

## UKCM

### Pros and cons of different methods

Hannu Peiponen

IHO UKCM Project Team  
Singapore  
Aug 2016

# Topics

- ◆ Under keel clearance management issues
- ◆ Available technical methods
  - A. Onboard calculation based on ENC charts and tidal tables
  - B. Onboard calculation based on ENC charts and water level measurement
  - C. Onboard use of detailed bathymetric charts
  - D. “Zone method”, Onboard calculation based on ENC and zone charts
  - E. UKCM as proposed by Australia for IHO HSSC-7
- ◆ Conclusions

# Under keel clearance management issues

- ◆ For all vessels or for a named individual vessel
  - Generic method usable by anybody
  - Formulas tailored for individual vessel, but real-time data generic for everybody
  - Everything for a single individual vessel
- ◆ How to control result of the method
  - Who is liable ?
    - ◆ Hydrographic Office as publisher of material
    - ◆ Authority operating tidal gauges, etc.
    - ◆ Master of individual vessel
- ◆ Timeline
  - For current time
  - Prediction of near future (hours)
  - Prediction of any future time (planning days or months ahead)

# A: Onboard calculation based on ENC charts and tidal tables (1)

## ◆ Onboard

- Technically this method would modify depth information of ENC charts based on “external information” **manually entered by the user** or **semi-/fully automatically by manufacturer** provided link to digital versions of the tidal table
- Safety contour of the ECDIS would be adjusted by the “external information”

## ◆ Onshore

- No authorized digital, computer support of the required “external information” for this method
- An ECDIS or ECS manufacturer could create his own solution

## ◆ Liability

- IHO S-52 standard **does not allow** this method to be used in **Type approved ECDIS** required for IMO mandatory carriage for SOLAS vessel
- Obviously also use for non-SOLAS purposes is doubtful

## A: Onboard calculation based on ENC charts and tidal tables (2)

- ◆ Pro
  - Simple to use electronic version of the traditional paper based method
  - Operate also without real-time data transfer
    - ◆ Pre-loaded ENC charts and tidal tables
- ◆ Con
  - Electronic version cause over reliance, while this method is not better than traditional manual methods by the mariners
  - Legal use is doubtful => liability and insurance risk for the owner of the vessel

## B: Onboard calculation based on ENC charts and water level measurement (1)

### ◆ Onboard

- Source material is ENC chart plus water level information for a limited set of points
- Onboard calculation using interpolation combines water level information with ENC

### ◆ Onshore

- Government or government authorized private actor provides real-time measurements (and predictions) of water level for a limited set of points

## B: Onboard calculation based on ENC charts and water level measurement (2)

### ◆ Issues

- Needs a standardized mathematic formula how to interpolate water level changes between the reported points
- Good results require both reported points based on measurements and reported points based on modelling
  - ◆ System needs a shore based service provider
- Service provider is responsible for all calculations and predictions
- Government authority could authorize and supervise the service provider

### ◆ Pro

- Single radio transmission of water level information (real-time and/or predicted) serves all vessel
- Small amount of real-time data transfer

### ◆ Con

- Currently no standardized mathematical formula for onboard use
- Prediction for future planning is not so straight forward as real-time case
  - ◆ Especially planning long before actual sailing is not straight forward

## C: Onboard use of detailed bathymetric charts (1)

- ◆ Used in Portable Pilot Unit (PPU)

- ◆ Onboard

- Receive tailored time series of bathymetric charts
- Use the received chart as provided plus onboard knowledge of draught, squat, etc. vessel specific
  - ◆ Onboard squat, banking effect, etc. calculations could use the provided detailed bathymetric charts

- ◆ Onshore

- Processing executed by a Service provider
  - ◆ Could be government or government authorized private
- Service provider performs all calculations about the amount of water for a time series
- Service provider could have subject matter experts to check results of calculations



## C: Onboard use of detailed bathymetric charts (2)

### ◆ Liability

- The sea bottom information is controlled by the Service provider
- Government authority could authorize and supervise the service provider
- Vessel is responsible to set the “safety contour” based on onboard knowledge

### ◆ Pro

- Applicable to all vessels in the area
- Total control of tidal adjust by responsible government authority

### ◆ Con

- Require large amount of data transferred to the vessels
- Squat part of the UKC remains responsibility of the vessel
- Availability of advance planning

## D: “Zone method”, onboard calculation based on ENC and zone charts (1)

### ◆ On table at IHO TWCWG

- S-112: Develop and maintain a standard for the transmission of real-time tidal data
- S-10X: Develop and maintain a product specification for dynamic application of tides in ECDIS

### ◆ Onboard

- No interpolation onboard, just apply the offset value reported for a zone

### ◆ Onshore

- Processing executed by a Service provider
  - ◆ Could be government or government authorized private
- Service provider performs all calculations
- Service provider could have subject matter experts to check results of calculations

## D: “Zone method”, onboard calculation based on ENC and zone charts (2)

### ◆ Liability

- The complete adjust process is controlled by the service providing zone charts and adjust values
- Service provider is responsible for all calculations and predictions
- Government authority could authorize and supervise the service provider
- Vessel is responsible to set the “safety contour” based on onboard knowledge

### ◆ Pro

- Applicable to all vessels in the area
- ENC and zone charts are stable => no need for large real-time data transfer
- Total control of tidal adjust by responsible government authority

### ◆ Con

- Squat part of the UKC remains responsibility of the vessel
  - ◆ Needs more free water tolerance
- Availability of advance planning

## E: UKCM as proposed by Australia for IHO HSSC-7 (1)

### ◆ Onboard

- Overall planning in advance is available in a generic office computer
- Real-time execution in ECDIS as simple go/no-go areas overlaid on ENC chart

### ◆ Onshore

- Executed by a Service provider
  - ◆ Could be government or government authorized private
- Service provider perform all calculations
- Service provider could have subject matter experts to check results of calculations

## E: UKCM as proposed by Australia for IHO HSSC-7 (2)

### ◆ Liability

- Vessel is responsible only to follow the plan and monitor health of their technical arrangements
- Service provider is responsible for all calculations and predictions
- Government authority could authorize and supervise the service provider

### ◆ Pro

- Simple to use for vessel => just follow the instructions
- Best possible technical result
- Simple go/no-go charts are quite small in data volume
- Availability of advance planning

### ◆ Con

- Individual service => only paying clients benefit

# Conclusions

- ◆ Long time dilemma since previous century
  - Attempts to build generic system applicable for every vessel
  - Without full control of the result the government authorities have not been willing to allow tidal adjustment, dynamic tidal, etc.
- ◆ My personal view
  - **UKCM method** is the **best for ultimate need** to manage largest possible vessels for a given sea-area
  - **Zone method** is the **most generic solution** – digital age replacement of paper-based charts and tidal tables/water level announcements

# Thank you for listening

◆ Questions ?