

Cylindric notes

Daniel Rogozin

May 2023

1 Cylindric algebras: background

1.1 Atomic representations of Boolean algebras

Let B be a Boolean algebra, an element a is an *atom* if for every $b \in B$ $b < a$ implies $b = 0$. $\text{At}(B)$ is the set of all atoms of B .

Definition 1. *Let B be a Boolean algebra and F a field of sets such that $h : B \rightarrow F$ is a representation of B , then B is a complete representation of B , if for every $A \subseteq B$ such that ΣA is defined the following holds:*

$$h(\Sigma A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} h(a) \quad (1)$$

A representation h is called atomic, if $x \in h(1)$, then there exists $b \in \text{At}(B)$ such that $x \in h(b)$.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{B} be a Boolean algebra, then \mathcal{B} is atomic iff \mathcal{B} is completely representable. See [HH97, Corollary 6].*

1.2 Proper cylindric algebras

Let $X \neq \emptyset$ along and $X^\omega = \{f \mid f : \omega \rightarrow X\}$. Let $x \in X^\omega$, x_i stands for $x(i)$ for $i < \omega$. A subset of X^ω is an ω -ry relation on U . For $i, j < \omega$, the i, j -diagonal D_{ij} is the set of all elements of X^ω such that $y_i = y_j$.

If $i < \omega$ and Y is an ω -ry relation on X , then the i -th cylindrification $C_i Y$ is the set of all elements of U that agree with some element of Y on each coordinate except, perhaps, the i -th one:

$$C_i Y = \{y \in X^\omega \mid \exists x \in Y \forall i < \omega (i \neq j \Rightarrow y_j = x_j)\}.$$

We define the following equivalence relation for $i < \alpha$ and $x, y \in X^\omega$:

$$x \equiv_i y \Leftrightarrow \forall j \in \alpha (i \neq j \Rightarrow x(i) = y(j))$$

Then one may reformulate the definition of the i -th cylindrification the following way:

$$C_i Y = \{y \in X^\omega \mid \exists x \in X \ x \equiv_i y\}$$

According to this version of the definition, one can think of cylindrification operators as **S5** modalities.

Definition 2. A cylindric set algebra of dimension ω is an algebra consisting of a set S of ω -ry relation on some base set X with the constants and operations $0 = \emptyset$, $1 = X^\omega$, \cap , $-$, the diagonal elements $(D_{ij})_{i,j < \omega}$, the cylindrifications $(C_i)_{i < \omega}$. A generalised cylindric set algebra of dimension ω is a subdirect of cylindric algebras that have dimension ω . \mathbf{Cs}_ω denotes the class of all cylindric set algebras of dimension ω .

Definition 3. A cylindric algebra of dimension ω is an algebra $C = (B, (c_i)_{i < \omega}, (d_{ij})_{i,j < \omega})$ such that B is a Boolean algebra, each $d_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}$ and for all $i, j, k < \omega$ and for all $a, b \in B$:

1. $c_i 0 = 0$,
2. $c_i(a + b) = c_i a + c_i b$,
3. $a \leq c_i a$,
4. $c_i(a \cdot c_i b) = c_i a \cdot c_i b$
5. $d_{ii} = 1$,
6. $c_i c_j a = c_j c_i a$,
7. If $k \neq i, j$, then $d_{ij} = c_k(d_{ij} \cdot d_{jk})$,
8. If $i \neq j$, then $c_i(d_{ij} \cdot a) \cdot c_i(d_{ij} \cdot -a) = 0$.

\mathbf{CA}_ω is the class of all cylindric algebras of dimension ω .

One can define a representation of a cylindric algebra explicitly the following way:

Definition 4. Let \mathcal{A} be a cylindric algebra of dimension ω . A representation of \mathcal{A} over the non-empty domain X is a one-to-one map $f : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow 2^{X^\omega}$ such that:

1. $f(1) = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i^\omega$ for some disjoint family $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ where each $X_i \subseteq X$
2. $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^{f(1)}$ is a representation of a Boolean reduct
3. for all $i, k < \omega$, $x \in h(d_{ik})$ iff $x_i = x_k$
4. for all $i < \omega$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in h(c_i(a))$ iff there is $y \in X$ such that $x[i \mapsto y] \in h(a)$

Let C be a cylindric algebra, C is *representable* if there exists a representation of C . \mathbf{RCA}_ω is the class of all representable cylindric algebras. Alternatively, \mathbf{RCA}_ω can be defined as the closure of \mathbf{Cs}_ω under isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{RCA}_\omega = \mathbf{ICS}_\omega.$$

It is well known that \mathbf{RCA}_ω is a variety, \mathbf{RCA}_n is finitely axiomatisable for $n \leq 2$ and \mathbf{RCA}_α ($2 < \alpha < \omega$) has no finite axiomatisation, see [HMT88].

Let $C \in \mathbf{RCA}_\omega$, \mathcal{A} has a *complete representation* if its representation preserves all existing suprema as in Definition 1. In other words, \mathcal{A} is *completely representable*.

Proposition 1. *Let $A \in \mathbf{CA}_\omega$, then A is completely representation iff it has an atomic representation.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 1. □

2 Atom structures and canonical extensions

First of all, we introduce the following operations on classes of algebras or frames. Let \mathcal{A} be a class of algebras and \mathcal{F} a class of frames, then:

- \mathbf{IK} is the closure of \mathcal{K} under isomorphic copies,
- $\mathbf{Ud}\mathcal{F}$ is the closure of \mathcal{F} under disjoint unions,
- $\mathbf{Ub}\mathcal{F}$ is the closure of \mathcal{F} under bounded unions,
- \mathcal{F}^+ is the class of all complex algebras generated from elements of \mathcal{F} ,
- $\mathbf{Pu}\mathcal{K}$ is the closure of \mathcal{K} under ultraproducts,
- $\mathbf{Pw}\mathcal{K}$ is the closure of \mathcal{K} under ultrapowers,
- \mathbf{SA} is the closure of \mathcal{A} under subalgebras,
- \mathbf{SF} is the closure of \mathcal{F} under generated subframes,
- \mathbf{HF} is the closure \mathcal{F} under p -morphic images.

The following definition of an ω -frame is taken from [Ven13].

Definition 5. *A cylindric ω -frame is a structure $F = (W, (R_i)_{i < \omega}, (D_{ij})_{i, j < \omega})$ where $(R_i)_{i < \omega}$ are binary relations and $(D_{ij})_{i, j < \omega}$ are unary relations such that, for all $i, j, k < \omega$:*

1. *Every R_i is an equivalence relation on W ,*
2. *$R_i \circ R_j = R_j \circ R_i$,*
3. *For all $x \in W$, $D_{ii} = W$.*
4. *For all $x, y, z \in W$, if xR_iy , xR_iz , $D_{ij} = W$ and $D_{ij} = W$, then $y = z$.*
5. *For all $x \in W$, $D_{ij} = W$ iff there exists $y \in W$ such that xR_ky , $D_{ik} = W$ and $D_{kj} = W$.*

$\mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$ is the class of all ω -frames.

Remark 1.

Observe that the conditions of cylindric ω -frames can be expressed as first-order formulas. Therefore, $\mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$ is an elementary class.

We can associate a complete atomic cylindric algebra of dimension ω with every cylindric ω -frame $F = (W, (R_i)_{i < \omega}, (D_{ij})_{i, j < \omega})$ by taking its *complex algebra*, which is the algebra $F^+ = (2^W, \cup, -, (C_i)_{i < \omega}, \emptyset, W, (D_{ij})_{i, j < \omega})$ where each C_i is an operator $C_i : 2^W \rightarrow 2^W$ defined as:

$$C_i A = \{w \in W \mid \exists a \in A \, w R_i a\} = R_i^{-1}(A).$$

If $F \in \mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$ and $x \in F$, then F^x is a *generated subframe* generated by x . Generally, F_1 is a generated subframe of F_2 , if $W_1 \subseteq W_2$, $R_{i1} \subseteq R_{i2}$ and for all $x \in W_1$ $y \in R_{i2}(x)$ implies $y \in W_1$ for every $i < \omega$. That is, for all $i < \omega$ and $x \in F_1$, we have $R_{i2}(x) \subseteq F_1$ and, thus, $R_{i1}(x) = R_{i2}(x)$.

Let $F_1 = (W_1, (R_{i1})_{i < \omega}, (D_{ij1})_{i, j < \omega})$ and $F_2 = (W_2, (R_{i2})_{i < \omega}, (D_{ij2})_{i, j < \omega})$ be cylindric ω -frames. A *bounded morphism* is a function $f : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ such that, for each $i, j < \omega$:

1. (Monotonicity) $x R_{i1} y$ implies $f(x) R_{i2} f(y)$ for all $x, y \in W_1$,
2. (The lifting property) If $f(x) R_{i2} z$, then there exists $y \in R_{i1}(x)$ such that $f(y) = z$,
3. $x \in D_{ij1}$ iff $f(x) \in D_{ij2}$.

A bounded morphism is a *p-morphism* if it is onto. Notation: $F_1 \twoheadrightarrow F_2$. In this case, we say that F_1 is a *p-morphic image* of F_2 .

We have the following connection between ω -frames and their generated subframes, which is standard for modal logic:

Proposition 2. *Let $F \in \mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$, then*

1. $F = \coprod_{x \in F} F^x$,
2. $F^+ \cong \prod_{x \in F} (F^x)^+$,
3. $(F^x)^+$ is subdirectly irreducible.

Let F be a cylindric ω -frame and let $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of cylindric ω -frames such that each F_j is a generated subframe of F . Then $G = (W, R_i, D_{ij})$ is the *bounded union* of $(F_j)_{j \in J}$, where $W = \bigcup_{j \in J} W_j$ and R_i and D_{ij} are defined by corresponding relations in F_j 's.

The following fact connects cylindric frames and cylindric algebras through complex algebras, see [Ven13, Proposition 2.1.5]:

Proposition 3. *A structure F is a cylindric ω -frame iff F^+ is a cylindric algebra of dimension ω .*

Let $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ be a disjoint family of cylindric ω -frames, the *disjoint sum* of $(F_i)_{i \in I}$ is $F = \coprod_{i \in I} F_i$, where each $R_i = \bigcup_{j \in J} R_{ij}$ and $D_{ik} = \bigcup_{j \in J} D_{ikj}$. Disjoint sums and direct products are connected with one another through complex algebras as follows (see [Gol89, Lemma 3.4.1]):

$$\left(\coprod_{j \in J} F_j\right)^+ \cong \prod_{j \in J} F_j^+ \quad (2)$$

We define a particular frame of cylindric ω -frames. Let X be a non-empty set, the *full Cartesian structure over X of dimension ω* is a cylindric ω -frame $\mathfrak{C}(X) = (X^\omega, (\equiv_i)_{i < \omega}, D_{ij})_{i, j < \omega}$. \mathcal{Fct}_ω is the class of all full Cartesian structures of dimension ω . Observe that

$$\mathbf{Cs}_\omega = (\mathcal{Fct}_\omega)^+, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{ICs}_\omega = \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Fct}_\omega)^+. \quad (4)$$

The class of *generalised cylindric set algebras* of dimension ω , \mathbf{Gs}_ω , consists of complex algebras of the closure of \mathcal{Fct}_ω under disjoint unions:

$$\mathbf{Gs}_\omega = (\mathbf{Ud}(\mathcal{Fct}_\omega))^+ \quad (5)$$

or, by (2):

$$\mathbf{Gs}_\omega = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{Fct}_\omega^+) \quad (6)$$

\mathbf{RCA}_ω is the closure of \mathbf{Gs}_ω under isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{RCA}_\omega = \mathbf{IGs}_\omega \quad (7)$$

or, assuming (5) and (6):

$$\mathbf{RCA}_\omega = \mathbf{IGs}_\omega = \mathbf{S}((\mathbf{Ud}(\mathcal{Fct}_\omega))^+) = \mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{Fct}_\omega^+). \quad (8)$$

If $C \in \mathbf{CA}_\omega$ is atomic, then we can associate a cylindric omega frame with it. Let C be an atomic cylindric algebra of dimension ω , its *atom structure* is the structure $\mathbf{At}(C) = (\text{At}(C), (R_i)_{i < \omega}, (D_{ij})_{i, j < \omega})$ such that each $D_{ij} \subseteq \mathbf{At}(C)$ and for all $i < \omega$ and for all $a, b \in \text{At}(C)$:

$$aR_ib \text{ iff } c_ib \leq a.$$

As a corollary from Proposition 3:

Proposition 4. *If $C \in \mathbf{CA}_\omega$ is atomic, then $\mathbf{At}(C)$ is a cylindric ω -frame.*

3 Canonical extensions

Let B be a Boolean algebra, a proper subset $F \subsetneq B$ is an *filter* if the following holds:

1. $a \in B$ and $a \leq b$ imply $b \in B$,
2. If $a, b \in B$, then $a \cdot b \in B$.

A filter U is an *ultrafilter* if either $a \in U$ or $-a \in U$, or, equivalently, $U \subseteq U'$ implies $U = U'$. $\mathbf{Spec}(B)$ is the *spectrum* of B , that is, the set of all ultrafilters of B .

Let C be a cylindric algebra of dimension ω , the ultrafilter frame of C is a structure $C_+ = (\mathbf{Spec}(C), (R_i)_{i < \omega}, (D_{ij})_{i, j < \omega})$ such that, for all $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbf{Spec}(C)$ and for all $i, j < \omega$:

1. $U_1 R_i U_2$ iff $\{c_i a \mid a \in U_2\} \subseteq U_1$,
2. $D_{ij} \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(C)$.

From Proposition 3 we have:

Proposition 5. *If C is a cylindric algebra, then C_+ is a cylindric ω -frame.*

The *canonical extension* of C is the algebra $(C_+)^+$, that is, the complex algebra of the ultrafilter frame.

Theorem 2. (See [JT51])

$C \in \mathbf{CA}_\omega$ embeds to $(C_+)^+$ by mapping $a \mapsto \{U \in \mathbf{Spec}(C) \mid a \in U\}$.

4 Canonicity of \mathbf{RCA}_ω

In this section, we reproduce the results related to characterisation \mathbf{RCA}_ω . The following results are due to Goldblatt [Gol95]. This denotes that a cylindric algebra of dimension ω is representable iff it is isomorphic to a subalgebra of the complex algebra of disjoint sum of some full ω -dimensional Cartesian structure.

The following characterisation result is known from [Ven13, Theorem 2.2.3].

Theorem 3. $\mathbf{RCA}_\omega = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathcal{Fct}_\omega^+)$

That is, the class of representable cylindric algebras of dimension ω is a variety generated by complex algebras of full Cartesian structures of dimension ω . If we consider the equational theory of \mathbf{RCA}_ω as a polymodal logic, we could say that it is Kripke complete with respect to the class of all full Cartesian structures of dimension ω .

To show that \mathbf{RCA}_ω is canonical we have got to show the following inclusion:

$$(\mathbf{RCA}_{\omega+})^+ \subseteq \mathbf{RCA}_\omega.$$

Definition 6. *The weak Cartesian space with base set X and dimension ω determined by $x \in X^\omega$ is the set:*

$$X^{\omega(x)} = \{y \in X^\omega \mid \text{card}(\{k < \omega \mid x_k \neq y_k\}) < \aleph_0\}$$

$\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{\omega(x)})$ is a weak Cartesian structure of dimension ω . \mathcal{Wct}_ω is the class of all weak Cartesian structure of dimension ω up to isomorphism.

Note that we have $\mathcal{Wct}_\omega \subseteq \mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$.

Define also the class \mathcal{Sct}_ω of *sub-Cartesian structures of dimension ω* consisting of $\mathfrak{S}_\omega(V)$ for $V \subseteq X^\omega$, where X is a non-empty base set. Note that $\mathfrak{S}_\omega(V)$ does not have to be a cylindric ω -frame.

Let F be a generated subframe of a full Cartesian structure of dimension ω $\mathfrak{C}(X)$, then

$$F \cong \prod_{x \in F} F^x \quad (9)$$

or, by (2):

$$F^+ \cong \prod_{x \in F} (F^x)^+ \quad (10)$$

The latter implies the inclusion:

$$(\mathcal{SFct}_\omega)^+ \subseteq \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{Wct}_\omega^+). \quad (11)$$

Note that (follows from [HMT⁺81, p. 118]):

Fact 1. $\mathcal{Wct}_\omega^+ \subseteq \mathbf{RCA}_\omega$

Complex algebras based on $\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^x)$ form the class \mathbf{Ws}_ω of *weak cylindric set algebras of dimension ω* . The class \mathbf{Gws}_ω of *generalised weak cylindric set algebras of dimension ω* consists of complex algebras based on the closure of \mathbf{Ws}_ω under disjoint unions:

$$\mathbf{IWs}_\omega = \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Wct}_\omega^+) \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{IGws}_\omega = \mathbf{S}((\mathbf{Ud}\mathcal{Wct}_\omega)^+) = \mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{Wct}_\omega^+) \quad (13)$$

The following is by Goldblatt, see [Gol95, Lemma 3.4]:

Lemma 1. $\mathbf{RCA}_\omega = \mathbf{S}((\mathcal{S}\mathbf{Ud}\mathcal{Fct}_\omega)^+) = \mathbf{S}((\mathcal{S}\mathbf{Ud}(\mathcal{Wct}_\omega))^+) = \mathbf{IGws}_\omega$

4.1 Ultraproducts of full Cartesian structures

Let $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ be an indexed family of full Cartesian structures of dimension ω , where each F_j is of the form

$$F_j = (W_j, (R_{i_j})_{i < \omega}, (D_{ik_j})_{i, k < \omega})$$

and let U be an ultrafilter on J . Define the following equivalence relation on $\prod_{j \in J} W_j$ for $f, g \in \prod_{j \in J} W_j$:

$$f \sim_U g \text{ iff } \{j \in J \mid f(j) = g(j)\} \in U$$

The *ultraproduct* of $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ is an algebra $\prod_j F_j / U = (W, (R_i)_{i < \omega}, (D_{ik})_{i, k < \omega})$, where $W = \prod_{j \in J} W_j$ and

1. $f_U R_i g_U$ iff $\{j \in J \mid R_{i_j}(f_U(j), g_U(j))\} \in U$,
2. $f_U \in D_{ik}$ iff $\{j \in J \mid f_U(j) \in D_{ik_j}\} \in U$.

where f_U and g_U are equivalence classes of f and g modulo U .

See [Gol95, Lemma 3.5], a similar construction for modal logics could be found in [Fin75]:

Lemma 2.

Let $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ be an indexed family of full Cartesian structures of dimension ω and U an ultrafilter on J . There exists a p -morphism:

$$\varphi : \prod_j F_j / U \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\omega((\prod_j W_j / U))$$

that restricts to an isomorphism $F^x \cong I^{\varphi(x)}$ of generated subframes generated by $x \in F$.

Proof. Consider the equation:

$$f_i(j) = f(j)_i \tag{14}$$

If $j \in \prod_{j \in J} W_j^\omega$, then the equation defines a function $f_i \in \prod_{j \in J} W_j$ for each $i < \omega$.

Then a sequence $(f_i)_{i < \omega}$ defines a function by Equation 14. Clearly $f_U = g_U$ implies $f_{iU} = g_{iU}$ for $i < \omega$. So define φ as:

$$\varphi(f_U) = (f_{iU})_{i < \omega} \tag{15}$$

It is readily checked that:

1. $f_U \in D_{kl}$ iff $f_{kU} = f_{lU}$ iff $\varphi(f_U) \in E_{kl}^\omega$,
2. $(f_U)R_k(g_U)$ implies $f_{lU} = g_{lU}$ whenever $k \neq l < \omega$, so $(f_U)R_k^\omega(g_U)$, so φ is monotone.

Let us show that φ has the lifting property. Assume that $\varphi(f_U)R_k^\omega z$ where $z = (g_k)_{k < \omega}$. We have got to show that there exists h_U such that $\varphi(h_U) = z$ and $(f_U)R_k(h_U)$. Put $h_k = g_k$ and $h_l = f_l$ for $k \neq l < \omega$, so for $k \neq l$ one has $P(f_l)_U = (g_l)_U$ since $\varphi(f_U)R_k^\omega z$, so $(g_l)_U = (h_l)_U$, so $z = (h_U)$ are the same sequence. Moreover, $\{j \mid h(j)R_{k_j}f(j)\} = J \in U$, since $h(j)_l = f(j)_l$ for $l \neq k$, so $(f_U)R_k(h_U)$ in the ultraproduct.

Let us show that φ acts isomorphically on every generated subframe F^x of the ultraproduct. Take $f_U, g_U \in F^x$, then there are $i_0, \dots, i_n < \omega$ such that

$$f_U(R_{i_0} \circ \dots \circ R_{i_n})g_U.$$

By Łoś's theorem we have

$$J_{fg} = \{j \in J \mid f(j)(R_{i_0} \circ \dots \circ R_{i_n})g(j)\}$$

So for J_{fg} , the ω -sequences $f(j)$ and $g(j)$ agree except possibly on i_0, \dots, i_n . If $\varphi(f_U) = \varphi(g_U)$, then for each $k < \omega$, $f_{k_U} = g_{k_U}$ and then:

$$J_k = \{j \in J \mid f_k(j) = g_k(j)\} \in U$$

But f, g are identical on the set

$$J_k \cap J_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap J_{i_n} \in F$$

and thus $f_U = g_U$, so φ is injective on F^x . \square

Theorem 4. $\mathbf{PuFct}_\omega \subseteq \mathbf{UbFct}_\omega$.

Proof. Let $F = \prod_j F_j/U$ be an ultraproduct of full Cartesian structures of dimension ω . To show $F \in \mathbf{UbFct}_\omega$ one needs to show that for each point $x \in F$ there exists a generated subframe that contains x and is isomorphic to $I = \mathfrak{S}_\omega((\prod_j F_j/U))$.

Let Z be a choice set that contains exactly one element from each weak Cartesian substructure of I . But I is the disjoint union of all its weak substructures, so we have:

$$I = \coprod_{z \in Z} I^z$$

Fix $x \in F$, for each $z \in Z$ choose $\psi(z)$ to be any member of F such that $\varphi(\psi(z)) = z$ and I^z is the weak substructure containing $\varphi(x)$, where φ is a p -morphism from Lemma 2. By the previous lemma, we have

$$F^{\psi(z)} = I^z.$$

If z and z' are different elements of Z , so I^z and $I^{z'}$ are disjoint, so $F^{\psi(z)}$ and $F^{\psi(z')}$ are also disjoint.

$F(x)$ is defined to be the union of the collection of $\{F^{\psi(z)} \mid z \in Z\}$ and forms a generated subframe of F which is isomorphic of I^z 's, so $F^x \cong I$, but $x = \psi(z)$ for some z , so $x \in F(x)$. \square

Corollary 1. \mathbf{UbFct}_ω is closed under ultraproducts.

Theorem 5.

$$1. \mathbf{PuWct}_\omega \subseteq \mathbf{UbWct}_\omega,$$

$$2. \mathbf{PuSct}_\omega \subseteq \mathbf{Sct}_\omega.$$

Proof.

1. Let $F^* = \prod_j F_j^*/U$ be an ultraproduct of weak Cartesian structures of dimension ω . Each F_j^* is a generated subframe of some full Cartesian structure F_j , so F^* is isomorphic to a generated subframe of the ultraproduct $F = \prod_j F_j/U$ and we identify F^* with this generated subframe. But the ultraproduct F is a cylindric ω -frame since each $F_j \in \mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$, but $\mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$ is elementary and then closed under ultraproducts. But F^* can be defined as

$$F^* = \coprod_{x \in F^*} F^x$$

But each F^x is isomorphic to each $I^{\varphi(x)}$.

2. Let $F^* = \prod_j F_j^*/U$ be an ultraproduct of sub-Cartesian structures of dimension ω , then each F_j^* is a substructure of some full Cartesian structure F_j of dimension ω . So F^* is isomorphic to a substructure of the ultraproduct $F = \prod_j F_j/U$. As in the previous item, $F \in \mathbf{CFrames}_\omega$ of its all point-generated substructures F^x , each of which is isomorphic to some sub-Cartesian structure of dimension ω . Then

$$F = \coprod_{x \in F} F^x \in \mathbf{UdSct}_\omega = \mathbf{Sct}_\omega$$

That makes $F^* \in \mathbf{Sct}_\omega$.

□

Theorem 6. $\mathcal{Wct}_\omega^+ \subseteq \mathbf{S}((\mathbf{Pw}(\mathcal{Wct}_\omega))^+)$.

Proof. Let J be the set of finite subsets ω and let U be an ultrafilter on J that contains, for each $i \in J$, the set

$$J_i = \{j \in J \mid i \subseteq j\}.$$

In particular $J_k = \{j \in J \mid k \in j\}$ for all $k < \omega$. Now take $\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)}) \in \mathcal{Wct}_\omega$. For each $y \in X^{\omega(x)}$, let $f_y \in (X^{\omega(x)})^J$ be the constant function $f_y(j) = y$. Then $\psi : y \mapsto f_y/U$ is the isomorphic embedding

$$\psi : \mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)})^J/U$$

of $\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)})$ to its ultrapower $\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)})^J/U$ with respect to U . We have got to show:

Claim 1. *There exists a bounded morphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{S}_\omega(X)^J/U \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)})/U$ such that its image contains the image of ψ :*

$$\psi : \mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)}) \hookrightarrow \text{Im } \psi \subseteq \text{Im } \phi \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)})^J/U$$

By duality ϕ induces a homomorphism:

$$\phi^+ : (\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)})/U)^+ \rightarrow (\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X)^J/U)^+$$

ϕ^+ composes with the homomorphism:

$$(\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)}))^+ \rightarrow (\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)})^J/U)^+$$

that gives a homomorphism:

$$\theta : (\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)}))^+ \rightarrow (\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X)^J/U)^+$$

Let us describe the action of θ , take $f \in (X^\omega)^J$ and choose any $f^\bullet \in (X^{\omega(x)})^J$ such that $\varphi(f/U) = f^\bullet/U$, so for any $Y \subseteq X^{\omega(x)}$:

$$\theta(Y) = \{f/U \in (X^\omega)^J/U \mid \{j \mid f^\bullet(j) \in Y\} \in U\}.$$

So for $y \in Y$, then $\psi(y)$ (that is f_y/U) is equal to $\varphi(f/U)$ for some f , so then $f^\bullet/U = f_y/U$ and then $\{j \mid f^\bullet(j) = y \in Y\} \in U$ showing that $f/U \in \theta(Y)$. As far as $\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X)^J/U$ is an ultrapower of a full Cartesian structure of dimension ω , so $(\mathfrak{S}_\omega(X^{(x)}))^+ \in \mathbf{S}((\mathbf{Pw}(\mathcal{Fct}_\omega))^+)$, so the theorem is proved.

Now let us prove Claim 1:

Proof. Take $f \in (X^\omega)^J$, define $f^\bullet \in (X^{\omega(x)})^J$ as:

$$f^\bullet(j)_k = \begin{cases} f(j)_k & \text{if } k \in j \\ x_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Each $f^\bullet(j)$ differs from x at most on the finite set j . Clearly that $f(j) = g(j)$ implies $f^\bullet(j) = g^\bullet(j)$, so $f_U = g_U$ in $(X^\omega)^J/U$ implies $f_U^\bullet = g_U^\bullet$ in $(X^{\omega(x)})^J/U$. So the mapping $\varphi : f_U \mapsto f_U^\bullet$ is well-defined.

Let us show that $\text{Im } \psi \subseteq \text{Im } \varphi$. Take $f_{y_U} \in \text{Im } \psi$ with $y \in X^{\omega(x)}$. We also have $f_{y_U} \in (X^\omega)^J$, so that is enough to show that $f_{y_U}^\bullet = f_{y_U}$ in $\text{Im } \psi$. Put $i = \{k < \omega \mid x_k \neq y_k\} \in J$, so for $j \in J_j$:

$$f_{y_U}^\bullet(j)_k = \begin{cases} f_y(j)_k & \text{if } k \in j \\ x_k = f_y(j)_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

since $f_y(j)$ agrees with x outside i . Thus $f_y^\bullet(j) = f_y(j)$ for each $j \in J_j \in U$, so $f_{y_U}^\bullet = f_{y_U} = \psi(y)$. \square

We skip the proof φ is a bounded morphism. \square

Theorem 7. $\mathcal{Wct}_\omega^+ \subseteq \mathbf{RCA}_\omega$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}\mathbf{ct}_\omega^+ &\subseteq \\
&\text{By Theorem 6} \\
&\subseteq \mathbf{SCmPw}(\mathcal{F}\mathbf{ct}_\omega) \\
&\text{By Theorem 4} \\
&\subseteq \mathbf{SCmUb}(\mathcal{F}\mathbf{ct}_\omega) \\
&\text{Since } \mathbf{Ub} \leq \mathbf{HUd} \\
&\subseteq \mathbf{SCmHUd}\mathcal{F}\mathbf{ct}_\omega \\
&\text{Since } \mathbf{HUd} = \mathbf{Ud} \\
&= \mathbf{SCmUd}\mathcal{F}\mathbf{ct}_\omega \\
&= \mathbf{RCA}_\omega
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8. $\mathbf{IWs}_\omega \subseteq \mathbf{ICs}_\omega$.

Proof.

□

4.2 The proof of canonicity

Here we use the following fact related to canonical varieties generated by some class of complex algebras. Let \mathbf{K} be an elementary class of relational structures, then:

If \mathcal{K} is closed under p -morphic images, generated subframes, and disjoint unions, then $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K}^+)$ is a canonical variety.

One may think of this fact as a more abstract version of Fine's theorem which claims that every elementary modal logic is canonical [Fin75]. This version denotes the same fact, but it is formulated in terms of varieties BAOs generated by complex algebras of some atom structures. We provide a more precise formulation of the fact above.

Proposition 6. *Let \mathcal{K} be a class of frames, then $\mathbf{PuK} \subseteq \mathbf{HSUdK}$ implies that $\mathbf{S}((\mathbf{SUdK})^+)$ is a canonical variety.*

This is a special case of [Gol95, Theorem 4.4] for dimension ω .

Theorem 9. \mathbf{RCA}_ω is a canonical variety.

Proof. We have $\mathbf{RCA}_\omega = \mathbf{S}((\mathbf{SUd}\mathcal{F}\mathbf{ct}_\omega)^+)$. That's enough to show that $\mathbf{Pu}\mathcal{F}\mathbf{ct}_\omega \subseteq \mathbf{HSUd}\mathcal{F}\mathbf{ct}_\omega$. For that, we need the following claim:

□

5 Representability via games

5.1 Monk's theorem for \mathbf{RCA}_n via saturation

In this section we consider classes \mathbf{RCA}_n for $n < \omega$.

We provide the complete proof of the following theorem [HH13, Theorem 3.4.3].

Theorem 10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{CA}_n$, then \mathcal{A} is representable iff $(\mathcal{A}_+)^+$ is completely representable.*

For that we need such model-theoretic notions as saturation and types, see [Hod93, Section 6.3].

Definition 7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a first-order structure of a signature L and $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Let $L(S)$ be an extension of L with copies of elements from S as additional constants. We assume that $\text{Cnst}(L)$ and S are disjoint.*

1. *Let $n < \omega$, an n -type over S is a set \mathcal{T} of $L(S)$ formulas $A(\bar{x})$, where \bar{x} is a fixed n -tuple of elements from S . Notation: $\mathcal{T}(\bar{x})$. A type is an n -type for some $n < \omega$.*
2. *An n -type $\mathcal{T}(\bar{x})$ is realised in \mathcal{M} , if there exists $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{M}^n$ such that $\mathcal{M} \models A(\bar{m})$ for every $A \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})$. \mathcal{M} omits $\mathcal{T}(\bar{x})$, if $\mathcal{T}(\bar{x})$ is not realised in \mathcal{M} .*
3. *$\mathcal{T}(\bar{x})$ is finitely satisfied in \mathcal{M} , if every finite subtype $\mathcal{T}_0(\bar{x}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})$ is realised in \mathcal{M} . We can reformulate that as $\mathcal{M} \models \exists \bar{a} \bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{T}_0} A(\bar{a})$.*
4. *Let T be a theory, then a type \mathcal{T} over the empty set of constants is T -consistent, if there exists a model $\mathcal{M} \models T$ such that \mathcal{T} is finitely satisfied in \mathcal{M} .*
5. *Let κ be a cardinal, then \mathcal{M} is κ -saturated, if for every $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $|S| < \kappa$ every finitely satisfied 1-type \mathcal{T} is realised in \mathcal{M} .*

By default, a saturated model is an ω -saturated model for us.

A couple of useful facts from [CK90] and [Hod93]:

Fact 2. *Let \mathcal{M} be an FO-structure and κ a cardinal, then:*

1. *\mathcal{M} is κ -saturated, iff every finitely satisfiable α -type (an arbitrary $\alpha \leq \kappa$) with fewer than κ parameters is realised in \mathcal{M} .*
2. *If \mathcal{M} is κ -saturated, then \mathcal{M} is λ -saturated for every $\lambda < \kappa$.*
3. *Every consistent theory has a κ -saturated model and every model has an elementary κ -saturated extension.*
4. *Let $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i < \omega}$ a family of structures of the (at most) countable signature and D a non-principal ultrafilter over ω , then $\Pi_D \mathcal{M}_i$ is ω_1 -saturated.*

5.2 Proof of Theorem 10

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{CA}_n$, then if \mathcal{A} is completely representable, then h , a complete representation of \mathcal{A} , is atomic. That is, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in h(1)$, then $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in h(y)$ for some $y \in \text{At}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 8. Let \mathcal{A} be a cylindric algebra of dimension $n < \omega$. $L(\mathcal{A})$ is the first-order language that consists of equality plus n -ary predicate letters $(R_a^n)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$. The $L(\mathcal{A})$ -theory $T_{\mathcal{A}}$ consists of the following sentences:

1. $A_+(a, b, c) := \forall x_1, \dots, x_n (R_a(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow R_b(x_1, \dots, x_n) \vee R_c(x_1, \dots, x_n))$.
Informally, that means $\mathcal{A} \models a = b + c$.
2. $A_-(a, b) := \forall x_1, \dots, x_n (R_a(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow \neg R_b(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. That is, $\mathcal{A} \models a = -b$.
3. $A_{\neq 0}(a) := \exists x_1, \dots, x_n R_a(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. That is, $\mathcal{A} \models a \neq 0$.
4. $A_{c_i}(a) := \forall x_1, \dots, x_n (R_{c_i a}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow \exists y_1, \dots, y_n (R_a(y_1, \dots, y_n) \wedge x_i = y_j))$, for $i < n$ and $j < n$ such that $i \neq j$. Informally, $\mathcal{A} \models c_i a = 1$.
5. $A_{d_{ij}} := \forall x_1, \dots, x_n (R_{d_{ij}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow x_i = x_j)$, for $i, j < n$.

In fact, we need to show the following implication:

If \mathcal{A} is representable, then \mathcal{A}^+ is completely representable.

Assume that \mathcal{A} is representable, then the theory $T(\mathcal{A})$ is consistent, then it has an ω -saturated model \mathcal{M} by Fact 3. We have the following claim:

Claim 2. The set $U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{M} \models R_a(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ is an ultrafilter of \mathcal{A} , for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$ with $R_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Those U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} 's allow us to represent atoms of \mathcal{A}^+ .

We define a representation of \mathcal{A}^+ as a map $h : \mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{M}^n}$ such that:

$$h : S \mapsto \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in 1^{\mathcal{M}} \mid U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in S\}, \text{ for } S \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Claim 3. Let $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$

1. $h(0^{\mathcal{A}^+}) = \emptyset$
2. $h(-A_1) = -h(A_1)$
3. $h(1^{\mathcal{A}^+}) = 1^{\mathcal{M}}$
4. If $S \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$, then $h(\bigcup S) = \bigcup_{U \in S} h(U)$

In particular, h is a Boolean homomorphism.

Proof.

1. $h(0^{\mathcal{A}^+}) = h(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
2. From the definition of h .
3. $h(-A_1) = -h(A_1)$

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in 1^{\mathcal{M}}$, then we have:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in h(-A_1) \text{ iff } U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in -A_1 \text{ iff } U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \notin A_1 \text{ iff } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin h(A_1)$$

4. Let $S = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$, where $S_i \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ for every $i \in I$. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in 1^{\mathcal{M}}$, then we have:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in h\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i\right) \text{ iff } f_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \text{ iff } \exists i \in I \text{ } f_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in S_i \text{ iff } \exists i \in I (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in h(S_i) \text{ iff } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$$

□

Claim 4. h is injective.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$. The first is to show that $h(U)$ is non-empty. The following n -type:

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{R_a(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid a \in U\}$$

is finitely satisfied in \mathcal{M} .

Consider $T_0 = \{R_{a_1}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, R_{a_k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \subseteq T$. Then $a_1, \dots, a_k \in U$ and $a = a_1 \cdots a_k \in U$. By the instance of the $A_{\neq 0}(a)$ -axiom, we have $\mathcal{M} \models \exists x_1, \dots, x_n R_a(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. $a \leq a_i$ for $i \leq k$, so we have $\mathcal{M} \models \exists x_1, \dots, x_n R_{a_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for every a_i with $i \leq k$ by the instance of the $A_+(a_i, a, a)$ -axiom. That makes every finite subtype of T satisfiable, thus the whole type is finitely satisfiable in \mathcal{M} . \mathcal{M} is ω -saturated, then T is realised in \mathcal{M} by some $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M}^n$ and, moreover, $\mathcal{M} \models 1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. As we have already said, U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} is an ultrafilter, but $U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \subseteq U$, thus $U = U_{x_1, \dots, x_n}$, so $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in h(U)$.

That makes h one-to-one. □

Claim 5.

1. $h(c_i^{\mathcal{A}^+} U) = C_i(h(U))$
2. $h(d_{ij}^{\mathcal{A}^+}) = D_{ij} \subseteq \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$

Proof.

1. Assume $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in h(c_i^{\mathcal{A}^+} S)$.

Let us show that $\bar{x} \in C_i(h(S))$, that is, there exists $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in h(S)$ such that $\bar{x} \equiv_i \bar{y}$.

Then $\mathcal{M} \models 1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in c_i^{\mathcal{A}^+} S$. But \mathcal{A}^+ is the complex algebra of the ultrafilter frame $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then we have:

$$c_i^{\mathcal{A}^+} S = \{U_1 \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \exists U' \in S \text{ } U_1 R_i U'\}$$

Then there must be an ultrafilter $U' \in S$ such that $U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} R_i U'$, that is, $c_i a \in U_{x_1, \dots, x_n}$ whenever $a \in U'$. Hence $\mathcal{M} \models R_{c_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. By the $A_{c_i}(a)$ -axiom, we have

$$\mathcal{M} \models \exists z_1, \dots, z_n (R_a(z_1, \dots, z_n) \wedge x_i = z_j) \text{ for } i < n \text{ and } j < n \text{ such that } i \neq j.$$

Consider the following n -type with free variables z_1, \dots, z_n and parameters $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$:

$$T(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \{R_a(z_1, \dots, z_n) \wedge x_i = z_j \mid i < n, j < n, i \neq j, a \in U'\}.$$

Let us show that $T(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is finitely satisfiable in \mathcal{M} . Consider a finite subset of T , say $T_0 = \{R_{b_k}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \wedge x_i = y_j \mid i < n, j < n, i \neq j, b_k \in U', k < \omega\}$. We put $p = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k$ and $p \in U'$ since U' is a filter. Then we have:

$$\mathcal{M} \models \exists z_1, \dots, z_n (R_b(z_1, \dots, z_n) \wedge x_i = z_j) \text{ for } i < n \text{ and } j < n \text{ such that } i \neq j$$

Thus, we have, as required:

$$\mathcal{M} \models \exists z_1, \dots, z_n \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (R_{b_k}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \wedge x_i = z_j) \text{ for } i < n \text{ and } j < n \text{ such that } i \neq j.$$

As above, using ω -saturation, we conclude that T is realised in \mathcal{M} at an n -tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \bar{y}$. Then we have:

$$\mathcal{M} \models 1(\bar{y}), \bar{x} \equiv_i \bar{y}, U_{\bar{y}} \supseteq U'$$

Then $U_{\bar{y}} = U'$, then $\bar{y} \in h(S)$. Then $\bar{x} \in C_i(h(S))$.

Suppose for the converse, $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_i(h(S))$. We need $\bar{x} \in h(c_i(S))$. Then there exists $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ such that $\bar{x} \equiv_i \bar{y}$ and $\bar{y} \in h(S)$. Then there exists an ultrafilter $U_{y_1, \dots, y_n} \in S$. Let us show that $\mathcal{M} \models 1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in c_i U_{y_1, \dots, y_n}$. Let $a \in U_{y_1, \dots, y_n}$. Then we have $\mathcal{M} \models R_a(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. By the $A_{c_i}(a)$ axiom, we have $\mathcal{M} \models R_{c_i a}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \models 1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $c_i a \in U_{x_1, \dots, x_n}$, thus, $\bar{x} \in h(c_i(S))$.

2. Let us show that h preserves cylindrifications.

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M}^n$. Then $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_{ij}$ iff $\mathcal{M} \models 1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $x_i = x_j$ iff $U_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in d_{ij}^{\mathcal{A}^+} = \{U \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \mid d_{ij} \in U\}$ iff $\mathcal{M} \models d_{ij}^{\mathcal{M}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

□

5.3 Finite dimensions

Definition 9. *Network*

Theorem 11. *Completely representable iff \exists has a ws.*

Definition 10. *Ultrafilter network*

Theorem 12. \mathbf{RCA}_n is a pseudoelementary class for $3 \leq n < \omega$.

Theorem 13. \exists has a ws for the canonical extension.

5.4 Dimension ω

Question 1. *Can we characterise \mathbf{RCA}_ω as an enumerably axiomatisable pseudo-elementary class in three-sorted logic with sorts **b** (Boolean part), **c** (cylindric part) and **r** (representation part)?*

Definition 11. *Network*

Theorem 14. *Completely representable iff \exists has a ws.*

Definition 12. *Ultrafilter network*

Theorem 15. \exists has a ws for the canonical extension.

5.5 Counterexamples

References

- [AGN98] Hajnal Andréka, Robert Goldblatt, and István Németi. Relativised quantification: Some canonical varieties of sequence-set algebras. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 63(1):163–184, 1998.
- [Bez06] Nick Bezhanishvili. *Lattices of intermediate and cylindric modal logics*. University of Amsterdam, 2006.
- [BH13] Jannis Bulian and Ian Hodkinson. Bare canonicity of representable cylindric and polyadic algebras. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 164(9):884–906, 2013.
- [CK90] Chen Chung Chang and H Jerome Keisler. *Model theory*. Elsevier, 1990.
- [Fin75] Kit Fine. Some connections between elementary and modal logic. In *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*, volume 82, pages 15–31. Elsevier, 1975.
- [Gol89] Robert Goldblatt. Varieties of complex algebras. *Annals of pure and applied logic*, 44(3):173–242, 1989.

- [Gol95] Robert Goldblatt. Elementary generation and canonicity for varieties of boolean algebras with operators. *Algebra Universalis*, 34(4):551–607, 1995.
- [HA14] Robin Hirsch and Tarek Sayed Ahmed. The neat embedding problem for algebras other than cylindric algebras and for infinite dimensions. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 79(1):208–222, 2014.
- [HH97] Robin Hirsch and Ian Hodkinson. Complete representations in algebraic logic. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, pages 816–847, 1997.
- [HH09] Robin Hirsch and Ian Hodkinson. Strongly representable atom structures of cylindric algebras. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 74(3):811–828, 2009.
- [HH13] Robin Hirsch and Ian Hodkinson. Completions and complete representations. *Cylindric-like Algebras and Algebraic Logic*, pages 61–89, 2013.
- [HMT⁺81] Leon Henkin, J Donald Monk, Alfred Tarski, Hajnalka Andr  ka, and Istv  n N  meti. *Cylindric set algebras and related structures*. Springer, 1981.
- [HMT88] Leon Henkin, J. Donald Monk, and Alfred Tarski. Cylindric algebras. part ii. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 53(2):651–653, 1988.
- [Hod93] Wilfrid Hodges. *Model theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [HV05] Ian Hodkinson and Yde Venema. Canonical varieties with no canonical axiomatisation. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 357(11):4579–4605, 2005.
- [JT51] Bjarni J  nsson and Alfred Tarski. Boolean algebras with operators. part i. *American journal of mathematics*, 73(4):891–939, 1951.
- [Mon00] J Donald Monk. An introduction to cylindric set algebras. *Logic Journal of the IGPL*, 8(4):451–496, 2000.
- [Ven95] Yde Venema. Cylindric modal logic. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 60(2):591–623, 1995.
- [Ven13] Yde Venema. *Cylindric Modal Logic*, pages 249–269. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.