

# Some Notes on Proof Theory and Elements of Ordinal Analysis

Daniel Rogozin

## 1 Provable Recursion in $\mathbf{I}\Delta_0$

$\mathbf{I}\Delta_0$  is a theory in first-order logic in the language:

$$\{=, 0, S, P, +, \dot{-}, \cdot, exp_2\}$$

where  $S$  and  $P$  are successor and predecessor functions respectively. Further, we will denote  $S(x)$  and  $P(x)$  as  $x + 1$  and  $x \dot{-} 1$  respectively.  $2^x$  stands for  $exp_2(x)$ .

The non-logical axioms of  $\mathbf{I}\Delta_0$  are the following list:

- $x + 1 \neq 0$
- $0 \dot{-} 1 = 0$
- $x + 0 = x$
- $x \dot{-} 0 = x$
- $x \cdot 0 = 0$
- $2^0 = 1$
- $x + 1 = y + 1 \rightarrow x = y$
- $(x + 1) \dot{-} 1 = x$
- $x + (y + 1) = (x + y) + 1$
- $x \dot{-} (y + 1) = x \dot{-} y \dot{-} 1$
- $x \cdot (y + 1) = x \cdot y + x$
- $2^{x+1} = 2^x + 2^x$

along with the bounded induction scheme:

$$B(0) \wedge \forall x (B(x) \rightarrow B(x + 1)) \rightarrow \forall x B(x)$$

where  $B$  is a  $\Delta$ -formula, that is a formula one of the following forms (with bounded quantifiers only):

- $B \equiv \forall x < t P(x) \equiv \forall x (x < t \rightarrow P(x))$
- $B \equiv \exists x < t P(x) \equiv \exists x (x < t \wedge P(x))$

A  $\Sigma_1$ -formula is a formula of the form:

$$\exists \vec{x} B(\vec{x})$$

where  $B(\vec{x}) \in \Delta_0$ .

**Lemma 1.1.**  $\mathbf{I}\Delta_0$  proves (the universal closures of):

1.  $x = 0 \vee x = (x \dot{-} 1) + 1$
2.  $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$
3.  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$
4.  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$
5.  $x + y = y + x$
6.  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
7.  $x \dot{-} (y + z) = (x \dot{-} y) \dot{-} z$
8.  $2^{x+y} = 2^x \cdot 2^y$

*Proof.*

1. This is self-evident.
2. If  $z = 0$ , then  $x + y = x + y$ . If  $z = z' + 1$ , then, by applying the IH and the relevant axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} (x + (y + (z' + 1))) &= (x + ((y + z') + 1)) = (x + (y + z')) + 1 = \\ &= ((x + y) + z') + 1 = (x + y) + (z' + 1) \end{aligned}$$

3. If  $z = 0$ , then  $x \cdot (y \cdot 0) = (x \cdot y) \cdot 0$ . If  $z = z' + 1$ , then:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot (z' + 1)) = x \cdot (y \cdot z' + y) = x \cdot (y \cdot z') + x \cdot y = (x \cdot y) \cdot z' + x \cdot y = (x \cdot y) \cdot (z' + 1)$$

4. The rest of the cases are shown by induction on  $z$ . Consider the exponentiation law. If  $y = 0$ , then

$$2^{x+0} = 2^x = 0 + 2^x = 2^x \cdot 0 + 2^x = 2^x \cdot (0 + 1) = 2^x \cdot 2^0$$

If  $y = y' + 1$ , then:

$$2^{x+(y'+1)} = 2^{(x+y')+1} = 2^x \cdot 2^{y'} + 2^x \cdot 2^{y'} = 2^x \cdot 2^{y'+1}$$

□

**Lemma 1.2.**  $\mathbf{I}\Delta_0$  proves (the universal closures of):

1.  $\neg x < 0$
2.  $x \leq 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0$
3.  $0 \leq x$
4.  $x \leq x$

5.  $x < x + 1$
6.  $x < y + 1 \leftrightarrow x \leq y$
7.  $x \leq y \leftrightarrow x < y \vee x = y$
8.  $x \leq y \wedge y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z$
9.  $x < y \wedge y < z \rightarrow x < z$
10.  $x \leq y \vee y < x$
11.  $x < y \rightarrow x + z < y + z$
12.  $x < y \rightarrow x \cdot (z + 1) < y \cdot (z + 1)$
13.  $x < 2^x$
14.  $x < y \rightarrow 2^x < 2^y$

*Proof.* Straightforward induction. □

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is *provably  $\Sigma_1$*  or *provably recursive* in an arithmetical theory if there is a  $\Sigma_1$  formula  $F(\vec{x}, y)$ , a “defining formula” of  $f$ , such that:

1.  $f(\vec{n}) = m$  iff  $\omega \models f(\vec{n}) = m$
2.  $T \vdash \exists y F(\vec{x}, y)$
3.  $T \vdash F(\vec{x}, y) \wedge F(\vec{x}, y') \rightarrow y = y'$

If a defining formula  $F \in \Delta_0$ , then a function  $f$  is *provably bounded* in  $T$  if there is a term  $t(\vec{x})$  such that  $T \vdash F(\vec{x}, y) \rightarrow y < t(\vec{x})$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f$  be a provably recursive in  $T$ , then we can conservatively extend  $T$  by adding a new function symbol  $f$  along with the defining axiom  $F(\vec{x}, f(\vec{x}))$ .

*Proof.* □

## 2 Primitive Recursion and $\mathbf{I}\Sigma_1$

$\mathbf{I}\Sigma_1$  is an arithmetical theory where the induction scheme is restricted to  $\Sigma_1$  formulas.

**Lemma 2.1.** Every primitive recursion is provably recursive in  $\mathbf{I}\Sigma_1$ .

*Proof.* We have to show represent each primitive recursive function  $f$  with a  $\Sigma_1$  formula  $F(\vec{x}, y) := \exists z C(\vec{x}, y, z)$  such that:

1.  $f(\vec{n}) = m$  iff  $\omega \models F(\vec{x}, y)$ .

2.  $\mathbf{I}\Sigma_1 \vdash \exists y F(\vec{x}, y).$
3.  $\mathbf{I}\Sigma_1 \vdash F(\vec{x}, y) \wedge F(\vec{x}, y') \rightarrow y = y'.$

□