

A Semantic evaluation results table

This document is a supplement to our paper titled: Learning semantic sentence representations from visually grounded language without lexical knowledge (Merks and Frank, 2019). It contains the semantic evaluation results which were used to create Figure 3 of said paper.

Table 1: Semantic textual similarity results (Pearson’s $r \times 100$ with 95 percent confidence interval). BOW is a bag of words approach using GloVe embeddings and InferSent is the model reported by Conneau et al. (2017)

Task	Dataset	Model			
		BOW	InferSent	char-GRU (Flickr8k)	char-GRU (MSCOCO)
STS 2012	MSRpar	42.3 ^{+5.7} _{-6.1}	40.0 ^{+5.8} _{-6.2}	49.1 ^{+5.2} _{-5.6}	37.6 ^{+6.0} _{-6.3}
	MSRvid	66.2 ^{+3.8} _{-4.2}	83.6 ^{+2.0} _{-2.3}	79.9 ^{+2.4} _{-2.7}	82.7 ^{+2.1} _{-2.4}
	SMTeuroparl	48.4 ^{+6.7} _{-7.3}	47.1 ^{+6.8} _{-7.4}	57.3 ^{+5.8} _{-6.5}	54.2 ^{+6.2} _{-6.8}
	OnWN	57.0 ^{+4.6} _{-5.0}	64.5 ^{+4.0} _{-4.4}	67.5 ^{+3.7} _{-4.1}	65.5 ^{+3.9} _{-4.3}
	SMTnews	46.3 ^{+7.4} _{-8.1}	60.7 ^{+5.9} _{-6.6}	51.1 ^{+6.9} _{-7.6}	38.7 ^{+8.0} _{-8.7}
STS 2013	FNWN	38.2 ^{+11.6} _{-12.9}	34.5 ^{+12.0} _{-13.2}	23.8 ^{+13.0} _{-13.9}	23.2 ^{+13.1} _{-14.0}
	HDL	63.4 ^{+4.1} _{-4.5}	69.0 ^{+3.6} _{-3.9}	67.3 ^{+3.7} _{-4.1}	64.9 ^{+4.0} _{-4.3}
	OnWN	47.2 ^{+6.2} _{-6.7}	73.1 ^{+3.6} _{-4.1}	59.8 ^{+5.1} _{-5.6}	58.5 ^{+5.2} _{-5.7}
STS 2014	Deft-forum	30.0 ^{+8.2} _{-8.7}	47.5 ^{+6.9} _{-7.5}	50.7 ^{+6.6} _{-7.2}	51.5 ^{+6.5} _{-7.1}
	Deft-news	65.0 ^{+6.1} _{-7.1}	72.9 ^{+4.9} _{-5.8}	67.8 ^{+5.7} _{-6.6}	65.3 ^{+6.0} _{-7.0}
	HDL	58.7 ^{+4.5} _{-4.9}	63.6 ^{+4.1} _{-4.5}	61.6 ^{+4.3} _{-4.6}	60.0 ^{+4.4} _{-4.8}
	Images	62.4 ^{+4.2} _{-4.6}	80.9 ^{+2.3} _{-2.6}	81.4 ^{+2.3} _{-2.6}	88.2 ^{+1.5} _{-1.7}
	OnWN	57.7 ^{+4.6} _{-5.0}	77.3 ^{+2.7} _{-3.1}	68.6 ^{+3.6} _{-4.0}	68.1 ^{+3.7} _{-4.0}
	Tweet-news	53.9 ^{+4.9} _{-5.3}	75.3 ^{+2.9} _{-3.3}	74.0 ^{+3.1} _{-3.4}	69.6 ^{+3.5} _{-3.9}
STS 2015	Answers forum	36.7 ^{+8.4} _{-9.1}	61.3 ^{+6.0} _{-6.7}	57.6 ^{+6.4} _{-7.2}	49.4 ^{+7.3} _{-8.1}
	Answers student	63.6 ^{+4.1} _{-4.5}	68.6 ^{+3.6} _{-4.0}	68.8 ^{+3.6} _{-4.0}	67.1 ^{+3.8} _{-4.1}
	belief	44.8 ^{+7.7} _{-8.5}	71.8 ^{+4.6} _{-5.3}	71.8 ^{+4.6} _{-5.3}	66.5 ^{+5.3} _{-6.1}
	HDL	66.2 ^{+3.8} _{-4.2}	69.6 ^{+3.5} _{-3.9}	70.3 ^{+3.4} _{-3.8}	67.1 ^{+3.8} _{-4.1}
	Images	69.1 ^{+3.6} _{-3.9}	85.5 ^{+1.8} _{-2.1}	89.2 ^{+1.4} _{-1.6}	88.1 ^{+1.5} _{-1.7}
STS 2016	Answer-Answer	40.1 ^{+9.8} _{-10.9}	62.0 ^{+7.0} _{-8.2}	53.8 ^{+8.2} _{-9.4}	47.8 ^{+9.0} _{-10.1}
	HDL	61.4 ^{+7.2} _{-8.4}	68.8 ^{+6.0} _{-7.2}	70.0 ^{+5.8} _{-6.9}	65.4 ^{+6.6} _{-7.7}
	Plagiarism	54.6 ^{+8.5} _{-9.8}	80.8 ^{+4.1} _{-5.0}	78.6 ^{+4.5} _{-5.5}	75.8 ^{+5.0} _{-6.1}
	Postediting	53.9 ^{+8.3} _{-9.6}	82.3 ^{+3.7} _{-4.5}	84.4 ^{+3.3} _{-4.0}	79.7 ^{+4.2} _{-5.1}
	Question-Question	47.2 ^{+9.9} _{-11.3}	63.3 ^{+7.5} _{-8.9}	40.5 ^{+10.8} _{-12.0}	49.9 ^{+9.5} _{-10.9}
STS-B	STS 12-16	64.7 ^{+3.0} _{-3.2}	75.7 ^{+2.2} _{-2.3}	72.2 ^{+2.4} _{-2.6}	70.7 ^{+2.5} _{-2.7}
SICK	Relatedness	79.9 ^{+1.0} _{-1.0}	86.2 ^{+0.7} _{-0.7}	81.5 ^{+0.9} _{-1.0}	82.7 ^{+0.9} _{-0.9}

References

- Alexis Conneau, Douwe Kiela, Holger Schwenk, Loic Barrault, and Antoine Bordes. Supervised Learning of Universal Sentence Representations from Natural Language Inference Data. In *EMNLP*. ACL, 2017.
- Danny Merkx and Stefan Frank. Learning semantic sentence representations from visually grounded language without lexical knowledge. *NLE, special issue on sentence representations*, 2019.