Query Modification

Query Modification

There is a gap between the information needed and the query that is/was actually made:

- · Information needed: the information that a user needs to achieve a goal
- Query: a representation of an information need for the IR system, tipically using a list of words, natural language, or other formats.
- The effectiveness of the IR system also depends on the user's ability to formulate a query. For the same information need, better or worse queries can be introduced
- · Thefore, the expressiveness of the query language is important

Query Modification (cont.) Goel: It is possible to increase the recall, i.e. the number of relevant documents returned to user. To improve the results different query modification techniques can be used: Global methods: Query expansion; Local methods: Relevance feedback Pseudo feedback.

Query Modification: Global Query Expansion

Idea: Add terms based on "global information" that are not queryspecific.

- Each word of the query is expanded with synonyms or related words from a thesaurus.
- · Added terms should have less weight than the original ones.
- Generally, it increases recall. Example: hospital → medical.
- · It is widely used in specialized search engines for science and engineering.
- The method can also determine word similarity based on their co-occurrence with other similar words. Example: car → motorcycle.
- Disadvantage:
 - The construction of the thesaurus is expensive.

Ouery Modification: Relevance Feedback Idea: Add terms that are based on "local" information of the result list. Process: 1. The user issues a (short, simple) query. 2. The search engine returns a set of documents. 3. User marks some docs as relevant and some as non-relevant. 4. The search engine computes a new representation of the information need. 5. Search engine runs new query and returns new results. 6. New results have (hopefully) a better recall. 7. We can interate this: several rounds of relevance feedback

Query Modification: Pseudo-Relevance Feedback

- Also known as "blind relevance feedback"
- Pseudo-relevance feedback eutometes the "manual" part of true relevance feedback.
- Pseudo-relevance algorithm:
- retrieve a ranked list of hist for the user's query;
- assume that the top K documents are relevant;
- do query expansion with the k assumed relevant documents.
- Works very well on average!
- Disadvantages:
- Can go hornibly wrong for some queries.
- Several iterations can cause query drift.





What makes the Web special for Information Retrieval? (cont.)

The Web is a "special collection"

- · Distributed Data: documents spread over millions of different web servers.
- Volatile Data: many documents change or disappear rapidly (e.g. dead links).
- · Large Volume: billions of separate documents.
- Unstructured and Redundant Data: no uniform structure, HTML errors, up to 30% (near) duplicate documents.
- Quality of Data: no editorial control, false information, poor quality writing, typos, etc.
- Heterogeneous Data: multiple media types (images, video, VRML), languages, character sets, etc.
- · Users: different backgrounds and knowledge.
- Hyperlinks: relations among web pages.

What makes the Web special for Information Retrieval? (cont.)

- · Weighting the terms is not so simple in Web retrieval.
- It depends on where the html-tag occurs. For example, in the title of the web page, emphasized, in the text of a link.
- The terms occurring in these places will be configured as more relevant terms than those occurring in the body.
- · Web page pre-processing involves:
 - 1. identifying different text fields (e.g., title, metadata, body, h1, h2, etc.);
 - identifying anchor text;
 - removing html tags;
 - identifying main content blocks (e.g., disregard advertising information).

50





