

In order to allow more interesting manifolds than a few that I hard code in, I plan to implement connected sums of manifolds. Unfortunately, almost none of the well-known manifolds can be smoothly connected without changing their metrics. In order to facilitate this, I have found a class of manifolds that can be used as intermediates to smoothly connect two manifolds of constant curvature, and which allows geodesics to be easily calculated. I call them wormholes.

???

Consider a point x on a 3-surface U of revolution made by rotation a curve along a sphere, and a vector v in the tangent space  $U_x$ .

Consider the component  $v_0$  of v along  $S^2$ .

Consider the great circle made by extending x to a geodesic in the direction of  $v_0$ .

We can reflect across this great circle on all the spherical cross-sections of U.

By symmetry, the geodesic made by extending x in the v direction must stay on this slice of U.

This reduces finding the geodesic on a 3-surface of revolution to finding one on a 2-surface.

Consider the quotient space in  $\mathbb{H}^2$  made by identifying two ultraparallel lines so that the intersections with their mutual perpendiculars are identified, and they both go in the same direction.

Then a miracle happend.

The resulting space is a surface of revolution.

We can extend this to a 3-surface of revolution, then use the above method to reduce finding a geodesic to finding one on this space.

222

$$y_0 = a \sin(x_0 - \theta_0), y_1 = a \sin(x_1 - \theta_0)$$

$$\frac{y_1}{y_0} = \frac{\sin(x_1 - \theta_0)}{\sin(x_0 - \theta_0)}$$
????

Rather than mapping a sphere to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can embed it in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Given points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ ,

First, we take the first thee coordinates, which gives us points in  $S^2$ .

We can easily find the great circle between them.

We set up a geodesic along  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , and place  $\mathbf{x}$  at the distance given by  $x_4$  from the bottleneck, where the spherical cross-section is thinnest.

We then move along the spherical cross-section a distance equal to the distance the first three coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$  are along the great circle. We do this simply by scaling  $e^{k\theta}$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle along the great circle, and k is some constant that depends on the specific surface we're using.

We do a similar process for y.

Now we can find the geodesic between them along this  $\mathbb{H}^2$  slice.

We can then find the vector representing the distance and direction from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{y}$ .

Now we simply transform this back to a point on the full space.

 $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  is the component of  $\mathbf{v}$  along the  $S^2$  slice, which is just the the component along the line perpendicular to the circle on the euclidean map used to represent the hyperbolic slice. Just transform this back onto  $S^2$ . Don't worry about the radius of  $S^2$ . We didn't actually use it to calculate distance, so it doesn't matter.

 $v_4$  is the component of **v** orthogonal to the  $S^2$  slice, which is along the cricle on the euclidean map of the hyperbolic slice.

Now to find the geodesic from the vector.

First, we look at this on the  $\mathbb{H}^2$  slice.

We move x onto  $\mathbb{H}^2$  by letting the angle on the geodesic represented by a circle about the origin be  $x_4$ . The radius doesn't matter for this, since it doesn't matter where we start the section of the quotient space we look at. Thus, we can map it to  $\mathbf{x}' = (\sin x_4, \cos x_4)$ .

 $v_4$  gets mapped perpendicular to the direction of  $\mathbf{x}'$  from the origin on the Euclidean plane. The rest of  $\mathbf{v}$  gets pointed along it.

Now that we have a vector in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , we can construct the geosic as when we did it in a slice of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

In a slice of  $\mathbb{H}^{\sigma}$ . Once we have  $\mathbf{y}'$ , we let  $y_4 = \arctan \frac{y_1'}{y_0'}$ .  $e^{k\theta} = \|\mathbf{y}'\|$   $\theta = \frac{1}{k} \ln \|\mathbf{y}'\|^2$   $(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \cos \theta + (v_1, v_2, v_3) \sin \theta$ Finding the rotation is more difficult. First, consider the two-dimensional rotation. ???  $\sin \theta_0 = \frac{\mathbf{x}' \times (\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{c})}{|\mathbf{x}'||\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{c}|}$   $= \frac{x_0'(x_1' - c_1) - x_1'(x_0' - c_0)}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{x}'|^2|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{c}|^2}}$  $= \frac{x_0'x_1' - x_1'(x_0' - c_0)}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{x}'|^2|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{c}|^2}}$ 

$$= \frac{c_0 x_0'}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{x}'|^2 |\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{c}|^2}}$$

$$\cos \theta_0 = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{c} \rangle}{|\mathbf{x}'| |\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{c}|}$$

$$\sin \theta_1 = \frac{\mathbf{y}' \times (\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{c})}{|\mathbf{y}'| |\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{c}|}$$

$$= \frac{c_0 y_0'}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{y}'|^2 |\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{c}|^2}}$$

$$\cos \theta_1 = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}', \mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{c} \rangle}{|\mathbf{y}'| |\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{c}|}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 \\ \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_0 & \sin \theta_0 \\ -\sin \theta_0 & \cos \theta_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let z = the normalization of the component of y perpendicular to x.

I'm going to need to commute this with a matrix that moves  $\mathbf{z}$  to (0, 1, 0, 0). I'm going to make the first digit be the distance along the arc in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

Let's just let it move  $\mathbf{x}$  to (0,0,1,0) and  $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z}$  to (0,0,0,1). It will preserve (1,0,0,0).

This makes the matrix

???

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{z} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_4$$
 $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}'))^{-1}$ 
 $= (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}'))^T$ , since it's a rotation matrix.
???
 $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z}))^T$ 

$$(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z})) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_0 & \sin \theta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_0 & \cos \theta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z}))^T$$

This still fails to take into account the rotation around the sphere.

It just rotates between  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$ , so if we do it mid-conjugation, we can just do it with a 2 × 2 matrix like so:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z})) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{1} & -\sin \theta_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_{1} & \cos \theta_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{0} & \sin \theta_{0} & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{0} & \cos \theta_{0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{z}))^{T} \\ \text{Where } \theta = \frac{1}{2k} \ln \|\mathbf{y}'\|^{2} \end{aligned}$$