Generalized Interpolation Material Point (GIMP) Method

John Nairn

September 21, 2017

1 Introduction

These notes provide a detailed derivation of the GIMP method for MPM, which was first described by Bardenhagen and Kober. The notes pay attention to large deformation and give extensions to axisymmetry. Finally, GIMP methods for implementing transport equations are derived.

2 Virtual Work or Power

MPM implementation is based on virtual work equation, but in Sulsky's original paper, it was derived from momentum equation using the divergence theorem (which is also way to derive virtual work equation). For completeness, both are give here. The momentum equation is:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \rho \, \boldsymbol{b} = \rho \, \boldsymbol{a} \tag{1}$$

where σ is Cauchy stress, ρ is density, \boldsymbol{b} is body force, and \boldsymbol{a} is acceleration. To solve in the weak form, multiply be weighting vector \boldsymbol{w} and integrate over domain Ω :

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \nabla \cdot \rho \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, dV + \int_{\Omega} \rho \, \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \, dV = \int_{\Omega} \rho \, \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \, dV \tag{2}$$

The first term expands to

$$w \cdot \nabla \cdot \rho \sigma = \nabla \cdot (\sigma w) - \text{Tr}(\sigma \nabla w) = \nabla \cdot (\sigma w) - \sigma \cdot \nabla w \tag{3}$$

and by divergence theorem:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{w}) \, dV = \int_{\delta \Omega} \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \, dS \tag{4}$$

where T is traction due to the stress stress on surface ($\delta\Omega$) of the domain Ω . Substitution to eliminate the divergence term gives:

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho \, \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \, dV + \int_{\delta\Omega} \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \, dS = \int_{\Omega} \rho \, \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \, dV + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{w} \, dV \tag{5}$$

But the above results is just virtual work. We replace w by a virtual displacement (δu) and add a virtual work due to force applied directly to the particle. The virtual work (or power) gives the following starting equation:

$$\int_{V} \rho \, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, dV + \int_{S_{T}} \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, dS + \sum_{p} \boldsymbol{F_{p}} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} = \int_{V} \rho \, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, dV + \int_{V} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, dV$$
 (6)

where **b** is specific body force (*e.g.*, gravity), **T** is traction applied on the surface S_T , F_p is a force applied directly to a particle (an extra turn added for MPM modeling), **a** is acceleration, ρ is density, and σ is the Cauchy stress.

3 Particle Basis Expansion

First, we expand ρb , ρa , and σ in a particle basis, where any function of x is written as:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{p} f_p \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \tag{7}$$

where f_p is the value of the function on particle p and $\chi_p(x)$ is a particle basis function. The function integrates to total particle volume:

$$V_p = \int_V \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \, dV \tag{8}$$

The most common function is for $\chi_p(x) = 1$ inside the particle domain and 0 outside the domain, but any other function could be used (hence the using of the term "Generalized"). The main particle property expansions are:

$$\rho \mathbf{b} = \sum_{p} \rho_{p} \mathbf{b}_{p} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{p} \frac{m_{p}}{V_{p}} \mathbf{b}_{p} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x})$$
(9)

$$\rho a = \sum_{p} \frac{m_p}{V_p} \frac{dv_p}{dt} \chi_p(x) = \sum_{p} \frac{\dot{p}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x)$$
 (10)

$$\sigma = \sum_{p} \sigma_{p} \chi_{p}(x) \tag{11}$$

where m_p is particle mass, V_p is current particle volume, v_p is particle velocity, p_p is particle momentum, and σ_p is particle stress.

To fit better in the analysis, the external work caused by particle forces can be expanded as work per unit volume spread out over the particle using

$$F_{p} \cdot \delta u = \int_{V} \frac{F_{p} \cdot \delta u}{V_{p}} \chi_{F}(x) dV$$
 (12)

where $\chi_F(x)$ is another particle function. It need not be the same as $\chi_p(x)$, but must also integrate to particle volume, V_p

The new virtual work equation becomes:

$$\sum_{p} \int_{V} \frac{m_{p}}{V_{p}} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{b}_{p} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, dV + \int_{S_{T}} \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, dS + \sum_{p} \frac{\boldsymbol{F}_{p}}{V_{p}} \int_{V} \chi_{F}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, dV$$
 (13)

$$= \sum_{p} \int_{V} \frac{\dot{p}_{p}}{V_{p}} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} \, dV + \sum_{p} \int_{V} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} \, dV$$
 (14)

4 Grid Expansion

Next, we expand the virtual displacements in grid-based shape functions using:

$$\delta u = \sum_{i} \delta u_{i} N_{i}(x) \tag{15}$$

where the sum is over nodes (i), δu_i is the virtual displacement on node i, and $N_i(x)$ are the nodal shape functions (standard finite element shape functions). Each term is revised as follows:

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{p} \int_{V} \frac{m_{p}}{V_{p}} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{i} N_{i}(\mathbf{x}) dV = \sum_{i} \sum_{p} S_{ip} m_{p} \mathbf{b}_{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{i}$$
(16)

$$\int_{S_T} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} = \sum_i \delta \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \int_{S_T} \mathbf{N}_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T} \, dS$$
 (17)

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{p} \frac{F_{p}}{V_{p}} \int_{V} \chi_{F}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{i} N_{i}(\mathbf{x}) dV = \sum_{i} \sum_{p} F_{ip} F_{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{i}$$
 (18)

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{p} \int_{V} \frac{\dot{p}_{p}}{V_{p}} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{i} N_{i}(\mathbf{x}) dV = \sum_{i} \sum_{p} S_{ip} \dot{p}_{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_{i}$$
(19)

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{p} \int_{V} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p} \chi_{p}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \nabla N_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) dV = \sum_{i} \sum_{p} (V_{p} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p} \boldsymbol{G}_{ip}) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{i}$$
 (20)

where the GIMP shape functions are:

$$S_{ip} = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_{V} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV$$
 (21)

$$F_{ip} = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_{V} \chi_F(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) dV$$
 (22)

$$G_{ip} = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_{V} \chi_p(x) \nabla N_i(x) dV$$
 (23)

Two logical choices for $\chi_F(x)$ are $\chi_F(x) = \chi_p(x)$, which leads to $F_{ip} = S_{ip}$ (and is the choice used below), or $\chi_F(x) = V_p \delta(x - x_p)$, which leads to $F_{ip} = N_i(x_p)$

The particle stress term can be revised to use particle mass as follows:

$$V_p \sigma_p = m_p \frac{\sigma_p}{\rho_p} = m_p \frac{\sigma_p}{\rho_p} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{J\sigma_p}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{\tau_p}{\rho_0}$$
 (24)

Here $J=V_p/V_0=\rho_0/\rho_p$ is the relative volume and τ_p is the particle's Kirchhoff stress. In this form the stress term depends most naturally on Kirchhoff stresses normalized to initial density (and this approach avoids the need to find current particle density or volume when calculating that term on each time step). For this reason, NairnMPM always tracks stress as τ/ρ_0 (and all material models are expected to calculate this stress). When archiving stress, NairnMPM writes Cauchy stress using $\sigma_p=(\rho_0/J)*(\tau/\rho_0)$. Note that when doing energy calculations that $\tau\cdot du$, where du is incremental deformation gradient, is energy per unit initial volume and therefore the energy calculated in code using $(\tau/\rho_0)\cdot du$ is energy per unit mass or $dU/(\rho_0V_0)$.

5 MPM Equations

Making use of the fact that δu_i is arbitrary, the summand of all terms can be equated to arrive at the controlling MPM equation on the background grid of:

$$\sum_{p} S_{ip}^{(n)} \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{p}^{(n)}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n)}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}_{i}^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}$$
(25)

where

$$f_{i}^{(n)} = \sum_{p} \left(-m_{p} \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{p}^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{ip}^{(n)}}{\rho_{0}} + m_{p} S_{ip}^{(n)} \boldsymbol{b}_{p} + \boldsymbol{F}_{p}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right)$$
(26)

$$f_{i,T}^{(n)} = \int_{S_T} N_i(x) T \, dS \tag{27}$$

where superscript (n) has been added to mean terms calculated from the state of all particles at the start of time step n. Commonly the body force, \boldsymbol{b}_p , will be independent of the particle state (e.g., gravity, which is a constant, or a general body force that depends only on nodal position and time and not on particle state). When that holds, it can be removed from the sum. The total internal force then becomes

$$f_{i}^{(n)} = \sum_{p} \left(-m_{p} \frac{\tau_{p}^{(n)} \cdot G_{ip}^{(n)}}{\rho_{0}} + F_{p}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right) + m_{i}^{(n)} b(x_{i}, t)$$
(28)

where $b(x_i, t)$ is body force at the nodal location and

$$m_i^{(n)} = \sum_p m_p S_{ip}^{(n)} \tag{29}$$

is total nodal mass.

Once the forces are found, the momentum can be updated on the grid using

$$p_i^{(n+1)} = p_i^{(n)} + (f_i^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)}) \Delta t$$
(30)

where Δt is the time step and:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n)} = \sum_{p} \mathbf{p}_{p}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \tag{31}$$

5.1 Velocity Approach to Strain and Particle Updates

5.1.1 Incremental Displacement Gradient

For particle stress and strain updates, the algorithm needs to evaluate the spatial velocity gradient, $\nabla v(x,t)$, and use that to find the incremental deformation gradient, dF, defined by

$$dF^{(n)} = \exp(\nabla \nu \Delta t) \tag{32}$$

which assumes $\nabla \nu$ is constant over the time step. The gradient is found by extrapolating spatial velocity on the grid:

$$\nabla \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{(n)} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{ip} \tag{33}$$

and the spatial velocity is found from

$$\mathbf{v}_{i}^{(n)} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n)}}{m_{i}^{(n)}} \tag{34}$$

This velocity gradient is used to update stresses and strains on the particles. It can be done at the beginning of the time step (using $v_i^{(n)}$) or at the end of the time step using the updated grid velocity ($v_i^{(n+1)}$). NairnMPM allows either of the options and adds a third option to update both at the beginning and at the end. This later method (which is default method called USAVG) is analogous to a midpoint rule integration. Although it is less efficient (due to two updates), it may provide improved convergence as a function of time step size.

5.1.2 Particle Updates with Damping

In each time step, the Eulerian update on the grid is used to update the Lagrangian velocities and positions on the particles, and it is useful to include damping options in both the grid and particle updates. Two global damping strategies are to add damping terms proportional to either the grid velocity or the particle velocity. In most cases, these two approaches to damping should have similar results. By including them both, however, it is possible to propose several different types of damping schemes. The fact that MPM has two velocities (grid and particle velocity), is the reason MPM has some interesting damping options.

If damping is based on grid velocity, then the nodal momentum update changes to a damped acceleration or:

$$p_i^{*(n+1)} = p_i^{(n)} + m_i^{(n)} (\boldsymbol{a}_i^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t) \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(n)}) \Delta t = p_i^{(n)} + m_i^{(n)} \boldsymbol{a}_i^{*(n)} \Delta t$$
(35)

where "*" indicates a term that is revised to include damping, where $\alpha_g(t)$ is the grid damping constant (with units 1/sec) that applies to all nodes (i.e., independent of x_i), but may evolve in time (such as a kinetic energy thermostat). See below for how to deal with position-dependent damping.

Particle methods have historically tried two methods for updating particle velocity — a FLIP update that increments particle velocity using accelerations extrapolated to the grid and a PIC update that extrapolates velocity directly to the particle. Combining the grid damping above with a second particle damping constant, $\alpha_n(t)$, these two updates become:

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{**(n)} \Delta t$$
 (36)

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{**(n)} \Delta t
\mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{**(n)} \Delta t = \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{*(n+1)} - \alpha_{p}(t) \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
(36)

where $a_{g\to p}^{**(n)}$ is a doubly-damped acceleration extrapolated to the particle (i.e., subscript $g\to p$ means extrapolation from the grid to the particles):

$$a_{g \to p}^{**(n)} = a_{g \to p}^{*(n)} - \alpha_p(t) v_p^{(n)} = a_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t) v_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_p(t) v_p^{(n)}$$
(38)

and the needed extrapolations to the particle are:

$$a_{g \to p}^{*(n)} = \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}, \qquad a_{g \to p}^{(n)} = \sum_{i} \frac{f_{i}^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)}}{m_{i}^{(n)}} S_{ip}^{(n)}, \quad \text{and} \quad v_{g \to p}^{(n)} = \sum_{i} v_{i}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}$$
 (39)

The extrapolated velocities are

$$v_{g \to p}^{*(n+1)} = \sum_{i} \frac{p_{i}^{*(n+1)}}{m_{i}^{(n)}} S_{ip}^{(n)} = v_{g \to p}^{(n+1)} - \alpha_{g}(t) v_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t = v_{g \to p}^{(n)} + a_{g \to p}^{*(n)} \Delta t$$
(40)

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n)}}{m_{i}^{(n)}} + \frac{\mathbf{f}_{i}^{(n)} + \mathbf{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}}{m_{i}^{(n)}} \Delta t \right) S_{ip}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
(41)

Both damping strategies can be done entirely within the particle update. The two methods can be used individually or combined. Because grid and particle velocities should be similar, the total damping constant is $\alpha(t) = \alpha_g(t) + \alpha_p(t)$. In the absence of damping $(\alpha(t) = \alpha_g(t) = 0)$, the FLIP and PIC updates and the simpler form often presented in the literature:

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
 (42)

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)}$$
 (43)

The results above extend these updates for two types of damping (grid or particle damping).

Next, the MPM position update with damping is found by integrating the extrapolated velocity over the time step. This integration is done on the grid and therefore should use the PIC form of velocity (*i.e.*, the grid velocity). Integrating using the midpoint rule:

$$\mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n)} + \int_{0}^{\Delta t} \mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)}(\Delta t = t) dt \approx \mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)} \right) \Delta t \tag{44}$$

$$= x_p^{(n)} + v_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} a_{g \to p}^{**(n)} (\Delta t)^2$$
 (45)

This update along with the FLIP velocity update (which is usually recommended due to its conservation of momentum properties) can be written using terms convenient for coding (i.e., $v_{g\to p}^{*(n+1)}$ and $a_{g\to p}^{*(n)}$) as:

$$\mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{*(n+1)} \Delta t - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{*(n)} + \alpha_{p}(t) \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}) (\Delta t)^{2}$$
(46)

$$\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \left(\mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{*(n)} - \alpha_{p}(t)\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}\right) \Delta t$$
 (47)

These are the updates always used by NairnMPM.

It is interesting to redefine the grid and particle damping terms using:

$$\alpha_g(t) \to -\frac{1-\beta}{\Delta t} + \alpha'_g \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_p(t) \to \frac{1-\beta}{\Delta t} + \alpha'_p$$
 (48)

The time dependence of primed damping terms have been dropped, but they can all still depend on time. By unraveling all effective terms, the net updates become

$$\mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)} \Delta t - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} + \alpha_{PIC}(\beta) (\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)}) + \alpha_{g}' \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} + \alpha_{p}' \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}) (\Delta t)^{2}$$
(49)

$$\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \left(\mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_{PIC}(\beta) \left(\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)}\right) - \alpha_{g}' \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_{p}' \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}\right) \Delta t$$
 (50)

where the new "PIC Damping" term is

$$\alpha_{PIC}(\beta) = \frac{1 - \beta}{\Delta t} \tag{51}$$

It is called PIC damping, because in the absence of other damping terms ($\alpha_g' = \alpha_p' = 0$), the still-damped position and velocity updates become:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \boldsymbol{x}_{p}^{(n)} + \left(\boldsymbol{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)} - \frac{1 - \beta}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{v}_{p}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)}\right)\right) \Delta t - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} (\Delta t)^{2}$$
 (52)

$$\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} - (1 - \beta) \left(\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} \right) + \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
 (53)

If $\beta=1$, the update is an undamped FLIP update. If $\beta=0$, the update is an undamped, PIC update. Thus β can be interpreted as the fraction FLIP in the velocity update. But the above analysis was formally all a FLIP analysis. A better interpretation is that β adds an new form of artificial damping that is proportional to the inversion error between the particle velocity and the grid velocity extrapolated to the particle $(v_p^{(n)}-v_{g\to p}^{(n)})$. The net damping effect will be to damp out this error.

5.1.3 Some Implementation Details

There are two approaches to coding updates with damping. First, it can be done entirely within the particle update task. The input to this task from the nodes will be $p_i^{(n+1)}$ (which will have replaced $p_i^{(n)}$

in the momentum update), $f_i^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)}$, and $m_i^{(n)}$. We can define two new effective terms

$$a_{g \to p}^{(n)'} = a_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_{PIC}(\beta) (v_p^{(n)} - v_{g \to p}^{(n)}) - \alpha_g' v_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_p' v_p^{(n)}$$
(54)

$$= a_{g\rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t) \mathbf{v}_{g\rightarrow p}^{(n)} - \alpha_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p^{(n)}$$
(55)

$$\mathbf{v}_{g\to p}^{(n+1)'} = \mathbf{v}_{g\to p}^{(n+1)} - \left(\alpha_{PIC}(\beta) \left(\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} - \mathbf{v}_{g\to p}^{(n)}\right) + \alpha_{g}' \mathbf{v}_{g\to p}^{(n)} + \alpha_{p}' \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}\right) \Delta t$$
 (56)

$$= \boldsymbol{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)} - \left(\alpha_g(t)\boldsymbol{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} + \alpha_p(t)\boldsymbol{v}_p^{(n)}\right) \Delta t \tag{57}$$

where $v_{g \to p}^{(n)}$ is found from available input properties using

$$\mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)} - \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t \tag{58}$$

The final updates become

$$\mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)'} \Delta t - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)'} (\Delta t)^{2}$$
 (59)

$$\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)'} \Delta t$$
 (60)

which are standard position and velocity updates to second order in position. Notice that it was important to keep the second order term on the position update in order to end up with consistent definitions for extrapolated velocity and acceleration.

In NairnMPM, the grid damping is added in the update particles task, but the particle damping is not added until calling the material point class. Separating them out gives

$$\mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n)} + (\mathbf{v}_{*} - \alpha_{p}(t)\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}\Delta t)\Delta t - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{*} - \alpha_{p}(t)\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)})(\Delta t)^{2}$$
(61)

$$= \mathbf{x}_{p}^{(n)} + \Delta t \left(\mathbf{v}_{*} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left(\mathbf{a}_{*} + \alpha_{p}(t) \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} \right) \right)$$
 (62)

$$\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + (\mathbf{a}_{*} - \alpha_{p}(t)\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)})\Delta t = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}(1 - \alpha_{p}(t)\Delta t) + \mathbf{a}_{*}\Delta t$$
 (63)

where

$$\mathbf{v}_{*} = \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n+1)} - \alpha_{g}(t) \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
 (64)

$$a_* = a_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_g(t) v_{g \to p}^{(n)}$$
 (65)

The position update must be called first, because it needs $v_p^{(n)}$, which is changed in the velocity update.

5.1.4 Applying Damping to Force Calculations

When all damping is done in the particle updates (as is possible from above equations), it is possible that damping will affect other sections of the code that use nodal momentum or force, such as contact, differently. To avoid these effects (if they matter), a second approach would be to apply the grid damping to the force calculation. But, when PIC damping is used, this could cause very unrealistic forces (because that term can be large when Δt is small). A potential solution is to apply only α_g' to force calculations and then apply PIC damping during the particle updates. At the time of the particle update, the nodal

input values would be

$$f_i^{**(n)} = f_i^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)} - \alpha_g' p_i^{(n)}$$
(66)

$$p_i^{**(n+1)} = p_i^{(n)} + m_i^{(n)} a_i^{**(n)} \Delta t$$
 (67)

$$a_i^{**(n)} = \frac{f_i^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)}}{m_i^{(n)}} - \alpha_g' v_i^{(n)}$$
(68)

The effective terms become

$$a_{g \to p}^{(n)'} = a_{g \to p}^{**(n)} - \alpha_{PIC}(\beta) (v_p^{(n)} - v_{g \to p}^{(n)}) - \alpha_p' v_p^{(n)}$$
(69)

$$v_{g \to p}^{(n+1)'} = v_{g \to p}^{**(n+1)} - \left(\alpha_{PIC}(\beta) \left(v_p^{(n)} - v_{g \to p}^{(n)}\right) + \alpha_p' v_p^{(n)}\right) \Delta t$$
 (70)

where $v_{g\to p}^{(n)}$ is found from available input properties using

$$\mathbf{v}_{g\to p}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v}_{g\to p}^{**(n+1)} - \mathbf{a}_{g\to p}^{**(n)} \Delta t \tag{71}$$

The final updates are the same as above except when coding

$$\mathbf{v}_{*} = \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{**(n+1)} + \alpha_{PIC} \mathbf{v}_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
 (72)

$$v_* = v_{g \to p}^{**(n+1)} + \alpha_{PIC} v_{g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t
 a_* = a_{g \to p}^{**(n)} + \alpha_{PIC} v_{g \to p}^{(n)}$$
(72)

Position Dependent Damping Strategies

Potentially it might be useful to damp certain regions differently than other regions. For example, damping could be used in a crack plane to absorb released energy. This section considers changes when the damping terms depend on position. For damping based on grid velocity, the nodal momentum update changes to:

$$p_{i}^{*(n+1)} = p_{i}^{(n)} + \left(a_{i}^{*(n)} - \alpha_{g}(t, \mathbf{x}_{i}) v_{i}^{(n)} \right) \Delta t = p_{i}^{(n)} + a_{i}^{*(n)} \Delta t$$
 (74)

where "*" indicates a term that is revised to including position-dependent damping term where x_i is the nodal position. The particle velocity update would be:

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \left(\mathbf{a}_{g \to p}^{(n)} - \left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{g}(t, \mathbf{x}_{i}) \mathbf{v}_{i}^{(n)} S_{ip}\right) - \alpha_{p}(t, \mathbf{x}_{p}) \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}\right) \Delta t$$
 (75)

The summation terms causes some problems. First, it is inefficient because it needs another extrapolation and likely repeated calculation of $\alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_i)$. Second, it loses connection to simple velocity terms. The situation can be improved by replacing $\alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_i)$ with $\alpha_g(t, \mathbf{x}_p)$, which is constant for the sum and can be removed from the sum to give

$$\mathbf{v}_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)} + \left(\mathbf{a}_{g\to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_{g}(t, \mathbf{x}_{p})\mathbf{v}_{g\to p}^{(n)} - \alpha_{p}(t, \mathbf{x}_{p})\mathbf{v}_{p}^{(n)}\right) \Delta t \tag{76}$$

The remainder of the update analysis can be followed as above. The only difference in code is that $\alpha_{\sigma}(t, \mathbf{x}_{n})$ and $\alpha_{n}(t, \mathbf{x}_{n})$ need to be calculated for each particle in the update loop while for time dependence only, they only need to be calculated once in the entire particle update task. In this approach, the damping could be implemented as a particle property rather then as a function of Eulerian grid coordinates.

5.2 GIMP Derivation Comments

The nodal force f_i is sometimes interpreted as a sum of internal force, body force, and external force (for the three terms, respectively), but there is no need to separate them during calculations; they all add to the nodal force. The force $f_{i,T}$ is a force due to tractions and needs extra work to integrate the tractions over traction-loaded surfaces in the extent of the grid shape function for node i.

Note that Eq. (25) is diagonal in the nodal system. Thus unlike other derivations of MPM, which get to here with a non-diagonal mass matrix, there is no need to invoke lumping of that mass matrix to make the problem tractable. The GIMP derivation naturally results in a lumped mass matrix.

Within this generalized framework, the various style of MPM are characterized by how they calculate S_{ip} and G_{ip} . Given particle functions, $\chi_p(x)$, the above equations give an "exact" result that is sometimes called "finite GIMP." In general, exact integration of arbitrary particle domains is difficult and is therefore not done. Instead various schemes have developed to approximate the integrals. The NairnMPM wiki page as one approach to classify the MPM family tree of methods.

6 Velocity Boundary Conditions on the Grid

After extrapolating to the grid, each node will have $\boldsymbol{p}_i^{(n)} = m_i^{(n)} \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{f}_i^{(n)}$, and $\boldsymbol{f}_{i,T}^{(n)}$. Consider a node with a prescribed velocity in a direction \hat{n} of $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(BC)}$ (a scalar). Let \hat{t} be vector tangential to the \hat{n} direction. The updated momentum we want becomes

$$\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n+1)} = m_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}^{(BC)} \hat{n} + \left(\left(\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n)} + (f_{i}^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)}) \Delta t \right) \cdot \hat{t} \right) \hat{t}$$
 (77)

$$= \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{(n)} + \left(\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{(n,tot)} + \boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{(BC)}\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\Delta t \tag{78}$$

where $f_i^{(n,tot)} = f_i^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)}$ and $f_i^{(BC)}$ is scalar force caused by boundary condition applied in the \hat{n} direction. The normal component gives:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n+1)} \cdot \hat{n} = m_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}^{(BC)} = \mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n} + (\mathbf{f}_{i}^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n}) \Delta t + f_{i}^{(BC)} \Delta t$$
 (79)

which is solved for

$$f_i^{(BC)} = \frac{m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t} - f_i^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n}$$
 (80)

Thus, a grid boundary condition can be done by adding $f_i^{(BC)}\hat{n}$ to each node having prescribed velocity $v_i^{(BC)}$ in the \hat{n} direction.

The current algorithm for velocity boundary conditions in OSParticulas is as follows:

- 1. In first extrapolation to the grid, find $p_i^{(n)}$ and $m_i^{(n)}$.
- 2. After momentum extrapolation and imposing contact laws, save copy of $p_i^{(n)}$ and replace it by

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{(n)'} = \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{(n)} + (m_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}^{(BC)} - \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n}$$
(81)

which is like applying following force in the \hat{n} direction:

$$f_i^{(BC)'} = \frac{m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} - p_i^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t}$$
 (82)

but this force is never evaluated or used.

- 3. Use adjusted grid momentum to extrapolate velocity gradient to the particle when updating stresses and strains before the momentum update (which is done for USAVG± and USF).
- 4. After next task that extrapolates forces to the grid, revisit all nodes with boundary conditions, reset nodal momentum to saved $p_i^{(n)}$, and add $f_i^{(BC)}\hat{n}$ to nodal force, which results in

$$f_{i}^{(n)'} = f_{i}^{(n,tot)} - (f_{i}^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} + \frac{m_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}^{(BC)} - p_{i}^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t} \hat{n}$$
(83)

To support superposition of velocity conditions on the same node (but in the same direction \hat{n}), the boundary condition value is replaced by

$$m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} = \sum_k m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC,k)}$$
(84)

where $v_i^{(BC,k)}$ is velocity for boundary condition k. A single node can superpose boundary conditions in different directions only if the directions are orthogonal.

5. Update nodal momenta using the new consistent forces, which will provide the desired result in direction \hat{n} of

$$\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n+1)} \cdot \hat{n} = m_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}^{(BC)} = \sum_{k} m_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}^{(BC,k)}$$
(85)

The nodal $f_i^{(n)'}$ are next used (along with other terms) to update particle positions and velocities.

6. If using USAVG+ or USL+, the code does a second extrapolation to the grid to find $p_i^{(n+1)'}$. These extrapolated momenta are replaced with new momenta:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n+1)''} = \mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n+1)'} + (m_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_{i}^{(n+1)'} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n}$$
(86)

and used to update particle stresses and strains. This momentum change is like applying following force in the \hat{n} direction:

$$f_i^{(BC'')} = \frac{m_i^{(n)} v_i^{(BC)} - \mathbf{p}_i^{(n+1)'} \cdot \hat{n}}{\Delta t}$$
 (87)

but this force is never evaluated or used.

We can contrast prescribed velocity to contact between material a and rigid material b where \hat{n} is the contacting normal (determined by various methods). The two options are very similar, but contact with a rigid material adds option to have normals determined by geometry of a collection of rigid particles (while velocity BCs have to preset the normal) and support for other contact laws (while velocity BCs are frictionless only, although maybe friction could be added). Another difference is that contact with rigid particles occurs on all nodes with non-zero GIMP shape functions while grid BCs created by rigid particles are only on nodes for the element containing that particle. The code tasks associated with rigid contact implementation are:

- 1. The first multimaterial mode extrapolation to the grid finds $v_{i,a}^{(n)}$, $m_{i,a}^{(n)}$, and $v_{i,a}^{(n)}$
- 2. After momentum extrapolation (and before velocity boundary conditions), the nodes determined to be in contact and sliding due to frictional contact (*i.e.*, not sticking) will replace momenta for material *a* with

$$p_{i,a}^{(n)'} = p_{i,a}^{(n)} + \Delta p_{i,a}^{(1)'}$$
(88)

$$= p_{i,a}^{(n)} + \Delta p_{i,a}$$

$$= p_{i,a}^{(n)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - p_{i,a}^{(n)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t})$$
(89)

where $\Delta p_{i,a}^{(1)'}$ is momentum change due to contact law (as defined in my papers). The second form is specific for Coulomb friction contact with a rigid material and with μ as the coefficient of friction. For frictionless contact, this is the same change as a nodal velocity boundary condition of $v_i^{(BC)} = v_{i,b}^{(n)} \cdot \hat{n}$ applied in the \hat{n} direction.

3. After extrapolating forces and updating the revised momenta, revisit nodes that had boundary conditions and subtract $\Delta p_{i,a}^{(1)'}$ to restore nodal momentum to values uncorrected for contact (note that this feature was added in OSParticulas, revision 1179; old method of not restoring momentum could show problems in particles near contact mostly when using FLIP methods and mostly small effects). The next step updates momenta, which will be:

$$\mathbf{p}_{i\,a}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{p}_{i\,a}^{(n)} + f_{i}^{(n,tot)} \Delta t \tag{90}$$

This new momentum will likely violate contact laws and thus another momentum change is needed:

$$p_{i,a}^{(n+1)'} = p_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + \Delta p_{i,a}^{(2)'}$$

$$= p_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} v_{i,b}^{(n)} - p_{i,a}^{(n+1)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t})$$
(91)

$$= p_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} v_{i,b}^{(n)} - p_{i,a}^{(n+1)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t})$$
(92)

The final update based on the initial extrapolated momentum becomes:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{i,a}^{(n+1)'} = \boldsymbol{p}_{i,a}^{(n)} + \left((m_{i,a}^{(n)} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{p}_{i,a}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{(n,tot)} \Delta t) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} - \mu \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}) + \boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{(n,tot)} \Delta t$$
(93)

The total contact force from initial momentum to final updated momentum is

$$f_{i}^{(contact)} = \left(\frac{(m_{i,a}^{(n)} \mathbf{v}_{i,b}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(n)}) \cdot n}{\Delta t} - f_{i}^{(n,tot)} \cdot \hat{n}\right) (\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t}) = \frac{\Delta \mathbf{p}_{i,a}^{(2)'}}{\Delta t}$$
(94)

The force is analogous to the $f_i^{(BC)}$.

4. If using USAVG+ or USL+, the code does a second extrapolation to the grid to find $p_i^{(n+1)''}$. These extrapolated momenta are replaced with new momenta:

$$p_{i,a}^{(n+1)'} = p_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + \Delta p_{i,a}^{(3)'}$$

$$= p_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} v_{i,b}^{(n)} - p_{i,a}^{(n+1)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t})$$
(95)
$$(96)$$

$$= p_{i,a}^{(n+1)} + ((m_{i,a}^{(n)} v_{i,b}^{(n)} - p_{i,a}^{(n+1)}) \cdot \hat{n})(\hat{n} - \mu \hat{t})$$
(96)

and used to update particle stresses and strains.

One Dimensional GIMP

Sometimes it is useful to look at 1D calculations to gain insights into MPM. It could be derived from above result, but here derive starting with virtual power in 1D:

$$A \int_{L} \rho \, b \, \delta u \, dx + T A \delta u \big|_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} + \sum_{p} F_{p} \, \delta u = A \int_{L} \rho \, a \, \delta u \, dx + A \int_{L} \sigma \frac{d \, \delta u}{dx} \, dx \tag{97}$$

where A is assumed cross-sectional area of the 1D grid. Inserting particle and grid expansions (as above) and making use of the fact that δu is arbitrary, the summand of all terms can be equated to arrive at the controlling MPM equation on the background grid of:

$$\sum_{p} S_{ip}^{(n)} \frac{dp_{p}^{(n)}}{dt} = \frac{dp_{i}^{(n)}}{dt} = f_{i}^{(n)} + f_{i,T}^{(n)}$$
(98)

where

$$p_i^{(n)} = \sum_p M_p \nu_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}$$
(99)

$$m_i^{(n)} = \sum_p M_p S_{ip}^{(n)} \tag{100}$$

$$f_i^{(n)} = \sum_p \left(-m_p \frac{\tau_p^{(n)} G_{ip}^{(n)}}{\rho_0} + m_p S_{ip}^{(n)} b_p + F_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right)$$
 (101)

$$f_{i,T}^{(n)} = AN_i(\mathbf{x})T(x_{face})$$
 where x_{face} in cell next to node i (102)

8 Axisymmetric GIMP

In axisymmetric GIMP, the virtual work integrals are converted to cylindrical integrals:

$$\int_{A} \rho \, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, r dA + \int_{L_{T}} \boldsymbol{T} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, r dL + \sum_{p} \boldsymbol{F}_{p} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} = \int_{A} \rho \, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, r dA + \int_{A} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \delta \boldsymbol{u} \, r dA$$
 (103)

where A is the area of integration in the r-z plane, dA = dr dz, L_T is the path for surfaces having traction loads, and T and F_p have been redefined to be traction and force per radian. The result after expansion in the particle basis changes to:

$$\sum_{p} \int_{A} \frac{m_{p}}{A_{p} \langle r_{p} \rangle} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_{p} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} \, r dA + \int_{L_{T}} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} \, dL + \sum_{p} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{p}}{A_{p} \langle r_{p} \rangle} \int_{A} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} \, r dA \qquad (104)$$

$$= \sum_{p} \int_{A} \frac{\dot{p}_{p}}{A_{p} \langle r_{p} \rangle} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} \, r dA + \sum_{p} \int_{A} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{u} \, r dA$$
 (105)

where A_p is the particle area in the r-z plane, $\langle r_p \rangle$ is the average radial position of the particle, and particle mass has been redefined to be the mass per radian or $m_p = \rho_p A_p \langle r_p \rangle$. The particle basis functions have the new normalization of

$$A_p \langle r_p \rangle = \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) r dA$$
 where $\langle r_p \rangle = \frac{1}{A_p} \int_{A_p} r dA$ (106)

The first four results above after expansion in the grid-based shape functions are identical except that definition of S_{ip} changes to

$$S_{ip} = \frac{1}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) \, r \, dA \tag{107}$$

The stress term needs some extra work by evaluating $\nabla \delta u$ in cylindrical coordinates, which is:

$$\nabla \delta \boldsymbol{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \delta u_r}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial \delta u_r}{\partial z} & 0\\ \frac{\partial \delta u_z}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial \delta u_z}{\partial z} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\delta u_r}{r} \end{pmatrix}$$
(108)

The stress term evaluates to

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{p} \int_{A} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p} \chi_{p}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \nabla N_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) r dA = \sum_{i} \sum_{p} A_{p} \langle r_{p} \rangle \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{rr} & \sigma_{rz} \\ \sigma_{rz} & \sigma_{zz} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{G}_{ip} \cdot \left(\delta u_{i,z}, \delta u_{i,z} \right) \\
+ \sum_{i} \sum_{p} A_{p} \langle r_{p} \rangle \left(\sigma_{\theta\theta}, 0 \right) T_{ip} \cdot \left(\delta u_{i,z}, \delta u_{i,z} \right) \tag{109}$$

where G_{ip} is redefined and T_{ip} is a new shape function:

$$G_{ip} = \frac{1}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \nabla N_i(\mathbf{x}) r dA$$
 (110)

$$T_{ip} = \frac{1}{A_p \langle r_p \rangle} \int_A \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) N_i(\mathbf{x}) dA$$
 (111)

(112)

For the particle stress term, we can revise to use particle mass as follows:

$$A_p \langle r_p \rangle \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p = m_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p}{\rho_p} = m_p \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p}{\rho_p} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{J \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p}{\rho_0} = m_p \frac{\tau}{\rho_0}$$
(113)

Making use of the fact that δu_i is arbitrary, the summands of all terms can be equated to arrive at the controlling MPM equation on the background grid in axisymmetric calculations:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}_i}{dt} = \mathbf{f}_i + \mathbf{f}_{i,T} \tag{114}$$

where

$$f_{i} = \sum_{p} \left(-\frac{m_{p}}{\rho_{0}} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{rr} & \tau_{rz} \\ \tau_{rz} & \tau_{zz} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{ip} - \frac{m_{p}}{\rho_{0}} (\tau_{\theta\theta}, 0) T_{ip} + m_{p} S_{ip} \boldsymbol{b}_{p} + S_{ip} \boldsymbol{F}_{p} \right)$$
(115)

$$f_{i,T} = \int_{S_T} N_i(x) T \, dS \tag{116}$$

This final result is very similar to MPM in Cartesian coordinates except it uses revised shape functions, m_p , F_p , and T are redefined to be quantities per radian, and there is an extra stress term in f_i .

9 GIMP Analysis for Transport

A generalized transport equation to be solved on the grid (which includes things like conduction, diffusion, pore pressure, *etc.*) is

$$k\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + q_s(\mathbf{x}) \tag{117}$$

where T is any transport properties (*e.g.*, temperature, concentration, pore pressure), k is constant that may depend extrapolated particle states, $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla T$ is an associated flux with units L-(units of k)-(units of T)/sec, and $q_s(\mathbf{x})$ is a source term with units (units of k)-(units of t)/sec. Here t is a "diffusion" tensor with units of t2-(units of t3)/sec. Solving this equation in the MPM weak form gives

$$\int_{V} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - q_{s}(\mathbf{x}) \right) w(\mathbf{x}) \, dV = 0 \tag{118}$$

where w(x) is an arbitrary weighting function. Using the vector identity:

$$(\nabla \cdot q) w(x) = \nabla \cdot (w(x)q) - (\nabla w(x)) \cdot q \tag{119}$$

and the divergence theorem, the weak form equation becomes:

$$\int_{V} \left(kw(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla w(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{q} - q_{s}(\mathbf{x}) w(\mathbf{x}) \right) dV + \int_{\delta V} (w(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}) \cdot \hat{n} \, dS = 0$$
 (120)

where δV is the border of V and \hat{n} is a surface normal vector.

As in GIMP for the momentum equation, we expand the transport quantities in the particle basis to get:

$$k\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \sum_{p} k_{p} \frac{\partial T_{p}}{\partial t} \chi_{p}(x)$$
 (121)

$$q_s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_p q_{s,p} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}) \tag{122}$$

$$q = \sum_{p} q_{p} \chi_{p}(x) \tag{123}$$

where subscript p denotes a particle property and $\chi_p(x)$ is the particle basis function for particle p (which is typically 1 within the deformed particle domain and zero elsewhere). Next expand weight function and its gradient in the grid shape functions:

$$w(x) = \sum_{i} w_i N_i(x)$$
 and $\nabla w(x) = \sum_{i} w_i \nabla N_i(x)$ (124)

After substituting all expansions, the weak form equation becomes

$$-\sum_{i} \int_{\delta V} (w_{i} N_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}) \cdot \hat{n} \, dS = \int_{V} \left\{ -\sum_{i} \sum_{p} \left[\left(w_{i} \nabla N_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{p} \chi_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \right\}$$
(125)

$$-\sum_{i}\sum_{p}q_{s,p}\chi_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})w_{i}N_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i}\sum_{p}k_{p}\chi_{p}(\boldsymbol{x})w_{i}N_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})\frac{\partial T_{p}}{\partial t} dV$$
 (126)

Using Eqs. (21) and (23) for GIMP shape functions and arbitrary nature of w(x), this equation transforms to an equation for each node:

$$\sum_{p} k_{p} V_{p} \frac{\partial T_{p}}{\partial t} S_{ip} = \sum_{p} V_{p} \boldsymbol{q}_{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{ip} + \sum_{p} V_{p} q_{s,p} S_{ip} - \int_{\delta V} (N_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \hat{n} \, dS$$
 (127)

This equation works for large deformation provided V_p is the current particle volume and Eqs. (21) and (23) use finite GIMP methods. If Eqs. (21) and (23) are replaced by uniform GIMP (or CPDI), it still approximately accounts for large deformation by using current particle volume (V_p) in the sums.

9.1 MPM Equation

Defining $m_{Tp}^{(n)} = k_p V_p$ as a transport "mass" on the particle in step n (with units L^3 -(units of k_p)), a transport momentum on the node can be defined as

$$p_{Ti}^{(n)} = \sum_{p} m_{Tp}^{(n)} T_p^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}$$
(128)

The units for transport momentum are L^3 -(units of k_p)-(units of T). In a momentum analog, transport property is replaced by velocity, transport mass is replaced by actual mass, and the sum gives momentum. A transport momentum equation, as scalar analog of the momentum equation, can be written as

$$\frac{dp_{Ti}^{(n)}}{dt} = Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)}$$
(129)

where

$$Q_{i}^{(n)} = \sum_{p} V_{p}^{(n)} \left(\boldsymbol{q}_{p}^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{ip}^{(n)} + q_{s,p}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right) = \sum_{p} m_{p} \left(\frac{J \boldsymbol{q}_{p}^{(n)}}{\rho_{0}} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{ip}^{(n)} + \bar{q}_{s,p}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \right)$$
(130)

$$Q_{i,q}^{(n)} = -\int_{\delta V} (N_i(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{q}^{(n)}) \cdot \hat{n} \, dS \tag{131}$$

are total transport flows (or transport forces) with units of L^3 -(units of k_p)-(units of T)/sec or Pwr for transport power. The first flow is internal flow while the second is flow at the boundaries due to flux boundary conditions. The ${\bf q}_p^{(n)}$ term is flux with units Pwr/L² and $\bar{q}_{s,p}^{(n)} = Jq_{s,p}^{(n)}/\rho_0$ is particle source per unit mass with units Pwr/mass. The particle flux is analogous to particle stress in the momentum equation, but rather then track and update ${\bf q}_p^{(n)}$ on the particle (as done for stress), it is calculated on each time step using

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{p}^{(n)}}{\rho_{0}} = -\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{p}^{(n)}}{\rho_{0}} \nabla T_{p}^{(n)} = -\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{p}^{(n)}}{\rho_{0}} \sum_{i} \mathsf{T}_{i}^{(n)} \boldsymbol{G}_{ip}^{(n)}$$
(132)

where nodal transport property is defined by

$$T_i^{(n)} = \frac{p_{Ti}^{(n)}}{m_{Ti}^{(n)}}$$
 where $m_{Ti}^{(n)} = \sum_p m_{Tp}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}$ (133)

9.2 Momentum and Particle Updates

The transport momentum update on the node is

$$p_{T_i}^{(n+1)} = p_{T_i}^{(n)} + \left(Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)}\right) \Delta t = p_{T_i}^{(n)} + m_{T_i}^{(n)} a_{T_i}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
(134)

where the nodal transport acceleration is

$$a_{Ti}^{(n)} = \frac{p_{Ti}^{(n+1)} - p_{Ti}^{(n)}}{m_{Ti}^{(n)} \Delta t} = \frac{Q_i^{(n)} + Q_{i,q}^{(n)}}{m_{Ti}^{(n)}}$$
(135)

As done for velocity, we can write two updates for the particles — a FLIP update that increments particle transport property using thermal accelerations extrapolated to the grid and a PIC update that extrapolates

transport property directly to the particle:

$$T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + a_{T,g\to p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$

$$T_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)} = T_{g\to p}^{(n+1)}$$
(136)

$$T_{p,PIC}^{(n+1)} = T_{g\to p}^{(n+1)} (137)$$

where

$$a_{T,g\to p}^{(n)} = \sum_{i} a_{Ti}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)}$$
(138)

$$T_{g\to p}^{(n+1)} = \sum_{i} \left(T_i^{(n)} + a_{Ti}^{(n)} \Delta t \right) S_{ip}^{(n)} = T_{g\to p}^{(n)} + a_{T,g\to p}^{(n)} \Delta t$$
 (139)

$$T_{g \to p}^{(n)} = \sum_{i} T_{i}^{(n)} S_{ip}^{(n)} \tag{140}$$

Unlike like particle velocity and position update, the addition of PIC character to transport property updates leads to transport "diffusion", even if the "diffusion" tensor of the material is set to zero. Thus, the particle transport property update is always done using FLIP. Like particle stresses, particle transport property can develop variations within a cell. Because of these variations, particle transport does not give the best measure of the local transport property when implementing features such as particle properties that depend on transport property. To implement transport-property-dependent features on time step n, it is better to assume the transport property at the particle is equal to $T_{g\to p}^{(n)}$. In other words, the particle transport property evolves as described above, but whenever some other feature of the code needs to know the transport property at the particle, it should use the grid-based transport property and not the evolving particle transport property.

Material Constitutive Law Coupling

Some transport properties may be associated with material model that changes the transport value on a particle. We define an "adiabatic" transport term as $dT_{p,0}/dt$ as property change rate for conditions with no transport (i.e., when no flux). Two options for inputting this coupling term are to treat is as a transport source term that is extrapolated to the grid in the $\bar{q}_{s,p}^{(n)}$ term or to treat as transport change in the particle update. The source term method would be done when extrapolating transport forces to the grid, but typically $dT_{p,0}/dt$ is calculated in strain updates and not available during force extrapolation. The second approach is in the particle update where transport property update becomes:

$$T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + a_{T,g\to p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \frac{dT_{p,0}}{dt} \Delta t$$
 (141)

A third option could be to extrapolate constitutive law calculations to the grid, but that approach is less efficient and likely less accurate (due to artificial diffusion to the grid).

One issue is that constitutive law calculations might be done before, after, and both before and after the one particle update. To account for all these options, the $dT_{p,0}/dt$ has to be buffered. Let $dT_{p,0,1}^{(n)}/dt$ and $dT_{p,0,2}^{(n)}/dt$ be adiabatic transport term found in strain update before and after the particle update,

Qu	Quantity Name		SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
k =	$= \rho C_{\nu}$	density×heat capacity	J/(K-m ³)	nJ/(K-mm ³)
κ conductivity		J/(m-K-sec)	nJ/(mm-K-sec)	
$\parallel q$	$q_s({m x})$ heat sources		J/(m³-sec)	nJ/(mm ³ -sec)
$m_{Tp}^{(n)}$	$m_{Tp}^{(n)} = m_p C_v$ thermal mass		J/K	nJ/K
$p_{Ti}^{(n)}$ thermal momentum		thermal momentum	J	nJ
$Q_i^{(n)}$	$Q_i^{(n)}$ and $Q_{i,g}^{(n)}$ heat flows		J/sec or W	nJ/sec or nW
	$q_n^{(n)}$ heat flux		J/(m ² -sec)	nJ/(mm ² -sec)

Table 1: Transport terms for modeling heat conduction

then the final particle update for three different update methods become:

heat source

USF
$$T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + a_{T,g \to p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \frac{dT_{p,0,1}^{(n)}}{dt} \Delta t$$
 (142)

USL
$$T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + a_{T,g\to p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \frac{dT_{p,0,2}^{(n-1)}}{dt} \Delta t$$
 (143)

USAVG
$$T_{p,FLIP}^{(n+1)} = T_p^{(n)} + a_{T,g\to p}^{(n)} \Delta t + \left(\frac{dT_{p,0,2}^{(n-1)}}{dt} + \frac{dT_{p,0,1}^{(n)}}{dt}\right) \Delta t$$
(144)

9.4 Transport Time Step

For explicit integration of transport equation, the time step must be

$$\Delta t \le \left(\frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)^2 \frac{k}{\max(\kappa)} \tag{146}$$

where Δx is minimum cell dimension and $\max(\kappa)$ is maximum value from the "diffusion" tensor.

9.5 GIMP Analysis for Conduction

When modeling conduction, the transport property is T or temperature (units of K or Kelvin) and the other properties are in Table 1. The transport equation is:

$$\rho C_{\nu} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + q_{s}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{147}$$

where $q = -\kappa \nabla T$ and C_{ν} is heat capacity per unit mass with SI units J/(kg-K). Table 1 has the full translations for conduction analysis.

Two extra options are used when modeling condition. First is to model crack tip heating, which is done by adding $Q_{i,c}^{(n)}$ to the heat flows to represent heat from crack tip heating. Because that heating is

Table 2: Transport terms for modeling diffusion

Quantity	Name	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
k = 1	none	none	none
$\kappa = D$	diffusion tensor	m ² /sec	mm ² /sec
$q_s(x)$	solvent sources	1/sec	1/sec
$m_{Tp}^{(n)} = V_p$	solvent mass	m^3	mm ³
$p_{Ti}^{(n)}$			mm ³
$Q_i^{(n)}$ and $Q_{i,g}^{(n)}$	solvent flows	m ³ /sec	mm ³ /sec
$q_p^{(n)}$			mm/sec
$ar{q}_{s,p}^{(n)}$ solvent source		m ³ /(kg-sec)	mm ³ /(g-sec)

implemented on massless crack particles, an alternative extrapolation is needed:

$$Q_{i,c}^{(n)} = \sum_{c} V_c^{(n)} q_{s,c}^{(n)} S_{ic}^{(n)*} = \sum_{c} f_H G_c t_c \frac{\Delta a}{n \Delta t} S_{ic}^{(n)*}$$
(148)

where c means crack particle, G_c is the total energy release per unit area, and $t_c\Delta a$ is the total area (with t_c being crack thickness). This energy is released over n steps or total time $n\Delta t$ giving the total heating rate of $V_c^{(n)}q_{s,c}^{(n)}=f_HG_ct_p\Delta a/(n\Delta t)$. The shape function spreads this heat over nearby nodes with partition of unity, but because crack particles may interact with inactive nodes, the shape functions are renormalized to be partition of unity by dividing by their sum over active nodes only (as indicated by the * on the shape function). The parameter f_H is an option to allow only a fraction of the energy to be converted into heat.

The second is adiabatic heating due to compression, which is given be:

$$\frac{dT_{p,0}}{dt} = -\frac{\mathbf{M} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} T}{\rho C_{\mathbf{v}}} \tag{149}$$

or in one time step

$$\frac{dT_{p,0}}{dt}\Delta t = -\frac{\mathsf{M}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}T}{\rho C_{v}}\tag{150}$$

9.6 GIMP Analysis for Diffusion

When modeling diffusion, the transport property is concentration potential μ (where μ is a dimensionless potential approximated as $\mu = c/c_{sat}$; *i.e.* from 0 to 1) and it is dimensionless. The transport equation is:

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + q_s(\mathbf{x}) \tag{151}$$

where $q = -D\nabla \mu$ with D as the diffusion tensor. The translations are in Table 2.

Table 3: Transport terms for modeling pore pressure

Quantity	Name	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
$k = \frac{1}{Q}$	Biot Q	1/Pa	1/Pa
$\kappa = k$	permeability tensor	m ² /(Pa-sec)	mm ² /(Pa-sec)
$q_s(x)$	pressure sources	1/sec	1/sec
$m_{Tp}^{(n)} = rac{V_p}{Q}$ pressure mass $p_{Ti}^{(n)}$ pressure momentum		m ³ /Pa	mm³/Pa
		m^3	mm ³
$Q_i^{(n)}$ and $Q_{i,g}^{(n)}$	and $Q_{i,g}^{(n)}$ pressure flows		mm ³ /sec
$q_p^{(n)}$ pressure flux		m/sec	mm/sec
$ar{q}_{s,p}^{(n)}$ solvent source		m ³ /(kg-sec)	mm ³ /(g-sec)

9.7 GIMP Analysis for Pore Pressure

When modeling pore pressure, the transport property is pore pressure, p, with units of Pa of force per unit area. The transport equation is:

$$\frac{1}{Q}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + q_s(\mathbf{x}) \tag{152}$$

where $q = -k\nabla p$ with k as the permeability tensor (or Darcy's tensor times fluid viscosity). The translations are in Table 3.

Pore pressure couples stresses in pore pressure with an "adiabatic" term. Allowing for anisotropy, the term is

$$\frac{dp_{p,0}}{dt} = -\alpha \cdot \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} \tag{153}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z, 0, 0, 0)$ allows for anisotropic Biot properties. If the material is isotropic, $\alpha_i = \alpha$ and the adiabatic term is:

$$\frac{dp_{p,0}}{dt} = -\alpha \frac{d\varepsilon_{kk}}{dt} \tag{154}$$

where repeated index is summed or in one time step with volume change ΔV :

$$\frac{dp_{p,0}}{dt} = -\alpha \frac{\Delta V}{V} \tag{155}$$

10 Consistent Units

internally, both NairnMPM and OSParticulas use mm-g-sec units system. Despite this internal use, the input of properties are not always based on these units. The two ways to enter properties are using "Legacy Units" or picking and using you own "Consistent Units" system. Currently scripts interpreted by NairnFEAMPMViz myst use Legacy units, but input files created directly in XML can pick a different set by adding the <ConsistentUnits> command. Some MPM units options are given in Tables 4 and 5. The units including "Alt" means their treatment in Legacy units is not consistent. Refer to documentation to see how to input properties that need those units and to see how quantities with those units are output.

Table 4: Consistent units for the momentum equation

Quantity	Legacy	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
Length	mm	m	mm
Mass	g	kg	g
Time	sec	sec	sec
Alt Time	msec	sec	sec
Density	$\frac{g}{\text{cm}^3}$	$\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$	$\frac{g}{\text{mm}^3}$
Velocity	mm sec	m sec	mm sec
Alt Velocity	m sec	m sec	mm sec
Force	N	$N = \frac{kg \cdot m}{sec^2}$	$\mu N = \frac{g \cdot mm}{sec^2}$
Pressure	MPa	$Pa = \frac{N}{m^2}$	$Pa = \frac{\mu N}{mm^2}$
Alt Strain	%	none	none
Energy	J	$J = N \cdot m$	$nJ = \mu N \cdot mm$
ERR	$\frac{J}{m^2}$	$\frac{J}{m^2}$	$\frac{\text{nJ}}{\text{mm}^2}$
Stress Int.	MPa√m	Pa√m	Pa√mm
Viscosity	cР	kg m·sec	g mm·sec

Table 5: Consistent units for conduction and diffusion equation

Quantity	Legacy	SI (m-kg-sec)	mm-g-sec
Heat Capacity	J/(kg-K)	J/(kg-K)	nJ/(g-K)
Conductivity	W/(m-K)	W/(m-K)	nW/(mm-K)
Heat Flux	W/m ²	W/m ²	nW/mm ²
Diffusion	m ² /sec	m ² /sec	mm ² /sec
Solvent Flux	kg/(m ² ·sec)	kg/(m²⋅sec)	g/(mm²·sec)

Table 6: Consistent units for FEA

Units	Length	Force	Stress	Energy
Legacy	mm	N	MPa	J
SI	m	N	Pa	J
mm-g-sec	mm	μ N	Pa	nJ
cm-g-sec	cm	$10^{-5}N = dyne$	$10^{-1} Pa = Ba$	10^{-7} J = erg
mm-g-msec	mm	N	MPa	mJ

11 Consistent Units in Static FEA

In static FEA, the only units needed are for length and force. The legacy units for NairnFEA are close to consistent using length in mm and force in N, which leads to stress and moduli in MPa = N/m^2 and interface parameters in MPa/mm. Boundary conditions are set using mm, N, and MPa for displacements, loads, and tractions, respectively. The only inconsistency is that the output energies re in J while the energy consistent with mm and N should be mJ = N-mm. The consistent units options are in Table 6.