

Quantale model of Lambek calculus with subexponentials

Daniel Rogozin^{1,2}

¹Lomonosov Moscow State University

²Serokell OÜ

1 Calculus

Definition 1. A subexponential signature is an ordered quintuple:

$$\Sigma = \langle I, \leq, W, C, E \rangle,$$

where $I = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, $\langle I, \leq \rangle$ is a preorder. W, C, E are subsets of I and $W \cup C \subseteq E$.

Definition 2. Noncommutative linear logic with subexponentials ($SMALC_\Sigma$), where Σ is a subexponential signature.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{A \rightarrow A} \text{ }^{ax} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Gamma, A \backslash B, \Theta \rightarrow C} \backslash \rightarrow \qquad \frac{A, \Pi \rightarrow B}{\Pi \rightarrow A \backslash B} \rightarrow \backslash \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Delta, B / A, \Gamma, \Theta \rightarrow C} / \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Pi, A \rightarrow B}{\Pi \rightarrow B / A} \rightarrow / \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \bullet B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \bullet \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow A \bullet B} \rightarrow \bullet \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A_i, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, A_1 \& A_2, \Delta \rightarrow B} \&, i = 1, 2 \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \& B} \rightarrow \& \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, \Delta \rightarrow C \quad \Gamma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \vee B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \vee \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A_i}{\Gamma \rightarrow A_1 \vee A_2} \rightarrow \vee, i = 1, 2 \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, \mathbf{1}, \Delta \rightarrow A} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \qquad \overline{\rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta \rightarrow C} ! \rightarrow \qquad \frac{!^{s_1} A_1, \dots, !^{s_n} A_n \rightarrow A}{!^{s_1} A_1, \dots, !^{s_n} A_n \rightarrow !^s A} \rightarrow !, \forall j, s_j \geq s \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{weak}_!, s \in C
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{ncontr}_1, s \in C$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{ncontr}_2, s \in C$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow A} \text{ex}_1, s \in E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow A} \text{ex}_1, s \in E$$

Proposition 1. $!_{s_i} A \leftrightarrow !_{s_i} (!_{s_i} A)$

Proof.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{A \rightarrow A}{!_{s_i} A \rightarrow A}}{!_{s_i} A \rightarrow !_{s_i} A}}{!_{s_i} !_{s_i} A \rightarrow !_{s_i} A}$$

□

2 Semantics

Definition 3. *Quantale*

A quantale is a triple $\langle A, \vee, \cdot \rangle$, such that $\langle A, \vee \rangle$ is a complete lattice and $\langle A, \cdot \rangle$ is a semigroup. A quantale is called unital, if $\langle A, \cdot \rangle$ is a monoid.

It is easy to see, that any (unital) quantale is a residual (monoid) semigroup. We define divisions as follows:

1. $a \backslash b = \bigvee \{c \mid a \cdot c \leq b\}$
2. $b / a = \bigvee \{c \mid c \cdot a \leq b\}$

Definition 4.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \langle A, \vee, \cdot \rangle$ be a quantale. The center of a quantale is the set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}) = \{a \in A \mid \forall b \in A, a \cdot b = b \cdot a\}$

Definition 5. An open modality (or quantic conucleus) on quantale \mathcal{Q} is a map $\Box : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, such that

1. $\Box(x) \leq x$;
2. $\Box(x) = \Box(\Box(x))$;
3. $x \leq y \Rightarrow \Box(x) \leq \Box(y)$;
4. $\Box(x) \cdot \Box(y) = \Box(\Box(x) \cdot \Box(y))$.

Definition 6. We define a partial order on open modalities on \mathcal{Q} as $\Box_1 \leq \Box_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_1(a) \leq \Box_2(a)$.

Lemma 1. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $\square_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be a set of all open modalities on \mathcal{Q} . Then $\square_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a locally small category.

Proof. $\langle \square_{\mathcal{Q}}, \leq \rangle$ form a partial order, so $\langle \square_{\mathcal{Q}}, \leq \rangle$ is a locally small category. \square

Lemma 2.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \langle A, \vee, \cdot \rangle$ be a quantale and $\square : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is an open modality on \mathcal{Q} , then $\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq \square(x \cdot y)$.

Proof.

$\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq x \cdot y$, then $\square(\square(x) \cdot \square(y)) \leq \square(x \cdot y)$, but $\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq \square(\square(x) \cdot \square(y))$. Thus, $\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq \square(x \cdot y)$. \square

Definition 7. An open modality is called central, if $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{Q}, \square(a) \cdot b = b \cdot \square(a)$.

Definition 8. An open modality is called weak idempotent, if $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{Q}, \square(a) \cdot b \leq \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a)$ and $b \cdot \square(a) \leq \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a)$.

Definition 9. An open modality is called unital, if $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \square(a) \leq e$.

Lemma 3. Let \square be an open modality on some unital quantale $\mathcal{Q} = \langle A, \vee, \cdot, e \rangle$. Then, if \square is unital and weak idempotent, then \square is central.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Right weak idempotence} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Unitality} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \cdot e \leq \\
& \quad \text{Identity} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \leq \\
& \quad \text{Left weak idempotence} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Unitality} \\
& e \cdot b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Identity} \\
& b \cdot \square(a)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $b \cdot \square(a) = \square(a) \cdot b$, so $\forall a \in A, \square(a) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})$. \square

Proposition 2.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $S \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ a subquantale, then $\square : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, such that $\square(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a\}$, is an open modality.

Proof. See \square

Proposition 3.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.

Then $\square_1(a) \leq \square_2(a)$.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathcal{Q}$, so $\{s \in S_1 \mid s \leq a\} \subseteq \{s \in S_2 \mid s \leq a\}$, so $\bigvee \{s \in S_1 \mid s \leq a\} \leq \bigvee \{s \in S_2 \mid s \leq a\}$. Thus, $\square_1(a) \leq \square_2(a)$. \square

Proposition 4.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $S \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ a subquantale, then the following operations are open modalities:

1. $\Box_z(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a, s \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})\};$
2. $\Box_1(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a, s \leq 1\};$
3. $\Box_{idem}(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a, \forall b \in \mathcal{Q}, b \cdot s \vee s \cdot b \leq s \cdot b \cdot s\};$
4. $\Box_{z,1}, I_{z,idem}, I_{1,idem}, I_{z,1,idem}.$

Proof. Immediately. □

Proposition 5.

1. $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_{1,idem}(a) \leq \Box_z(a).$
2. $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_{z,1,idem} = \Box_{1,idem}(a)$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3. □

Proposition 6.

1. $\Box_z(a) \vee \Box_1(a) \vee \Box_{idem}(a) \leq \Box(a)$
2. $\Box_{z,1,idem} \leq \Box_{z,1}(a) \wedge \Box_{z,idem}(a)$

Lemma 4. $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_1(a) \leq \Box_2(\Box_1(a)),$ if $\Box_1(a) \leq \Box_2(a).$

Proof. $\Box_1(a) \leq \Box_1(\Box_1(a)) \leq \Box_2(\Box_1(a))$ □

Lemma 5. $\Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2) \leq \Box'(\Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2)),$ where $\Box_i \leq \Box', i = 1, 2.$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2) \leq \\ & \Box_1(\Box_1(a_1)) \cdot \Box_2(\Box_2(a_2)) \leq \\ & \Box'(\Box_1(a_1)) \cdot \Box'(\Box_2(a_2)) \leq \\ & \Box'(\Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2)) \end{aligned}$$
□

Definition 10. Interpretation of subexponential signature

Let $\Sigma = \langle I, \leq, W, C, E \rangle$ be a subexponential signature, where $|I| = n$ and $\Box_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a category of open modalities on a quantale \mathcal{Q} . Subexponential interpretation is a contravariant functor $\sigma : I \rightarrow \Box_{\mathcal{Q}}$ defined as follows:

$$\sigma(s_i) = \begin{cases} \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \notin W \cap C \cap E \\ \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a, s \leq 1\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \in W \\ \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a, s \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \in E \\ \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a, \forall b, b \cdot s \vee s \cdot b \leq s \cdot b \cdot s\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \in E \\ \text{otherwise, if } s_i \text{ belongs to some intersection of subsets, then we combine the relevant conditions} \end{cases}$$

Definition 11. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale, $f : Tp \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ a valuation and $\sigma : I \rightarrow \square_{\mathcal{Q}}$ a subexponential interpretation, then interpretation is defined inductively:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket p_i \rrbracket &= f(p_i) \\ \llbracket 1 \rrbracket &= e \\ \llbracket A \bullet B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A \setminus B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \setminus \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A/B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket / \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A \& B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A \vee B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \vee \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket !_{s_i} A \rrbracket &= \sigma(s_i) \llbracket A \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

Definition 12. $\Gamma \models A \Leftrightarrow \forall f, \forall \sigma, \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$

Theorem 1. $\Gamma \rightarrow A \Rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$

Proof. We consider cases with modal rules.

1. Let $!_{s_1} A_1, \dots, !_{s_n} A_n \rightarrow A$ and $\forall i, s \leq s_i$.
Then $\forall a \in Q, \sigma(s_i)(a) \leq \sigma(s)(a)$.
By IH, $\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$.
Thus, $\sigma(s)(\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket) \leq \sigma(s)(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$.
By Lemma 5, $\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \leq \sigma(s)(\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket)$.
So, $\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \leq \sigma(s)(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$.
2. Let $\Gamma, A, \Delta \rightarrow B$.
By IH, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket A \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
By the definition, $\sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$.
So, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
3. Let $\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow B$, $A \in Fm$, and $s_i \in W$.
So, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$, then $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot e \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$, where $e \in Q$ is unit.
By the definition of unital open modality, $\sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \leq e$.
Thus, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
4. Let $\Gamma, !_{s_i} A, \Delta, !_{s_i} A, \Pi \rightarrow B$ and $s_i \in C$.
By IH, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
By the definition, $\sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$.
Then $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
5. Let $\Gamma, !_{s_i} A, \Delta, \Pi \rightarrow B$ and $s_i \in E$, so $\sigma(s_i)(a) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})$ for all $a \in Q$ by the definition.
By IH, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$
Hence, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.

□

3 Quantale completeness

Definition 13.

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Fm$, an ideal is a subset $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, such that:

- If $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and $A \rightarrow B$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}$;
- If $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \vee B \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 14.

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq Fm$, then $\bigvee S = \bigcap \{\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{I}\}$

Proposition 7. $\bigvee S$ is an ideal.

Lemma 6. $A \subseteq Fm$, then $\{B \mid B \rightarrow A\} = \bigvee \{A\}$.

Proof.

Let $A \in Fm$. Then $\{B \mid B \rightarrow A', A' \in A\} \subseteq \bigvee \{A\}$, so far as $\bigvee A$ is an ideal.

On the other hand, $\{B \mid B \rightarrow A\}$ is an ideal, it is easy to see that this set is closed under \vee . So, $\bigvee A \subseteq \{B \mid B \rightarrow A\}$. \square

Lemma 7. $\bigvee \{A\} \subseteq \bigvee \{B\}$ iff $A \rightarrow B$.

Proof. Let $\bigvee \{A\} \subseteq \bigvee \{B\}$, then $\{C \mid C \rightarrow A\} \subseteq \{D \mid D \rightarrow B\}$.

Thus, $A \in \{C \mid C \rightarrow A\}$, then $A \in \{D \mid D \rightarrow B\}$, hence $A \rightarrow B$.

On the other hand, let $A \rightarrow B$ and $C \in \bigvee \{A\}$.

Thus, $C \rightarrow A$, then $C \rightarrow B$ by cut. \square

Lemma 8. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{\bigvee S \mid S \subseteq Fm\}$ and $\bigvee \mathcal{A} \cdot \bigvee \mathcal{B} = \bigvee \{A \bullet B \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then $\langle \mathcal{Q}, \subseteq, \cdot, \bigvee 1 \rangle$ is a quantale.

Proof. See \square

Lemma 9. Let $!_s \in I$ and A be an arbitrary formula, then $\Box_s(\bigvee \{A\}) = \bigvee \{B \mid !_s B \rightarrow A\}$ is a quantic conucleus.

Proof.

See Yetter. \square

Lemma 10. Let A be a formula, then $\Box_s \bigvee \{A\} = \bigvee \{!_s A\}$, for each $s \in I$.

Proof. Let $A \in Fm$ and $s \in I$.

Let $!_s B \in \Box_s \bigvee \{A\}$, then $!_s B \rightarrow A$, then $!_s B \rightarrow !_s A$ by promotion. So, $!_s B \in \bigvee \{!_s A\}$.

Let $C \in \bigvee \{!_s A\}$, then $C \rightarrow !_s A$, so $!_s C \rightarrow !_s A$ by dereliction, but $!_s A \rightarrow A$, hence $!_s C \rightarrow A$ by cut. So, $!_s C \in \Box_s \bigvee \{A\}$. \square

Lemma 11.

Let $i, j \in I$ and $i \leq j$, then for all $A \in Fm$, $\Box_j(\bigvee \{A\}) \subseteq \Box_i(\bigvee \{A\})$.

Proof.

Let $i, j \in I$ and $i \leq j$. Then for all $A \in Fm$, $!_j A \rightarrow !_i A$ by promotion. Then $\bigvee \{!_j A\} \subseteq \bigvee \{!_i A\}$, so $\Box_j(\bigvee \{A\}) \subseteq \Box_i(\bigvee \{A\})$. \square

Lemma 12.

1. Let $s \in W$, then for all $A \subseteq Fm$, $\Box_s \{A\} \subseteq \{1\}$;
2. Let $s \in E$, then $\Box_s(\bigvee \{A\}) \cdot \bigvee \{B\} = \bigvee \{B\} \cdot \Box_s(\bigvee \{A\})$.
3. Let $s \in C$, then $(\Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \bigvee B) \cup (\bigvee B \cdot \Box_s \bigvee A) \subseteq \Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \bigvee B \cdot \Box_s \bigvee A$, for all $B \subseteq Fm$.

Proof.

Follows from $!_s A \rightarrow 1$, so $s \in W$;

Follows from $!_s A \bullet B \leftrightarrow B \bullet !_s A$;

Follows from $!_s A \bullet B \rightarrow !_s A \bullet B \bullet !_s A$ and similarly for $B \bullet !_s A$. □

Definition 15.

Let Q be a syntactic quantale as proposed above and $\mathcal{I} = \langle I, \leq, W, C, E \rangle$ be a subexponential signature.

We define a map $\Box : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Mod_Q$ as follows:

$$\Box(i)(\bigvee \{A\}) = \{!_i B \mid !_i B \rightarrow A\}.$$

Lemma 13. \Box is a subexponential interpretation.

Proof. Follows from lemmas above. □

Lemma 14.

Let Q be a quantale constructed above and \Box_1, \dots, \Box_n be a family of quantic conuclei on Q . Then there exist a model $\langle Q, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$, such that $\llbracket A \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A\}$, $A \in Fm$.

Proof.

We define an interpretation as follows:

1. $\llbracket p_i \rrbracket = \bigvee \{p_i\}$
2. $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \bigvee \{1\}$
3. $\llbracket A \bullet B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A \bullet B\}$
4. $\llbracket A/B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A/B\}$
5. $\llbracket B \setminus A \rrbracket = \bigvee \{B \setminus A\}$
6. $\llbracket A \& B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A \& B\}$
7. $\llbracket A \vee B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A \vee B\}$
8. $\llbracket !_s A \rrbracket = \Box(s)(\bigvee \{A\}) = \bigvee \{!_s A\}$.

□

Theorem 2. $\Gamma \models A \Rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow A$.

Proof. Follows from lemmas above. □