# Completeness theorems for temporal logics extended with Löb and Grzegorczyk formulae

## Daniel Rogozin

## Lomonosov Moscow State University

#### **Definition 1.** A temporal language

$$\phi, \psi ::= p \mid \perp \mid \phi \rightarrow \psi \mid \Diamond \phi \mid \Diamond^- \phi$$

Here and below,  $\neg \phi = \phi \rightarrow \bot$ ,  $\Box \phi = \neg \diamondsuit \neg \phi$ ,  $\Box^{-} \phi = \neg \diamondsuit^{-} \neg \phi$ . The underlying logic is **K**.t, see [1] or [2]:

### **Definition 2.** Minimal normal temporal logic

1. Classical propositional calculus

$$2. \ \Box(p \to q) \to (\Box p \to \Box q)$$

$$3. \Box^-(p \to q) \to (\Box^- p \to \Box^- q)$$

4. 
$$\lozenge^- \square p \to p$$

$$5. \diamondsuit \Box^- p \to p$$

6. Inference rules:

$$\frac{\phi \quad \phi \to \psi}{\psi} \text{ MP} \qquad \qquad \frac{\phi(p_1, \dots, p_n)}{\phi(p_1 := \psi_1, \dots, p_n := \psi_n)} \text{ Sub}$$

$$\frac{\phi}{\Box \phi} \text{ Nec} \qquad \qquad \frac{\phi}{\Box^- \phi} \text{ Nec}^-$$

**Definition 3.** By normal temporal logic (or temporal logic) we mean the set of formulae that contains Kripke axioms for both boxes,  $\lozenge \neg p \to p$ ,  $\lozenge \neg p \to p$ , and closed under both necessitation rules, MP, and Sub.

#### **Definition 4.** Kripke model

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, R \rangle$  be a frame, then Kripke model is a tuple  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{F}, \vartheta \rangle$ , where  $\vartheta : PV \to 2^W$  is a valuation. A truth condition is defined as follows:

1. 
$$\mathcal{M}, x \models p \Leftrightarrow x \in \vartheta(p)$$

2. 
$$\mathcal{M}, x \not\models \bot$$

3. 
$$\mathcal{M}, x \models \phi \rightarrow \psi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}, x \models \phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}, x \models \psi$$

4. 
$$\mathcal{M}, x \models \Diamond \phi \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in R(x) \ \mathcal{M}, y \models \phi$$

5. 
$$\mathcal{M}, x \models \lozenge^- \phi \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in R^{-1}(x) \ \mathcal{M}, y \models \phi$$

The truth condition for boxes are defined as:

1. 
$$\mathcal{M}, x \models \Box \phi \Leftrightarrow \forall y \in R(x) \ \mathcal{M}, y \models \phi$$

2. 
$$\mathcal{M}, x \models \Box^- \phi \Leftrightarrow \forall y \in R^{-1}(x) \ \mathcal{M}, y \models \phi$$

#### Definition 5.

1. 
$$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in W \ \mathcal{M}, x \models \varphi$$

2. 
$$\mathcal{F} \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \forall \vartheta \ \mathcal{M} \models \varphi, \ where \ \mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{F}, \vartheta \rangle$$

3. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Kripke frame, then a temporal logic of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of formulae that valid on  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $TL(\mathcal{F}) = \{ \varphi \in Fm \mid \mathcal{F} \models \varphi \}$ 

4. Let 
$$\mathbb{F}$$
 be a class of Kripke frames, then  $\mathrm{TL}(\mathbb{F}) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}} \mathrm{TL}(\mathcal{F})$ 

5. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a temporal logic, then  $Frames(\mathcal{L}) = \{\mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{F} \models \mathcal{L}\}$ 

**Definition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \langle W_1, R_1 \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \langle W_2, R_1 \rangle$  be Kripke frames, then a p-morphism is a map  $f: \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2$  with the following data:

1. 
$$aR_1b \Rightarrow f(a)R_2f(b)$$

2. 
$$f(a)R_2c \Rightarrow \exists b \in W_1 \ f(b) = c \& aR_1b$$

3. 
$$cR_2 f(a) \Rightarrow \exists b \in W_1 \ f(b) = c \& bR_1 a$$

**Definition 7.** Let  $\mathcal{M}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_2$  be Kripke models, then  $f: \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$  is a temporal p-morphism, if f is a temporal p-morphism of underlying frames and the following condition holds:

$$\mathcal{M}_1, x \models p \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2, f(x) \models p \text{ for each variable } p$$

### Lemma 1.

1. 
$$\mathcal{M}_1, x \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2, f(x) \models \varphi$$
.

2. If 
$$\mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2$$
, then  $\mathrm{TL}(\mathcal{F}_1) \subseteq \mathrm{TL}(\mathcal{F}_2)$ .

**Definition 8.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, R \rangle$  be a frame, then a formula  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -satisfiable, if  $\mathcal{F} \not\models \neg \phi$ , i.e. there exists a valuation  $\vartheta$  such that  $\mathcal{M}, x \models \phi$  for a model  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{F}, \vartheta \rangle$  and  $x \in W$ .

**Definition 9.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a normal temporal logic, then a formula  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -consistent, if  $\mathcal{L} \not\vdash \neg \phi$ 

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a normal temporal logic, then  $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{TL}(\mathbb{F})$  iff every  $\mathbb{F} \models \mathcal{L}$  and every  $\mathcal{L}$ -consistent formula is  $\mathcal{F}$ -satisfiable.

#### Definition 10.

1. 
$$\mathbf{AL}^+ = \Box(\Box p \to p) \to \Box p = \Diamond p \to \Diamond(p \land \neg \Diamond p)$$

2. 
$$\mathbf{Grz}^+ = \Box(\Box(p \to \Box p) \to p) \to p$$

#### Definition 11.

- 1.  $\mathbf{GL}.\mathbf{t}^+ = \mathbf{K}.\mathbf{t} \oplus \mathbf{AL}^+$
- 2.  $\mathbf{Grz}.\mathbf{t}^+ = \mathbf{K}.\mathbf{t} \oplus \mathbf{Grz}^+$

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, R \rangle$  be a frame, then

- 1.  $\mathcal{F} \models \mathbf{AL}^+ \Leftrightarrow R \text{ is transitive and Noetherian}$
- 2.  $\mathcal{F} \models \mathbf{Grz}^+ \Leftrightarrow R$  is reflexive, transitive and there are no increasing chains  $x_0Rx_1R...$  such that  $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, R \rangle$  be a frame, then

- 1. If  $\mathcal{F} \models \mathbf{AL}$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \models \mathbf{K}4.\mathbf{t}$
- 2. If  $\mathcal{F} \models \mathbf{Grz}^+$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \models \mathbf{S}4.\mathbf{t}$

Proof.

- 1. If  $\mathcal{F} \models \mathbf{AL}$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \models \Diamond \Diamond p \to \Diamond p$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F} \models \Diamond^- \Diamond^- p \to \Diamond^- p$ .
- 2. The argument is similar to the previous one.

A selective filtration is defined standardly, e.g. [3].

**Definition 12.** Selective filtration

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, \vartheta \rangle$  be a Kripke models,  $W' \subseteq W$ ,  $R' \subseteq R$ , let  $\Psi$  be a set of formulae closed under subformulae. Let us define  $\vartheta'(p) = \vartheta(p) \cap W'$  for  $p \in \Psi$ . Then a submodel  $\mathcal{M}' = \langle W', R', \vartheta' \rangle$  is a selective filtration of  $\mathcal{M}$  through  $\Psi$ , if the following condition holds:

- 1.  $\forall \Diamond \phi \in \Psi \ \forall x \in W' \ \mathcal{M}, x \models \Diamond \phi \Rightarrow \exists y \in R'(x) \ \mathcal{M}, y \models \phi$
- 2.  $\forall \lozenge \neg \phi \in \Psi \ \forall x \in W' \ \mathcal{M}, x \models \lozenge \neg \phi \Rightarrow \exists y \in R'^{-1}(x) \ \mathcal{M}, y \models \phi$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, \vartheta \rangle$  be a Kripke model,  $\Psi$  a set of formulae closed under subformulae and  $\mathcal{M}'$  is a selective filtration of  $\mathcal{M}$  through  $\Psi$ , then for each  $\phi \in \Psi$  and  $x \in W'$ :

$$\mathcal{M}, x \models \phi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}', x \models \phi$$

Theorem 1.  $GL.t^+ = TL(Frames(GL.t^+))$ 

Proof

Let us show that every GL.t-consistent formula is satisfiable in some GL.t frame.

Let  $\varphi$  be **GL**.t-consistent formula, then there exists  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}$ ,  $\Gamma \models \varphi$ . It is clear that  $\Diamond \phi \to \Diamond (\varphi \land \neg \Diamond \varphi)$ . Here there exists  $\Delta \in R_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}$  such that  $\varphi \in Gamma$  and  $(\varphi \land \neg \Diamond \varphi)$ .

Let us define

$$V_{\varphi} = V_1 \cap \downarrow V_2$$

where  $V_1 = \{ y \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \mid \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, y \models \psi \land \neg \Diamond \psi, \psi \in \} \text{ and } V_2 = \{ z \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \mid \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, y \models \Diamond^-\psi, \Diamond^-\psi \in \mathrm{Sub}(\varphi) \} \text{ and } \downarrow V_2 = V_2 \cup \{ y \in W_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \mid \exists x \in W_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \mid yRx \}$ 

**Lemma 4.**  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \uparrow V_{\varphi}$  is a selective filtration through  $\mathrm{Sub}(\varphi)$ 

*Proof.* One needs to check that both conditions for diamonds holds. Here we denote  $R_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \uparrow V_{\varphi} \times V_{\varphi}$  as R'.

- 1. Let  $\Diamond \psi \in \text{Sub}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, x \models \Diamond \psi$  for  $x \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \cap V_{\varphi}$ , then there exists  $y \in R_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}(x)$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, y \models \phi \land \neg \Diamond \psi$ . Hence,  $y \in W_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \uparrow V_{\varphi} \times V_{\varphi}$ .
  - On the other hand,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, x \models \psi \land \neg \Diamond \psi$  and, consequently,  $x \in W_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \uparrow V_{\varphi} \times V_{\varphi}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, y \models \psi$  and xSy.

2. Let  $\diamondsuit^-\psi \in \text{Sub}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, x \models \diamondsuit^-\psi$  for  $x \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+} \cap V_{\varphi}$ . By construction, there exists  $y \in S^{-1}(x)$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{GL},\mathbf{t}^+}, y \models \psi$ .

Lemma 5.  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{GL}.\mathbf{t}^+} \uparrow V_{\varphi} \models \mathbf{GL}.\mathbf{t}^+$ 

*Proof.* By construction.

Theorem 2.  $Grz.t^+ = TL(Frames(Grz.t^+))$ 

Proof.

## 1 Finite model property

Here we introduce the notion of a temporal unravelling [4].

**Definition 13.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, R, R^{-1} \rangle$  be a cone with root r, then the temporal unravelling is the frame  $\mathcal{F}^{\#} = \langle W^{\#}, R^{\#}, R^{\#}_{-1} \rangle$ , where  $W^{\#}$  is the set of reduced paths and  $\alpha R^{\#}\beta \Leftrightarrow \beta = \langle \alpha, 1, x \rangle$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $\alpha R^{\#}_{-1}\beta \Leftrightarrow \beta = \langle \alpha, 0, x \rangle$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{W}$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\mathcal{F}^{\#}$  be a temporal tree, then  $\pi: \mathcal{F}^{\#} \to \mathcal{F}$  is a two-sided p-morphism, where  $\pi: \langle \alpha, i, x \rangle \mapsto x$ .

Proof. See, e.g. 
$$[4]$$
.

**Theorem 3.**  $GL.t^+$  has finite model property.

*Proof.* We showed that  $\mathbf{GL.t}^+$  is complete with respect to Noetherian frames of finite height. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, R \rangle$  be a cone and R is a Noetherian and transitive.  $\mathcal{F}$  is a p-moprphic image of  $\mathcal{F}^\#$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\mathbf{GL.t^+}$ -consistent formula, then there exists a model on  $\mathbf{GL.t^+}$ -cone  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}, x \models \varphi$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{M}, R, \vartheta \rangle$  for some valuation  $\vartheta$ .

Thus,  $\varphi$  is satisfiable in  $\mathcal{C}^{\#}$ , that is, there exists a path  $\alpha$  such that x is the last element of  $\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ ,  $\alpha \models \varphi$ , where  $\vartheta'$  is a valuation on  $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$  and the underlying frame is  $\mathcal{C}^{\#}$ .

Let us put  $\Psi = \{ \Diamond \psi \mid \Diamond \psi \in \operatorname{Sub}(\varphi) \} \cup \{ \Diamond^- \psi \mid \Diamond^- \psi \in \operatorname{Sub}(\varphi) \}.$ 

Suppose  $\Psi = \{ \Diamond \phi_1, \dots, \Diamond \phi_m, \Diamond^-\phi'_1, \dots, \Diamond^-\phi'_n \}.$ 

Let us define a selective filtration of  $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$  inductively. Let  $V_0 = \{\alpha\}$ . Let  $\beta, \gamma \in V_i$ .  $\Diamond \psi_i \in \Psi$  and  $\Diamond \neg \phi'_j \in \Psi$  such that  $\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \beta \Diamond \psi_i$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \gamma \models \Diamond \neg \phi'_j$ .

We choose  $\beta' \in R^{\#}(\beta)$  and  $\gamma' \in (R^{\#})^{-1}(\gamma)$  such that  $\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \beta' \models \psi_i$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \gamma' \models \phi_j'$ . Let us denote such a set as  $V_{\alpha,\beta}$ . It is clear that  $|V_{\alpha,\beta}| \leq m+n$ . Thus,  $V_{i+1}$  is defined as follows:

$$V_{i+1} = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \in V_i} V_{\alpha, \beta}$$

## References

- [1] Dov M. Gabbay, Ian Hodkinson, and Mark Reynolds, *Temporal logic (vol. 1): Mathematical foundations and computational aspects*, Oxford University Press, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1994.
- [2] Robert Goldblatt, *Logics of time and computation*, Center for the Study of Language and Information, Stanford, CA, USA, 1987.
- [3] Ilya Shapirovsky and Valentin B. Shehtman, *Chronological future modality in minkowski spacetime*, Advances in Modal Logic 4, papers from the fourth conference on "Advances in Modal logic," held in Toulouse, France, 30 September 2 October 2002, 2002, pp. 437–460.
- [4] Valentin B. Shehtman, Canonical filtrations and local tabularity, Advances in Modal Logic 10, invited and contributed papers from the tenth conference on "Advances in Modal Logic," held in Groningen, The Netherlands, August 5-8, 2014, 2014, pp. 498–512.