# **PPL Assignment 3**

Submitted by Daniel Baer Sachs - 318645058

## **Part 1: Theoretical Questions**

### **Question 1.1**

Next, We look at (f (g a)):

```
1:
(a) [T1*[T1->T2]->N], [[T3->T4]*[T5->Number]->N]
MGU exists: {T1 = [T3->T4], T2 = Number, T5 = [T3->T4]}
(b) [T1*[T1->T2]->N], [Number*[Symbol->T4]->N]
No MGU exists - No possible unifier because Number and Symbol are incompatible atomic types.
(C) T1, T2
MGU exists: \{T1 = T2\}
(d) Boolean, Boolean
MGU exists: {}
2:
(a) {f: [T2->T3], g: [T1->T2], a: Boolean} |- (f (g a)): T3
 • First, we look at (g a):
     o a has type Boolean

    For the application (g a) to be well-typed, we need T1 = Boolean

     ∘ Therefore, (g a) has type т2
```

- f has type [T2->T3]
- o (g a) has type T2 (from before)
- ∘ The application (f (g a)) is well-typed and has type т3

**True** - The typing statement is valid. With the constraint that T1 = Boolean, the expression (f (g a)) has type T3 as desired.

#### (b) {f: [T2->T1], x: T1, y: T3} |- (f x): T1

- f has type [T2->T1]
- x has type T1
- y has type тз
- For the application (f x) to be well-typed, the argument type must match the parameter type of f,
   f expects an argument of type T2, but x has type T1
- For this to work, we need T2 = T1
- If T2 = T1, then f has type [T1->T1], and (f x) would have type T1

**True** - The typing statement is valid when we unify T2 = T1. Under this constraint, f has type [T1->T1], x has type T1, and (f x) has type T1 as desired.

#### **Question 1.2**