Model Error

 $\hat{R}_D(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \ell(y, f(x))$ **Empirical Risk Population Risk** $R(f) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y \sim p}[\ell(y, f(x))]$

It holds that $\mathbb{E}_D[\hat{R}_D(\hat{f})] \leq R(\hat{f})$. We call $R(\hat{f})$ **Linear Classifiers** the generalization error.

Bias Variance Tradeoff:

Pred. error =
$$\text{Bias}^2$$
 + Variance + Noise

$$\mathbb{E}_D[R(\hat{f})] = \mathbb{E}_x[f^*(x) - \mathbb{E}_D[\hat{f}_D(x)]]^2 + \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{E}_D[(\hat{f}_D(x) - \mathbb{E}_D[\hat{f}_D(x)])^2]] + \sigma$$

Bias: how close \hat{f} can get to f^*

Variance: how much \hat{f} changes with D

Regression

Squared loss (convex)

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum(y_i - f(x_i))^2 = \frac{1}{n}||y - Xw||_2^2$$

$$\nabla_w L(w) = 2X^{\top}(Xw - y)$$

Solution: $\hat{w} = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}v$

Regularization

Lasso Regression (sparse)

$$\underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} ||y - \Phi w||_2^2 + \lambda ||w||_1$$

Ridge Regression

$$\underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} ||y - \Phi w||_2^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2$$

$$\nabla_{w}L(w) = 2X^{\top}(Xw - y) + 2\lambda w$$

Solution: $\hat{w} = (X^{\top}X + \lambda I)^{-1}X^{\top}y$

large $\lambda \Rightarrow$ larger bias but smaller variance **Cross-Validation**

• For all folds i = 1, ..., k:

- Train \hat{f}_i on $D' D'_i$
- Val. error $R_i = \frac{1}{|D'|} \sum \ell(\hat{f}_i(x), y)$
- Compute CV error $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_i$
- Pick model with lowest CV error

Gradient Descent

Converges only for convex case.

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \eta_t \cdot \nabla \ell(w^t)$$

For linear regression:

$$||w^t - w^*||_2 \le ||I - \eta X^\top X||_{op}^t ||w^0 - w^*||_2$$

$$\rho = ||I - \eta X^\top X||_{op}^t \text{ conv. speed for const. } \eta.$$

$$\text{Opt. fixed } \eta = \frac{2}{\lambda_{\min} + \lambda_{\max}} \text{ and max. } \eta \le \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}}.$$

$$k_1, \forall f \text{ convex. } k = f(k_1), \text{ holowith pos. coefficients or exp for the properties of the properties$$

Momentum: $w^{t+1} = w^t + \gamma \Delta w^{t-1} - \eta_t \nabla \ell(w^t)$

Classification

Zero-One loss not convex or continuous $\ell_{0-1}(\hat{f}(x), y) = \mathbb{I}_{y \neq \operatorname{sgn}\hat{f}(x)}$

Logistic loss
$$\log(1 + e^{-y\hat{f}(x)})$$

 $\nabla \ell(\hat{f}(x), y) = \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + e^{y_i \hat{f}(x)}}$

Hinge loss
$$\max(0, 1 - y\hat{f}(x))$$

Softmax
$$p(1|x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\hat{f}(x)}}, p(-1|x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{\hat{f}(x)}}$$

Multi-Class $\hat{p}_k = e^{\hat{f}_k(x)} / \sum_{i=1}^K e^{\hat{f}_i(x)}$

 $f(x) = w^{\top}x$, the decision boundary f(x) = 0.

If data is lin. sep., grad. desc. converges to **Maximum-Margin Solution:**

 $w_{\text{MM}} = \operatorname{argmax} \operatorname{margin}(w) \text{ with } ||w||_2 = 1$

Where margin(w) = $\min_i y_i w^{\top} x_i$.

Support Vector Machines

Hard SVM

 $\hat{w} = \min_{w} ||w||_2$ s.t. $\forall i \ y_i w^{\top} x_i > 1$

Soft SVM allow "slack" in the constraints
$$\hat{w} = \min_{w,\xi} \frac{1}{2} ||w||_2^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\max(0, 1 - y_i w^\top x_i)}_{\text{hinge loss}}$$
Metrics

Choose +1 as the more important class.



error₁/FPR : $\frac{FP}{TN + FP}$ $error_2/FNR : \frac{FN}{TP + FN}$ Precision: TPR / Recall : $\frac{IP}{TP + FN}$

AUROC: Plot TPR vs. FPR and compare different ROC's with area under the curve.

F1-Score: $\frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN}$, Accuracy : $\frac{TP + TN}{P + N}$ Goal: large recall and small FPR.

Kernels

Parameterize: $w = \Phi^{\top} \alpha$, $K = \Phi \Phi^{\top}$

A kernel is **valid** if *K* is sym.: k(x,z) = k(z,x)and psd: $z^{\top}Kz \ge 0$

lin.: $k(x,z) = x^{\top}z$, **poly.**: $k(x,z) = (x^{\top}z + 1)^m$

rbf: $k(x,z) = \exp(-\frac{||x-z||_{\alpha}}{2})$

 $\alpha = 1 \Rightarrow laplacian kernel$

 $\alpha = 2 \Rightarrow$ gaussian kernel

Kernel composition rules

 $k = k_1 + k_2, \quad k = k_1 \cdot k_2$ $\forall c > 0. \ k = c$ k_1 , $\forall f$ convex. $k = f(k_1)$, holds for polynoms Lloyd's heuristics: Init. cluster centers $\mu^{(0)}$: with pos. coefficients or exp function.

Mercers Theorem: Valid kernels can be de- Converges in exponential time. composed into a lin. comb. of inner products. Initialize with k-Means++:

Kern. Ridge Reg. $\frac{1}{n}||y-K\alpha||_2^2 + \lambda \alpha^\top K\alpha$

KNN Classification

- Pick k and distance metric d
- For given x, find among $x_1,...,x_n \in D$ the k closest to $x \to x_{i_1}, ..., x_{i_k}$
- Output the majority vote of labels

Neural Networks

w are the weights and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear **Principal Component Analysis** activation function: $\phi(x, w) = \phi(w^{\top}x)$

ReLU:
$$\max(0,z)$$
, **Tanh:** $\frac{\exp(z) - \exp(-z)}{\exp(z) + \exp(-z)}$

Sigmoid: $\frac{1}{1+\exp(-z)}$

Universal Approximation Theorem: We can approximate any arbitrary smooth target function, with 1+ layer with sufficient width.

Forward Propagation

Input: $v^{(0)} = [x; 1]$ Output: $f = W^{(L)}v^{(L-1)}$

Hidden: $z^{(l)} = W^{(l)}v^{(l-1)}, v^{(l)} = [\varphi(z^{(l)}); 1]$

Backpropagation

Non-convex optimization problem:

weights by distr. assumption for φ . ($2/n_{in}$ Closed form solution: for ReLu and $1/n_{in}$ or $1/(n_{in}+n_{out})$ for Tanh) $\alpha^{(i)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}v_i$ $K=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_iv_iv_i^\top,\lambda_1\geq ...\geq 0$

Regularization; Early Stopping; Dropout: Autoencoders ignore hidden units with prob. p, after train- We want to minimize $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}||x_i-\hat{x}_i||_2^2$. ing use all units and scale weights by p; **Batch Normalization**: normalize the input data (mean 0, variance 1) in each layer

CNN
$$\varphi(W * v^{(l)})$$

The output dimension when applying m different $\hat{f} \times f$ filters to an $n \times n$ image with padding p and stride s is: $l = \frac{n+2p-f}{s} + 1$ For each channel there is a separate filter.

Unsupervised Learning

k-Means Clustering

Optimization Goal (non-convex):

$$\hat{R}(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} ||x_i - \mu_j||_2^2$$

- Assign points to closest center
- Update μ_i as mean of assigned points

- Random data point $\mu_1 = x_i$
- Add $\mu_2, ..., \mu_k$ rand., with prob: given $\mu_{1:i}$ pick $\mu_{i+1} = x_i$

opt. solution. Find k by negligible loss de-

where $p(i) = \frac{1}{7} \min_{l \in \{1,...,j\}} ||x_i - \mu_l||_2^2$ Converges in expectation $\mathcal{O}(\log k)$

crease or reg.

Optimization goal: $\underset{\|w\|_2=1,z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i - z_i w||_2^2$

The optimal solution is given by $z_i = w^{\top} x_i$. Substituting gives us:

$$\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmax}_{||w||_2 = 1} w^{\top} \Sigma w$$

Where $\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{\top}$ is the empirical covariance. Closed form solution given by the principal eigenvector of Σ , i.e. $w = v_1$ for $\lambda_1 \geq ... \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$: $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\top}$

For k > 1 we have to change the normalization to $W^{\top}W = I$ then we just take the first k principal eigenvectors so that $W = [v_1, ..., v_k]$.

PCA through SVD The first k columns of V where $X = USV^{\top}$. Kernel PCA

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{\top} = X^{\top} X \Rightarrow \text{ kernel trick:}$$

 $\hat{\alpha} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\alpha} \frac{\alpha^{\top} K^{\top} K \alpha}{\alpha^{\top} K \alpha}$

Closed form solution:
$$\alpha^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} v_i \quad K = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^\top, \lambda_1 \geq ... \geq 0$$

A point x is projected as: $z_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{(i)} k(x_i, x)$

$$\hat{x} = f_{dec}(f_{enc}(x, \theta_{enc}); \theta_{dec})$$

Lin. activation func. & square loss => PCA

Statistical Perspective

Assume that data is generated iid. by some p(x,y). We want to find $f: X \mapsto Y$ that minimizes the population risk.

Opt. Predictor for the Squared Loss

f minimizing the population risk:

$$f^*(x) = \mathbb{E}[y \mid X = x] = \int y \cdot p(y \mid x) dy$$

Estimate $\hat{p}(y \mid x)$ with MLE:

$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \hat{p}(y_1, ..., y_n \mid x_1, ..., x_n, \theta)$$
$$= \underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{argmin}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(y_i \mid x, \theta)$$

The MLE for linear regression is unbiased and has minimum variance among all unbiased estimators. However, it can overfit.

Ex. Conditional Linear Gaussian

Assume Gaussian noise $y = f(x) + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \sim$

$$\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
 and $f(x) = w^\top x$:
 $\hat{p}(y \mid x, \theta) = \mathcal{N}(y; w^\top x, \sigma^2)$

The optimal \hat{w} can be found using MLE:

$$\hat{w} = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(y|x, \theta) = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum (y_i - w^{\top} x_i)^2$$

Maximum a Posteriori Estimate

given by: $p(w \mid x, y) = \frac{p(w) \cdot p(y \mid x, w)}{p(y \mid x)}$

Now we want to find the MAP for w:

 $\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmax}_{w} p(w \mid \bar{x}, \bar{y})$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}_{w}} p(w \mid x, y)$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}_{w}} - \log \frac{p(w) \cdot p(y \mid x, w)}{p(y \mid x)}$$

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}_{w}} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\beta^{2}} ||w||_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - w^{\top} x_{i})^{2}$$

Regularization can be understood as MAP inference, with different priors (= regularizers) and likelihoods (= loss functions).

Statistical Models for Classification

f minimizing the population risk:

$$f^*(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{y}} p(\hat{y} \mid x)$$

This is called the Bayes' optimal predictor for This is also called the quadratic discrimithe 0-1 loss. Assuming iid. Bernoulli noise, nant analysis (QDA). LDA: $\Sigma_+ = \Sigma_-$, Fisher Init. the weights as uniformly distributed the conditional probability is:

$$p(y \mid x, w) \sim \text{Ber}(y; \sigma(w^{\top}x))$$
 Avoiding Overfitting the data. Select k using cr Where $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$ is the sigmoid func- MLE is prone to overfitting. Avoid this by re-

tion. Using MLE we get:
$$\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i w^{\top} x_i))$$

Which is the logistic loss. Instead of MLE we **Discriminative models**: can estimate MAP, e.g. with a Gaussian prior: p(y|x), can't detect outliers, more robust

$$\hat{w} = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda ||w||_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + e^{-y_{i}w^{T}x_{i}})$$

Bayesian Decision Theory

Given $p(y \mid x)$, a set of actions A and a cost $C: Y \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, pick the action with the maximum expected utility.

$$a^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in A} \mathbb{E}_{y}[C(y, a) \mid x]$$

Can be used for asymetric costs or abstention.

Generative Modeling

Aim to estimate p(x, y) for complex situations using Bayes' rule: $p(x,y) = p(x|y) \cdot p(y)$

Naive Baves Model

GM for classification tasks. Assuming for a class label, each feature is independent. This helps estimating $p(x \mid y) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i \mid y_i)$.

Gaussian Naive Bayes Classifier

Naive Bayes Model with Gaussians features. Hard-EM Algorithm Estimate the parameters via MLE:

MLE for class prior:
$$p(y) = \hat{p}_y = \frac{\text{Count}(Y=y)}{n}$$

MLE for feature distribution:

MLE for feature distribution:
Where:
$$p(x_i | y) = \mathcal{N}(x_i; \hat{\mu}_{y,i}, \sigma_{y,i}^2)$$

 $\mu_{y,i} = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j | y_j = y} x_{j,i}$

$$\mu_{y,i} = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_j = y} x_{j,j}$$

Maximum a Posteriori Estimate
$$\sigma_{y,i}^2 = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_j = y} (x_{j,i} - \hat{\mu}_{y,i})^2 \text{ M-Step: compute MLE of } \theta^{(t)} \text{ as for GBC.} \text{ We have iteration weight assumption is a Gaussian prior } w_i \sim y = \underset{\hat{y}}{\text{argmax }} p(\hat{y} \mid x) = \underset{i=1}{\text{argmax }} p(\hat{y}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i \mid \hat{y}) \text{ for GBC.}$$
We have iteration to decision rule for bin. class.:

Since the posteriori Estimate
$$\sigma_{y,i}^2 = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_j = y} (x_{j,i} - \hat{\mu}_{y,i})^2 \text{ M-Step: compute MLE of } \theta^{(t)} \text{ as for GBC.}$$
We have iteration to decision rule for bin. class.:

Since the posteriori Estimate
$$\sigma_{y,i}^2 = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_j = y} (x_{j,i} - \hat{\mu}_{y,i})^2 \text{ Problems: labels if the model is uncertain, the problems: labels if clusters are overlapping. With uniform the problems and spherical covariances is equivalent to decision rule for bin. class.:$$

$$y = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\log \frac{p(Y=+1\mid x)}{p(Y=-1\mid x)}\right)$$

Where f(x) is called the discriminant function. If the conditional independence assumption is violated, the classifier can be overconfident.

Gaussian Bayes Classifier

No independence assumption, model the features with a multivariant Gaussian M-Step: compute MLE with closed form: $\mathcal{N}(x; \mu_{y}, \Sigma_{y})$:

$$\mu_{y} = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_{j}=y} x_{j}$$

$$\sum_{y} = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_{j}=y} (x_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{y}) (x_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{y})^{\top}$$

LDA: $p(y) = \frac{1}{2}$, Outlier detection: $p(x) \le \tau$.

Avoiding Overfitting

stricting model class (fewer parameters, e.g. GNB) or using priors (restrict param. values).

Generative vs. Discriminative

Generative models:

p(x,y), can be more powerful (dectect outliers, missing values) if assumptions are met, are typically less robust against outliers

Gaussian Mixture Model

Assume that data is generated from a convexcombination of Gaussian distributions:

$$p(x|\theta) = p(x|\mu, \Sigma, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_j, \Sigma_j)$$
 Can be used for anomaly detection or data im-
We don't have labels and want to cluster this data. The problem is to estimate the param. for the Gaussian distributions. Can be used for anomaly detection or data im-
for the Gaussian distributions. Tr(AB) = Tr(BA), Var(X) = $\mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$, estimated density against τ . Allows to con-
trol the FP rate. Use ROC curve as evaluation $(k) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$, $||w^\top w||_2 = \sqrt{w^\top w}$

$$\underset{i=1}{\operatorname{argmin}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \cdot \mathcal{N}(x_i \mid \mu_j, \Sigma_j)$$
 criterion and optimize using CV to find τ . This is a non-convex objective. Similar to **General EM Algorithm** training a GBC without labels. Start with **E-Step**: Take the expected value over latent guess for our parameters, predict the unknown variables z to generate likelihood function Q :

we can get a closed form update.

E-Step: predict the most likely class for each data point:

$$z_i^{(t)} = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(z \mid x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)})$$
$$= \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(z \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)}) \cdot p(x_i \mid z, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)})$$

M-Step: compute MLE of $\theta^{(t)}$ as for GBC.

weights and spherical covariances is equivalent to k-Means with Lloyd's heuristics.

Soft-EM Algorithm

E-Step: calculate the cluster membership weights for each point $(w_i = \pi_i = p(Z = j))$:

$$\gamma_{j}^{(t)}(x_{i}) = p(Z = j \mid D) = \frac{w_{j} \cdot p(x_{i}; \theta_{j}^{(t-1)})}{\sum_{k} w_{k} \cdot p(x_{i}; \theta_{k}^{(t-1)})}$$

$$w_{j}^{(t)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{(t)}(x_{i}) \qquad \mu_{j}^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \gamma_{j}^{(t)}(x_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{(t)}(x_{i})}$$
$$\sum_{j}^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{(t)}(x_{i})(x_{i} - \mu_{j}^{(t)})(x_{i} - \mu_{j}^{(t)})^{\top}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{(t)}(x_{i})}$$

rand. or with k-Means++ and for variances One possible performance metric: use spherical init. or empirical covariance of the data. Select k using cross-validation.

GMMs can overfit with limited data. Avoid this by add v^2I to variance, so it does not collapse (equiv. to a Wishart prior on the covariance matrix). Choose v by cross-validation.

Gaussian-Mixture Bayes Classifiers

Assume that $p(x \mid y)$ for each class can be modelled by a GMM.

$$p(x \mid y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_y} w_j^{(y)} \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_j^{(y)}, \Sigma_j^{(y)})$$

Giving highly complex decision boundaries:

$$p(y|x) = \frac{1}{z}p(y)\sum_{j=1}^{k_y}w_j^{(y)}\mathcal{N}(x;\mu_j^{(y)},\Sigma_j^{(y)})$$
GMMs for Density Estimation

trol the FP rate. Use ROC curve as evaluation $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{j} \cdot \mathcal{N}(x_{i} \mid \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})$ criterion and optimize using CV to find τ .

labels and then impute the missing data. Now $Q(\theta; \theta^{(t-1)}) = \mathbb{E}_Z[\log p(X, Z \mid \theta) \mid X, \theta^{(t-1)}]$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{z_i=1}^{n} \gamma_{z_i}(x_i) \log p(x_i, z_i \mid \theta)$

with
$$\gamma_z(x) = p(z \mid x, \theta^{(t-1)})$$

M-Step: Compute MLE / Maximize:

$$\theta^{(t)} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q(\theta; \theta^{(t-1)})$$

We have monotonic convergence, each EMiteration increases the data likelihood.

if clusters are overlapping. With uniform Learn f: "simple" distr. \mapsto non linear distr. Computing likelihood of the data becomes hard, therefore we need a different loss.

$$\min_{w_G} \max_{w_D} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}}[\log D(x, w_D)]$$

$$+\mathbb{E}_{z\sim p_z}[\log(1-D(G(z,w_G),w_D))]$$

Training requires finding a saddle point, always converges to saddle point with if G, D have enough capacity. For a fixed G, the optimal discriminator is:

$$D_G(x) = \frac{p_{\text{data}}(x)}{p_{\text{data}}(x) + p_G(x)}$$

The prob. of being fake is $1 - D_G$. Too powerful discriminator could lead to memorization of finite data. Other issues are oscillations/divergence or mode collapse.

$$\hat{DG} = \max_{w'_D} M(w_G, w'_D) - \min_{w'_G} M(w'_G, w_D)$$

Where $M(w_G, w_D)$ is the training objective.

Various

Derivatives:

$$\nabla_{x}x^{\top}A = A \quad \nabla_{x}a^{\top}x = \nabla_{x}x^{\top}a = a$$

$$\nabla_{x}b^{\top}Ax = A^{\top}b \quad \nabla_{x}x^{\top}x = 2x \quad \nabla_{x}x^{\top}Ax = 2Ax$$

$$\nabla_{w}||y - Xw||_{2}^{2} = 2X^{\top}(Xw - y)$$

Bayes Theorem:

$$p(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \underbrace{p(y) \cdot p(x \mid y)}_{}$$

ing highly complex decision boundaries: $p(y \mid y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_y} w_j^{(y)} \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_j^{(y)}, \Sigma_j^{(y)}) \qquad p(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \underbrace{p(y) \cdot p(x \mid y)}_{p(x,y)}$ $p(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{z} p(y) \sum_{j=1}^{k_y} w_j^{(y)} \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_j^{(y)}, \Sigma_j^{(y)}) \mathcal{N}(x; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det(\Sigma)}} \exp(-\frac{(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2})$ Me for Density Estimation:

Other Facts

Can be used for anomaly detection or data im- Tr(AB) = Tr(BA), $Var(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$, $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}, ||w^{\top}w||_2 = \sqrt{w^{\top}w}$ $\operatorname{Cov}[X] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^{\top}]$ $p(z|x,\theta) = \frac{p(x,z|\theta)}{p(x|\theta)}$

Convexity

0: $L(\lambda w + (1 - \lambda)v) \le \lambda L(w) + (1 - \lambda)L(v)$ 1: $L(w) + \nabla L(w)^{\top}(v - w) \leq L(v)$

2: Hessian $\nabla^2 L(w) \geq 0$ (psd)

• $\alpha f + \beta g$, $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$, convex if f, g con-

• $f \circ g$, convex if f convex and g affine or f non-decresing and g convex

• $\max(f,g)$, convex if f,g convex