

ICS1015 - Assignment

Daniel Magro
484497M

January 2017

Contents

Question 1	2
Question 2	5
Question 3	9
Question 4	12

Question 1

```
1 %Question1
2
3 % 1a
4 % leftPush(Item,OldDeque,NewDeque).
5 /* The 'Item' to be pushed to the left of the 'NewDeque' is
   attached to the 'OldDeque'
6 * using the Bar Notation. The first two arguments are '
   inputs' and the last one is an 'output'.
7 */
8
9 leftPush(Item,OldDeque,[Item|OldDeque]).
10
11 %leftPush(6,[1,2,3],L). => L = [6,1,2,3]
12
13
14
15 % 1b
16 %rightPop(OldDeque,Item,NewDeque)
17
18 % Base Case, Deque with one(/last) element
19 rightPop([Item],Item,[]).
20 /* General Case, OldDeque still has multiple elements. The
   Head and Tail of the 'OldDeque' are
21 * split in the first argument, [H|T], and the same rule is
   called recursively using the Tail.
22 * Recursive calls carry on until the Base Case is reached,
   when only one 'Item' remains,
23 * that which is to be popped, which is set as the second
   argument. Then the recursive unwinding
24 * starts happening, where each Head is attached to the start
   of the List using the Bar Notation,
25 * [H|T2], which will eventually become 'NewDeque'. The first
   recursive call back after the base
26 * case attaches the empty list to the head (which is now the
   new last(rightmost) element),
```

```

27 * which is how it is popped.
28 */
29 rightPop([H|T],Item,[H|T2]) :- rightPop(T,Item,T2).
30
31 %rightPop([6,1,2,3],I,L). => I = 3, L = [6,1,2]
32
33
34
35 % 1c
36 %leftPop(OldDeque,Item,NewDeque)
37
38 /* The 'Item' to be popped from the left of the 'OldDeque' is
   removed from the 'OldDeque'
39 * using the Bar Notation. The first two arguments are '
   inputs' and the last one is an 'output'.
40 * The 'Item' is set to the Head of the OldDeque, and the
   NewDeque is set to the Tail of the OldDeque.
41 */
42 leftPop([H|T],H,T).
43
44 %leftPop([6,1,2,3],I,L). => I = 6, L = [1,2,3]
45
46
47 %rightPush(Item,OldDeque,NewDeque).
48
49 % Base Case, pushing an item to an empty List
50 rightPush(Item,[],[Item]).
51 /* General Case, OldDeque still has multiple elements. The
   Head and Tail of the 'OldDeque' are
52 * split in the second argument, [H|T], and the same rule is
   called recursively using the Tail.
53 * Recurive calls carry on until the Base Case is reached,
   when the empty List is reached,
54 * and the item to be pushed, which is set as the first
   argument, can be inserted easily
55 * Then the recursive unwinding starts happening, where each
   Head is attached to the start of the
56 * List using the Bar Notation, [H|T2], which will eventually
   become 'NewDeque'.
57 */
58 rightPush(Item,[H|T],[H|T2]) :- rightPush(Item,T,T2).
59
60 %rightPush(6,[1,2,3],L). => L = [1,2,3,6]
61
62
63
64 % 1d
65 % checkEmpty(Deque)
66

```

```

67 % Predicate, The fact that a Deque is empty if it is an Empty
    List.
68 checkEmpty([]).
69
70 /*
71 * checkEmpty([]). => yes
72 * checkEmpty([1]). => no
73 * checkEmpty([6,1,2,3]). => no
74 */
75
76
77
78 % 1e
79 %dequeSize([6,1,2,3],S).
80
81 % Base Case, an empty Deque has size 0
82 dequeSize([],0).
83 /* General Case, in the first argument the Deque is Split
    using the Bar Notation, [_|T], discarding
84 * the head as it has no relevance, and the same rule is
    called recursively until the Base Case is
85 * reached. The Base case sets the Size to 0 and starts the
    recursive unwinding. Each time another
86 * rule is unwound, the size of the deque is incremented.
87 */
88 dequeSize(_|T,S) :- dequeSize(T,S1), S is S1+1.
89
90 %dequeSize([6,1,2,3],S). => S = 4

```

Question 2

The following mathematical steps are the reasoning and method used for question 2d, solving two simultaneous equations. These are explained from a mathematical standpoint, how each step was completed in Prolog is explained in the line comments for question 2d.

$$Eq1 : \quad X1x + Y1y = C1$$

$$Eq2 : \quad X2x + Y2y = C2$$

$$\Rightarrow Eq1_2 : X2(X1x + Y1y = C1)$$

$$\Rightarrow Eq2_2 : X1(X2x + Y2y = C2)$$

$$\Rightarrow Eq1_2 : \quad X1_2x + Y1_2y = C1_2$$

$$\Rightarrow Eq2_2 : \quad X2_2x + Y2_2y = C2_2$$

$$Eq1_2 \quad - \quad Eq2_2$$

$$\Rightarrow (Y1_2 - Y2_2)y = (C1_2 - C2_2)$$

$$\therefore y = \frac{C1_2 - C2_2}{Y1_2 - Y2_2}$$

$$Eq1 : \quad X1x + Y1y = C1$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{C1 - (Y1 * y)}{X1}$$

```

1 % Question 2
2
3 % 2a
4 %extraCoef(Coef,Equation,Value).
5
6 /* The following 3 rules each have the first argument set to
   the coefficient that may be specified in the
7 * first argument, being x,y or c(constant). Depending on
   whichever query is entered into the console,
8 * pattern matching occurs on the first argument, and the
   relevant rule is selected. If the query were
9 * to be, say extraCoef(y,eq(1,2,3),V)., then y would be
   matched to the second rule, 1 and 3 would be
10 * discarded, and Y would be set to 2, and V set to Y.
11 */
12 extraCoef(x,eq(X,_,_),X).
13 extraCoef(y,eq(_,Y,_),Y).
14 extraCoef(c,eq(_,_,C),C).
15
16 %extraCoef(x,eq(2,1,4),V). => V = 2.
17
18
19
20 % 2b
21 %sameCoefSign(Coef1,Coef2).
22
23 /* The following 3 rules define all possible combinations of
   Coef1 and Coef2 that have same signs.
24 * These being both positive (rule 1),both negative (rule 2)
   or both 0 (rule 3).
25 */
26 sameCoefSign(X,Y) :- X>0,Y>0.
27 sameCoefSign(X,Y) :- X<0,Y<0.
28 sameCoefSign(X,Y) :- X==0,Y==0.
29
30 /*
31 * sameCoefSign(-2,-1). => yes
32 * sameCoefSign(-2,1). => no
33 */
34
35
36
37 % 2c
38 %raiseEq(Multiple,OrigEq,FinalEq).
39
40 /* This rule works by first creating 3 new variables, one for
   each coefficient of the FinalEquation.
41 * The first argument, T, receives the Multiple by which the
   first equation will be multiplied.

```

```

42 * Then, Xt, Yt and Ct are set to their original value
    multiplied by T, (Xt = X * T, Yt = ...).
43 * Finally, the Third argument is eq(Xt,Yt,Ct), which will
    return the FinalEquation.
44 */
45 raiseEq(T,eq(X,Y,C),eq(Xt,Yt,Ct)) :- Xt is X * T, Yt is Y * T
    , Ct is C * T.
46
47 %raiseEq(2,eq(1,-1,-1),F). => F = eq(2,-2,-2)
48
49
50
51 % 2d
52 %solveSim(Eq1,Eq2,Xvalue,Yvalue).
53
54 /* First, Eq1 was multiplied throughout by the coefficient of
    X in Eq2, using the raiseEq relation.
55 * The resulting equation was stored in Eq1_2.
56 * Similary, the second equation was multiplied throughout by
    the coefficient of X in the first equation.
57 * The resulting equation was stored in Eq2_2.
58 *
59 * Next, the coefficient of Y in the newly created first
    equation, Eq1_2, is stored inside the Variable Vy1 using
    the extraCoef relation ,
60 * Similarly, the coefficient of Y in Eq2_2 was stored inside
    Vy2.
61 *
62 * Similarly, the constant in Eq1_2 is stored inside Vc1 and
    the constant inside Eq2_2 is stored inside Vc2.
63 *
64 * DiffY is set to Vy1 - Vy2 and DiffC is set to Vc1 - Vc2.
65 *
66 * The final value of Y, Yv, is set to DiffC / DiffY.
67 *
68 * The final value of X, Xv, is set to (C1-(Y1*Yv))/X1.
69 */
70 solveSim(eq(X1,Y1,C1),eq(X2,Y2,C2),Xv,Yv) :-
71     raiseEq(X2,eq(X1,Y1,C1),Eq1_2), raiseEq(X1,eq(X2,Y2,C2),
    Eq2_2),
72     extraCoef(y,Eq1_2,Vy1), extraCoef(y,Eq2_2,Vy2),
73     extraCoef(c,Eq1_2,Vc1), extraCoef(c,Eq2_2,Vc2),
74     DiffY is Vy1 - Vy2, DiffC is Vc1 - Vc2,
75     Yv is DiffC / DiffY,
76     Xv is (C1-(Y1*Yv))/X1.
77
78
79 %solveSim(eq(2,1,4),eq(1,-1,-1),X,Y). => X = 1, Y = 2.
80

```



```

81
82
83 % 2e
84 %checkSol(Eq1,Eq2,Xvalue,Yvalue).
85
86 /* The coefficients of Eq1 (x,y and the costant) were stored
      in X1,Y1 and C1 respectively using the extraCoef relation.
87 * Next, the coefficients and the values of x and y (Xv and
      Yv) are substituted into the equation (X1*Xv + Y1*Yv) and
      (C1)
88 * and both sides are checked for equality.
89 * The same process was repeated for the second equation (Eq2
      ).
90 * If and only if both equations hold with the substituted
      values of x and y will the relation return yes, otherwise
      it will
91 * return no
92 */
93 checkSol(Eq1,Eq2,Xv,Yv) :-
94     extraCoef(x,Eq1,X1), extraCoef(y,Eq1,Y1), extraCoef(c,Eq1,
      C1),
95     X1*Xv + Y1*Yv == C1,
96     extraCoef(x,Eq2,X2), extraCoef(y,Eq2,Y2), extraCoef(c,Eq2,
      C2),
97     X2*Xv + Y2*Yv == C2.
98
99 %checkSol(eq(2,1,4),eq(1,-1,-1),1,2). => yes

```

Question 3

```
1 % Question 3
2
3 % 3a
4 %sumOfRatios(Ratio,Sum).
5
6 % Base Case, The sum of a ratio with only one term is that
   term.
7 sumOfRatios(ratio([X]),X).
8 /* General Case, In the first argument, the ratio,
   represented as a list, is split using the Bar Notation,
   ratio([H|T]).
9 * The same relation is called recursively using the Tail, T,
   until there is only one term left, and thus the base case
   is reached.
10 * The base case sets the sum of the ratio to the single term
   that is left. Then the recursive call back starts
   happening
11 * With every step of the call back, the Sum 'S' is
   incremented by the value of the Head 'H'.
12 */
13 sumOfRatios(ratio([H|T]),S) :- sumOfRatios(ratio(T),S1), S is
   S1 + H.
14
15 %sumOfRatios(ratio([3,1,2]),S). => S = 6
16
17
18
19 % 3b
20 %reduceRatio(OriginalRatio,FinalRatio).
21
22 /* gcd_eff is a generic relation which calculates the
   Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) of any two integers.
23 * gcd is another relation which calculates the GCD of a list
   of integers
24 */
```

```

25 % Base Case
26 gcd_eff(X,0,L) :- L is X.
27 gcd_eff(0,Y,L) :- L is Y.
28 % General Case, Calculates the modulo of the two integers and
    recursively calls the same rule with the Remainder and
    the smaller integer.
29 gcd_eff(X,Y,L) :- (X>Y,(M is X mod Y,gcd_eff(M,Y,L));(M is Y
    mod X,gcd_eff(X,M,L))).
30 % Base Case, Calculates the GCD of the last two integers in
    the list using the gcd_eff relation.
31 gcd([X,Y],GCD) :- gcd_eff(X,Y,GCD).
32 /* General Case, The Head and Tail are split in the first
    argument, [H|T],
33 * Then, the same rule is called recursively using the Tail,
    T, until the Base Case is reached.
34 * The base case returns the GCD of the last 2 elements in
    the list. After that the recursive call back starts
    happening.
35 * With every step of the call back, the value of the is
    updated with the GCD of the Head and the previous GCD.
36 */
37 gcd([H|T],GCD) :- gcd(T,GCD1), gcd_eff(H,GCD1,GCD).
38
39
40 % Base Case, when only one element is left, the reduced ratio
    is the element divided by the GCD.
41 divR([X],GCD,[FR]) :- FR is X/GCD.
42 /* General Case, The Head and Tail of the ratio list are
    split in the first argument, [H|T],
43 * The Head is divided by the GCD, and stored inside X, the
    same relation is called again, this time with the Tail.
44 * The recursive call keeps happening until there is only one
    element left, and thus the base case is reached.
45 * With every recursive call back after the base case, the
    reduced ratios are attached to their preceeding term,
46 * one by one, using the bar notation, [X|FR].
47 * Finally, X is attached to the start of the FinalRatio in
    the thrid argument, [X|FR].
48 */
49 divR([H|T],GCD,[X|FR]) :- X is H/GCD,divR(T,GCD,FR).
50
51 /* This relation first calculates the GCD of all the ratios
    in the list.
52 * then it divides every term/dividend of the ratio by the
    GCD using the divR relation.
53 */
54 reduceRatio(ratio(OR),FR) :- gcd(OR,GCD),divR(OR,GCD,FR).
55
56 %reduceRatio(ratio([30,10,20]),R). => R = ratio([3,1,2])

```

```

57
58
59
60 % 3c
61 %divideRatio(Amount,Ratio,Parts).
62
63 % The mulR relation multiplies every term in the Ratio by 'M
    '
64 % This relation works exactly like divR, only every '/' is
    replaced by a '*'.
65 mulR([X],M,[FR]) :- FR is X*M.
66 mulR([H|T],M,[X|FR]) :- X is H*M,mulR(T,M,FR).
67
68 /* This relation first calculates the sum of all the terms in
    the ratio 'R', using the sumOfRatios relation, and stores
    the sum in 'S'.
69 * Next, it divides the Amount 'A' to be divided among the
    ratio, by the Sum 'S', and stores the quotient in M.
70 * Finally, the relation mulR is called with the Ratio 'R'
    and 'M', with the output being stored in 'P'.
71 */
72 divideRatio(A,ratio(R),P) :-
73     sumOfRatios(ratio(R),S),
74     M is A / S,
75     mulR(R,M,P).
76
77 %divideRatio(54,ratio([30,10,20]),P). => P = [27,9,18]

```

Question 4

```
1 % Question 4
2
3 % 4a
4 %mem_of(M,S).
5
6 % Base Case, If the Head of the list is the same as the
   element being checked for membership, M, then 'M' is a
   member of 'S'.
7 mem_of(M,[M|_]).
8 /* General Case, If the base case is not satisfied, The Head
   and the Tail of the set (list) are seperated in the second
   argument,
9  * using the bar notation, [H|T]. However H is discarded
   using '_' since it is not needed. The same relation is
   then called
10  * recursively using the tail 'T'.
11  */
12 mem_of(M,[_|T]) :- mem_of(M,T).
13
14 /* Another possible way of implementing mem_of
15  * mem_of(M,[H|T]) :- H:=M; mem_of(M,T). */
16
17 /* An easier (and possibly more efficient) way of doing this
   would be to use the inbuilt Prolog method.
18  * mem_of(M,S) :- member(M,S).
19  */
20
21 %mem_of(6,[2,6,3,4]). => yes
22 %mem_of(6,[2,5,3,4]). => no
23
24
25
26 % 4b
27 %subset_of(S1,S2).
28
```

```

29 % Base Case, The empty set is a subset of any other set.
30 subset_of([],_).
31 /* General Case, First, the Head and the Tail of the first
   set 'S1' are split in the first argument, [H|T].
32 * Next, it is checked whether the Head 'H' is an element of
   the set 'S2' and the same relation is called recursively,
   using the Tail 'T'.
33 * The recursive calls keep occuring until all the heads have
   been checked
34 * until the tail, T, is the empty list, which is the base
   case.
35 * If all the elements of S1 are also present in S2, this
   must mean that S1 is a subset of S2.
36 */
37 subset_of([H|T],S2) :- mem_of(H,S2),subset_of(T,S2).
38
39 %subset_of([2,4],[2,6,3,4]). => yes
40
41
42
43 % 4c
44 %intersect(S1,S2,S3).
45
46 % The intersection of the empty set with any set is the empty
   set
47 intersect([],_,[]).
48 /* The first argument, [X|S1], splits the head, X, and the
   tail, now named S1, of the set 'S1',
49 * Then, the relation checks if 'X' is a member of S2. If so,
   the same relation is called using the tail of S1.
50 * Also, because of the third argument, [X|S3], X is attached
   to the resulting set, S3.
51 * If not, The next rule is applicable.
52 */
53 intersect([X|S1],S2,[X|S3]) :- mem_of(X,S2), intersect(S1,S2,
   S3).
54 /* This rule is applicable when X is not a member of S2. This
   relation simply calls the intersect procedure using
55 * the tail of S1, discarding the head (since it does not
   occur in S2 it is not part of the intersect).
56 */
57 intersect(_|S1,S2,S3) :- intersect(S1,S2,S3).
58
59 %intersect([20,4,13,11,24],[33,2,4,11,20,68],S). => S =
   [20,4,11].
60
61
62
63 % 4d

```

```

64 %unite(S1,S2,S3).
65
66 % The union of an empty set and any other set is the set.
67 unite([],S,S).
68 /* The first argument, [X|S1], splits the head, X, and the
   tail, now named S1, of the set 'S1',
69 * Then, the relation checks if 'X' is NOT a member of S2. If
   'X' is not a member of S2, The same relation is called
   recursively using the tail of S1.
70 * The above is done to avoid duplicates in the resulting set
   union. The recursive calls keep occuring until S1 is an
   empty set.
71 * At this point, the base case is reached, and thus the
   resulting set becomes 'S2'. Then, with each successive
   call back,
72 * 'X' is attached to the head of the resultant set, 'S3'.
73 * If 'X' is a member of S2, then the following rule is
   applicable.
74 */
75 unite([X|S1],S2,[X|S3]) :- not(mem_of(X,S2)), unite(S1,S2,S3)
   .
76 /* This rule is applicable when X is a member of S2. This
   relation simply calls the unite procedure using the tail
77 * of S1, discarding the head (since it occurs in S2, and S3
   (the resulting set) will be set to S2 in the base case,
78 * there is no need for S1 to contain it as it will lead to
   repeated elements).
79 */
80 unite([_|S1],S2,S3) :- unite(S1,S2,S3).
81
82 %unite([5,2,6,3,4],[1,5,7,2,4],S). => S = [6,3,1,5,7,2,4]

```