_i \lambda_{ij} p_1 v_j^* = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} e_{ij}$$

So, the association $A \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by $a \mapsto \lambda_{ij}$ is a unital $*$ -isomorphism, as desired.

Finally, recall we had n minimal projections in our space. Let $L^2([n], p_1 H) = \mathbb{C}^n$ be the Hilbert space of functions from the n -point set to $p_1 H \cong \mathbb{C}^j$. Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} u : L^2([n], p_1 H) &\rightarrow H \\ u f &= \sum_i v_i^* f(i) \end{aligned}$$

which is unitary (!), and hence gives us an explicit description of how A acts on H . Specifically,

$$A = B(L^2([n])) \otimes 1 \subset B(L^2([n], p_1(H))) \cong B(L^2([n]) \otimes p_1 H)$$

□

□

Exercise 13. Show that a finite-dimensional unital complex $*$ -algebra A is a C^* -algebra if and only if

$$a^*a = 0 \implies a = 0$$

in A .

Proof. First, recall that the Jacobson radical of A is defined as

$$J(A) = \{b \in A \mid 1 + abc \text{ is invertible for all } a, c \in A\}$$

and notice that every element of $J(A)$ is nilpotent by some basic algebra (omitted).

Next, observe that if $b \in J(A)$, then for every $a, c \in A$ the element $1 + abb^*c$ is invertible (set $a \rightarrow a$ and $ctob^*c$). Hence if $J(A)$ contains any nontrivial element, it contains a self-adjoint element. Necessarily this element is nilpotent, so some power of it squares to zero. Setting $a = (bb^*)^k$ to be that power, we see that

$$a^2 = a^*a = 0$$

So, if A satisfies $a^*a = 0 \implies a = 0$ we find that $J(A) = \{0\}$ and A is semisimple. By the classification theorem for finite-dimensional semisimple algebras over \mathbb{C} , this implies A is a multmatrix algebra.

Now, suppose A satisfies the condition. Take the minimal central idempotent elements $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and observe that their adjoints $\{p_i^*\}$ are also minimal central idempotents, so that

$$p_i^* = p_j$$

for some j . Applying p_i we find that

$$p_i^*p_i = p_jp_i \neq 0$$

by the condition. Hence $i = j$ and each p_i is self-adjoint. Hence, for any element $ap_i = p_iap_i$ in the i th matrix summand, we have

$$(ap_i)^* = a^*p_i^* = a^*p_i$$

is another element of the same matrix factor. Hence the matrix summands are preserved under $*$.

Considering the $*$ -operation restricted to one factor, we know that it agrees with the standard Hermitian adjoint up to conjugation by a self-adjoint matrix h , that is

$$x^* = hx^\dagger h^{-1}$$

for all x in our matrix factor. We show that h is either positive or negative definite.

Suppose h is neither, so that $\text{Spec}(h)$ has a positive eigenvalue λ_+ and a negative eigenvalue λ_- with eigenvectors v_\pm . Pick x to have one nonzero column with entries $av_+ + bv_-$ such that $a^2/\lambda_+ + b^2/\lambda_- = 0$ (e.g. by setting $b = 1$ and $a = \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda_+}{\lambda_-}}$). A quick computation shows that

$$x^\dagger h^{-1}x = 0$$

and hence

$$x^*x = hx^\dagger h^{-1}x = 0$$

contradicting the assumption.

Hence $\pm h$ is positive-definite operator, and by a previous exercise this implies that this factor is $*$ -isomorphic to the standard matrix algebra. □

1.3 The GNS Construction

Set A to be a multimatrix algebra with a faithful state φ .

Exercise 14. Show that

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a)$$

is an inner product on A .

Proof. All the properties of inner products follow directly from φ being faithful. \square

Exercise 15. Denote by Ω the unit in A , thought of as a vector in $L^2(A, \varphi)$. Show that left-multiplication induces a $*$ -representation of A on $L^2(A, \varphi)$.

Proof. We kind of already showed this. The map

$$L : A \rightarrow B(L^2(A, \varphi))$$

is obviously linear and multiplicative, and by definition of the inner product we have

$$\langle L(a)v\Omega, w\Omega \rangle = \varphi(w^*av) = \varphi((a^*w)^*v) = \langle v\Omega, a^*w\Omega \rangle$$

showing that $L(a^*) = L(a)^*$. \square

Exercise 16. Show that right-multiplication induces a representation, and determine when this is a $*$ -representation.

Proof. Verifying it is a representation is trivial.

We compute

$$\langle R(a)v, w \rangle = \varphi(w^*va) = \varphi(aw^*v)$$

which holds if and only if φ is tracial. \square

Exercise 17. In the case of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, show that the commutant of the left-regular representation is the right-regular representation.

Proof. This fact is purely algebraic and holds for any ring A , viewed as an $A - A$ bimodule. The proof is elementary. Clearly every right action commutes with every left action. Conversely, take an arbitrary operator φ which commutes with the left action. Setting $x = \varphi(1)$, we see that

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(a(1)) = ax = R(x)a$$

hence φ is a right-multiplication operator. \square

Exercise 18. Show the same for $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ as an $M_m(\mathbb{C}) - M_n(\mathbb{C})$ bimodule.

Proof. Skipped \square

Exercise 19. Show the same for general A on $L^2(A, \varphi)$.

Proof. Skipped, we already showed this. \square

Exercise 20. A finite-dimensional complex $*$ -algebra is a multimatrix algebra if and only if it has a faithful state.

Proof. We did the forward direction earlier. Conversely, if A has a faithful state, then L embeds A into $B(L^2(A, \varphi))$ and its image, isomorphic to A , is a unital $*$ -subalgebra of a $B(H)$, hence a multimatrix algebra by the classification of finite-dimensional von Neumann algebras. \square

1.4 Unital Inclusion of Multimatrix Algebras

We say an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$ unital if the image of 1_A is 1_B . For example, the diagonal inclusion of \mathbb{C} into any multimatrix algebra is unital, the inclusion of a factor is not unital.

Exercise 21. Show that a $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital subalgebra of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if k divides n , in which case $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ up to unitary conjugation is embedded diagonally.

Proof. This was proved in our classification of finite-dimensional von Neumann algebras. In particular, $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ has trivial center (its a factor) so if $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ embeds into $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then there is some j for which $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_j(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ embeds as $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1$. The unitary conjugation business comes from the choice of unitary isomorphism above. \square

Now consider a multimatrix algebra unital inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$ with dimension vectors \vec{n}_A and \vec{n}_B and minimal central projectors p_1, \dots, p_k in A and q_1, \dots, q_ℓ in B . Define φ_{ij} as the induced map from $p_i A$ to $q_j B$:

$$\varphi_{ij} : p_i A \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow q_j B$$

and think of it as a map of $*$ -algebras from $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$. It may not be unital, but it is guaranteed to be either trivial or injective.

Exercise 22. However, show that if we consider φ_{ij} as a map from $p_i A$ to $p_i q_j B p_i q_j$ then it is unital.

Proof. Obvious, the image of 1 in A is exactly the projection $p_i q_j$. \square

Example. For clarity, let's work out an example. Suppose $A = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $B = M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Let's define the inclusion to be

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 0) &\mapsto (I, 0, 1) \\ (0, 1) &\mapsto (0, I, 0) \end{aligned}$$

This is unital, since $(1, 1) \mapsto (I, I, 1)$. Notice that each factor includes in an interesting non-unital way. The φ maps are

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1,1} &= (1 \mapsto I) \varphi_{1,2} = (1 \mapsto 0) & \varphi_{1,3} &= (1 \mapsto 1) \\ \varphi_{2,1} &= (1 \mapsto 0) \varphi_{2,2} = (1 \mapsto I) & \varphi_{2,3} &= (1 \mapsto 0) \end{aligned}$$

Example. Again let $A = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and let $B = M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$. Define the inclusion now by

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 0) &\mapsto (e_{11}, e_{11} + e_{22}, 1) \\ (0, 1) &\mapsto (e_{22}, e_{33}, 0) \end{aligned}$$

where e_{ij} is the matrix with a 1 in the ij entry only.

Now, the φ maps are

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1,1} &= (1 \mapsto e_{11}) \varphi_{1,2} = (1 \mapsto e_{11} + e_{22}) & \varphi_{1,3} &= (1 \mapsto 1) \\ \varphi_{2,1} &= (1 \mapsto e_{22}) \varphi_{2,2} = (1 \mapsto e_{33}) & \varphi_{2,3} &= (1 \mapsto 0) \end{aligned}$$

From our classification of matrix subalgebras, we see that for each φ_{ij} we get a whole number Λ_{ij} given by the multiplicity of $p_i A$ in $p_i q_j B p_i q_j$. These assemble into a matrix, which we denote Λ^B , called the *inclusion matrix* of the inclusion.

Example. In the case of the first inclusion, we have

$$\Lambda_A^B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

since e.g. the first factor of \mathbb{C} embeds diagonally in the entire first factor of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and hence has “extrinsic multiplicity” 2.

Example. In the case of the second inclusion, we have

$$\Lambda_A^B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Before we get to exercises, here's a key fact that we need.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $B = B(H)$ for some finite-dimensional H . Then the (not necessarily unital) subalgebra pBp for p a projection in B is known as a corner of B , and is canonically a unital $*$ -subalgebra of $B(pH)$. In particular, $pB(H)p \cong B(pH)$.*

Hence, in the multiplicities computation done above, we find that for factor $q_j B$ of B , $q_j(B) \cong M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C}) \cong B(H_j)$ and $p_i A \cong M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \cong B(H_i)$

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \dim(p_i q_j H_j) / \dim(H_i)$$

Exercise 23. Show that $\vec{n}_A \Lambda = \vec{n}_B$

Proof. We just need to show that $(n_B)_j = \sum_i \Lambda_{ij} (n_A)_i$. As observed,

$$1_A = \sum_i p_i$$

and

$$1_B = \sum_j q_j$$

In particular, the identity on $q_j B$ is

$$q_j(1) = \sum_i p_i q_j$$

which tells us that the factor $q_j B \cong B(\mathbb{C}^{n_j})$ has its Hilbert space split into a sum

$$H_j := \mathbb{C}^{n_j} = \sum_i p_i q_j H_j$$

and furthermore, since $(p_i q_j)(p_k q_j) = \delta_{ik}$ this sum is direct. Hence

$$\dim H_j = (n_B)_j = \sum_i \dim(p_i H_j) = \sum_i \frac{\dim(p_i q_j H_j)}{\dim(p_i H_i)} \dim(p_i H_i) = \sum_i \Lambda_{ij} (n_A)_i$$

as desired. □

Exercise 24. Show that this definition is multiplicative on chained inclusions, so that if $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ then $\Lambda_A^B \Lambda_B^C = \Lambda_A^C$.

Proof. Same as before.

$$1_C = \sum_k r_k$$

whence

$$p_i 1 = \sum_k p_i r_k$$

but also

$$p_i 1 = \sum_j p_i q_j$$

and

$$q_j 1 = \sum_k q_j r_k$$

so

$$p_i 1 = \sum_k p_i r_k = \sum_{j,k} p_i q_j r_k$$

which I believe (needs work) yields the identity we need. \square

From this, we get the combinatorics expressed in the so-called *Bratteli diagram*.

Definition 1.4.1. For an inclusion of multimatrix algebras $A \subseteq B$, associate to it the bipartite graph Γ with k vertices of one type, ℓ vertices of the other, and Γ_{ij} edges from $(n_A)_i$ to $(n_B)_j$.

Exercise 25. Prove that every inclusion $A \subseteq B$ up to unitary conjugation in B is determined by its Bratteli diagram.

Proof. Later... \square

Exercise 26. Suppose λ_A and λ_B are vectors determining faithful tracial states on A and B . Prove that $\text{tr}_B|_A = \text{tr}_A$ if and only if $\Lambda \lambda_B = \lambda_A$.

Proof. Traces are determined by their trace vectors λ by summing

$$\text{tr}_\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i \text{tr}_i$$

where tr_i is the unique un-normalized trace on the i th factor (satisfying $\text{tr}_i(1) = n_i$).

So, assume the traces are equal. This means that on each factor of A , they have the same weighting. In particular,

$$\lambda_i \text{tr}(p_i 1) = \sum_j \lambda_j \text{tr}(p_i q_j)$$

hence

$$\lambda_i = \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{\text{tr}(p_i q_j)}{\text{tr}(p_i)} = \sum_j \lambda_j \frac{\dim(p_i q_j H_j)}{\dim(p_i H_i)}$$

as desired. The converse follows. \square

1.5 Connected Inclusions

For our last definition of the day, define an inclusion to be *connected* if its Bratteli graph is connected.

Exercise 27. Show that $A \subseteq B$ is connected if and only if $Z(A) \cap Z(B) = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Suppose the center is nontrivial, so that there is some nontrivial central minimal idempotent p_i in both $Z(A)$ and $Z(B)$. This then splits the inclusion as

$$(p_i A) \oplus ((1 - p_i) A) \hookrightarrow (p_i B) \oplus ((1 - p_i) B)$$

and thus the associated graphs split as well.

Conversely, if the graph splits then after reordering the vertices we can write its adjacency matrix in block-diagonal form. Considering the first block, the projection onto the direct sum of those factors in B is such a central idempotent. \square

Exercise 28. Show that if $A \subseteq B$ is connected, then there is a unique $d > 0$ and a unique trace vector λ_B with $m_B \lambda_B = 1$ and

$$\Lambda^T \Lambda \lambda_B = d^2 \lambda_B$$

Proof. This follows from the “Frobenius-Perron theorem” applied to $\Lambda^T \Lambda$, which guarantees a unique positive eigenvalue d^2 of $\Lambda^T \Lambda$ such that its eigenvector has positive entries. Normalizing this eigenvector yields the desired result. Connectedness is essential to assure that $\Lambda^T \Lambda$ has all positive entries.

Hence, since $\lambda_A = \Lambda \lambda_B$, we find that

$$\Lambda^T \lambda_A = d^2 \lambda_B$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Lambda \\ \Lambda^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_A \\ d\lambda_B \end{bmatrix} = d \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_A \\ d\lambda_B \end{bmatrix}$$

□

Such a scalar d is called the *Frobenius-Perron* eigenvalue for the inclusion. The tracial vector λ_B is the *Frobenius-Perron* eigenvector for the inclusion.

Exercise 29. Show that if Λ is a proper subgraph of a finite graph Γ , then the Frobenius-Perron eigenvalue of Λ is strictly less than the Frobenius-Perron eigenvalue of Γ

Proof. Subgraphs have adjacency matrices with strictly smaller entries, hence by some linear algebra we find easily that since the Frobenius-Perron eigenvalue is the largest, a spectral radius formula guarantees the result. □