### Basic Number theory

### Today...

- Simple primality test in  $O(\sqrt{n})$
- Sieve of Eratosthenes: Prime generation and Prime factorization
- Modular operations
- Euclidean algorithm: Greatest Common Divisor

### What is a prime number?

- A prime number is a positive integer greater than 1 that has no positive divisors other than 1 and itself.
  - 17 is prime.
  - 169 is not prime, since its divisors are 1, 13 and 169.
  - 1 is not prime.
  - -17 is not prime.

### What is a primality test?

- Given an positive integer N, check whether N is prime or not.
- Examples:
  - N = 17: *N* is prime.
  - N = 169: *N* isn't prime.
  - N = 1: N isn't prime.
- Prime numbers have lots of properties, so it is important to know whether a number is a prime or not.

#### How to check whether N is prime?

Just use the definition:

```
bool is_prime (int N) {
  if(N <= 1) return false; // prime number should be greater than 1.
  for(int i = 2; i < N; i++) {
     // check whether i divides N or not.
     if(N % i == 0) return false;
  }
  return true;
}</pre>
```

• It takes O(N) time.

# How to check whether *N* is prime? (cont.)

- However, we don't need to check all divisors.
- If N is not prime, we can write  $N = x \cdot y$ , where  $2 \le x \le y$ .
  - Idea:  $x \leq \sqrt{N}$
  - Proof: If  $x > \sqrt{N}$ ,  $y > x > \sqrt{N}$ . So  $x \cdot y > \sqrt{N} \cdot \sqrt{N} = N$ , a contradiction.
- So, if N is not prime, there is **at least one divisor**  $\leq \sqrt{N}$ .
- It is sufficient to check  $2 \le i \le \sqrt{N}$ .

### How to check whether *N* is prime? (cont.)

• Just change the constraint:

```
bool is prime (int N) {
  if(N <= 1) return false;</pre>
  // instead of i \le \sqrt{N}, use i^2 \le N, to avoid doubles.
  for(int i = 2; i * i <= N; i++) {</pre>
    if(N % i == 0) return false;
  return true;
```

#### What is "Sieve of Eratosthenes"?

- Sometimes, we want to know which integers under *N* are prime, and which are not.
  - Ex) *N* = 14: 2, 3, 5, 7, 11 and 13 are prime. 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12 and 14 are composite.
- If we use the  $O(\sqrt{n})$  primality test, the time complexity is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{i} \approx \int_{1}^{n} \sqrt{x} dx = \left[ \frac{2}{3} x^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]_{1}^{n} = \frac{2}{3} (n\sqrt{n} - 1) = O(n\sqrt{n})$$

• It seems good, but we can improve more!

#### What is "Sieve of Eratosthenes"? (cont.)

- Sieve of Eratosthenes is an algorithm for finding *all prime* numbers up to any given limit N.
- We are going to explain the algorithm by showing an example: N = 50.

#### Sieve of Eratosthenes

1. Create a list of all integers from 2 to N.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

2. Initially, let p = 2, the smallest prime number.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

Idea: For any prime p, all multiples of p larger than p i.e.  $2p, 3p, \cdots, np$  are composite.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

3. So, mark every multiple of p.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

3. So, mark every multiple of p.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

4. Now, find the smallest number greater than p which is **not** marked. We know p=3.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

5. Mark every multiple of p.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

5. Mark every multiple of p.

	2	ന	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

6. Now, find the smallest number greater than p which is **not** marked. We know p = 5.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

7. Mark every multiple of p.

	2	ന	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

8. Now, find the smallest number greater than p which is **not** marked. We know p = 7.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

9. Mark every multiple of p.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

• Now, all multiples of 2, 3, 5, 7 are marked. Since  $\sqrt{N} \approx 7.07$ , all unmarked cells are guaranteed to be prime.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

• Therefore, we can consider all unmarked numbers as *primes*.

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50

#### Implementation of Sieve of Eratosthenes

```
const int MAXN = 100000;
bool t[MAXN + 1]; // initialize to 'false'.
t[1] = true;
for(int p = 2; p <= MAXN; p++) {</pre>
  // if p is marked, p is composite.
  if(t[p]) continue;
  // otherwise, mark all multiples of p.
  for(int i = 2*p; i <= MAXN; i += p) t[i] = true;</pre>
```

### Time complexity of Sieve of Eratosthenes

• Suppose we mark all multiples of i (even multiples of composite numbers!) Then, the number of iterations is about

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \right\rfloor \approx \int_{1}^{n} \frac{n}{x} dx = n \log n$$

• Actually, it is proven that the time complexity is  $O(n \log \log n)$ , which is really fast.

### Prime factorizing with Sieve of Eratosthenes

- We can easily factorize any integer below N with some changes of the algorithm.
- Idea: When we mark  $p \cdot n$ , we know "p is a prime divisor of  $p \cdot n$ "!
  - Example: When p = 3, we mark 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, ...
  - During this process, we know 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, ··· have 3 as a prime divisor.
- So instead of just 'marking', write the 'prime divisor'!

# Prime factorizing with Sieve of Eratosthenes (cont.)

```
const int MAXN = 100000;
int w[MAXN + 1];// initialize to 0.
// if w[n] != 0, w[n] is the largest prime divisor of n.
for(int p = 2; p <= MAXN; p++) {
  if(w[p] != 0) continue;
 // if p has a prime divisor less than p, p is composite.
 w[p] = p;
  // otherwise, p is a prime divisor of p. mark all multiples of p.
  for(int i = 2*p; i \le MAXN; i += p) w[i] = p;
```

# Prime factorizing with Sieve of Eratosthenes (cont.)

```
int n = 150;
while(n > 1) {
    printf("%d ", w[n]);
    n /= w[n];
}
```

Result: 5 5 3 2

### What is a 'modulo operation'?

- The *modulo* operation "a modulo b" finds the remainder after division of a by b.
  - "a" is called the *dividend*, "b" is called the *divisor*.
  - Example:  $19 \mod 5 = 4$ , since  $19 = 5 \cdot 3 + 4$
  - In C++, it is denoted by "a % b".
- In math, the remainder is defined by the Euclidean division.

$$a = bq + r (q, r \text{ are integers, } 0 \le r < |b|)$$

- So the 'remainder' can be determined even if a is negative.
  - Example:  $(-19) \mod 5 = 1$ , since  $-19 = 5 \cdot (-4) + 1$

#### Modular arithmetic

- We only consider when M is positive.
- Addition, subtraction and multiplication:

$$(a + b) \bmod M = ((a \bmod M) + (b \bmod M)) \bmod M$$
$$(a - b) \bmod M = ((a \bmod M) - (b \bmod M)) \bmod M$$
$$(a \times b) \bmod M = ((a \bmod M) \times (b \bmod M)) \bmod M$$

• We omit the proof. You can prove by letting  $a = M \cdot q_1 + r_1$ ,  $b = M \cdot q_2 + r_2$ .

### Caution: Modulo of negative numbers

When we run the following code:

```
int x = (-19) % 5;
printf("(-19) mod 5 = %d\n", x);
```

• The result is:

```
(-19) \mod 5 = -4
```

• ..which is different from the result by definition of *remainder*.

```
(-19) \mod 5 = 1
```

# Caution: Modulo of negative numbers (cont.)

• Note that (-1) + 5 = 4. So if the dividend is negative, we can add the divisor to the result of the modulo operation.

```
int x = (-19) \% 5; (-19) \mod 5 = -4 (-4) + |5| = 1 printf("(-19) mod 5 = %d\n", x);
```

• Without casework, we can do it like this:

$$(a \% b + b) \% b$$

#### Applications of modular arithmetic

- Example: We would like to calculate  $1209321 \times 819281912 \times 6598313 \times 121231$  modulo 100. How?
  - Method 1. 1209321 × 819281912 × 6598313 × 121231 = 792540677430228382079246856. So the answer is 56.
  - Method 2. It is sufficient to calculate  $21 \times 12 \times 13 \times 31$ .
    - $(21 \times 12) \mod 100 = 252 \mod 100 = 52$
    - $(52 \times 13) \mod 100 = 676 \mod 100 = 76$
    - $(76 \times 31) \mod 100 = 2356 \mod 100 = 56$
    - So the answer is 56.

# Applications of modular arithmetic (cont.)

- We can know the results of addition/multiplication modulo M by **only considering integers between 0 and M-1. (inclusive)**
- So if *M* is sufficiently small, we can only use built-in integer types.
  - If  $M \approx 10^9$ , we can use int for addition and long long for multiplication modulo M.
  - If  $M \approx 10^{18}$ , we can use long long for addition modulo M.

# Applications of modular arithmetic (cont.)

- In some problems, authors ask us to compute **the answer modulo** *P* (*mostly prime*), because the answer is quite big and authors don't want to use super-large integers.
  - In most counting problems, the number of ways are very large.
  - Ex)  $\binom{1000}{500} \approx 2.702 \times 10^{299}$ , so it is impossible to represent in a long long-integer type. However, by some computation, we can easily find that  $\binom{1000}{500}$  mod 1,000,000,007 = 159,835,829.
  - We will discuss this next time.

#### Modular division?

- However it is impossible to know the result of division by:  $(a \div b) \mod M = ((a \mod M) \div (b \mod M)) \mod M$
- Example:
  - a = 75, b = 25, M = 5
  - $\frac{a}{b}$  mod M = 3 mod 5 = 3
  - $\frac{a \mod M}{b \mod M} = \frac{0}{0}$  (undefined)

#### Modular division? (cont.)

• However, for some dividend a and divisor b, we can define the modular inverse  $a^{-1}$  such that:

$$(a^{-1} \cdot a) \bmod b = 1$$

We won't cover about this in this course.

#### Greatest common divisor

- Greatest common divisor(GCD) of two or more positive integers:
  - = the largest positive integer that divides each of the integers.
- Examples:
  - gcd(15,30) = 15
  - gcd(18,27) = 9
  - gcd(30,54,42) = 6
- First, we are considering about GCD of *two* positive integers.

#### How to calculate GCD?

Using prime factorization:

$$48 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3 = 2^{3} \cdot 3^{1} \cdot 5^{0}$$
$$180 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 5 = 2^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{1}$$

• GCD is,

$$2^{\min(3,2)} \cdot 3^{\min(1,2)} \cdot 5^{\min(1,2)} = 2^2 \cdot 3$$

• Prime factorization is quite hard, and it is too complicated for this problem.

## Euclidean algorithm

- GCD has some properties. We are going to use gcd(a,b) = gcd(a-b,b)
- When a > b.
- Proof: By proving the following..
  - $gcd(a, b) \le gcd(a b, b)$
  - $gcd(a b, b) \le gcd(a, b)$

- $gcd(a, b) \le gcd(a b, b)$ 
  - By definition:  $g = \gcd(a, b)$  divides both a and b.
  - Let  $a = g \cdot x$ ,  $b = g \cdot y$
  - g divides a b too, since  $a b = g \cdot (x y)$
  - g is a common divisor of a b and b.
  - $gcd(a, b) \le gcd(a b, b)$

- $gcd(a b, b) \le gcd(a, b)$ 
  - By definition:  $g' = \gcd(a b, b)$  divides both a b and b.
  - Let  $a b = g' \cdot x'$ ,  $b = g' \cdot y'$
  - g' divides (a b) + b = a too, since  $(a b) + b = g \cdot (x' + y')$
  - g' is a common divisor of a and b.
  - $gcd(a b, b) \le gcd(a, b)$

- Since gcd(a, b) = gcd(a b, b) holds, this is also true:  $gcd(a, b) = gcd(a \mod b, b)$
- because  $a \mod b = a q \cdot b$  where  $q = \lfloor a/b \rfloor$ .
- When  $a \mod b = 0$ , gcd(a, b) = b = gcd(0, b). So we define gcd(0, n) = n for all n > 0.
- Also, since a > b and  $b > a \mod b$ , let's write  $gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,a \mod b)$
- instead.

• In short:

$$\gcd(a,b) = \begin{cases} a, & b = 0\\ \gcd(b, a \bmod b), & b \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

• If a < b,  $gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a \mod b) = gcd(b, a)$ . So when a < b, this recurrence swaps them such that a' > b' holds.

- Example: Calculate the GCD of 48 and 180.
  - gcd(48,180)= gcd(180,48) ..  $180 = 48 \times 3 + 36$ = gcd(48,36) ..  $48 = 36 \times 1 + 12$ = gcd(36,12) ..  $36 = 12 \times 3 + 0$ = gcd(12,0)= 12

### Implementation of Euclidean algorithm

```
int gcd (int a, int b) {
   return b == 0 ? a : gcd(b, a % b);
}
```

• If you use large integers, long long-type is required.

## Time complexity of Euclidean algorithm

- WLOG, suppose a > b. When a < b, only one step is more needed.
- Claim: When a > b,  $(a \mod b) < a/2$ .
  - If  $b \le a/2$ : it is obviously true.
  - If  $b > a/2 \leftrightarrow 1 < a/b < 2$ :  $a \mod b = a \lfloor a/b \rfloor \cdot b = a b < a \frac{a}{2} = \frac{a}{2}$ .
- Suppose two steps happened:  $(a,b) \rightarrow (b,a \bmod b) \rightarrow (a \bmod b,b \bmod (a \bmod b))$
- Since  $a \mod b \le a/2$ , a decreased by at least half in two steps!

# Time complexity of Euclidean algorithm (cont.)

- Euclidean algorithm stops when b = 0.
- Since a > b, when a = 1, the algorithm stops since b = 0.
- When two steps happened, a becomes at most  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)a$ .
- When 2k steps happened, a becomes at most  $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k a$ .
- So,  $k \leq \log_2 a$  holds, so only about  $2 \log_2 a$  steps are needed.
- The time complexity of Euclidean algorithm is  $O(\log \max(a, b))!$

# Time complexity of Euclidean algorithm (cont.)

- This implies we can compute GCD of <u>any two integers</u> representable by <u>built-in integer</u> type (long long or int) <u>very fast</u>.
  - ..because these types uses 64 and 32 bits, respectively.

#### GCD of three or more integers?

Now, we can use this property:

$$\gcd(a,b,c) = \gcd(\gcd(a,b),c)$$

 So we can compute the GCD one by one. Just think of "GCD" as a binary operator.