

Generalized Interpolation Material Point (GIMP) Method

John A. Nairn

December 22, 2018

Contents

1	GIMP Derivation	5
1.1	Introduction	5
1.2	Virtual Work or Power	5
1.3	Particle Basis Expansion	6
1.4	Alternate Particle Basis Expansion	7
1.5	Grid Expansion	7
1.6	MPM Equations	9
2	Strain and Particle Updates	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Incremental Displacement Gradient	11
2.3	Particle Updates with Damping	11
2.3.1	Some Implementation Details	13
2.3.2	Applying Damping to Force Calculations	14
2.3.3	Position Dependent Damping Strategies	15
2.4	GIMP Derivation Comments	16
3	Velocity Boundary Conditions on the Grid	17
3.1	Grid Velocity Conditions	17
3.2	Velocity Control using Rigid Material Contact	18
4	One Dimensional GIMP	21
4.1	1D Virtual Work	21
4.2	MPM Equations	21
4.2.1	1D Shape Functions	22
5	Axisymmetric GIMP	23
6	GIMP Analysis for Transport	25
6.1	MPM Equation	26
6.1.1	Momentum and Particle Updates	27
6.1.2	Material Constitutive Law Coupling	28
6.1.3	Transport Time Step	28
6.2	GIMP Analysis for Conduction	28
6.3	GIMP Analysis for Diffusion	29
7	Consistent Units	31
7.1	Consistent Units in Static FEA	31

Chapter 1

GIMP Derivation

1.1 Introduction

These notes provide a detailed derivation of the GIMP method for MPM, which was first described by Bardenhagen and Kober. The notes pay attention to large deformation. This chapter uses Cartesian coordinates; subsequent chapters extend to axisymmetry and give 1D equations. Finally, , another chapter derives GIMP methods for implementing transport equations.

1.2 Virtual Work or Power

MPM implementation is based on virtual work equation, but in Sulsky's original paper, it was derived from momentum equation using the divergence theorem (which is also way to derive virtual work equation). For completeness, both are give here. The momentum equation is:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \rho \mathbf{b} = \rho \mathbf{a} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is Cauchy stress, ρ is density, \mathbf{b} is body force, and \mathbf{a} is acceleration. To solve in the weak form, multiply by weighting vector \mathbf{w} and integrate over domain Ω :

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \cdot \rho \boldsymbol{\sigma} dV + \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b} dV = \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} dV \quad (1.2)$$

The first term expands to

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \cdot \rho \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{w}) - \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \nabla \mathbf{w}) = \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{w}) - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w} \quad (1.3)$$

and by divergence theorem:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{w}) dV = \int_{\delta\Omega} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{w} dS \quad (1.4)$$

where \mathbf{T} is traction due to the stress on surface ($\delta\Omega$) of the domain Ω . Substitution to eliminate the divergence term gives:

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b} dV + \int_{\delta\Omega} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{w} dS = \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} dV + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w} dV \quad (1.5)$$

But the above results is just virtual work. We replace \mathbf{w} by a virtual displacement ($\delta \mathbf{u}$) and add a virtual work due to force applied directly to the particle. The virtual work (or power) gives the following starting equation:

$$\int_V \rho \mathbf{b} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV + \int_{S_T} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dS + \sum_p \mathbf{F}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} = \int_V \rho \mathbf{a} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV + \int_V \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} dV \quad (1.6)$$

where \mathbf{b} is specific body force (e.g., gravity), \mathbf{T} is traction applied on the surface S_T , \mathbf{F}_p is a force applied directly to a particle (an extra turn added for MPM modeling), \mathbf{a} is acceleration, ρ is density, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the Cauchy stress.

1.3 Particle Basis Expansion

First, we expand $\rho \mathbf{b}$, $\rho \mathbf{a}$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in a particle basis, where any function of \mathbf{x} is written as:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p f_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.7)$$

where f_p is the value of the function on particle p and $\chi_p(\mathbf{x})$ is a particle basis function. The function integrates to total particle volume:

$$V_p = \int_V \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) dV \quad (1.8)$$

The most common function is for $\chi_p(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ inside the particle domain and 0 outside the domain, but any other function could be used (hence the using of the term “Generalized”). The main particle property expansions are:

$$\rho \mathbf{b} = \sum_p \rho_p \mathbf{b}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p \frac{m_p}{V_p} \mathbf{b}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.9)$$

$$\rho \mathbf{a} = \sum_p \frac{m_p}{V_p} \frac{d\mathbf{v}_p}{dt} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.10)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sum_p \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.11)$$

where m_p is particle mass, V_p is current particle volume, \mathbf{v}_p is particle velocity, \mathbf{p}_p is particle momentum, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ is particle stress.

To fit better in the analysis, the external work caused by particle forces can be expanded as work per unit volume spread out over the particle using

$$\mathbf{F}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} = \int_V \frac{\mathbf{F}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}}{V_p} \chi_F(\mathbf{x}) dV \quad (1.12)$$

where $\chi_F(\mathbf{x})$ is another particle function. It need not be the same as $\chi_p(\mathbf{x})$, but must also integrate to particle volume, V_p

The new virtual work equation becomes:

$$\sum_p \int_V \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV + \int_{S_T} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dS + \sum_p \frac{\mathbf{F}_p}{V_p} \int_V \chi_F(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV \quad (1.13)$$

$$= \sum_p \int_V \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV + \sum_p \int_V \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} dV \quad (1.14)$$

1.4 Alternate Particle Basis Expansion

First, we expand $\rho \mathbf{b}$, $\rho \mathbf{a}$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in a alternate particle basis, where body force and acceleration as function of \mathbf{x} are written using:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p (\bar{f}_p + \nabla f_p(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_p)) \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.15)$$

where particle is subdivided in to sufficient number of child particles to find the gradient and \bar{f}_p and $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_p$ are average values from the child particles and $\chi_p(\mathbf{x})$ is a particle basis function. The function integrates to total particle volume:

$$V_p = \int_V \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) dV \quad (1.16)$$

The most common function is for $\chi_p(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ inside the particle domain and 0 outside the domain, but any other function could be used (hence the using of the term “Generalized”). The stress, however, is treated as constant within the particle domain. The main particle property expansions are:

$$\rho \mathbf{b} = \sum_p \rho_p (\bar{\mathbf{b}}_p + \nabla \mathbf{b}_p(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_p)) \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p \frac{m_p}{V_p} (\bar{\mathbf{b}}_p + \nabla \mathbf{b}_p(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_p)) \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.17)$$

$$\rho \mathbf{a} = \sum_p \frac{m_p}{V_p} \frac{d(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_p + \nabla \mathbf{v}_p(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_p))}{dt} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p \frac{(\bar{\dot{\mathbf{p}}}_p + \nabla \dot{\mathbf{p}}_p(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_p))}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.18)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sum_p \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.19)$$

where m_p is particle mass, V_p is current particle volume, \mathbf{v}_p is particle velocity, \mathbf{p}_p is particle momentum, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ is particle stress.

To fit better in the analysis, the external work caused by particle forces can be expanded as work per unit volume spread out over the particle using

$$\mathbf{F}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} = \int_V \frac{\mathbf{F}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}}{V_p} \chi_F(\mathbf{x}) dV \quad (1.20)$$

where $\chi_F(\mathbf{x})$ is another particle function. It need not be the same as $\chi_p(\mathbf{x})$, but must also integrate to particle volume, V_p

The virtual work equation after particle expansion becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_p \int_V \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV + \int_{S_T} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dS + \sum_p \frac{\mathbf{F}_p}{V_p} \int_V \chi_F(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV \\ &= \sum_p \int_V \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV + \sum_p \int_V \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} dV \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

1.5 Grid Expansion

Next, we expand the virtual displacements in grid-based shape functions using:

$$\delta \mathbf{u} = \sum_i \delta \mathbf{u}_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1.22)$$

where the sum is over nodes (i), $\delta \mathbf{u}_i$ is the virtual displacement on node i , and $N_i(\mathbf{x})$ are the nodal shape functions (standard finite element shape functions). Each term is revised as follows:

$$\sum_i \sum_p \int_V \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV = \sum_i \sum_p S_{ip} m_p \mathbf{b}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i \quad (1.23)$$

$$\int_{S_T} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} = \sum_i \delta \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \int_{S_T} N_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T} dS \quad (1.24)$$

$$\sum_i \sum_p \frac{F_p}{V_p} \int_V \chi_F(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV = \sum_i \sum_p F_{ip} \mathbf{F}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i \quad (1.25)$$

$$\sum_i \sum_p \int_V \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV = \sum_i \sum_p S_{ip} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i \quad (1.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \sum_p \int_V \sigma_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot (\delta \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x})) dV &= \sum_i \sum_p \int_V \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \sum_j \sum_k (\sigma_p)_{jk} \delta u_{i,j} \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x})_k dV \\ &= \sum_i \sum_p \int_V \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \sum_j \sum_k (\sigma_p \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x}))_j \delta u_{i,j} dV \\ &= \sum_i \sum_p (V_p \sigma_p \mathbf{G}_{ip}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$

where the GIMP shape functions are:

$$S_{ip} = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_V \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV \quad (1.28)$$

$$F_{ip} = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_V \chi_F(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV \quad (1.29)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{ip} = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_V \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV \quad (1.30)$$

Two logical choices for $\chi_F(\mathbf{x})$ are $\chi_F(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_p(\mathbf{x})$, which leads to $F_{ip} = S_{ip}$ (and is the choice used below), or $\chi_F(\mathbf{x}) = V_p \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p)$, which leads to $F_{ip} = N_i(\mathbf{x}_p)$

The particle stress term can be revised to use particle mass as follows:

$$V_p \sigma_p = m_p \frac{\sigma_p}{\rho_p} = m_p \frac{\sigma_p}{\rho_p} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{J \sigma_p}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{\tau_p}{\rho_0} \quad (1.31)$$

Here $J = V_p/V_0 = \rho_0/\rho_p$ is the relative volume and τ_p is the particle's Kirchhoff stress. In this form the stress term depends most naturally on Kirchhoff stresses normalized to initial density (and this approach avoids the need to find current particle density or volume when calculating that term on each time step). For this reason, NairnMPM always tracks stress as τ/ρ_0 (and all material models are expected to calculate this stress). When archiving stress, NairnMPM writes Cauchy stress using $\sigma_p = (\rho_0/J) * (\tau/\rho_0)$. Note that when doing energy calculations that $\tau \cdot d\mathbf{u}$, where $d\mathbf{u}$ is incremental deformation gradient, is energy per unit initial volume and therefore the energy calculated in code using $(\tau/\rho_0) \cdot d\mathbf{u}$ is energy per unit mass or $dU/(\rho_0 V_0)$.

1.6 MPM Equations

Making use of the fact that $\delta \mathbf{u}_i$ is arbitrary, the summand of all terms can be equated to arrive at the controlling MPM equation on the background grid of:

$$\sum_p S_{ip}^{(n)} \frac{d\mathbf{p}_p^{(n)}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)} \quad (1.32)$$

where

$$\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} = \sum_p \left(-m_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}_p^{(n)} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{ip}^{(n)}}{\rho_0} + m_p S_{ip}^{(n)} \mathbf{b}_p + \mathbf{F}_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right) \quad (1.33)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)} = \int_{S_T} \mathbf{N}_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T} dS \quad (1.34)$$

where superscript (n) has been added to mean terms calculated from the state of all particles at the start of time step n . Commonly the body force, \mathbf{b}_p , will be independent of the particle state (e.g., gravity, which is a constant, or a general body force that depends only on nodal position and time and not on particle state). When that holds, it can be removed from the sum. The total internal force then becomes

$$\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} = \sum_p \left(-m_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}_p^{(n)} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{ip}^{(n)}}{\rho_0} + \mathbf{F}_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right) + m_i^{(n)} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_i, t) \quad (1.35)$$

where $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}_i, t)$ is body force at the nodal location and

$$m_i^{(n)} = \sum_p m_p S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (1.36)$$

is total nodal mass.

Once the forces are found, the momentum can be updated on the grid using

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} + (\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}) \Delta t \quad (1.37)$$

where Δt is the time step and:

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} = \sum_p \mathbf{p}_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (1.38)$$

Chapter 2

Strain and Particle Updates

2.1 Introduction

This chapter describes MPM methods for updating the stress and strain on particles and updating particle velocity and positions. More details on particle updates are given in the technical notes `Extrap.tex`.

2.2 Incremental Displacement Gradient

For particle stress and strain updates, the algorithm needs to evaluate the spatial velocity gradient, $\nabla \mathbf{v}(x, t)$, and use that to find the incremental deformation gradient, $d\mathbf{F}$, defined by

$$d\mathbf{F}^{(n)} = \exp(\nabla \mathbf{v} \Delta t) \quad (2.1)$$

which assumes $\nabla \mathbf{v}$ is constant over the time step. The gradient is found by extrapolating spatial velocity from grid to particles using nodal velocity and gradient shape functions:

$$\nabla \mathbf{v} = \sum_i \mathbf{v}_i^{(n)} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{ip} \quad (2.2)$$

and the spatial velocity is found from

$$\mathbf{v}_i^{(n)} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}}{m_i^{(n)}} \quad (2.3)$$

This velocity gradient is used to update stresses and strains on the particles. It can be done at the beginning of the time step (using $\mathbf{v}_i^{(n)}$) or at the end of the time step using the updated grid velocity ($\mathbf{v}_i^{(n+1)}$). `NairnMPM` allows either of the options and adds a third option to update both at the beginning and at the end. This later method (which is default method called `USAVG`) is analogous to a midpoint rule integration. Although it is less efficient (due to two updates), it may provide improved convergence as a function of time step size.

2.3 Particle Updates with Damping

In each time step, the Eulerian update on the grid is used to update the Lagrangian velocities and positions on the particles, and it is useful to include damping options in both the grid and particle updates. Two global damping strategies are to add damping terms proportional to either the grid velocity or the particle velocity. In most cases, these two approaches to damping should have similar results. By

including them both, however, it is possible to propose several different types of damping schemes. The fact that MPM has two velocities (grid and particle velocity), is the reason MPM has some interesting damping options.

If damping is based on grid velocity, then the nodal momentum update changes to a damped acceleration or:

$$p_i^{*(n+1)} = p_i^{(n)} + m_i^{(n)}(\mathbf{a}_i^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t)\mathbf{v}_i^{(n)})\Delta t = p_i^{(n)} + m_i^{(n)}\mathbf{a}_i^{*(n)}\Delta t \quad (2.4)$$

where “*” indicates a term that is revised to include damping, where $\alpha_g(t)$ is the grid damping constant (with units 1/sec) that applies to all nodes (i.e., independent of \mathbf{x}_i), but may evolve in time (such as a kinetic energy thermostat). See below for how to deal with position-dependent damping.

Particle methods have historically tried two methods for updating particle velocity — a FLIP update that increments particle velocity using accelerations extrapolated to the grid and a PIC update that extrapolates velocity directly to the particle. Combining the grid damping above with a second particle damping constant, $\alpha_p(t)$, these two updates become:

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)}\Delta t \quad (2.5)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)}\Delta t = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n+1)} - \alpha_p(t)\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}\Delta t \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)}$ is a doubly-damped acceleration extrapolated to the particle (i.e., subscript $g \rightarrow p$ means extrapolation from the grid to the particles):

$$\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)} = \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)} - \alpha_p(t)\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} = \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t)\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_p(t)\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \quad (2.7)$$

and the needed extrapolations to the particle are:

$$\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)} = \sum_i \mathbf{a}_i^{*(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} = \sum_i \frac{\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}}{m_i^{(n)}} S_{ip}^{(n)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} = \sum_i \mathbf{v}_i^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (2.8)$$

The extrapolated velocities are

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n+1)} = \sum_i \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^{*(n+1)}}{m_i^{(n)}} S_{ip}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} - \alpha_g(t)\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}\Delta t = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)}\Delta t \quad (2.9)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}}{m_i^{(n)}} + \frac{\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}}{m_i^{(n)}} \Delta t \right) S_{ip}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}\Delta t \quad (2.10)$$

Both damping strategies can be done entirely within the particle update. The two methods can be used individually or combined. Because grid and particle velocities should be similar, the total damping constant is $\alpha(t) = \alpha_g(t) + \alpha_p(t)$. In the absence of damping ($\alpha(t) = \alpha_g(t) = 0$), the FLIP and PIC updates and the simpler form often presented in the literature:

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}\Delta t \quad (2.11)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} \quad (2.12)$$

The results above extend these updates for two types of damping (grid or particle damping).

Next, the MPM position update with damping is found by integrating the extrapolated velocity over the time step. This integration is done on the grid and therefore should use the PIC form of velocity

(i.e., the grid velocity). Integrating using the midpoint rule:

$$\mathbf{x}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \int_0^{\Delta t} \mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)}(\Delta t = t) dt \approx \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)})\Delta t \quad (2.13)$$

$$= \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)}(\Delta t)^2 \quad (2.14)$$

This update along with the FLIP velocity update (which is usually recommended due to its conservation of momentum properties) can be written using terms convenient for coding (i.e., $\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n+1)}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)}$) as:

$$\mathbf{x}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n+1)}\Delta t - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)} + \alpha_p(t)\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)})(\Delta t)^2 \quad (2.15)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + (\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{*(n)} - \alpha_p(t)\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)})\Delta t \quad (2.16)$$

These are the updates always used by NairnMPPM.

It is interesting to redefine the grid and particle damping terms using:

$$\alpha_g(t) \rightarrow -\frac{1-\beta}{\Delta t} + \alpha'_g \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_p(t) \rightarrow \frac{1-\beta}{\Delta t} + \alpha'_p \quad (2.17)$$

The time dependence of primed damping terms have been dropped, but they can all still depend on time. By unraveling all effective terms, the net updates become

$$\mathbf{x}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)}\Delta t - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \alpha_{PIC}(\beta)(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) + \alpha'_g\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \alpha'_p\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)})(\Delta t)^2 \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + (\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_{PIC}(\beta)(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) - \alpha'_g\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha'_p\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)})\Delta t \quad (2.19)$$

where the new “PIC Damping” term is

$$\alpha_{PIC}(\beta) = \frac{1-\beta}{\Delta t} \quad (2.20)$$

It is called PIC damping, because in the absence of other damping terms ($\alpha'_g = \alpha'_p = 0$), the still-damped position and velocity updates become:

$$\mathbf{x}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \left(\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} - \frac{1-\beta}{2}(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) \right) \Delta t - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}(\Delta t)^2 \quad (2.21)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - (1-\beta)(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) + \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}\Delta t \quad (2.22)$$

If $\beta = 1$, the update is an undamped FLIP update. If $\beta = 0$, the update is an undamped, PIC update. Thus β can be interpreted as the fraction FLIP in the velocity update. But the above analysis was formally all a FLIP analysis. A better interpretation is that β adds an new form of artificial damping that is proportional to the inversion error between the particle velocity and the grid velocity extrapolated to the particle ($\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}$). The net damping effect will be to damp out this error.

2.3.1 Some Implementation Details

There are two approaches to coding updates with damping. First, it can be done entirely within the particle update task. The input to this task from the nodes will be $p_i^{(n+1)}$ (which will have replaced $p_i^{(n)}$

in the momentum update), $\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}$, and $m_i^{(n)}$. We can define two new effective terms

$$\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)'} = \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_{PIC}(\beta)(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) - \alpha'_g \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha'_p \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \quad (2.23)$$

$$= \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t) \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)'} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} - \left(\alpha_{PIC}(\beta)(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) + \alpha'_g \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \alpha'_p \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \right) \Delta t \quad (2.25)$$

$$= \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} - \left(\alpha_g(t) \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} + \alpha_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \right) \Delta t \quad (2.26)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}$ is found from available input properties using

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} - \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \Delta t \quad (2.27)$$

The final updates become

$$\mathbf{x}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)'} \Delta t - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)'} (\Delta t)^2 \quad (2.28)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)'} \Delta t \quad (2.29)$$

which are standard position and velocity updates to second order in position. Notice that it was important to keep the second order term on the position update in order to end up with consistent definitions for extrapolated velocity and acceleration.

In NairnMPM, the grid damping is added in the update particles task, but the particle damping is not added until calling the material point class. Separating them out gives

$$\mathbf{x}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + (\mathbf{v}_* - \alpha_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \Delta t) \Delta t - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a}_* - \alpha_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}) (\Delta t)^2 \quad (2.30)$$

$$= \mathbf{x}_p^{(n)} + \Delta t \left(\mathbf{v}_* - \frac{\Delta t}{2} (\mathbf{a}_* + \alpha_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}) \right) \quad (2.31)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_p^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + (\mathbf{a}_* - \alpha_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}) \Delta t = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} (1 - \alpha_p(t) \Delta t) + \mathbf{a}_* \Delta t \quad (2.32)$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)} - \alpha_g(t) \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \Delta t \quad (2.33)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_* = \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t) \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \quad (2.34)$$

The position update must be called first, because it needs $\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}$, which is changed in the velocity update.

2.3.2 Applying Damping to Force Calculations

When all damping is done in the particle updates (as is possible from above equations), it is possible that damping will affect other sections of the code that use nodal momentum or force, such as contact, differently. To avoid these effects (if they matter), a second approach would be to apply the grid damping to the force calculation. But, when PIC damping is used, this could cause very unrealistic forces (because that term can be large when Δt is small). A potential solution is to apply only α'_g to force calculations and then apply PIC damping during the particle updates. At the time of the particle update, the nodal

input values would be

$$\mathbf{f}_i^{**(n)} = \mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)} - \alpha'_g \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} \quad (2.35)$$

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{**(n+1)} = \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} + m_i^{(n)} \mathbf{a}_i^{**(n)} \Delta t \quad (2.36)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_i^{**(n)} = \frac{\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}}{m_i^{(n)}} - \alpha'_g \mathbf{v}_i^{(n)} \quad (2.37)$$

The effective terms become

$$\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)'} = \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{**(n)} - \alpha_{PIC}(\beta)(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) - \alpha'_p \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \quad (2.38)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n+1)'} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{**(n+1)} - \left(\alpha_{PIC}(\beta)(\mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}) + \alpha'_p \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} \right) \Delta t \quad (2.39)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)}$ is found from available input properties using

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{**(n+1)} - \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{**(n)} \Delta t \quad (2.40)$$

The final updates are the same as above except when coding

$$\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{**(n+1)} + \alpha_{PIC} \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \Delta t \quad (2.41)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_* = \mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{**(n)} + \alpha_{PIC} \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \quad (2.42)$$

2.3.3 Position Dependent Damping Strategies

Potentially it might be useful to damp certain regions differently than other regions. For example, damping could be used in a crack plane to absorb released energy. This section considers changes when the damping terms depend on position. For damping based on grid velocity, the nodal momentum update changes to:

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{*(n+1)} = \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} + (\mathbf{a}_i^{*(n)} - \alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{v}_i^{(n)}) \Delta t = \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_i^{*(n)} \Delta t \quad (2.43)$$

where “*” indicates a term that is revised to including position-dependent damping term where \mathbf{x}_i is the nodal position. The particle velocity update would be:

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + (\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \left(\sum_i \alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_i) \mathbf{v}_i^{(n)} S_{ip} \right) - \alpha_p(t, \mathbf{x}_p) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}) \Delta t \quad (2.44)$$

The summation terms causes some problems. First, it is inefficient because it needs another extrapolation and likely repeated calculation of $\alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_i)$. Second, it loses connection to simple velocity terms. The situation can be improved by replacing $\alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_i)$ with $\alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_p)$, which is constant for the sum and can be removed from the sum to give

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)} + (\mathbf{a}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_p) \mathbf{v}_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_p(t, \mathbf{x}_p) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}) \Delta t \quad (2.45)$$

The remainder of the update analysis can be followed as above. The only difference in code is that $\alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_p)$ and $\alpha_p(t, \mathbf{x}_p)$ need to be calculated for each particle in the update loop while for time dependence only, they only need to be calculated once in the entire particle update task. In this approach, the damping could be implemented as a particle property rather than as a function of Eulerian grid coordinates.

2.4 GIMP Derivation Comments

The nodal force \mathbf{f}_i is sometimes interpreted as a sum of internal force, body force, and external force (for the three terms, respectively), but there is no need to separate them during calculations; they all add to the nodal force. The force $\mathbf{f}_{i,T}$ is a force due to tractions and needs extra work to integrate the tractions over traction-loaded surfaces in the extent of the grid shape function for node i .

Note that Eq. (1.32) is diagonal in the nodal system. Thus unlike other derivations of MPM, which get to here with a non-diagonal mass matrix, there is no need to invoke lumping of that mass matrix to make the problem tractable. The GIMP derivation naturally results in a lumped mass matrix.

Within this generalized framework, the various style of MPM are characterized by how they calculate S_{ip} and \mathbf{G}_{ip} . Given particle functions, $\chi_p(\mathbf{x})$, the above equations give an “exact” result that is sometimes called “finite GIMP” In general, exact integration of arbitrary particle domains is difficult and is therefore not done. Instead various schemes have developed to approximate the integrals. The NairnMPM wiki page as one approach to classify the [MPM family tree of methods](#).

Chapter 3

Velocity Boundary Conditions on the Grid

3.1 Grid Velocity Conditions

After extrapolating to the grid, each node will have $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} = m_i^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_i^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)}$, and $\mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}$. Consider a node with a prescribed velocity in a direction \hat{n} of $v_i^{(BC)}$ (a scalar). Let \hat{t} be vector tangential to the \hat{n} direction. The updated momentum we want becomes

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)} = m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} \hat{n} + \left((\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} + (\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}) \Delta t) \cdot \hat{t} \right) \hat{t} \quad (3.1)$$

$$= \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} + (\mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} + f_i^{(BC)} \hat{n}) \Delta t \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} = \mathbf{f}_i^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}$ and $f_i^{(BC)}$ is scalar force caused by boundary condition applied in the \hat{n} direction. The normal component gives:

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)} \cdot \hat{n} = m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} = \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n} + (\mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n}) \Delta t + f_i^{(BC)} \Delta t \quad (3.3)$$

which is solved for

$$f_i^{(BC)} = \frac{m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t} - \mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n} \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, a grid boundary condition can be done by adding $f_i^{(BC)} \hat{n}$ to each node having prescribed velocity $v_i^{(BC)}$ in the \hat{n} direction.

The current algorithm for velocity boundary conditions in `OSParticulas` is as follows:

1. In first extrapolation to the grid, find $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}$ and $m_i^{(n)}$.
2. After momentum extrapolation and imposing contact laws, save copy of $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}$ and replace it by

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)'} = \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} + (m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}) \hat{n} \quad (3.5)$$

which is like applying following force in the \hat{n} direction:

$$f_i^{(BC)'} = \frac{m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t} \quad (3.6)$$

but this force is never evaluated or used.

3. Use adjusted grid momentum to extrapolate velocity gradient to the particle when updating stresses and strains before the momentum update (which is done for USAVG± and USF).
4. After next task that extrapolates forces to the grid, revisit all nodes with boundary conditions, reset nodal momentum to saved $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}$, and add $f_i^{(BC)}\hat{n}$ to nodal force, which results in

$$\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)'} = \mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} - (\mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} + \frac{m_i^{(n)}v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t}\hat{n} \quad (3.7)$$

To support superposition of velocity conditions on the same node (but in the same direction \hat{n}), the boundary condition value is replaced by

$$m_i^{(n)}v_i^{(BC)} = \sum_k m_i^{(n)}v_i^{(BC,k)} \quad (3.8)$$

where $v_i^{(BC,k)}$ is velocity for boundary condition k . A single node can superpose boundary conditions in different directions only if the directions are orthogonal.

5. Update nodal momenta using the new consistent forces, which will provide the desired result in direction \hat{n} of

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)} \cdot \hat{n} = m_i^{(n)}v_i^{(BC)} = \sum_k m_i^{(n)}v_i^{(BC,k)} \quad (3.9)$$

The nodal $\mathbf{f}_i^{(n)'}$ are next used (along with other terms) to update particle positions and velocities.

6. If using USAVG+ or USL+, the code does a second extrapolation to the grid to find $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)'}$. These extrapolated momenta are replaced with new momenta:

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)''} = \mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)'} + (m_i^{(n)}v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)'} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} \quad (3.10)$$

and used to update particle stresses and strains. This momentum change is like applying following force in the \hat{n} direction:

$$f_i^{(BC'')} = \frac{m_i^{(n)}v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)'} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t} \quad (3.11)$$

but this force is never evaluated or used.

3.2 Velocity Control using Rigid Material Contact

We can contrast prescribed velocity to contact between material a and rigid material b where \hat{n} is the contacting normal (determined by various methods). The two options are very similar, but contact with a rigid material adds option to have normals determined by geometry of a collection of rigid particles (while velocity BCs have to preset the normal) and support for other contact laws (while velocity BCs are frictionless only, although maybe friction could be added). Another difference is that contact with rigid particles occurs on all nodes with non-zero GIMP shape functions while grid BCs created by rigid particles are only on nodes for the element containing that particle. The code tasks associated with rigid contact implementation are:

1. The first multimaterial mode extrapolation to the grid finds $\mathbf{v}_{i,a}^{(n)}$, $m_{i,a}^{(n)}$, and $\mathbf{v}_{i,a}^{(n)}$

2. After momentum extrapolation (and before velocity boundary conditions), the nodes determined to be in contact and sliding due to frictional contact (*i.e.*, not sticking) will replace momenta for material a with

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)'} = \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)} + \Delta \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(1)'} \quad (3.12)$$

$$= \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t}) \quad (3.13)$$

where $\Delta \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(1)'}$ is momentum change due to contact law (as defined in my papers). The second form is specific for Coulomb friction contact with a rigid material and with μ as the coefficient of friction. For frictionless contact, this is the same change as a nodal velocity boundary condition of $\mathbf{v}_i^{(BC)} = \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}$ applied in the \hat{n} direction.

3. After extrapolating forces and updating the revised momenta, revisit nodes that had boundary conditions and subtract $\Delta \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(1)'}$ to restore nodal momentum to values uncorrected for contact (note that this feature was added in OSParticulas, revision 1179; old method of not restoring momentum could show problems in particles near contact mostly when using FLIP methods and mostly small effects). The next step updates momenta, which will be:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} \Delta t \quad (3.14)$$

This new momentum will likely violate contact laws and thus another momentum change is needed:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)'} = \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + \Delta \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(2)'} \quad (3.15)$$

$$= \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t}) \quad (3.16)$$

The final update based on the initial extrapolated momentum becomes:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)'} = \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)} - \mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} \Delta t) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t}) + \mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} \Delta t \quad (3.17)$$

The total contact force from initial momentum to final updated momentum is

$$\mathbf{f}_i^{(contact)} = \left(\frac{(m_{i,a}^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)}) \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t} - \mathbf{f}_i^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n} \right) (\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t}) = \frac{\Delta \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(2)'}}{\Delta t} \quad (3.18)$$

The force is analogous to the $f_i^{(BC)}$.

4. If using USAVG+ or USL+, the code does a second extrapolation to the grid to find $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)''}$. These extrapolated momenta are replaced with new momenta:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)'} = \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + \Delta \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(3)'} \quad (3.19)$$

$$= \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t}) \quad (3.20)$$

and used to update particle stresses and strains.

Chapter 4

One Dimensional GIMP

4.1 1D Virtual Work

Sometimes it is useful to look at 1D calculations to gain insights into MPM. It could be derived from above result, but here derived starting with virtual power in 1D:

$$A \int_L \rho b \delta u dx + TA \delta u|_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} + \sum_p F_p \delta u = A \int_L \rho a \delta u dx + A \int_L \sigma \frac{d\delta u}{dx} dx \quad (4.1)$$

where A is assumed cross-sectional area of the 1D grid.

4.2 MPM Equations

Inserting particle and grid expansions (as above) and making use of the fact that δu is arbitrary, the summand of all terms can be equated to arrive at the controlling MPM equation on the background grid of:

$$\sum_p S_{ip}^{(n)} \frac{dp_p^{(n)}}{dt} = \frac{dp_i^{(n)}}{dt} = f_i^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$p_i^{(n)} = \sum_p M_p v_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (4.3)$$

$$m_i^{(n)} = \sum_p M_p S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (4.4)$$

$$f_i^{(n)} = \sum_p \left(-m_p \frac{\tau_p^{(n)} G_{ip}^{(n)}}{\rho_0} + m_p S_{ip}^{(n)} b_p + F_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right) \quad (4.5)$$

$$f_{i,T}^{(n)} = AN_i(x_{face})T(x_{face}) \quad (4.6)$$

where x_{face} is side of particle with applied traction.

4.2.1 1D Shape Functions

The shape functions are:

$$S_{ip} = \frac{1}{l_p \Delta x} \int_{X_p - l_p}^{X_p + l_p \Delta x / 2} \chi_p(x) N_i(x) dx \quad (4.7)$$

$$G_{ip} = \frac{1}{l_p \Delta x} \int_{X_p - l_p}^{X_p + l_p \Delta x / 2} \chi_p(x) \frac{dN_i(x)}{dx} dx \quad (4.8)$$

where particle at X_p has length $l_p \Delta x$ and Δx is cell size (of a regular grid) For $N_i(x)$ being linear hat functions and $\chi_p(x) = 1$ in particle domain, these full integrals for node at x_i are:

$$S_{ip}(x_p) = \begin{cases} \frac{(4 - l_p)l_p - x_p^2}{4l_p} & |x_p| < l_p \\ \frac{2 - |x_p|}{2} & l_p \leq |x_p| < 2 - l_p \\ \frac{(2 + l_p - |x_p|)^2}{8l_p} & 2 - l_p < |x_p| < 2 + l_p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

$$G_{ip}(x_p) = \frac{2}{\Delta x} \frac{dS_{ip}(x_p)}{dx_p} = \frac{2}{\Delta x} \begin{cases} -\frac{x_p}{2l_p} & |x_p| < l_p \\ -\text{sgn}(x_p)/2 & l_p \leq |x_p| < 2 - l_p \\ -\text{sgn}(x_p) \frac{2 + l_p - |x_p|}{4l_p} & 2 - l_p \leq |x_p| < 2 + l_p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

where $x_p = 2(X_p - x_i)/\Delta x$ is distance from particle p to node i in units of semi-cell size. In GIMP with two particle per cell or $l_p = 1/2$, the shape functions become:

$$S_{ip}(x_p) = \begin{cases} \frac{7 - 4x_p^2}{8} & |x_p| < 1/2 \\ \frac{2 - |x_p|}{2} & 1/2 \leq |x_p| < 3/2 \\ \frac{(5 - 2|x_p|)^2}{16} & 3/2 < |x_p| < 5/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

$$G_{ip}(x_p) = \frac{2}{\Delta x} \frac{dS_{ip}(x_p)}{dx_p} = \frac{2}{\Delta x} \begin{cases} -x_p & |x_p| < 1/2 \\ -\text{sgn}(x_p)/2 & 1/2 \leq |x_p| < 3/2 \\ -\text{sgn}(x_p) \frac{5 - 2|x_p|}{4} & 3/2 \leq |x_p| < 5/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Chapter 5

Axisymmetric GIMP

In axisymmetric GIMP, the virtual work integrals are converted to cylindrical integrals:

$$\int_A \rho \mathbf{b} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} r dA + \int_{L_T} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} r dL + \sum_p \mathbf{F}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} = \int_A \rho \mathbf{a} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} r dA + \int_A \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} r dA \quad (5.1)$$

where A is the area of integration in the r - z plane, $dA = dr dz$, L_T is the path for surfaces having traction loads, and \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{F}_p have been redefined to be traction and force per radian. The result after expansion in the particle basis changes to:

$$\sum_p \int_A \frac{m_p}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_p \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} r dA + \int_{L_T} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dL + \sum_p \frac{\mathbf{F}_p}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} r dA \quad (5.2)$$

$$= \sum_p \int_A \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_p}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} r dA + \sum_p \int_A \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} r dA \quad (5.3)$$

where A_p is the particle area in the r - z plane, $\langle r_p \rangle$ is the average radial position of the particle, and particle mass has been redefined to be the mass per radian or $m_p = \rho_p A_p \langle r_p \rangle$. The particle basis functions have the new normalization of

$$A_p \langle r_p \rangle = \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) r dA \quad \text{where} \quad \langle r_p \rangle = \frac{1}{A_p} \int_{A_p} r dA \quad (5.4)$$

The first four results [above](#) after expansion in the grid-based shape functions are identical except that definition of S_{ip} changes to

$$S_{ip} = \frac{1}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) r dA \quad (5.5)$$

The stress term needs some extra work by evaluating $\nabla \delta \mathbf{u}$ in cylindrical coordinates, which is:

$$\nabla \delta \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \delta u_r}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial \delta u_r}{\partial z} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial \delta u_z}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial \delta u_z}{\partial z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\delta u_r}{r} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$$

The stress term evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \sum_p \int_A \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_i \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x}) r dA &= \sum_i \sum_p A_p \langle r_p \rangle \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{rr} & \sigma_{rz} \\ \sigma_{rz} & \sigma_{zz} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{ip} \cdot (\delta u_{i,z}, \delta u_{i,z}) \\ &+ \sum_i \sum_p A_p \langle r_p \rangle (\sigma_{\theta\theta}, 0) T_{ip} \cdot (\delta u_{i,z}, \delta u_{i,z}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where \mathbf{G}_{ip} is redefined and T_{ip} is a new shape function:

$$\mathbf{G}_{ip} = \frac{1}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x}) r dA \quad (5.8)$$

$$T_{ip} = \frac{1}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) dA \quad (5.9)$$

$$(5.10)$$

For the particle stress term, we can revise to use particle mass as follows:

$$A_p \langle r_p \rangle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p = m_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p}{\rho_p} = m_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p}{\rho_p} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{J \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\rho_0} \quad (5.11)$$

Making use of the fact that $\delta \mathbf{u}_i$ is arbitrary, the summands of all terms can be equated to arrive at the controlling MPM equation on the background grid in axisymmetric calculations:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}_i}{dt} = \mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{i,T} \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\mathbf{f}_i = \sum_p \left(-\frac{m_p}{\rho_0} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{rr} & \tau_{rz} \\ \tau_{rz} & \tau_{zz} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{ip} - \frac{m_p}{\rho_0} (\tau_{\theta\theta}, 0) T_{ip} + m_p S_{ip} \mathbf{b}_p + S_{ip} \mathbf{F}_p \right) \quad (5.13)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{i,T} = \int_{S_T} N_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T} dS \quad (5.14)$$

This final result is very similar to MPM in Cartesian coordinates except it uses revised shape functions, m_p , \mathbf{F}_p , and \mathbf{T} are redefined to be quantities per radian, and there is an extra stress term in \mathbf{f}_i .

Chapter 6

GIMP Analysis for Transport

A generalized transport equation to be solved on the grid (which includes things like conduction, diffusion, pore pressure, *etc.*) is

$$C_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + q_s(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6.1)$$

where T is any transport property (*e.g.*, temperature, concentration, pore pressure), C_T is constant that may depend on extrapolated particle states (physically C_T is a “capacity” that determines how much T changes due to fluxed quantity), $\mathbf{q} = -\boldsymbol{\kappa} \nabla T$ is an associated flux with units L -(units of C_T)-(units of T)/sec, and $q_s(\mathbf{x})$ is a source term with units (units of C_T)-(units of T)/sec. Here $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ is a “diffusion” tensor with units of L^2 -(units of C_T)/sec. Solving this equation in the MPM weak form gives

$$\int_V \left(C_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - q_s(\mathbf{x}) \right) w(\mathbf{x}) dV = 0 \quad (6.2)$$

where $w(\mathbf{x})$ is an arbitrary weighting function. Using the vector identity:

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}) w(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \cdot (w(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}) - (\nabla w(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \mathbf{q} \quad (6.3)$$

and the divergence theorem, the weak form equation becomes:

$$\int_V \left(C_T w(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla w(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - q_s(\mathbf{x}) w(\mathbf{x}) \right) dV + \int_{\delta V} (w(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}) \cdot \hat{n} dS = 0 \quad (6.4)$$

where δV is the border of V and \hat{n} is a surface normal vector.

As in GIMP for the momentum equation, we expand the transport quantities in the particle basis to get:

$$C_T \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \sum_p C_{T,p} \frac{\partial T_p}{\partial t} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6.5)$$

$$q_s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p q_{s,p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6.6)$$

$$\mathbf{q} = \sum_p \mathbf{q}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6.7)$$

where subscript p denotes a particle property and $\chi_p(\mathbf{x})$ is the particle basis function for particle p (which is typically 1 within the deformed particle domain and zero elsewhere). Next expand weight function and its gradient in the grid shape functions:

$$w(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i w_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla w(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i w_i \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6.8)$$

After substituting all expansions, the weak form equation becomes

$$-\sum_i \int_{\delta V} (w_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS = \int_V \left\{ -\sum_i \sum_p [(w_i \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \mathbf{q}_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x})] \right. \quad (6.9)$$

$$\left. -\sum_i \sum_p q_{s,p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) w_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_i \sum_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) w_i N_i(\mathbf{x}) C_{T,p} \frac{\partial T_p}{\partial t} \right\} dV \quad (6.10)$$

Using Eqs. (1.28) and (1.30) for GIMP shape functions and arbitrary nature of $w(\mathbf{x})$, this equation transforms to an equation for each node:

$$\sum_p V_p C_{T,p} \frac{\partial T_p}{\partial t} S_{ip} = \sum_p V_p \mathbf{q}_p \cdot \mathbf{G}_{ip} + \sum_p V_p q_{s,p} S_{ip} - \int_{\delta V} (N_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS \quad (6.11)$$

This equation works for large deformation provided V_p is the current particle volume and Eqs. (1.28) and (1.30) use finite GIMP methods. If Eqs. (1.28) and (1.30) are replaced by uniform GIMP (or CPDI), it still approximately accounts for large deformation by using current particle volume (V_p) in the sums.

6.1 MPM Equation

We define transport content on node i as

$$\tau_{Ti}^{(n)} = \sum_p V_p^{(n)} C_{T,p} T_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (6.12)$$

with units L^3 -(units of C_T)-(units of T). In a momentum analog, transport content on a node is momentum on node i . A transport content equation, as scalar analog of the momentum equation, can be written as

$$\frac{d\tau_{Ti}^{(n)}}{dt} = Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)} \quad (6.13)$$

where

$$Q_i^{(n)} = \sum_p V_p^{(n)} (\mathbf{q}_p^{(n)} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{ip}^{(n)} + q_{s,p}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}) \quad (6.14)$$

$$Q_{i,q}^{(n)} = - \int_{\delta V} (N_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS \quad (6.15)$$

are total transport flows (or transport forces) with units of L^3 -(units of C_T)-(units of T)/sec. The first flow is internal flow while the second is flow at the boundaries due to flux boundary conditions. The $\mathbf{q}_p^{(n)}$ term is a specific flux term with units L -(units of C_T)-(units of T)/sec. The $q_{s,p}^{(n)}$ is particle source term with units (units of C_T)-(units of T)/sec. The particle flux is analogous to particle specific stress in the momentum equation, but rather than track and update $\mathbf{q}_p^{(n)}$ on the particle (as done for stress), it is calculated on each time step using

$$\mathbf{q}_p^{(n)} = -\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p^{(n)} \nabla T_p^{(n)} = -\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p^{(n)} \sum_i T_i^{(n)} \mathbf{G}_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (6.16)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_p^{(n)}$ is a specific “diffusion” tensor with units L^2 -(units of C_T)/sec. The nodal transport property is defined by

$$T_i^{(n)} = \frac{\tau_{Ti}^{(n)}}{c_i^{(n)}} \quad \text{where} \quad c_i^{(n)} = \sum_p V_p^{(n)} C_{T,p} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (6.17)$$

is extrapolated transport volume (or volume weighted by $C_{T,p}$) with units L^3 -(units of C_T).

6.1.1 Momentum and Particle Updates

The transport content or temperature updates on a node are:

$$\tau_{Ti}^{(n+1)} = \tau_{Ti}^{(n)} + (Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)})\Delta t = \tau_{Ti}^{(n)} + c_i^{(n)} v_{Ti}^{(n)} \Delta t \quad (6.18)$$

$$T_i^{(n+1)} = T_i^{(n)} + \frac{Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)}}{c_i^{(n)}} \Delta t = T_i^{(n+1)} + v_{Ti}^{(n)} \Delta t \quad (6.19)$$

where the nodal transport velocity is

$$v_{Ti}^{(n)} = \frac{T_i^{(n+1)} - T_i^{(n)}}{\Delta t} = \frac{Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)}}{c_i^{(n)}} \quad (6.20)$$

All transport updates are using FLIP (because PIC causes “damping” in the form of numerical diffusion; it is possible XPIC can be used in the future). But even with FLIP, we can write two options for update:

$$T_{p,1}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + v_{T,g \rightarrow p,1}^{(n)} \Delta t \quad (6.21)$$

$$T_{p,2}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + v_{T,g \rightarrow p,2}^{(n)} \Delta t \quad (6.22)$$

where two possible velocities are

$$v_{T,g \rightarrow p,1}^{(n)} = \sum_i v_{Ti}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (6.23)$$

$$v_{T,g \rightarrow p,2}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{C_{T,p}^{(n)}} \sum_i \frac{Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)}}{V_i^{(n)}} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (6.24)$$

$$V_i^{(n)} = \sum_p V_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (6.25)$$

The first extrapolates transport rates with units (units of T)/sec while the second extrapolates rate with units (units of C_T)-(units of T)/sec and then calculates change in transport value on the particle using the particle value for $C_{T,p}$. The $V_i^{(n)}$, or volume extrapolation, is needed to compensate for nodal vs. particle volumes. Both seem to work about the same and the two are identical if all particles are the same material and no state-dependence in $C_{T,p}$ (e.g., phase transitions). Method 1 is default code method. Method 2 can be used by uncommenting `REVISED_UPDATE_METHOD` in `MPMPrefix.hpp` file.

Like particle stresses, particle transport property can develop variations within a cell. Because of these variations, particle transport does not give the best measure of the local transport property when implementing features such as particle properties that depend on transport property. To implement transport-property-dependent features on time step n , it is better to assume the transport property at the particle is equal to

$$T_{g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} = \sum_i T_i^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \quad (6.26)$$

In other words, the particle transport property evolves as described above, but whenever some other feature of the code needs to know the transport property at the particle, it should use the grid-based transport property and not the evolving particle transport property.

6.1.2 Material Constitutive Law Coupling

Some transport properties may be associated with material model that changes the transport value on a particle. We define an “adiabatic” transport term as $dT_{p,0}/dt$ as property change rate for conditions with no transport (*i.e.*, when no flux). Two options for inputting this coupling term are to treat it as a transport source term that is extrapolated to the grid in the $q_{s,p}^{(n)}$ term on the grid or to treat it as transport change in the particle update. The source term method would be done when extrapolating transport forces to the grid, but typically $dT_{p,0}/dt$ is calculated in strain updates and not available during force extrapolation. The second approach is done in the particle update where transport property update becomes:

$$T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + v_{T,g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \frac{dT_{p,0}}{dt} \Delta t \quad (6.27)$$

using the preferred transport velocity. This method is used in code and probably both more efficient and more accurate than adding heat source to the grid.

One issue is that constitutive law calculations might be done before, after, and both before and after the one particle update. To account for all these options, the $dT_{p,0}/dt$ has to be buffered. Let $dT_{p,0,1}^{(n)}/dt$ and $dT_{p,0,2}^{(n)}/dt$ be adiabatic transport term found in strain update before and after the particle update, then the final particle update for three different update methods become:

$$\text{USF} \quad T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + v_{T,g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \frac{dT_{p,0,1}^{(n)}}{dt} \Delta t \quad (6.28)$$

$$\text{USL} \quad T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + v_{T,g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \frac{dT_{p,0,2}^{(n-1)}}{dt} \Delta t \quad (6.29)$$

$$\text{USAVG} \quad T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + v_{T,g \rightarrow p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \left(\frac{dT_{p,0,2}^{(n-1)}}{dt} + \frac{dT_{p,0,1}^{(n)}}{dt} \right) \frac{\Delta t}{2} \quad (6.30)$$

$$(6.31)$$

The 1/2 on last term is because each of the prior two updates was founded using half the total time step for the simulations. Other options might be to generate heat in a custom task - $dT_{p,c}/dt$. With the above buffered approach, a custom-task temperature change can be added to the buffer and it will be used on the next particle update.

6.1.3 Transport Time Step

For explicit integration of transport equation, the time step must be

$$\Delta t \leq \left(\frac{\Delta x}{2} \right)^2 \frac{C_T}{\max(\kappa)} \quad (6.32)$$

where Δx is minimum cell dimension and $\max(\kappa)$ is maximum value from the “diffusion” tensor.

6.2 GIMP Analysis for Conduction

When modeling conduction, the transport property is T or temperature (units of K or Kelvin) and the other properties are in Table 6.1. The transport equation is:

$$\rho C_v \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + q_s(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6.33)$$

Table 6.1: Transport terms for modeling heat conduction

Quantity	Name	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
$C_T = \rho C_v$	density×heat capacity	J/(K-m ³)	nJ/(K-mm ³)
κ	conductivity	J/(m-K-sec)	nJ/(mm-K-sec)
$\mathbf{q}_p^{(n)}$	heat flux	J/(m ² -sec)	nJ/(mm ² -sec)
$q_s(\mathbf{x})$	heat sources	J/(m ³ -sec)	nJ/(mm ³ -sec)
$\tau_{Ti}^{(n)}$	thermal content	J	nJ
$c_i^{(n)}$	nodal heat capacity	J/K	nJ/K
$Q_i^{(n)}$ and $Q_{i,q}^{(n)}$	heat flows	J/sec	J/sec

where $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla T$ and C_v is heat capacity per unit mass with SI units J/(kg-K). Table 6.1 has the full translations for conduction analysis.

Three extra options are used when modeling conduction. First is to model heat due to friction. In this calculation, frictional work in time step is calculated in heat energy units (J in SI units). The friction heat rate, $Q_{i,f}^{(n)}$, is heat work divided by time step and it is added as a heat source flux condition.

Second is to model crack tip heating, which is done by adding q_c heat flows to represent heat from crack tip heating. Heat is added to all nodes seen by the crack tip particle. Because that heating is implemented on massless crack particles, the heat added to each node uses modified shape functions:

$$\overline{Q_{i,c}^{(n)}} = q_c S_{ic}^{(n)*} = f_H \frac{G_c t_c \Delta a}{n \Delta t} S_{ic}^{(n)*} \quad (6.34)$$

where c means crack particle, G_c is the total energy release per unit area, and $t_c \Delta a$ is the total area (with t_c being crack thickness). This energy is released over n steps or total time $n \Delta t$ giving the total heating rate of $q_c = f_H G_c t_c \Delta a / (n \Delta t)$. The shape function spreads this heat over nearby nodes with partition of unity, but because crack particles may interact with inactive nodes, the shape functions are renormalized to be partition of unity by dividing by their sum over active nodes only (as indicated by the * on the shape function). The parameter f_H is an option to allow only a fraction of the energy to be converted into heat.

The third option is adiabatic heating due to compression, which is given by:

$$\frac{dT_{p,0}}{dt} = -\frac{\mathbf{M} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} T}{\rho C_v} \quad (6.35)$$

or in one time step using $\nabla \mathbf{v} = \nabla \mathbf{u} / \Delta t$ gives:

$$\frac{dT_{p,0}}{dt} \Delta t = -\frac{\mathbf{M} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} T}{\rho C_v} \quad (6.36)$$

6.3 GIMP Analysis for Diffusion

When modeling diffusion, the transport property is concentration potential μ (where μ is a dimensionless potential approximated as $\mu = c/c_{sat}$; i.e. from 0 to 1) and it is dimensionless. The transport equation is:

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + q_s(\mathbf{x}) \quad (6.37)$$

Table 6.2: Transport terms for modeling diffusion

Quantity	Name	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
$k = 1$	none	none	none
$\kappa = D$	diffusion tensor	m ² /sec	mm ² /sec
$\mathbf{q}_p^{(n)}$	solvent flux	m/sec	mm/sec
$q_s(\mathbf{x})$	solvent sources	1/sec	1/sec
$\tau_{Ti}^{(n)}$	solvent content	m ³	mm ³
$c_i^{(n)} = V_i^{(n)}$	solvent capacity	m ³	mm ³
$Q_i^{(n)}$ and $Q_{i,g}^{(n)}$	solvent flows	m ³ /sec	mm ³ /sec

where $\mathbf{q} = -D\nabla\mu$ with D as the diffusion tensor. The translations are in Table 6.2. Note that because $c_i^{(n)} = V_i^{(n)}$, the two particle update methods derived above are the same.

Chapter 7

Consistent Units

internally, both NairnMPM and OSParticulas use mm-g-sec units system. Despite this internal use, the input of properties are not always based on these units. The two ways to enter properties are using “Legacy Units” or picking and using you own “Consistent Units” system. Currently scripts interpreted by NairnFEAMP or NairnFEAMPViz must use Legacy units, but input files created directly in XML can pick a different set by adding the <ConsistentUnits> command. Some MPM units options are given in Tables 7.1 and 7.2. The units including “Alt” means their treatment in Legacy units is not consistent. Refer to documentation to see how to input properties that need those units and to see how quantities with those units are output.

7.1 Consistent Units in Static FEA

In static FEA, the only units needed are for length and force. The legacy units for NairnFEA are close to consistent using length in mm and force in N, which leads to stress and moduli in $\text{MPa} = \text{N}/\text{m}^2$ and interface parameters in MPa/mm . Boundary conditions are set using mm, N, and MPa for displacements, loads, and tractions, respectively. The only inconsistency is that the output energies are in J while the energy consistent with mm and N should be $\text{mJ} = \text{N}\cdot\text{mm}$. The consistent units options are in Table 7.3.

Table 7.1: Consistent units for the momentum equation

Quantity	Legacy	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
Length	mm	m	mm
Mass	g	kg	g
Time	sec	sec	sec
Alt Time	msec	sec	sec
Density	$\frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3}$	$\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$	$\frac{\text{g}}{\text{mm}^3}$
Velocity	$\frac{\text{mm}}{\text{sec}}$	$\frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}$	$\frac{\text{mm}}{\text{sec}}$
Alt Velocity	$\frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}$	$\frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}$	$\frac{\text{mm}}{\text{sec}}$
Force	N	$\text{N} = \frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}}{\text{sec}^2}$	$\mu\text{N} = \frac{\text{g} \cdot \text{mm}}{\text{sec}^2}$
Pressure	MPa	$\text{Pa} = \frac{\text{N}}{\text{m}^2}$	$\text{Pa} = \frac{\mu\text{N}}{\text{mm}^2}$
Alt Strain	%	none	none
Energy	J	$\text{J} = \text{N} \cdot \text{m}$	$\text{nJ} = \mu\text{N} \cdot \text{mm}$
ERR	$\frac{\text{J}}{\text{m}^2}$	$\frac{\text{J}}{\text{m}^2}$	$\frac{\text{nJ}}{\text{mm}^2}$
Stress Int.	$\text{MPa} \sqrt{\text{m}}$	$\text{Pa} \sqrt{\text{m}}$	$\text{Pa} \sqrt{\text{mm}}$
Viscosity	cP	$\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m} \cdot \text{sec}}$	$\frac{\text{g}}{\text{mm} \cdot \text{sec}}$

Table 7.2: Consistent units for conduction and diffusion equation

Quantity	Legacy	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
Heat Capacity	J/(kg-K)	J/(kg-K)	nJ/(g-K)
Conductivity	W/(m-K)	W/(m-K)	nW/(mm-K)
Heat Flux	W/m ²	W/m ²	nW/mm ²
Diffusion	m ² /sec	m ² /sec	mm ² /sec
Solvent Flux	kg/(m ² ·sec)	kg/(m ² ·sec)	g/(mm ² ·sec)

Table 7.3: Consistent units for FEA

Units	Length	Force	Stress	Energy
Legacy	mm	N	MPa	J
SI	m	N	Pa	J
mm-g-sec	mm	μN	Pa	nJ
cm-g-sec	cm	$10^{-5}\text{N} = \text{dyne}$	$10^{-1}\text{Pa} = \text{Ba}$	$10^{-7}\text{J} = \text{erg}$
mm-g-msec	mm	N	MPa	mJ