

# 02156 Exercises-06

Jørgen Villadsen

2021

## Exercise 1

Consider the following formula:  $(p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

Use refutation and the resolution procedure (if you are uncertain about this then start with the formulas  $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow p$  and  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$  instead). Try two refutations: one by resolving the literals in the order  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $r$  and the other in the order  $r$ ,  $q$ ,  $p$ .

State whether this shows that the formula is valid or not.

When you have *manually* finished the two refutations you can use the program `resolution(+XFml)` that succeeds iff XFml can be refuted (XFml is a formula in external format).

```
?- resolution( (p => q => r) => (~q => r) => (~p => r) => r ).
```

The program is available in the file `resolution.pl` on CampusNet (Program files folder in the top folder) and prints the results in clausal form. Which order of the literals is used by the program `resolution` in this case?

Study everything in the file `resolution.pl` in details — at home — and test the following formulas:

$p \rightarrow q \rightarrow p \quad (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \quad p \quad p \leftrightarrow p \quad p \leftrightarrow p \leftrightarrow p \quad p \leftrightarrow p \leftrightarrow p \leftrightarrow p \quad p \leftrightarrow p \leftrightarrow p \leftrightarrow p \leftrightarrow p \dots$

## Exercise 2

Consider the following program `add(+Elem,+List1,?List2)` that succeeds iff adding `Elem` once to `List1` gives `List2` (assuming that it is not to be added if already there).

```
add(X,Y,Z) :- member(X,Y), !, Z = Y.
add(X,Y,[X|Y]).
```

Imagine that the program is changed to the following incorrect program:

```
add(X,Y,Y) :- member(X,Y), !.
add(X,Y,[X|Y]).
```

Find a simple query that shows that it is incorrect.

## Exercise 3

Use the if-the-else construction in a program `minmax` that takes two numbers and returns both the minimum and maximum of these numbers as follows:

```
?- minmax(1,2,X,Y).
```

```
X = 1
Y = 2
```

Yes

```
?- minmax(2,1,X,Y).
```

```
X = 1
Y = 2
```

Yes

#### Exercise 4

Consider the following program `tautology(+XFml)` that succeeds iff `XFml` is a tautology (`XFml` is a formula in external format).

Rewrite the program such that it uses the negation-as-failure operator `\+` instead of the cut (`!`).

```
:- ensure_loaded(logic).

tautology(XFml) :-
    to_internal(XFml,Fml), get_atoms(Fml,Atoms),
    generate(Atons,V), tt(Fml,V,f), !, fail.
tautology(_).
```

#### Exercise 5

A team is a set of students represented as a list of student numbers.

Write a program `test(+Team1,+Team2,?Team3)` that unifies `Team3` with `Team1` if `Team1` and `Team2` does not have a student in common and with `[]` otherwise.

#### Exercise 6

Write a program `ms(+List1,?List2)` that succeeds if and only if `List2` is a permutation of `List1` and the elements in `List2` are ordered by the `=<` relation.

Use the Mergesort algorithm, that is, split in non-empty halves, sort them, and then do a merge.

#### Exercise 7

Consider the following Prolog program code:

```
p(X) :- q(X,f(X)).

q(a,f(a)).
q(_,Y) :- r(g(Y)).
q(_,_) .
q(Z,Z) :- !, fail.
q(e,f(e)).

r(g(f(b))).
r(f(g(c))).
r(g(d)).
```

State the result of the following query without using a computer:

```
?- p(X).
```

#### Exercise 8

Consider the following formula:

$$\neg(p \wedge (p \rightarrow ((q \vee r) \wedge \neg(q \wedge r))) \wedge (p \rightarrow ((s \vee t) \wedge \neg(s \wedge t))) \wedge (s \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg r \rightarrow t) \wedge (t \rightarrow s))$$

Use refutation and the resolution procedure.

State whether this shows that the formula is valid or not.

Hint: Use the following equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} A \rightarrow ((B \vee C) \wedge \neg(B \wedge C)) &\equiv \neg A \vee ((B \vee C) \wedge (\neg B \vee \neg C)) \\ &\equiv (\neg A \vee B \vee C) \wedge (\neg A \vee \neg B \vee \neg C) \end{aligned}$$