# Representable cylindric algebras of dimension $\omega$

### Daniel Rogozin

### 1 The problem itself

Suppose  $C \in \mathbf{RCA}_{\omega}$ , whether  $C^+$  has a complete,  $\omega$ -dimensional representation? [4]

### 2 Atomic Representations

A representation of a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is an embedding h of  $\mathcal{B}$  to some field of sets.

Let  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  be an element of a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , a is called an atom, if for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  b < a implies b = 0. That is, an atom is a minimal non-zero element. At( $\mathcal{B}$ ) is the set of all atoms of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Boolean algebra and  $\mathcal{F}$  a field of sets such that  $h: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{F}$  is a representation of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a complete representation of  $\mathcal{B}$ , if for every  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  we have the following whenever  $\Sigma A$  is defined:

$$h(\Sigma A) = \bigcup h[A]$$

A representation h is called atomic, if  $x \in h(1)$  there exists  $b \in At(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $x \in h(b)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Boolean algebra, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is atomic iff  $\mathcal{B}$  is completely representable. See [3, Corollary 6].

# 3 BAOs and Duality

By default, we assume that all operators are at most unary. Here is the rigorous definition:

### Definition 1.

- 1. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  be a Boolean algebra. An operator is a function  $\Omega : B \to B$  satisfying the following conditions:
  - Normality:  $\Omega(0) = 0$
  - Additivity:  $\Omega(b+b') = \Omega(b) + \Omega(b')$
- 2. Let I be an index set, a Boolean algebra with operators (BAO) is an algebra  $\langle B, +, -, 0, 1, (\Omega_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  such that  $\langle B, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a Boolean algebra and for each  $i \in I$   $\Omega_i$  is an operator.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, +, -, 0, 1, (\Omega_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  be a BAO, then

1. An operator  $\Omega$  is completely additive, if for every  $X \subseteq B$  such that  $\Sigma X$  is defined, one has

$$\Omega(\sum X) = \sum_{x \in X} \Omega(x)$$

- 2.  $\mathcal{B}$  is completely additive, if for each  $i \in I$   $\Omega_i$  is additive,
- 3. A class K of BAOs is completely additive, if every  $B \in K$  is completely additive.

#### 3.1 Atom structures and canonical extensions

**Definition 3.** Let I be an index set and  $(\Omega_i)_{i\in I}$  a set of function symbols

- 1. A structure is a relational structrure  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, (R_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  such that  $R_i$  is a binary relation symbol for a function symbol  $\Omega_{i \in I}$  with the corresponding index,
- 2. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an atomic BAO of the signature I, the atom structure of  $\mathcal{B}$ , written as  $\mathfrak{AtB}$ , is a structure  $\langle \operatorname{At}(\mathcal{B}), (R_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  such that for all  $a, b \in \operatorname{At}(\mathcal{B})$  and for all  $i \in I$

$$\mathfrak{AtB} \models R_i(a,b) \text{ iff } \mathcal{B} \models a \leqslant \Omega_i(b)$$

3. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, (R_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  be an atom structure, the complex algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ , written as  $\mathfrak{Cm}\mathcal{F}$ , is a  $BAO \langle \mathcal{P}(W), \cup, -, \varnothing, W, (\Omega_{R_i})_{i \in I} \rangle$  such that for all  $X \subseteq W$  and for each  $i \in I$ :

$$\Omega_{R_i}(X) = \{ a \in W \mid \exists b \in X \ \mathcal{F} \models R_i(a, b) \}$$

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, (R_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{F}' = \langle W', (R'_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$ , then a function  $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$  is a bounded morphism, if the following holds:

- 1.  $xR_iy$  implies  $f(x)R'_if(y)$ ;
- 2.  $f(x)R'_iz$ , then there exists  $y \in W$  such that  $xR_iy$  and f(y) = z.

A bounded morphism  $f: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}'$  is a p-morphism, if f is onto.  $\mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  iff there exists a p-morphism from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto  $\mathcal{F}'$ , or  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a p-morphic image of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, (R_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  is an inner substructure <sup>1</sup> of  $\mathcal{F}' = \langle W', (R'_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$ , if  $W \subseteq W'$  and the embedding  $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  is a bounded morphism.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a class of structures, define:

- 1.  $\mathfrak{Cm}(\mathbb{F}) = \{ \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{B} \cong \mathfrak{Cm}(\mathcal{F}) \text{ for some } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{F} \}.$
- 2.  $\mathbf{Up}(\mathbb{F})$  is the class of structures isomorphic to disjoint unions of elements of  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- 3.  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F})$  is the closure of  $\mathbb{F}$  under inner substructures.

Let A be a non-empty subset of a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , A is a *filter*, if A is closed under finite infima and it is upward closed. A is an ultrafilter, if it has no non-trivial extensions. That is, if  $A \subseteq A'$ , then  $A' = \mathcal{B}$ . This is a well-known fact that every filter can be extended to a maximal one using Zorn's lemma.

The following definition is due to, for example, [6, Definition 5.40].

**Definition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, +, -, 0, 1, (\Omega_i)_{i \in I} \rangle$  be a BAO and  $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{B})$  the set of its ultrafilters. The ultrafilter frame of  $\mathcal{B}$  (or the canonical frame) is a relational structure  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{B}), R_{\Omega_i} \rangle$  such that for all ultrafilters  $U_1, U_2$  one has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Or alternatively, a generated subframe

$$\mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) \models R_{\Omega_i}(U_1, U_2) \text{ iff } \{\Omega_i(b) \mid b \in U_1\} \subseteq U_2.$$

Given  $\mathcal{B}$  be a BAO, we denoted as  $\mathcal{B}^+$  as the complex algebra of the canonical frame  $\mathfrak{Cm}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}})$ , that is, the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{B}$ . A class of BAOs  $\mathbf{K}$  is canonical, if it is closed under canonical extensions. That is,  $\mathcal{B}^+ \in \mathbf{K}$  whenever  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{K}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be BAOs,

- 1. There exists  $\iota : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^+$  such that  $\iota : a \mapsto \{\gamma \in \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \mid a \in \gamma\}$ .
- 2.  $i: \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ implies } i^+: \mathcal{A}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}^+$

### 4 Representable cylindric algebras

Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Let  ${}^{\alpha}U$  be the set of all functions mapping  $\alpha$  to a non-empty set U. We denote  $x(i) = x_i$  for  $x \in {}^{\alpha}U$  and  $i < \alpha$ .

A subset of  ${}^{\alpha}U$  is an  $\alpha$ -ry relation on U. For  $i, j < \alpha$ , the i, j-diagonal  $D_{ij}$  is the set of all elements of  ${}^{\alpha}U$  such that  $y_i = y_j$ .

If  $i < \alpha$  and X is an  $\alpha$ -ry relation on U, then the i-th cylindrification  $C_iX$  is the set of all elements of U that agree with some element of X on each coordinate except, perhaps, the i-th one. To be more precise,

$$C_i X = \{ y \in {}^{\alpha}U \mid \exists x \in X \forall i < \alpha \ (i \neq j \Rightarrow y_j = x_j) \}.$$

We define the following equivalence relation for  $i < \alpha$  and  $x, y \in {}^{\alpha}U$ :

$$x \equiv_i y \Leftrightarrow \forall j \in \alpha \ (i \neq j \Rightarrow x(i) = y(j))$$

Then one may reformulate the definition of the i-th cylindrification in the following way:

$$C_i X = \{ y \in {}^{\alpha}U \mid \exists x \in X \ x \equiv_i y \}$$

According to this version of the definiton, one may think of the cylindrification as an  ${f S}5$  modal operator.

**Definition 7.** A cylindic set algebra of dimension  $\alpha$  is an algebra consisting of a set S of  $\alpha$ -ry relation on some base set U with the constants and operations  $0 = \emptyset$ ,  $1 = {}^{\alpha}U$ ,  $\cap$ , -, the diagonal elements  $(D_{ij})_{i,j<\alpha}$ , the cylindrifications  $(C_i)_{i<\alpha}$ . A generalised cylindric set algebra of dimension  $\alpha$  is a subdirect of cylindric algebras that have dimension  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 8.** A cylindric algebra of dimension  $\alpha$  is an algebra  $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mathcal{B}, \{c_i\}_{i < \alpha}, \{d_{ij}\}_{i,j < \alpha} \rangle$  such that

- $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra, for each  $i, j < \alpha$   $c_i$  is an operator and  $d_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}$
- For each  $i < \alpha$ ,  $a \le c_i a$ ,  $c_i(a \cdot c_i b) = c_i a \cdot c_i b$  and  $d_{ii} = 1$
- For every  $i, j < \alpha$ ,  $c_i c_j a = c_j c_i a$
- If  $k \neq i, j < \alpha$ , then  $d_{ij} = c_k(d_{ij} \cdot d_{jk})$
- If  $i \neq j$ , then  $c_i(d_{ij} \cdot a) \cdot c_i(d_{ij} \cdot -a) = 0$

 $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}_{\alpha}$  is the class of all cylindric algebras of dimension  $\alpha$ .

One may define a representation of a cylindric algebra explicitly in the following way:

**Definition 9.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a cylindric algebra of dimension  $\alpha$ . A representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  over the non-empty domain X is a map  $f: \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow 2^{\alpha U}$  such that:

- 1.  $f(1) = \bigcup_{i \in I} {}^{\alpha}X_i$  for some disjoint family  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  where each  $X_i \subseteq X$
- 2.  $h: A \rightarrow 2^{f(1)}$  is a representation of a Boolean reduct
- 3. for all  $\lambda, \eta < \alpha, x \in h(d_{\lambda \eta})$  iff  $x_{\lambda} = x_{\eta}$
- 4. for all  $\lambda < \alpha$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in h(c_{\lambda}(a))$  iff there is  $y \in X$  such that  $x[\lambda \mapsto y] \in h(a)$

An  $\alpha$ -dimensional cylindric algebra C is representable, if there exists a representation of h.  $\mathbf{RCA}_{\alpha}$  is the class of all representable cylindric algebras that have dimension  $\alpha$ . In particular, we are interested in the case  $\alpha = \omega$ .

It is well known that  $\mathbf{RCA}_{\alpha}$  is a variety,  $\mathbf{RCA}_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \leq 2$ ) is finitely axiomatisable and  $\mathbf{RCA}_{\alpha}$  ( $2 < \alpha < \omega$ ) has no finite axiomatisation, see [2].

Let  $A \in \mathbf{CA}_{\omega}$ , then A has a *complete representation*, if its representation preserves all existing suprema. In other words, A is completely representable.

### 5 RCA $_{\omega}$ and canonicity

The following definition of an  $\omega$ -frame is due to [5].

**Definition 10.** A cylindric  $\omega$ -frame is a structure  $\mathcal{F} = \langle W, (R_i)_{i < \omega}, (E_{ij})_{i,j < \omega} \rangle$  where  $(R_i)_{i < \omega}$  are binary relations and  $(E_{ij})_{i,j < \omega}$  are unary relations such that, for all  $i, j, k < \omega$ :

- 1. Every  $R_i$  is an equivalence relation on W,
- 2.  $R_i \circ R_j = R_j \circ R_i$ , that is, the set  $(R_i)_{i < \omega}$  forms a commutative semigroup under composition.
- 3. For all  $x \in W$ ,  $E_{ii}(x)$  holds.
- 4. For all  $x, y, z \in W$ ,  $xR_iy \& E_{ij}(y) \& xR_iz \& E_{ij}(y)$  implies y = z.
- 5. For all  $x \in W$ ,  $E_{ij}(x)$  iff there exists  $y \in W$  such that  $xR_ky$ ,  $E_{ik}(y)$ , and  $E_{kj}(y)$ .

The following fact is by Venema, see [5, Proposition 2.1.5]:

**Proposition 1.** An  $\omega$ -frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is cylindric iff  $\mathfrak{Cm}(\mathcal{F})$  is a cylindric algebra of dimension  $\omega$ .

A cylindric  $\omega$ -frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is completely representable, if  $\mathfrak{Cm}(\mathcal{F})$  is completely representable as a cylindric algebra of dimension  $\omega$ .

In this section, we reproduce the results related to characterisation  $\mathbf{RCA}_{\omega}$ . The following results are due to Goldblatt [1].

Lemma 1.  $RCA_{\omega} = S \mathfrak{Cm}Ud\mathcal{F}\mathfrak{ct}_{\alpha}$ 

**Theorem 3.**  $RCA_{\omega}$  is a canonical variety.

## 6 Representability games

## References

- [1] Robert Goldblatt. Elementary generation and canonicity for varieties of boolean algebras with operators. *Algebra Universalis*, 34(4):551–607, 1995.
- [2] Leon Henkin, J. Donald Monk, and Alfred Tarski. Cylindric algebras. part ii. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 53(2):651–653, 1988.
- [3] Robin Hirsch and Ian Hodkinson. Complete representations in algebraic logic. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, pages 816–847, 1997.
- [4] Robin Hirsch and Ian Hodkinson. Relation algebras by games. Elsevier, 2002.
- [5] Yde Venema. *Cylindric Modal Logic*, pages 249–269. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [6] Yde Venema, Maarten de Rijke, and Patrick Blackburn. *Modal logic*. Cambridge tracts in theoretical computer science 53. Cambridge University Press, 4. print. with corr edition, 2010.