02156 Exercises-10

Jørgen Villadsen

2021

Exercise 1

Transform each of the following formulas to clausal form:

```
\forall x (p(x) \to \exists y q(y))
\forall x \forall y (\exists z p(z) \land \exists u (q(x, u) \to \exists v q(y, v)))
\exists x (\neg \exists y p(y) \to \exists z (q(z) \to r(x)))
```

Check the result using the file qed.pl available on CampusNet (Program files folder in the top folder) — but only when you have first manually transformed the formula to clausal form.

Example:

Yes

Exercise 2

Consider the use of lists as sets (no duplicate elements in the lists).

Write a deterministic program power(+Set,?PowerSet) that unifies PowerSet with the powerset of Set (the powerset of a set is the set of all its subsets).

For example:

```
?- power([a,b,c],P).
P = [[a, b, c], [a, b], [a, c], [a], [b, c], [b], [c], []];
No
```

The order of the elements does not matter.

Use the following program sub(+Set,?SubSet) that unifies SubSet with the subsets of Set on backtracking.

```
sub([],[]).
sub([X|A],[X|B]) :- sub(A,B).
sub([_|A],B) :- sub(A,B).
```

Exercise 3

Prolog uses a so-called logical database update view: If the execution of a program changes the clause database for the program itself then only subsequent executions of the program are affected.

First enter the query ?- dynamic p/1. And the query ?- repeat. Type w and enter a blank line to stop the loop.

Then consider the following query:

```
?- findall(X,( assertz(p(a) :- assertz(p(b))), p(X) ),T).
```

Predict the result of the query when it is repeated five times (also provide a listing of the clause database at the end).

Exercise 4

Write a program ss(+List1,?List2) that succeeds if and only if List2 is a permutation of List1 and the elements in List2 are ordered by the standard order. Use the "Slowsort" algorithm, that is, generate permutations of the list until the result is found. Your solution should look something like this:

```
ss(List1,List2) :- permutation(List1,List2), is_ordered(List2).
```

Note that permutation is library auto-loaded in SWI-Prolog, which means that it can be redefined but are otherwise like built-in predicates.

Is your solution deterministic? Make it determinitic, if it is not so.

Exercise 5

Consider the following deterministic program mysort(+List,?Sorted) that sorts a list with arbitrary elements according to the standard order of terms and such that duplicates are merged.

```
mysort(List,Sorted) :- setof(X,member(X,List),S) -> Sorted = S ; Sorted = [].
?- mysort([b,a,c,a],S).
S = [a, b, c]
```

Yes

If bagof is used instead of setof then the resulting list is the same as the original one.

Note that duplicates are merged and in this respect it is like the SWI-Prolog built-in predicate **sort** but SWI-Prolog also has a built-in predicate **msort** that does not merge duplicates.

Write a quite simple program mymsort(+List,?Sorted) as an alternative to msort but using more or less only a single call to setof and the fact that in SWI-Prolog the variables are ordered by their address (the oldest variable first).

```
?- mymsort([b,a,c,a],S).
S = [a, a, b, c]
Yes
```

The basic predicate member and the predicate findall can be used.

Hint: Consider first the query: $?-setof((X,_),(X = b ; X = a),T)$.