The Ethics of Data Privacy in Social Media Engineering: Balancing User Consent with Corporate Objectives

Daniel Mauricio Vergara Pinilla

Dec17, 2024

As engineers, are we capable of producing something remarkable or of unintentionally destroying all we value? Engineers are frequently perceived as rational people who are obsessed with intricate issues and machinery. But the ability to create, especially in the field of technology, carries a greater responsibility. It is our responsibility as engineers to shape the technologies that affect nearly every part of our lives. Our inventions have the potential to impact millions of people thanks to developments in artificial intelligence, data collection, and algorithmic decision-making. In the context of social media, where user data is utilized to inform business models, create content, and sway behavior, this obligation is especially significant.

I will examine the moral dilemmas pertaining to data privacy on social media sites in this case study. Large volumes of personal data are gathered by these businesses in order to deliver tailored content and relevant ads. The difficulty is striking a careful balance between protecting users' rights and privacy and using this data to advance the company. As public criticism of privacy practices increases and events like the Facebook-Cambridge Analytica scandal highlight the adverse effects of unethical data use, this issue is highly pertinent today. The ethical dilemma in this case is that engineers must create systems that both satisfy the platforms' commercial requirements and safeguard user privacy.

Social media companies are under more and more pressure to make sure that their data collection methods are moral, open, and accountable as their impact and power increase. The long-term effects of their decisions on users and society at large must be taken into account by engineers who are in charge of designing and implementing these systems. When developing technologies that impact behavior and public opinion, engineers must be fully aware of the range of their work and their ethical obligations.

The ubiquitous practice of social media corporations collecting vast amounts of user data for targeted advertising and individualized content delivery is at the heart of this case's ethical dilemma. These tactics create serious moral questions about user consent, privacy, and transparency, even while they enhance the user experience and increase business profitability. High-profile scandals like the Facebook-Cambridge Analytica case, in which a third-party app collected millions of users' data without their express knowledge and utilized it for political

advertising, have widened the scope of this problem. Social media companies have been compelled to reevaluate their user data management practices as a result of this crisis, which exposed the potential for misuse.

Stakeholders involved in this issue include:

- **Users**: The main parties affected directly by data privacy concerns are users. Users may grant their agreement unintentionally through ambiguous, complicated terms of service because they frequently need to learn how their data is being utilized.
- **Engineers and Designers:** Engineers are essential to the development of systems that gather and handle data. They are in charge of making sure these systems adhere to data protection regulations and are morally and openly sound. Nonetheless, they frequently face pressure from upper management to put business objectives like increasing engagement and ad revenue first. It is the responsibility of engineers to create solutions that satisfy user privacy expectations while also achieving organizational goals.
- **Corporate Executives**: Leaders of the company who put shareholder value and financial growth first. They are in charge of advancing business plans that frequently make extensive use of data-driven models. These executives have the power to establish regulations that either put user protection and transparency first or use user data to increase profits.
- **Regulators**: Governments and regulatory agencies that enforce laws and rules, such as the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), to safeguard user data. These rules are intended to provide consumers greater control over their data and hold businesses responsible for privacy violations. However, there are significant global regulatory framework differences, which present difficulties for firms doing business abroad.

In a global setting, the problem is made worse by the disparities in data privacy regulation among nations. Companies that operate internationally may need help since some countries, such as the European Union, have tight laws while others have softer ones. Because businesses that

gather user data may unintentionally violate consumers' privacy rights depending on where they are situated, the ramifications of this contradiction in privacy legislation are extensive.

Several ethical issues arise from the case of social media data privacy:

1. **Informed Consent**: Whether users are entirely aware of the scope of data collection and the consequences of giving their assent is one of the most fundamental questions. The majority of social media sites have long, intricate privacy regulations that consumers frequently need to pay more attention to, which prevents them from giving their proper, informed consent. The opt-out strategy, which requires users to work to stop data collecting rather than opt-in actively, exacerbates the issue.
2. **Transparency**: How open are businesses about what information they gather, how they use it, and who they share it with? Users needed to be made aware that their data was being sold to third parties, a lack of transparency in data-sharing procedures that was exposed by the Facebook-Cambridge Analytica incident. The fact that terms of service sometimes need to be clarified and challenging for the typical user to comprehend further contributes to transparency problems.
3. **Data Exploitation**: Businesses' use of data for targeted advertising raises ethical questions, mainly when such data is used to influence user behavior or establish compulsive engagement patterns. Are businesses taking advantage of weak users to make money? Targeting weak or sensitive groups, including young users or people with mental health conditions, makes this exploitation especially worrisome.
4. **Privacy Violations**: Social media companies frequently gather more information than is required, which jeopardizes consumer privacy. Businesses' inability to sufficiently protect user data from abuse or unauthorized access, which could lead to breaches, is causing increasing concern. In the worst cases, customers might not be aware that their personal data has been given or sold to third parties for advertising purposes.
5. **Ethical Use of AI**: Social media networks' algorithms are made to customize material in order to increase user interaction. Sensational or emotionally charged content, however, is frequently given priority by these algorithms, which can exacerbate user polarization, disinformation, and mental health problems. The moral dilemma of whether it is

acceptable to utilize AI systems to control users' emotions in order to make money emerges by keeping a user engaged without realizing it.

To analyze these ethical issues, I will use three ethical frameworks: **Consequentialism**, **Deontology**, and **Virtue Ethics**. These frameworks provide a structured approach to evaluating the ethical issues in this case.

- **Consequentialism**: considers the results of activities to determine their morality. In this situation, we would evaluate the effects of data gathering and use: do privacy violations balance the advantages of tailored content and targeted advertising? Widespread data collecting on social media can have a variety of adverse effects, from breaches of privacy regulations to a decline in consumer trust. More generally, social media firms that engage in unethical data methods risk losing users' confidence, harming their reputations, and facing fines from the authorities. However, the advantages for the businesses are apparent: the better potential to draw in ads, enormous profitability, and increased engagement. Consequentialism, therefore, encourages us to consider these conflicting outcomes.
- **Deontology** emphasizes obligations and regulations. According to deontology, engineers have a responsibility to uphold users' rights and guarantee openness in the gathering of data. In order to ensure that users' data is utilized in compliance with their consent and the company's declared policies, they must create systems that adhere to legal and ethical standards. Regardless of the possible financial gains for the business, engineers need to give these ethical issues top priority.
- **Virtue Ethics** focuses on the moral fiber of the person making the choice. In this instance, it inquires as to whether the engineers creating these systems are behaving honorably, truthfully, and sympathetically while designing systems that manage user data. Are engineers only thinking about financial results, or are they also taking into account how their work affects users' lives more broadly? In their design choices, engineers should prioritize virtues like accountability, empathy, and transparency, according to virtue ethics.

Each of the ethical issues identified can be analyzed through these frameworks:

1. **Informed Consent**: From a **Consequentialist** perspective, The decline of user trust and possible legal repercussions are just two of the serious adverse effects of not getting informed permission. From a deontological perspective, it is unethical to fail to give explicit and transparent permission. According to virtue ethics, engineers who create unclear or misleading consent forms are behaving unethically since they don't show integrity or regard for the autonomy of users.
2. **Transparency**: Consequentialism would assess whether a lack of openness results in unfavorable consequences like public outrage or fines from the government. According to deontology, businesses and engineers need to inform users clearly and understandably about the collection and use of their data. According to virtue ethics, engineers ought to be honest and moral, making sure that users are aware of data practices.
3. **Data Exploitation**: Consequentialism would look at the possible negative consequences of using user data for financial gain, including the psychological repercussions of deceptive advertising. According to deontological ethics, engineers should create systems that respect users' autonomy and dignity rather than just utilizing them as a means to an end. Engineers would be encouraged by virtue ethics to put moral principles ahead of immediate financial benefit.
4. **Privacy Violations**: According to consequentialist theory, a company's reputation and user trust may suffer more long-term harm from privacy infractions than from short-term financial gains. While virtue ethics would emphasize the value of empathy and respect for users' privacy in the design process, deontology would emphasize the moral need to safeguard user privacy.

Three potential solutions can be considered:

1. **Enhanced Transparency**: Social media firms should update their privacy guidelines to make them more understandable and approachable. Information regarding what data is being gathered, how it is being used, and who is sharing it should be readily available to users. Additionally, the business should offer tools that let people monitor their consent options and data usage. This choice is consistent with the deontological values of integrity and openness.

2. **Opt-In Consent Models**: Businesses should implement transparent, opt-in consent procedures instead than using default opt-in models. This enables users to choose with knowledge what information they share and what kinds of data use they agree to. Deontological ethics, which prioritize user autonomy and privacy protection, is consistent with this method.

3. **Data Minimization and Ethical AI Design**: Social media companies should only gather the information required to operate their services, minimizing the quantity of data they gather. Additionally, they should make sure that algorithms are made to steer clear of deceptive or addictive patterns. This solution is in line with virtue ethics since it encourages responsible and moral decision-making in AI design and consequentialism because it lowers the risks of harm to users.

I suggest using a combined strategy that includes data minimization, improved transparency, and opt-in consent models. Users are empowered to make decisions regarding their data and are fully informed about how it is used thanks to this strategy. It also promotes virtue ethics by encouraging honesty and compassion in the design process, and it is consistent with deontological ethics by respecting the obligations of openness and compliance. Although this approach might have some short-term financial consequences for businesses, it is the most moral option due to the long-term advantages of increasing customer trust and ensuring compliance with new data protection regulations.

As a way to conclude, social media data privacy raises a number of intricate and nuanced ethical concerns. In order to preserve users' privacy and autonomy, engineers must strike a balance between their moral obligations and business objectives. Engineers play a crucial role in designing the systems that gather and use user data. Engineers can make better, morally sound decisions by using theories like virtue ethics, deontology, and consequentialism. By balancing the demands of users, businesses, and society, the suggested solution of more transparency, opt-in consent models, and data minimization provides a responsible way ahead.

References

- Bentham, J. (1789). *The Principles of Morals and Legislation*.
- Van de Poel, I. (2011). "Engineering ethics and technological risks: A new approach." *Science and Engineering Ethics*.
- Luegenbiehl, H. C. (1983). *Ethics and Professional Responsibility in Engineering*.
- Whitbeck, C. (1998). *Ethics in Engineering Practice and Research*.
- Harris, C. E., et al. (2005). *Engineering Ethics: Concepts and Cases*.
- VPNs and Social Media: How to Stay Safe and Anonymous Online - WorldVPN. https://blog.worldvpn.net/2023/05/07/vpns-and-social-media-how-to-stay-safe-and-anonymous-online/
- Social Impact Assessment in Philanthropy | Richard Liebowitz | Philanthropy & Community. https://richardliebowitz.org/social-impact-assessment-in-philanthropy/
- It’s not all Boring: Exploring the Crucial Services Offered by Geotechnical Engineers. https://learn.aiacontracts.com/articles/its-not-all-boring-exploring-the-crucial-services-offered-by-geotechnical-engineers/