

Advanced sorting algorithms

A – Theory part

- A.1.** Identify the Big-O time complexity for the **best case** and **worst case** of *heap sort*. Repeat the question for *quick sort*, *merge sort*, *radix sort*, and *counting sort*.
- A.2.** What is the worst case of *quick sort*, where the corresponding time complexity is **$O(n^2)$** ? Among sorting algorithms with time complexity (in average case) $O(n \log_2 n)$, *quick sort* is most popular though its complexity deteriorates to **$O(n^2)$** in the **worst case**. Explain why.
- A.3.** What is the **running time** of *heap sort* on an array of n elements **already sorted**? Explain.
- A.4.** What is the **running time** of *quick sort* on an array of n **identical elements**? Explain.
- A.5.** What are the differences among *radix sort*, *counting sort*, and *bucket sort*?
- A.6.** Consider an array of positive integers, $A = \{100, 77, 49, 1, 29, 51, 7, 15, 90\}$. Sort the given array in **ascending order** using *heap sort*. Present the resulting array after heap building and each heap correction. Mark the boundary between sorted and unsorted regions.
- A.7.** Consider an array of positive integers, $A = \{100, 77, 49, 1, 29, 51, 7, 15, 90\}$. Sort the given array in **ascending order** using *quick sort*, where the pivot is chosen following the *median-of-three* strategy. Present the resulting array after each partition with the pivot in its correct position. Mark the boundary between sorted and unsorted regions.
- A.8.** Consider an array of positive integers, $A = \{100, 77, 49, 1, 29, 51, 7, 15, 90\}$. Sort the given array in **ascending order** using *merge sort*. Draw a diagram depicting the split and merge.
- A.9.** Consider an array of positive integers, $A = \{100, 77, 49, 1, 29, 51, 7, 15, 90\}$. Sort the given array in **ascending order** using *counting sort*. Present the frequency of occurrence for every distinct element and the accumulated values.
- A.10.** For each of the following situations, choose the sorting algorithm that will perform the best. The candidates are: *selection sort*, *bubble sort*, *insertion sort*, *interchange sort*, *heap sort*, *merge sort*, and *quick sort*. Note that an algorithm may be chosen several times, while more than one answer may be acceptable for some questions.
- a. I have a fast computer with many processors and lots of memory. I want to choose a sorting algorithm that is fast and can also be parallelized easily to use all of the processors to help sort the data.

- b. You can only use the sorting algorithm with time complexity of $O(n \log_2 n)$, even in the worst case. You also may not use extra space, except for a few local variables.
- c. The array is mostly sorted already (a few elements are in the wrong place).
- d. Instead of sorting the entire data set, you only need the k smallest elements where k is an input to the algorithm but is likely to be much smaller than the size of the entire data set.

B – Coding part

- B.1.** Provide appropriate modifications to *heap sort* so that it can be used for **descending order**. Repeat the question for *merge sort*, *quick sort*, *radix sort* and *counting sort*.
- B.2.** Implement the three versions of *quicksort* shown in the lecture slide.
- B.3.** Implement *merge sort* without using the additional temporary array.
- B.4.** Implement an $O(n \log_2 n)$ sorting algorithm that is different from those in the lecture.