Knoth - Morris - Patt Algorithm (KMP)

Speedup from Naive Exact Matching Algorithm based on idea discussed in last fecture: information about the Structure of pattern P can be used to avoid unecessary character comparisons:

成了

T: ABCABDAB ...

P: ABCDABD

we can infer that comparing P at position T[Z] is not needed (and T[3] as well), so we should compare subanting at wisnested position instead.

Ex 2

T: ABCABCDABCA...

Q: How for can we skip? To visual ch position?

A: No, there is a possible occurrence of P starting at T[3]

Q: How do ve Know that?

Substing P[3,4] ratches P[1,2]. There is a substing of P ording at 4 that most does a profit of P.

Formally:

Def: $Spm_i(P) = length of longest substring of P$ that ends at i>1 and matches a prefix of P such that $P[i+1] \neq P[spm_i+1]$

Note: @ these are denoted as spi in the Gusfield

book

Book

Spm: suffix-prefix-mismatch

Shift Rule: For any alignment of P and T, if the first mismatch occurs in position it 1 of P and position k of T, then shift i - spm, (P) places to the right. If no mismatch, shift IPI-spm, (P) places places.

Note: After shift PEI,..., Spmi] and T[K-spmi,..., K-1] are aligned and match

To Spung W

P: Spung Y

i+1

After shift: Spung K

p: IX

Ex:

P: ABCDEFGHABCD Spm12 = 4

T: ABCD EFGHABCD E

1111 1111 11 X

P: ABCD EFGHABCDC

6=12

shift 8 positions

T: - ABCDEFGHABCDE -

ABCDEFGHABCDC -

contine comparing here

Running time

After each shift at most I character in T is compared again, so total # of comparisons is bounded by

1T1 +5

where s is the number of shifts. But, since we always shift s to the right, s is bounded by ITI. So, to tal # of comparisons is bounded by 21TI.

Finally, how to calculate SPMi(P) Det: f(j) as the right end of Z-box starting at portion; Det: g(i) as min S; | f(j) = i3 of o if empty set In other words: The left-most storting point of 2-boxes ending at position i Thm: spm: = Zg(i) if g(i) > 0, otherwise 0 Pf: By definition Pa Tagain gain i P[1, 2, tgas] = P[1, 3, tga. P[1, ..., 7gas] = P[gas), ..., i] & x = P[zsis+1] = P[i+] = y => spmi >, zgis =

 $X = P[Z_{SG}, +1] \neq P[i+i] = y = \sum Spm_i \geq Z_{GG} = Now, Suppose Spm_i \geq Z_{GG}, then <math>\exists K \in G(i) \text{ s.t.}$ f(K) = i. This is a contradiction since g(i) is minimum. thus, $Spm_i = Z_{GG}$.

Boyer-Moore Algorithm
Used in practice, has best performance in real cases.
Main Ideas: (D) Compare P to T from right to left (D) Good soffix rule (B) Bad character rule
O Right to left comparison the quick-brown fox Eldil
Dod suffix rule: Apply in order A: P: Y A A: P: Y A A A A A A A A A A A A
B: P: BI (d does not occur in P again Shift so longest proper pre (B) that natches a soffix of a is matched to T.

How can us use Z-algorithm to calculate these shifts?

C: If not A or C, shift IPI places

DI	j	1	No	1
Bad	chorae	ter	10	16

Def: P: (x) as position of the rightmost occurrence of character x before position i

$$\frac{1}{P} = \frac{x | II}{x | (Tinj)}$$

Shift by i-Ri(TEN) positions so the next occurrence of TENS is aligned to position K

Calculating Ri(x)

- Constructing table R: (x) is not desirable since depends on size of alphabet
- Justead use a collection of lists
 - Occur [x] = list of positions where x occus in P in decreasing order
- Find Pi(x) by scanning list Occor[x] until first index
- Time O(u-i) since at most u-i items in list can be >i

Boyer Moore

- Start at position 1 of T
- Coupre P to T Fight to left until wisnatch
- -Apply biggest slift from good shift or bad charater rule