**MATH4063** 

## Submission Date: Monday 25th November 2019, 11pm

Assessed Coursework 1

Your results to the assessed coursework must be submitted using this template. Please cut and paste the subsequent output into the correct parts of this file. Once this template has been completed, you must then create a pdf file for submission. Under Windows 10/Windows 7 use Texmaker 5; this may be accessed as follows:

```
Start > UoN Applications > (UoN) Texmaker 5
```

Open this file under File; to build the pdf file, click the arrow next to Quick Build; this will then generate the file course\_work1\_submission.pdf.

A single zip or tar file containing your solution should be submitted through the module webpage on Moodle. NOTE: All parameters and values (such as polynomial degrees etc.) should be set within your codes: do NOT use inputs such as obtained with std::cin.

### File checklist:

```
course_work2_submission.pdf
input.dat
mat.cpp
fun.cpp
q1a.cpp
q1b.cpp
q2a.cpp
q2b.cpp
q2c.cpp
q2c.cpp
```

1(b) Enter your output here:

```
The matrix entries vector is: (5\ 3\ 2\ 3\ 1\ 2\ -1\ 1\ 1) The column number vector is: (1\ 3\ 4\ 2\ 4\ 4\ 1\ 2\ 4) The row start vector is: (1\ 4\ 6\ 7\ 10)
```

1(b) Enter your output here:

```
Ax is: (33 0 -3 -7)
```

2(a) Enter your output here:

```
u(x) evaluated at uniform points: ( -1.22515e-016 -0.317971 -0.506931 -0.603024 -0.632121 -0.603024 -0.506931 -0.317971 0 0.466214 1.02811 1.51904 1.71828 1.51904 1.02811 0.466214 )

u'(x) evaluated at uniform points: ( -3.14159 -1.97956 -1.09532 -0.477259 7.07656e-017 0.477259 1.09532 1.97956 3.14159 4.25562 4.50534 3.02848 5.22891e-016 -3.02848 -4.50534 -4.25562 )
```

# 2(b) Enter your output here:

The exact derivative is:  $(-1.412 - 0.375054 \ 0.375054 \ 1.412 \ 3.14159 \ 4.57488 \ 2.51287 - 2.51287 - 4.57488 \ -3.14159 )$ 

The approximate derivative is: (-1.53417 -0.423059 0.423059 1.53417 3.1111 3.97111 -1.97111 -3.97111 -3.1111)

The CPU time used is: Oms

The CPU time is given as 0, I have implemented the clock function as described online, I believe there could be a problem with the operating system I am running codeblocks on. It appears the clicks aren't being counted properly.

## 2(c) Enter your output here:

The exact derivative is: (-3.14159 - 3.61835 - 4.56038 - 2.23916 4.0491 3.14159 0.777099 - 0.326828 - 1.47423 - 2.66367 - 3.14159 )

The Chebyshev differentiation matrix gives: (-3.36358 -3.49682 -4.71528 -2.03564 3.82998 3.3139 0.659923 -0.243906 -1.53898 -2.60775 -3.24811)

The CPU time used is: Oms

Again the CPU time is given as 0 when using the clock function.

### 2(d) Enter your output here:

I was unable to find a value of n that the tolerance would be satisfied for the finite difference calculation.

The tolerance of  $10^-9$  was met at n = 294693.

The CPU time used is: Oms

The error is: 9.98896e-010, it occurs at x = 0.696647

The Chebyshev differentiation matrix gives the correct tolerance at n = 39.

The CPU time used is: Oms

The error is: 5.35713e-011, it occurs at x = -0.354605

These results make it clear that the Chebyshev differentiation matrix is much more effective at estimating the derivative. The Chebyshev

differentiation matrix gets to the tolerance  $10^-9$  at n = 36, this n value compared to the finite difference n it is clearly much smaller.

# 2(e) Enter your output here:

#### Finite difference:

The alpha values are: ( -0.433862 1.86199 1.85714 1.98806 )

#### Chebyshev:

The alpha values are: ( 3.19307 6.98381 16.3665 17.3517 )

The finite difference alpha values appear to tend to 2, this matches with the theory as the method should converge quadratically which is of order 2.

The Chebyshev alpha values appear to increase rapidly, the convergence is exponential and so it is expected that the alpha values wouldn't converge. The size of the alpha values do show the rapid convergence of the Chebyshev differentiation method.

## 3 Enter your output here:

When m decreases the solution at the final time becomes unstable, this suggests for each n there is a minimum m value for which the method converges.

```
n=10, m=2550:
```

The u value for x = 0 at time T = 10 is 6.17233e-012

n=10, m=2500:

The u value for x = 0 at time T = 10 is -2.85948e+010

I created a function that uses a while loop to check whether the method is stable for the given n and m, the while loop increased by the m value by one each time until the method converges for the given n. I then calculated the h values. This method gives these values:

```
n = 4, m = 98, hmin = 0.292893

n = 5, m = 198, hmin = 0.190983

n = 6, m = 373, hmin = 0.133975

n = 7, m = 650, hmin = 0.0990311

n = 8, m = 1069, hmin = 0.0761205

n = 9, m = 1674, hmin = 0.0603074

n = 10, m = 2513, hmin = 0.0489435

n = 11, m = 3238, hmin = 0.040507

n = 12, m = 5112, hmin = 0.0340742
```

```
n = 13, m = 6994, hmin = 0.0290582

n = 14, m = 9367, hmin = 0.0250721

n = 15, m = 12991, hmin = 0.0218524
```

This gives this plot (where k = 10/m, i.e. the time step size), it is clear the relationship between k and hmin is something like k = A\*hmin + B (A and B are constants) for hmin > 0.15, i.e. the relationship is linear, for hmin < 0.15 the line tends towards the origin as a curve (i.e. no longer linear).

