adecorator export Ordering

Daniel Rosenwasser, Ron Buckton

Background

• In 2015, TypeScript implemented an early version of decorators behind a flag.

- TypeScript 5.0 implements decorators as per stage 3.
 - Becomes the default "old" decorators are still available via the same flag.
 - Full support planned for stable release in March

• TypeScript's early version of decorators slightly differs in syntax from the current proposal's.

Decorators first

```
@decorator(
    // ...
)
export class C {
    // ...
}
```

export first

```
export @decorator(
    // ...
)
class C {
    // ...
}
```

Why the change?

- One reason: theoretical ability to decorate a local, but not an export
 - https://github.com/tc39/proposaldecorators/issues/135
 - Idea is that a future proposal could use the decorators-before syntax.

The issue with that

```
@decorator
export class Foo {
    static makeSpecialFoo() {
        return new Foo();
    }
}
let x = new Foo();
```

The issue with that

```
@decorator
export class Foo {
    static makeSpecialFoo() {
        return new Foo();
let x = new Foo();
```

- We think this is a major footgun.
- It would be bad if **Foo** referred to the pre-decorated class.
- Too subtle.

Also: lack of positive feedback

- Feels like most of us preferred the original syntax
 - But we've resisted discussing it further.
 - Nobody wants to "deadlock" the proposal.

- TypeScript's syntax has shipped for almost 8 years.
 - Almost no demand for export @decorator
 - which was brought up at least 5 years ago.

So where are we?

- TypeScript would not support a semantic distinction between
 - export @decorator
 - and
 - @decorator export

• We are okay with expanding the syntax, but **not** differing semantics.

Can we make a change?

• We believe there's no future for differing semantics based on ordering.

• The previous syntax is already widelyused – it would make upgrades harder.

 Anecdotally, most library authors that shipped class decorators prefer the "old" ordering. We would like to request one of the following changes to the decorators proposal.

 Option 1: Decorators are placed before the export keyword. (preferred)

 Option 2: Decorators can be placed before and after the export keyword.

Appendix: Abridged Syntactic Modifications

StatementListItem[Yield, Await, Return]:

Declaration[?Yield, ?Await, +Decorators]

Declaration[Yield, Await, Decorators]:

ClassDeclaration[?Yield, ?Await, ~Default, ?Decorators]

Appendix: Abridged Syntactic Modifications

ExportDeclaration[Yield, Await, Decorators]:

export *Declaration*[~Yield, ~Await, ~Decorators]

DecoratorList[~Yield, ~Await]

export ClassDeclaration[~Yield, ~Await, ~Default, ~Decorators]

DecoratorList[~Yield, ~Await]

export default ClassDeclaration[~Yield, ~Await, ~Default, ~Decorators]

Appendix: Abridged Syntactic Modifications

ClassDeclaration[Yield, Await, Decorators]: class BindingIdentifier ClassTail[?Yield, ?Await] class Class Tail [?Yield, ?Await] [+Default] DecoratorList[?Yield, ?Await] [+Decorators] class BindingIdentifier ClassTail[?Yield, ?Await] DecoratorList[?Yield, ?Await] [+Decorators, +Default] class Class Tail [?Yield, ?Await]