

Quantale model of Lambek calculus with subexponentials

Daniel Rogozin^{1,2}

¹Lomonosov Moscow State University

²Serokell OÜ

1 Calculus

Definition 1. A subexponential signature is an ordered quintuple:

$$\Sigma = \langle I, \leq, W, C, E \rangle,$$

where $I = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, $\langle I, \leq \rangle$ is a preorder. W, C, E are subsets of I and $W \cup C \subseteq E$.

Definition 2. Noncommutative linear logic with subexponentials ($SMALC_\Sigma$), where Σ is a subexponential signature.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{A \rightarrow A} \text{ }^{ax} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Gamma, A \backslash B, \Theta \rightarrow C} \backslash \rightarrow \qquad \frac{A, \Pi \rightarrow B}{\Pi \rightarrow A \backslash B} \rightarrow \backslash \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Delta, B / A, \Gamma, \Theta \rightarrow C} / \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Pi, A \rightarrow B}{\Pi \rightarrow B / A} \rightarrow / \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \bullet B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \bullet \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow A \bullet B} \rightarrow \bullet \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A_i, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, A_1 \& A_2, \Delta \rightarrow B} \&, i = 1, 2 \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \& B} \rightarrow \& \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, \Delta \rightarrow C \quad \Gamma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \vee B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \vee \rightarrow \qquad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A_i}{\Gamma \rightarrow A_1 \vee A_2} \rightarrow \vee, i = 1, 2 \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, \mathbf{1}, \Delta \rightarrow A} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \qquad \overline{\rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta \rightarrow C} ! \rightarrow \qquad \frac{!^{s_1} A_1, \dots, !^{s_n} A_n \rightarrow A}{!^{s_1} A_1, \dots, !^{s_n} A_n \rightarrow !^s A} \rightarrow !, \forall j, s_j \geq s \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{weak}_!, s \in C
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{ncontr}_1, s \in C$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{ncontr}_2, s \in C$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow A} \text{ex}_1, s \in E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, !^s A, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta, !^s A, \Theta \rightarrow A} \text{ex}_1, s \in E$$

Proposition 1. $!_{s_i} A \leftrightarrow !_{s_i} (!_{s_i} A)$

Proof.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{A \rightarrow A}{!_{s_i} A \rightarrow A}}{!_{s_i} A \rightarrow !_{s_i} A}}{!_{s_i} !_{s_i} A \rightarrow !_{s_i} A}$$

□

2 Semantics

Definition 3. *Quantale*

A quantale is a triple $\langle A, \vee, \cdot \rangle$, such that $\langle A, \vee \rangle$ is a complete lattice and $\langle A, \cdot \rangle$ is a semigroup. A quantale is called unital, if $\langle A, \cdot \rangle$ is a monoid.

It is easy to see, that any (unital) quantale is a residual (monoid) semigroup. We define divisions as follows:

1. $a \backslash b = \bigvee \{c \mid a \cdot c \leq b\}$
2. $b / a = \bigvee \{c \mid c \cdot a \leq b\}$

Definition 4.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \langle A, \vee, \cdot \rangle$ be a quantale. The center of a quantale is the set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}) = \{a \in A \mid \forall b \in A, a \cdot b = b \cdot a\}$

Definition 5. An open modality (or quantic conucleus) on quantale \mathcal{Q} is a map $\Box : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, such that

1. $\Box(x) \leq x$;
2. $\Box(x) = \Box(\Box(x))$;
3. $x \leq y \Rightarrow \Box(x) \leq \Box(y)$;
4. $\Box(x) \cdot \Box(y) = \Box(\Box(x) \cdot \Box(y))$.

Definition 6. We define a partial order on open modalities on \mathcal{Q} as $\Box_1 \leq \Box_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_1(a) \leq \Box_2(a)$.

Lemma 1. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $\square_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be a set of all open modalities on \mathcal{Q} . Then $\square_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a locally small category.

Proof. $\langle \square_{\mathcal{Q}}, \leq \rangle$ form a partial order, so $\langle \square_{\mathcal{Q}}, \leq \rangle$ is a locally small category. \square

Lemma 2.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \langle A, \vee, \cdot \rangle$ be a quantale and $\square : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is an open modality on \mathcal{Q} , then $\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq \square(x \cdot y)$.

Proof.

$\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq x \cdot y$, then $\square(\square(x) \cdot \square(y)) \leq \square(x \cdot y)$, but $\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq \square(\square(x) \cdot \square(y))$. Thus, $\square(x) \cdot \square(y) \leq \square(x \cdot y)$. \square

Definition 7. An open modality is called central, if $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{Q}, \square(a) \cdot b = b \cdot \square(a)$.

Definition 8. An open modality is called weak idempotent, if $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{Q}, \square(a) \cdot b \leq \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a)$ and $b \cdot \square(a) \leq \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a)$.

Definition 9. An open modality is called unital, if $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \square(a) \leq e$.

Lemma 3. Let \square be an open modality on some unital quantale $\mathcal{Q} = \langle A, \vee, \cdot, e \rangle$. Then, if \square is unital and weak idempotent, then \square is central.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Right weak idempotence} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Unitality} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \cdot e \leq \\
& \quad \text{Identity} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \leq \\
& \quad \text{Left weak idempotence} \\
& \square(a) \cdot b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Unitality} \\
& e \cdot b \cdot \square(a) \leq \\
& \quad \text{Identity} \\
& b \cdot \square(a)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $b \cdot \square(a) = \square(a) \cdot b$, so $\forall a \in A, \square(a) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})$. \square

Proposition 2.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $S \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ a subquantale, then $\square : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, such that $\square(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a\}$, is an open modality.

Proof. See \square

Proposition 3.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.

Then $\square_1(a) \leq \square_2(a)$.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathcal{Q}$, so $\{s \in S_1 \mid s \leq a\} \subseteq \{s \in S_2 \mid s \leq a\}$, so $\bigvee \{s \in S_1 \mid s \leq a\} \leq \bigvee \{s \in S_2 \mid s \leq a\}$. Thus, $\square_1(a) \leq \square_2(a)$. \square

Proposition 4.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale and $S \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ a subquantale, then the following operations are open modalities:

1. $\Box_z(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a, s \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})\};$
2. $\Box_1(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a, s \leq 1\};$
3. $\Box_{idem}(a) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq a, \forall b \in \mathcal{Q}, b \cdot s \vee s \cdot b \leq s \cdot b \cdot s\};$
4. $\Box_{z,1}, I_{z,idem}, I_{1,idem}, I_{z,1,idem}.$

Proof. Immediately. □

Proposition 5.

1. $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_{1,idem}(a) \leq \Box_z(a).$
2. $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_{z,1,idem} = \Box_{1,idem}(a)$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3. □

Proposition 6.

1. $\Box_z(a) \vee \Box_1(a) \vee \Box_{idem}(a) \leq \Box(a)$
2. $\Box_{z,1,idem} \leq \Box_{z,1}(a) \wedge \Box_{z,idem}(a)$

Lemma 4. $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_1(a) \leq \Box_2(\Box_1(a)),$ if $\Box_1(a) \leq \Box_2(a).$

Proof. $\Box_1(a) \leq \Box_1(\Box_1(a)) \leq \Box_2(\Box_1(a))$ □

Lemma 5. $\Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2) \leq \Box'(\Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2)),$ where $\Box_i \leq \Box', i = 1, 2.$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2) \leq \\ & \Box_1(\Box_1(a_1)) \cdot \Box_2(\Box_2(a_2)) \leq \\ & \Box'(\Box_1(a_1)) \cdot \Box'(\Box_2(a_2)) \leq \\ & \Box'(\Box_1(a_1) \cdot \Box_2(a_2)) \end{aligned}$$
□

Definition 10. Interpretation of subexponential signature

Let $\Sigma = \langle I, \leq, W, C, E \rangle$ be a subexponential signature, where $|I| = n$ and $\Box_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a category of open modalities on a quantale \mathcal{Q} . Subexponential interpretation is a contravariant functor $\sigma : I \rightarrow \Box_{\mathcal{Q}}$ defined as follows:

$$\sigma(s_i) = \begin{cases} \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \notin W \cap C \cap E \\ \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a, s \leq 1\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \in W \\ \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a, s \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \in E \\ \Box_i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in \mathcal{Q}, \Box_i(a) = \{s \in S_i \mid s \leq a, \forall b, b \cdot s \vee s \cdot b \leq s \cdot b \cdot s\}, \\ \quad \text{if } s_i \in E \\ \text{otherwise, if } s_i \text{ belongs to some intersection of subsets, then we combine the relevant conditions} \end{cases}$$

Definition 11. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quantale, $f : Tp \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ a valuation and $\sigma : I \rightarrow \square_{\mathcal{Q}}$ a subexponential interpretation, then interpretation is defined inductively:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket p_i \rrbracket &= f(p_i) \\ \llbracket 1 \rrbracket &= e \\ \llbracket A \bullet B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A \setminus B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \setminus \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A/B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket / \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A \& B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket A \vee B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket \vee \llbracket B \rrbracket \\ \llbracket !_{s_i} A \rrbracket &= \sigma(s_i) \llbracket A \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

Definition 12. $\Gamma \models A \Leftrightarrow \forall f, \forall \sigma, \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$

Theorem 1. $\Gamma \rightarrow A \Rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$

Proof. We consider cases with modal rules.

1. Let $!_{s_1} A_1, \dots, !_{s_n} A_n \rightarrow A$ and $\forall i, s \leq s_i$.
Then $\forall a \in Q, \sigma(s_i)(a) \leq \sigma(s)(a)$.
By IH, $\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$.
Thus, $\sigma(s)(\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket) \leq \sigma(s)(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$.
By Lemma 5, $\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \leq \sigma(s)(\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket)$.
So, $\sigma(s_1) \llbracket A_1 \rrbracket \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(s_n) \llbracket A_n \rrbracket \leq \sigma(s)(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$.
2. Let $\Gamma, A, \Delta \rightarrow B$.
By IH, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket A \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
By the definition, $\sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \leq \llbracket A \rrbracket$.
So, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
3. Let $\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow B$, $A \in Fm$, and $s_i \in W$.
So, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$, then $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot e \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$, where $e \in Q$ is unit.
By the definition of unital open modality, $\sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \leq e$.
Thus, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
4. Let $\Gamma, !_{s_i} A, \Delta, !_{s_i} A, \Pi \rightarrow B$ and $s_i \in C$.
By IH, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
By the definition, $\sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \leq \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$.
Then $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
5. Let $\Gamma, !_{s_i} A, \Delta, \Pi \rightarrow B$ and $s_i \in E$, so $\sigma(s_i)(a) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q})$ for all $a \in Q$ by the definition.
By IH, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.
Hence, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket \cdot \sigma(s_i)(\llbracket A \rrbracket) \cdot \llbracket \Pi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket B \rrbracket$.

□

3 Quantale completeness

Definition 13.

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Fm$, an ideal is a subset $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, such that:

- If $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and $A \rightarrow B$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}$;
- If $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \vee B \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 14.

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq Fm$, then $\bigvee S = \bigcap \{\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{I}\}$

Proposition 7. $\bigvee S$ is an ideal.

Lemma 6. $A \subseteq Fm$, then $\{B \mid B \rightarrow A'\} = \bigvee A$.

Proof.

Let $A \subseteq Fm$. Then $\{B \mid B \rightarrow A', A' \in A\} \subseteq \bigvee A$, so far as $\bigvee A$ is an ideal.

On the other hand, $\{B \mid B \rightarrow A', A' \in A\}$ is an ideal, it is easy to see that this set is closed under \vee . So, $\bigvee A \subseteq \{B \mid B \rightarrow A', A' \in A\}$. \square

Lemma 7. $\bigvee A \subseteq \bigvee B$ iff $\forall A' \in A, \forall B' \in B, A' \rightarrow B'$.

Proof. Let $\bigvee A \subseteq \bigvee B$, then $\{C \mid C \rightarrow A', A' \in A\} \subseteq \{D \mid D \rightarrow B', B' \in B\}$.

Thus, for all $A' \in A$, $A' \in \{C \mid C \rightarrow A', A' \in A\}$, then $A' \in \{D \mid D \rightarrow B', B' \in B\}$, hence $A' \rightarrow B'$, for all $B' \in B$.

On the other hand, let $A' \rightarrow B'$ for all $A' \in A$, $B' \in B$ and $C \in \bigvee A$.

Thus, $C \rightarrow A'$, then $C \rightarrow B'$ by cut, so $C \in B'$. \square

Lemma 8. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{\bigvee S \mid S \subseteq Fm\}$ and $\bigvee \mathcal{A} \cdot \bigvee \mathcal{B} = \bigvee \{A \bullet B \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then $\langle \mathcal{Q}, \subseteq, \cdot, \bigvee 1 \rangle$ is a quantale.

Proof. See \square

Lemma 9. Let $!_s \in I$, then $\Box_s(\bigvee A) = \bigvee \{B \mid B \rightarrow !_s A', A' \in A\}$ is a quantic conucleus.

Proof.

1. $\Box_s(\bigvee A) \subseteq \bigvee A$;

Let $B \in \Box_s(\bigvee A)$, then for all $A' \in A$, $B \rightarrow !_s A'$, but $!_s A' \rightarrow A'$, then $B \rightarrow A'$, so $B \in \bigvee A$.

2. $\Box_s(\Box_s(\bigvee A)) = \bigvee \Box_s(\bigvee A)$;

$$\begin{aligned} \Box_s(\Box_s(\bigvee A)) &= \\ \{B \mid B \rightarrow !_s !_s A', A' \in A\} &= \quad, \text{ that follows from equivalence } !_s !_s B \leftrightarrow !_s B. \\ \{B \mid B \rightarrow !_s A', A' \in A\} \end{aligned}$$

3. $\bigvee A \subseteq \bigvee B \Rightarrow \Box_s(\bigvee A) \subseteq \Box_s(\bigvee B)$;

Follows from admissibility of K-rule for all $s \in I$.

4. $\Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \Box_s \bigvee B = \Box_s(\Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \Box_s \bigvee B)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \Box_s \bigvee B &= \\ \bigvee \{C \bullet D \mid C \bullet D \rightarrow !_s A' \bullet !_s B'\} &= \\ \bigvee \{C \bullet D \mid C \bullet D \rightarrow !_s (!_s A' \bullet !_s B')\} &= \\ \Box_s(\Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \Box_s \bigvee B) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 10.

1. Let $s \in W$, then for all $A \subseteq Fm$, $\mathbf{1} \in \Box_s(\bigvee A)$;
2. Let $s \in E$, then $\Box_s(\bigvee A) \cdot \bigvee B = \bigvee B \cdot \Box_s(\bigvee A)$.
3. Let $s \in C$, then $(\Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \bigvee B) \cup (\bigvee B \cdot \Box_s \bigvee A) \subseteq \Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \bigvee B \cdot \Box_s \bigvee A$, for all $B \subseteq Fm$.

Proof. 1. Let $s \in W$, then for all $A \subseteq Fm$, $\Box_s(\bigvee A) = \{!_s B \mid !_s B \rightarrow A', A' \in A\}$. But, $!_s B \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$, hence, $\mathbf{1} \in \Box_s(\bigvee A)$, so far as $\Box_s(\bigvee A)$ is an ideal.

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \Box_s(\bigvee A) \cdot \bigvee B &= \\ \bigvee \{!_s C \bullet D \mid !_s C \bullet D \rightarrow A' \bullet B', A' \in A, B' \in B\} &= \\ \bigvee \{D \bullet !_s C \mid D \bullet !_s C \rightarrow A' \bullet B', A' \in A, B' \in B\} &= \\ \bigvee B \cdot \Box_s(\bigvee A) \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \bigvee B = \bigvee \{!_s C \bullet D \mid !_s C \bullet D \rightarrow A' \bullet B'\}. \quad !_s C \bullet D \rightarrow !_s C \bullet D \bullet !_s C, \text{ hence } \Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \bigvee B \subseteq \Box_s \bigvee A \cdot \bigvee B \cdot \Box_s \bigvee A.$$

Similarly with $\bigvee B \cdot \Box_s \bigvee A$.

□

Lemma 11.

Let $i, j \in I$ and $i \leq j$, then for all $A \subseteq Fm$, $\Box_j(\bigvee A) \subseteq \Box_i(\bigvee A)$.

Proof. Let $i, j \in I$ and $i \leq j$. Let $B \in \Box_j(\bigvee A)$, then $\forall A', B \rightarrow !_j A'$.

But $!_j A \rightarrow !_i A$. Then $B \rightarrow !_i A$ by hence. So, $B \in \Box_i(\bigvee A)$.

□

Definition 15. Let Q be a syntactic quantale as proposed above and $\mathcal{I} = \langle I, \leq, W, C, E \rangle$ be a subexponential signature.

We define a map $\Box : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Mod_Q$ as follows:

$$\Box(i)(\bigvee A) = \{B \mid B \rightarrow !_i A\}.$$

Lemma 12. \Box is a subexponential interpretation.

Proof. Follows from lemmas 10 and 11.

□

Lemma 13.

Let Q be a quantale constructed above and \Box_1, \dots, \Box_n be a family of quantic conuclei on Q . Then there exist a model $\langle Q, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$, such that $\llbracket A \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A\}$, $A \in Fm$.

Proof.

We define an interpretation as follows:

1. $\llbracket p_i \rrbracket = \bigvee \{p_i\}$
2. $\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket = \bigvee \{\mathbf{1}\}$
3. $\llbracket A \bullet B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A \bullet B\}$

4. $\llbracket A/B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A/B\}$
5. $\llbracket B \backslash A \rrbracket = \bigvee \{B \backslash A\}$
6. $\llbracket A \& B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A \& B\}$
7. $\llbracket A \vee B \rrbracket = \bigvee \{A \vee B\}$
8. $\llbracket !_s A \rrbracket = \Box(s)(\bigvee A) = \{B \mid B \rightarrow !_s A\} = \bigvee \{!_s A\}$

□

Theorem 2. $\Gamma \models A \Rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow A$.

Proof. Follows from lemmas 6, 12, 13.

□