

o definitions  
 o examples  
 o notes

## Rules of Inference (1.4)

↳ concluding

An argument is a list of propositions:

$P_1$   
 $P_2$   
 $P_3$   
 $\vdots$   
 $P_n$

premises

an argument is **VALID** if whenever  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_n$  are all true, then  $q$  **MUST** be true

that is;

$\therefore q$  } conclusion

" $(P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge P_3 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n) \rightarrow q$ " is always true

ex. let  $r_1, r_2$  be prop.

$P_1: r_1 \rightarrow r_2$

$P_2: r_1$

$\therefore r_2$

VALID?

$r_1$	$r_2$	$r_1 \rightarrow r_2$	$r_1$	$r_2$	$(r_1 \rightarrow r_2) \wedge r_1$	$((r_1 \rightarrow r_2) \wedge r_1) \rightarrow r_2$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	F	F	T

VALID

ex.  $r_1 \rightarrow r_2$

$r_1$	$r_2$	$r_1 \rightarrow r_2$	$r_2$	$q$	$P_1 \wedge P_2$	$(P_1 \wedge P_2) \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	T

NOT VALID!

F

ex.  $r_1 \vee r_2$

$r_1$	$r_2$	$r_1 \vee r_2$	$\neg r_1$	$r_2$	$(r_1 \vee r_2) \wedge \neg r_1$	$((r_1 \vee r_2) \wedge \neg r_1) \rightarrow r_2$
T	T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	F	T

VALID!

^^

ex.  $r_1 \rightarrow r_2$

$r_1$	$r_2$	$r_1 \rightarrow r_2$	$\neg r_2$	$r_1$	$(r_1 \rightarrow r_2) \wedge \neg r_2$	$(P_1 \wedge P_2) \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	F

NOT VALID!

F

$r_1 \rightarrow r_2$

$\neg r_2$

← VALID

$\therefore \neg r_1$

$r_1, r_2, r_3$  are prop

$r_1, r_2, r_3$ are prop	$r_1$	$r_2$	$r_3$	$r_1 \rightarrow r_2$	$r_2 \rightarrow r_3$	$r_1$	$r_3$	$((r_1 \rightarrow r_2) \wedge (r_2 \rightarrow r_3) \wedge (r_1)) \rightarrow r_3$
$r_1 \rightarrow r_2$	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
$r_2 \rightarrow r_3$	T	T	F	F	F	T	F	T
	T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
$r_1$	T	F	F	F	T	T	F	T
$\therefore r_3$	F	T	T	T	T	F	T	T
	F	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
	F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T
VALID!	F	F	F	T	T	F	F	T

VALID!

$r_1$   
 $\neg r_1$   
 $\therefore r_2$

Contradiction ; will always prove to be VALID

is not shown in premissis

## HOW TO CONSTRUCT PROPOSITIONS

Predicates - takes input

BZ (before 0) - input of integer, returns true if input is bigger than 0

ex.  $BZ(5) = \text{True}$        $BZ(-2) = \text{False}$   
            $\uparrow$   
 proposition

A propositional function (predicate)  $P$  with domain  $D$  (set) is a statement

$P(x) \leftarrow$  for every  $x \in D$ ,  $P(x)$  is a proposition

- Let  $BZ(x)$  be a predicate over domain  $D=Z$  to be true if  $x$  is bigger than 0, False otherwise.

$$BZ(5) \quad BZ(-2) \quad BZ(0) \quad \neg BZ(0)$$

- Define  $BT(x, y)$  over  $D = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

to be true if  $x$  is bigger than  $y$ , False otherwise

Qx	$BT(2,1)$	$\neg BT(1,2)$	$BT(2,3) \vee BT(3,1) = \text{True}$
	$(4,2) \notin BT$	$BT(4,2)$	

If input not in domain = undefined : BT(1.4, 1)

- $\text{PLUS}(x, y, z)$  over  $D = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  to be true if the sum of  $x$  and  $y$  is  $z$  false otherwise.

$PLUS(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow PLUS(1, 3, 2) \quad PLUS(1, 3, 2) \rightarrow 1 \neq 4 = \text{False}$

• Let  $BZ_1(x)$  over  $D = \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be true if  $x$  bigger than 0, False or

or:  $-1 \rightarrow BZ_1(-1) = \text{False}$   $0 \rightarrow BZ_1(0) = F$   $1 \rightarrow BZ_1(1) = T$   $2 \rightarrow BZ_1(2) = T$   $3 \rightarrow BZ_1(3) = T$

$BZ_1 \subseteq D$   $BZ_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$

• Let  $P$  be a predicate over domain  $D$  for every  $x \in D$ , happens 1 of 2

①  $P(x)$  ②  $\neg P(x)$

$x \in P$

$x \notin P \equiv \neg (x \in P)$

### Quantifiers

• Let  $P(x)$  be a predicate over domain,

The proposition

$\forall x. P(x)$  is true

"for all" quantifier

if  $P(x)$  is true for every element in domain  $D$ .

• Domain  $D = \mathbb{N}$

$\forall x. BZ(x) \leftarrow \text{true}$

Domain  $\mathbb{Z}$

Define  $SZ(x)$  to be true if  $x$  less than 0, False

$\neg (\forall x. BZ(x))$

$\forall x. (BZ(x) \vee SZ(x) \vee (x=0))$

For every  $x$  bigger than 0 or smaller than 0

• Let  $P(x)$  be a predicate over  $D$

Define

$\exists x. P(x)$  is a prop. that is true if there is (at least one)  $x \in D$  s.t.  $P(x)$  is True,

"exists" quantifier

False

$\exists x. (BZ(x) \wedge SZ(x)) \rightarrow \exists x. (BZ(x) \wedge SZ(x))$

Domain  $\mathbb{N}$

$\neg (\forall x. BZ(x)) \equiv \exists x. \neg (BZ(x))$

Domain  $\mathbb{Z}^-$

$\neg (\exists x. BZ(x)) \equiv \forall x. \neg (BZ(x))$

## De Morgan LAWS for Quantifiers

- Let  $P(x)$  be a predicate over domain  $D$  then

$$\neg \forall x. P(x) \equiv \exists x. \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg \exists x. P(x) \equiv \forall x. \neg P(x)$$

- BT over  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$\forall x. \neg BT(x, x) = \text{True}$$

For every  $x$ ,  $x$  is bigger than  $x$  is false

- BT w/ domain  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$\forall x. (\exists y. (BT(x, y))) = \text{True}$$

- BT w/ domain  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$

$$\forall x. (\exists y. BT(x, y)) = \text{False}$$

- Domain  $D = \{3, 5, 7, 9\}$  Domain  $P = D \times D$

$$\forall x. \exists y. (x+y=12) \quad \neg \exists x \forall y. (x+y=12)$$

- $D_2 = \{0, 1, 2\}$

Domain is  $D_2 \times D_2$

$$\exists x. \forall y. (x+y=4)$$

$$\forall x. \forall y. (x+y \leq 5) \equiv$$

$$\forall_{(x,y)}. (x+y \leq 5)$$

$$\exists_{(x,y)}. (x+y \leq 2)$$