

02156 Exercises-08

Jørgen Villadsen

2021

Exercise 1

Consider the following definition of a particular directed graph:

`edge(a,c). edge(a,b). edge(b,a).`

Explain the results of the following 5 queries without executing them.

`?- findall(X,edge(X,Y),L).`

`?- findall(Y,edge(X,Y),L).`

`?- bagof(X,edge(X,Y),L).`

`?- bagof(Y,edge(X,Y),L).`

`?- setof(X,edge(X,Y),L).`

`?- setof(Y,edge(X,Y),L).`

Exercise 2

Consider the formula:

$$\forall x p(x) \rightarrow \forall y p(y)$$

The file `qed.pl` available on CampusNet (Program files folder in the top folder) also contains a program `test` that checks a proof in the Hilbert system \mathcal{H} :

```
?- test([
    deduce([], all(X, p(X)) => p(a)),
    deduce([], all(Y, all(X, p(X)) => p(Y))),
    deduce([], (all(Y, all(X, p(X)) => p(Y)) =>
        (all(X, p(X)) => all(Y, p(Y)))),
    deduce([], all(X, p(X)) => all(Y, p(Y)))
]).
```

Printout:

- | | |
|---|---------|
| 1. - (Ax1p(x1) => p(a)) | Axiom 4 |
| 2. - Ax1(Ax2p(x2) => p(x1)) | Gen 1 |
| 3. - (Ax1(Ax2p(x2) => p(x1)) => (Ax2p(x2) => Ax1p(x1))) | Axiom 5 |
| 4. - (Ax1p(x1) => Ax2p(x2)) | MP 3,2 |

Yes

Use `test` to check a proof of the following formula:

$$\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x p(x) \rightarrow \forall x q(x))$$

Hint: Theorem 8.16 is a good starting point.

Exercise 3

Provide a proof in the Gentzen system \mathcal{G} and in the Hilbert system \mathcal{H} of the following formula:

$$\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x p(x) \rightarrow \exists x q(x))$$

Hint: Consider using the C-rule and Theorem 8.14 for the proof in the Hilbert system \mathcal{H} .

Exercise 4

Consider a program `sublist(?List1,?List2)` that succeeds iff `List1` is a sublist of `List2` (the empty list is a sublist of any list).

```
sublist(A,B) :- append(_,C,B), append(A,_,C).
```

```
?- sublist(X,[1,2,3]).
```

```
X = [] ;
```

```
X = [1] ;
```

```
X = [1, 2] ;
```

```
X = [1, 2, 3] ;
```

```
X = [] ;
```

```
X = [2] ;
```

```
X = [2, 3] ;
```

```
X = [] ;
```

```
X = [3] ;
```

```
X = [] ;
```

No

Consider the following 4 programs:

```
sublist1(A,B) :- append(C,_,B), append(_,A,C).
```

```
sublist2([],_).
```

```
sublist2(A,B) :- A = [_|_], append(_,C,B), append(A,_,C).
```

```
sublist3(A,B) :- append(A,_,B).
```

```
sublist3(A,[_|B]) :- sublist3(A,B).
```

```
sublist4([],[]).
```

```
sublist4(A,[_|B]) :- sublist4(A,B).
```

```
sublist4([X|A],[X|B]) :- sublist4(A,B).
```

Compare each of these programs with the given `sublist` result.

Note that SWI-Prolog has a `sublist/3` predicate but the purpose of this predicate is different.