ICPSR | INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH

ICPSR 38872

Police-Public Contact Survey, 2022

United States. Bureau of Justice Statistics

ICPSR Codebook

Terms of Use

Information about Copyrighted Content

ICPSR 38872

Police-Public Contact Survey, 2022

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, arithmetic mean, median, mode, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

SCRMCTRL: SCRAMBLED CONTROL NUMBER

The PPCS scrambled control number is unique to PPCS and cannot be linked to the NCVS PUF. It identifies the sample household to which the PPCS respondent belongs. Therefore the values for the scrambled control number are not necessarily unique within the PPCS PUF since there can be multiple PPCS eligible persons within a single sample address.

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

Location: 1-20 (width: 20; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

PPCSWGT: PPCS PERSON WEIGHT (INCOMING/CONTINUING)

Weighting factor used to tabulate PPCS data. Is zero for PPCS noninterviews.

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 2039.936746094
- Minimum: 0.000000000
- Maximum: 30306.073911106
- Standard Deviation: 1894.071201687

Location: 21-35 (width: 15; decimal: 9)
Variable Type: numeric

SEQNUM: PERSON RECORD SEQUENCE NUMBER

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 65061.50
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 130122.00
- Standard Deviation: 37563.13

Location: 36-41 (width: 6; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

SEX: SEX (NCVS ALLOCATED SEX)

This is an NCVS variable.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	62748	48.2 %
2	Female	67374	51.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 42-42 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

AGE: AGE (NCVS ALLOCATED AGE)

This is an NCVS variable. Allocated age values are collapsed for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	16-17	3461	2.7 %
2	18-24	11434	8.8 %
3	25-44	39758	30.6 %
4	45-64	41769	32.1 %
5	65 or older	33700	25.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 43-43 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

INTTYPE: TYPE OF PPCS INTERVIEW

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PPCS Interview - Personal	35261	27.1 %
2	PPCS Interview - Telephone	61464	47.2 %
5	PPCS Noninterview	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 44-44 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

NONINT: REASON FOR PPCS NONINTERVIEW

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Refused	3587	2.8 %
2	Not Available	551	0.4 %
4	NCVS Type Z	22347	17.2 %
5	NCVS Interview Completed by Proxy	6107	4.7 %
6	Other Noninterview - Reasons Unknown	805	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of Universe (PPCS Interviews)	96725	74.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 33,397 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 45-45 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

HISP: RACE/HISPANIC ORIGIN (NCVS ALLOCATED RACE/HISPANIC ORIGIN)

Race recode and allocated Hispanic origin values from the NCVS are collapsed for disclosure reasons. Categories exclude persons of Hispanic/Latino origin, unless specified. 'Other' includes American Indians and Alaska Natives; Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders; and persons of two or more races.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Non-Hispanic White Only	87526	67.3 %
2	Non-Hispanic Black Only	13053	10.0 %
3	Hispanic	19321	14.8 %
4	Non-Hispanic Asian Only	7554	5.8 %
5	Non-Hispanic Other	2668	2.1 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 46-46 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

MODE: MODE OF INTERVIEW

In 2022, all PPCS interviewing was completed using a CAPI instrument, either by a personal visit or telephone.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Computer-assisted personal interviewing	130122	100.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 47-47 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PSSTRATA: PSEUDO-STRATUM CODE

A code to assign hit strings from self-representing primary sampling units into groups. Pseudo-stratum codes are needed for calculating variances.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1381	1.1 %
2	-	1409	1.1 %
3	-	1426	1.1 %
4	-	1307	1.0 %
5	-	1422	1.1 %
6	-	1396	1.1 %
7	-	1367	1.1 %
8	-	1426	1.1 %
9	-	1408	1.1 %
10	-	1409	1.1 %
11	-	1439	1.1 %
12	-	1409	1.1 %
13	-	1420	1.1 %
14	-	1449	1.1 %
15	-	1419	1.1 %
16	-	1367	1.1 %
17	-	1412	1.1 %
18	-	1483	1.1 %
19	-	1509	1.2 %
20	-	1408	1.1 %
21	-	1420	1.1 %
22	-	1392	1.1 %
23	-	1405	1.1 %
24	-	1390	1.1 %
25	-	1384	1.1 %
26	-	1435	1.1 %
27	-	1405	1.1 %
28	-	1429	1.1 %
29	-	1379	1.1 %
30	-	1457	1.1 %
31	-	1441	1.1 %
32	-	1465	1.1 %
33	-	1446	1.1 %
34	-	1317	1.0 %
35	-	1444	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
36	-	1364	1.0 %
37	-	1327	1.0 %
38	-	1435	1.1 %
39	-	1370	1.1 %
40	-	1337	1.0 %
41	-	1409	1.1 %
42	-	1327	1.0 %
43	-	1415	1.1 %
44	-	1399	1.1 %
45	-	1387	1.1 %
46	-	1391	1.1 %
47	-	1519	1.2 %
48	-	1417	1.1 %
49	-	1359	1.0 %
50	-	1407	1.1 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 57.30
- Median: 47.00
- Mode: 47.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 160.00
- Standard Deviation: 43.06

Location: 48-50 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

SECUCODE: SECUCODE VALUES

A code assigned to hit strings in self-representing pseudo strata. Needed for calculating variances.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	64784	49.8 %
2	-	63029	48.4 %
3	-	2309	1.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 1.52

- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.53

Location: 51-51 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

INCOME: COLLAPSED INCOME

Allocated income values from NCVS are collapsed for disclosure reasons.

In 2020 PPCS, $49,999 or less was category 2 and $50,000-$74,999 was category 3. In 2022, these two categories were collapsed into a $74,999 or less category for disclosure reasons. Therefore, 2022 COLLINCOME=3 cannot be directly compared to 2020 COLLINCOME=3.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	$74,999 or less (or NA if there are any missing after allocation)	65349	50.2 %
4	$75,000 or more	64773	49.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 52-52 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V10A: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (REPORTED CRIME)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10A.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10A when the respondent reported a crime, disturbance, or suspicious activity.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10A	241	0.2 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	517	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 53-53 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10B: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (REPORTED NON-CRIME EMERGENCY)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10B.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10B when the respondent reported a non-crime emergency. For example, medical emergencies, fire/smoke alarms, gas leaks, and traffic accidents witnessed by but not involving the respondent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10B	45	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	713	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 54-54 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10C: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (PARTICIPATED IN ANTI-CRIME PROGRAM)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10C.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10C when the respondent participated in an organized neighborhood watch or other anti-crime program with police, for example, asking the police to speak to students in a classroom or members at a local church, or to participate in boy scout activities.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10C	10	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	748	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 55-55 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10D: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (INVOLVED IN A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10D.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10D when the respondent was involved in a traffic accident reported to police, for example, hitting a deer or being involved in a car accident such as a rear-end collision.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10D	28	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	730	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 56-56 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10E: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (STOPPED BY POLICE BUT NOT IN MOVING VEHICLE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10E.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10E when the respondent was stopped by police in public, but not in a moving vehicle, for example, being stopped as a suspicious person or for suspicious behavior.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10E	2	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	756	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 57-57 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10F: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (STOPPED WHILE DRIVING A VEHICLE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10F.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10F when the respondent was stopped while driving a motor vehicle. For example, respondent was pulled over for speeding.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10F	1	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	757	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 58-58 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10G: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (APPROACHED FOR NON-EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10G.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10G when the respondent contacted police for non-emergency assistance, for example, asking for directions, custody enforcement, reporting a road hazard,

or applying for permits.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10G	211	0.2 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	547	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10H: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (ACCIDENTALLY CONTACTED POLICE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10H.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10H when the respondent accidentally contacted the police, for example, because of false or accidental 911 calls or home alarm systems set off accidentally.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10H	20	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	738	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 60-60 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10I: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (APPROACHED RESPONDENT WHILE NOT IN PUBLIC)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses

for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10I.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10I when the police approached the respondent when they were not in a public place about a crime, disturbance, complaint, or investigation. For example, the police called or went to the respondent's home or work, looking for information about a crime or suspicious person.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10I	8	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	750	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 61-61 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10J: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (ASSISTANCE WITH ANIMALS)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10J.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10J when the respondent asked the police for assistance with an issue dealing with animals. For example, the respondent contacted police about a lost dog or pet, or for help removing a squirrel or other wildlife from their property.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10J	33	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	725	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 62-62 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10K: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (NON-EMERGENCY OR ASSISTANCE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10K.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10K, when the police approached the respondent to inform them of something, or to assist with or ask about non-emergency situations. These could include issues in the neighborhood, parking concerns, or wellness checks.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10K	5	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	753	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 63-63 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10L: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (POLICE RESPONSES OUT OF SCOPE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10L.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10L when the police contacts were out of scope. For example, when the respondent reported attending social events or ceremonies with police, seeing police nearby (but not interacting with them), Amber alerts, or police fundraisers.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10L	80	0.1 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	678	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

V10M: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (UNSPECIFIED CATEGORY)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10M.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10M when the respondent sought help from the police for some other reason not specified by the other categories, or when there was not enough information to otherwise reclassify the write-in response.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10M	38	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	720	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V10N: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24D (APPROACHED POLICE DURING REGULAR WORK)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reason the respondent approached or sought help from the police (reported in the field V24D) were recoded to V10N.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review to V10N when the respondent approached the police during their regular work activities, for example, if the respondent was a firefighter, EMT or did volunteer work that brought the respondent into contact with the police department.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24D was changed during the literal response review for V10N	36	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	722	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22A: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (REPORTED CRIME)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22A.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22A when the respondent reported a crime, disturbance, or suspicious activity.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22A	21	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1350	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22B: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (REPORTED NON-CRIME EMERGENCY)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22B.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22B when the respondent reported a non-crime emergency. For example, medical emergencies, fire/smoke alarms, gas leaks, and traffic accidents witnessed by but not involving the respondent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22B	21	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1350	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22C: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (INVOLVED IN A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22C.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22C when the respondent was involved in a traffic accident reported to police, for example, hitting a deer or being involved in a car accident such as a rear-end collision.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22C	6	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1365	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22D: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (STOPPED BY POLICE BUT NOT IN MOVING VEHICLE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22D.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22D when the respondent was stopped by police in public, but not in a moving vehicle, for example, being stopped as a suspicious person or for suspicious behavior.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22D	51	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1320	1.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22E: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (STOPPED WHILE DRIVING A VEHICLE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22E.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22E when the respondent was stopped while driving a motor vehicle. For example, respondent was pulled over for speeding.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22E	57	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1314	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22F: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (RESPONDENT WAS ARRESTED)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22F.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22F when the respondent was arrested during a police contact not already reported.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22F	1	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1370	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22G: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (ACCIDENTALLY CONTACTED POLICE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22G.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22G when the police accidentally contacted the respondent, for example, the police went to the wrong address.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22G	61	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1310	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 73-73 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22H: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (ASSISTANCE WITH ANIMALS)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22H.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22H when the police contacted the respondent about an issue dealing with animals. For example, the police asked about a neighbor's dog or pet or police were

looking for owner of a lost dog.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22H	54	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1317	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 74-74 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22I: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (PARTICIPATED IN ANTI-CRIME PROGRAM)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22I.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22I when the respondent participated in an organized neighborhood watch or other anti-crime program with police, for example, asking the police to speak to students in a classroom or members at a local church, or to participate in boy scout activities.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22I	1	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1370	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 75-75 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22J: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (APPROACHED RESPONDENT WHILE NOT IN PUBLIC)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses

for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22J.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22J when the police approached the respondent when they were not in a public place about a crime, disturbance, complaint, or investigation. For example, the police called or went to the respondent's home or work, looking for information about a crime or suspicious person.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22J	495	0.4 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	876	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 76-76 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22K: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (STOPPED BY POLICE BUT NOT WHILE DRIVING)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22K.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22K when the respondent was riding in a motor vehicle that was pulled over while someone else was driving.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22K	2	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1369	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 77-77 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22L: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (POLICE RESPONSES OUT OF SCOPE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22L.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22L when the police contacts were out of scope. For example, when the respondent reported attending social events or ceremonies with police, seeing police nearby (but not interacting with them), Amber alerts, or police fundraisers.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22L	87	0.1 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1284	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 78-78 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22M: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (POLICE ASSISTED WITH NON-EMERGENCY)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22M.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22M when the police approached the respondent to inform them of something, or to assist with or ask about non-emergency situations. These could include issues in the neighborhood, parking concerns, or wellness checks.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22M	378	0.3 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	993	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 79-79 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22N: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (UNSPECIFIED CATEGORY)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22N.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22N when the police initiated contact with the respondent for some other reason not specified by the other categories, or when there was not enough information to otherwise reclassify the write-in response.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22N	73	0.1 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1298	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 80-80 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22O: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (APPROACHED RESPONDENT DURING REGULAR WORK)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22O.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22O when the police approached the respondent during their regular work activities, for example, if the respondent was a firefighter, EMT, or did volunteer work that brought the respondent into contact with the police department.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22O	52	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1319	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 81-81 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V22P: LITERAL RECODES FOR V24H (NON-EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE)

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the respondent was stopped or approached by the police (reported in the field V24H) were recoded to V22P.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review to V22P when the respondent contacted police for non-emergency assistance, for example, asking for directions, custody enforcement, reporting a road hazard, or applying for permits.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V24H was changed during the literal response review for V22M	13	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	1358	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 82-82 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23A: REPORTED ANY CRIME

Have you reported any kind of crime, disturbance, or suspicious activity to the police in the past 12 months?

Notes: Variables V23A through V24J reflect the final answers to the contact screen questions based on any changes made in the verification section, and these variables determined the detailed questions the respondent answered later in the PPCS interview.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5709	4.4 %
2	No	91016	69.9 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 83-84 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23B: NUMBER OF REPORTED CRIMES IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many times did this happen during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	4383	3.4 %
2	-	717	0.6 %
3	-	256	0.2 %
4	-	117	0.1 %
5	-	62	0.0 %
6	-	43	0.0 %
7	-	7	0.0 %
8	-	10	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	37	0.0 %
11	-	5	0.0 %
12	-	23	0.0 %
14	-	3	0.0 %
15	-	32	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	2	0.0 %
999	Don't know	11	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	124413	95.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,709 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 3.85

- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 47.57

Location: 85-87 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23C: CONTACT WITH POLICE WAS FACE-TO-FACE

Was this contact a face-to-face (in person) interaction with police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2308	1.8 %
2	No	2075	1.6 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125739	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,383 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 88-89 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23D: HOW MANY CONTACTS WERE FACE-TO-FACE WITH POLICE

How many of these contacts were face-to-face (in person) interactions with police?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	389	0.3 %
1	-	299	0.2 %
2	-	385	0.3 %
3	-	105	0.1 %
4	-	41	0.0 %
5	-	28	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	16	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %
8	-	4	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	17	0.0 %
12	-	9	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	15	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	128809	99.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,313 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 2.56
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.62

Location: 90-92 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23E: REPORTED NON-CRIME EMERGENCY

Have you reported an EMERGENCY that was NOT a crime to the police? These could include medical emergencies, or a traffic accident that you witnessed but were not involved in.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3490	2.7 %
2	No	93235	71.7 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 93-94 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23F: NUMBER OF REPORTED NON-CRIME EMERGENCIES IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many times did this happen during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	2828	2.2 %
2	-	415	0.3 %
3	-	119	0.1 %
4	-	38	0.0 %
5	-	35	0.0 %
6	-	19	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	8	0.0 %
12	-	20	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	126632	97.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,490 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 2.24
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.27

Location: 95-97 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23G: NON-CRIME CONTACT WITH POLICE WAS FACE-TO-FACE

Was this contact a face-to-face (in person) interaction with police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1193	0.9 %
2	No	1634	1.3 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	127294	97.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 2,828 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 98-99 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23H: HOW MANY NON-CRIME POLICE CONTACTS WERE FACE-TO-FACE

How many of these contacts were face-to-face (in person) interactions with police?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	286	0.2 %
1	-	97	0.1 %
2	-	182	0.1 %
3	-	41	0.0 %
4	-	13	0.0 %
5	-	13	0.0 %
6	-	8	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	3	0.0 %
12	-	11	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Out of universe	129463	99.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 659 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 5.95
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 67.24

Location: 100-102 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23I: APPROACHED FOR NON-EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE

In the past 12 months, have you contacted or approached police for NON-EMERGENCY assistance such as asking directions, custody enforcement, court orders, or any other non-emergency situation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2946	2.3 %
2	No	93779	72.1 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 103-104 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23J: APPROACHED FOR NON-EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many times did this happen during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	2217	1.7 %
2	-	402	0.3 %
3	-	132	0.1 %
4	-	43	0.0 %
5	-	24	0.0 %
6	-	31	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %
8	-	5	0.0 %
9	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	21	0.0 %
11	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	15	0.0 %
15	-	6	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	9	0.0 %
24	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	16	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	9	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	127176	97.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 2,946 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 4.90
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 55.14

Location: 105-107 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23K: NON-EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE CONTACT WITH POLICE WAS FACE-TO-FACE

Was this contact a face-to-face (in person) interaction with police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1551	1.2 %
2	No	665	0.5 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	127905	98.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 2,217 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 108-109 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23L: HOW MANY NON-EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE POLICE CONTACTS WERE FACE-TO-FACE

How many of these contacts were face-to-face (in person) interactions with police?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	155	0.1 %
1	-	94	0.1 %
2	-	268	0.2 %
3	-	81	0.1 %
4	-	23	0.0 %
5	-	12	0.0 %
6	-	22	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	16	0.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	11	0.0 %
15	-	5	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.0 %
24	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	12	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129402	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 720 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 3.22
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 36.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.72

Location: 110-112 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23M: PARTICIPATED IN BLOCK WATCH

In the past 12 months, have you participated in an organized neighborhood watch or other anti-crime programs WITH police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	459	0.4 %
2	No	96266	74.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 113-114 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23N: HOW MANY TIMES PARTICIPATED IN A BLOCK WATCH PROGRAM IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many times did you participate in an organized neighborhood watch program with police during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	271	0.2 %
2	-	68	0.1 %
3	-	29	0.0 %
4	-	9	0.0 %
5	-	7	0.0 %
6	-	15	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
8	-	4	0.0 %
10	-	4	0.0 %
12	-	24	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	3	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	8	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	8	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129663	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 459 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 20.71
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 130.56

Location: 115-117 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23O: BLOCK WATCH CONTACT WITH POLICE WAS FACE-TO-FACE

Was this contact a face-to-face (in person) interaction with police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	175	0.1 %
2	No	96	0.1 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129851	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 271 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 118-119 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23P: HOW MANY BLOCK WATCH POLICE CONTACTS WERE FACE-TO-FACE

How many of these contacts were face-to-face (in person) interactions with police?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	41	0.0 %
1	-	25	0.0 %
2	-	48	0.0 %
3	-	14	0.0 %
4	-	5	0.0 %
5	-	6	0.0 %
6	-	7	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	17	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129942	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 180 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 20.73
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 127.88

Location: 120-122 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23Q: INVOLVED IN A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT

In the past 12 months, have you been involved in a traffic accident in which the police came to the scene?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2372	1.8 %
2	No	94353	72.5 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 123-124 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23R: HOW MANY TIMES TRAFFIC ACCIDENT WITH POLICE

How many times did this happen during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	2291	1.8 %
2	-	68	0.1 %
3	-	13	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	127750	98.2 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 2,372 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 1.04
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.22

Location: 125-127 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23S: WERE YOU ARRESTED DURING THIS ACCIDENT

During [this contact/any of these contacts], were you arrested?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	22	0.0 %
2	No	2349	1.8 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	1	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	127750	98.2 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 2,372 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 98.00

Location: 128-129 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V1: HOW OFTEN DRIVE

Before continuing with additional questions about contacts you may have had with the police, I would like to find out how often you usually drove in the past 12 months. Did you drive...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Every day or almost every day?	61816	47.5 %
2	A few days a week?	21291	16.4 %
3	A few days a month?	4052	3.1 %
4	A few days a year?	1065	0.8 %
5	Never?	8324	6.4 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	139	0.1 %
99	Don't know	38	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 130-131 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23T: STOPPED WHILE DRIVING VEHICLE

Have you been pulled over by the police while driving a motor vehicle, NOT including any driving violations captured by camera and ticketed by mail?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4362	3.4 %
2	No	92363	71.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 132-133 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23U: HOW MANY TIMES STOPPED WHILE DRIVING VEHICLE IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many times did this happen during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	3848	3.0 %
2	-	377	0.3 %
3	-	87	0.1 %
4	-	22	0.0 %
5	-	28	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	125760	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,362 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 1.17
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.54

Location: 134-136 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23V: WERE YOU ARRESTED DURING THIS STOP

During [this contact/any of these contacts], were you arrested?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	84	0.1 %
2	No	4278	3.3 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125760	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,362 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 137-138 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23W: STOPPED BY POLICE BUT NOT WHILE DRIVING A VEHICLE

Have you been riding in a motor vehicle that was pulled over by police while someone else was driving?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1239	1.0 %
2	No	95486	73.4 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 139-140 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23X: HOW MANY TIMES PASSENGER IN A VEHICLE STOPPED BY POLICE IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many times did this happen during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1135	0.9 %
2	-	75	0.1 %
3	-	18	0.0 %
4	-	11	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	128883	99.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,239 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 1.12
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Location: 141-143 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V23Y: WERE YOU ARRESTED DURING THIS/ANY STOPS

During [this contact/any of these contacts], were you arrested?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4	0.0 %
2	No	1235	0.9 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128883	99.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,239 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 144-145 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V23Z: STOPPED BY POLICE BUT NOT IN MOVING VEHICLE

In the past 12 months, have you been stopped by the police while standing, walking, or sitting in a public place or sitting in a parked vehicle? This could include being stopped because the police were looking for information, were asking about a crime or disturbance, suspected you of something, or if they stopped you for some other reason.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	791	0.6 %
2	No	95934	73.7 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 146-147 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V24A: HOW MANY TIMES STOPPED BY POLICE BUT NOT IN MOVING VEHICLE IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many times did this happen during the past 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	668	0.5 %
2	-	80	0.1 %
3	-	24	0.0 %
4	-	7	0.0 %
5	-	2	0.0 %
6	-	3	0.0 %
7	-	6	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129331	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 791 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 2.52
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 35.48

Location: 148-150 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V24B: WERE YOU ARRESTED WHILE IN PUBLIC

During [this contact/any of these contacts], were you arrested?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	17	0.0 %
2	No	774	0.6 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129331	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 791 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 151-152 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V24C: RESPONDENT WAS ARRESTED

In the past 12 months, have you been arrested during any contact with the police that you have not told me about yet?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	89	0.1 %
2	No	96636	74.3 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 153-154 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V24J: CONTACT WITH POLICE FOR ANY OTHER REASON IN LAST 12 MONTHS

Other than what you told me about, have you contacted the police or did they initiate contact with you for any other reason?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2279	1.8 %
2	No	94446	72.6 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 155-156 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V24D: SOUGHT HELP FROM POLICE

[Was this contact/Were any of these contacts] initiated by you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	756	0.6 %
2	No	1521	1.2 %
8	Residue	2	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	127843	98.2 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 2,279 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 157-158 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V24E: HOW MANY TIMES SOUGHT HELP FROM POLICE IN LAST 12 MONTHS

How many?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	624	0.5 %
2	-	58	0.0 %
3	-	19	0.0 %
4	-	10	0.0 %
5	-	9	0.0 %
6	-	4	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	5	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	6	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	3	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
96	-	4	0.0 %
98	Residue	8	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129364	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 758 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 3.29
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00
- Standard Deviation: 12.64

Location: 159-161 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V24F: SOUGHT HELP CONTACT WITH POLICE WAS FACE-TO-FACE

Was this contact a face-to-face (in person) interaction with police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	386	0.3 %
2	No	238	0.2 %
8	Residue	8	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129490	99.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 632 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 162-163 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V24G: HOW MANY SOUGHT HELP POLICE CONTACTS WERE FACE-TO-FACE

Of those contacts, how many were face-to-face (in person) interactions with police?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	33	0.0 %
1	-	13	0.0 %
2	-	31	0.0 %
3	-	9	0.0 %
4	-	6	0.0 %
5	-	7	0.0 %
6	-	2	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	5	0.0 %
12	-	6	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
96	-	3	0.0 %
98	Residue	8	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129988	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 134 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 12.57

- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 98.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.35

Location: 164-166 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V24H: CONTACT INITIATED BY POLICE

[Was this contact/Were any of these contacts/Were any of the other contacts] initiated by the police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1370	1.1 %
2	No	191	0.1 %
8	Residue	1	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128560	98.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,562 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 167-168 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V24I: HOW MANY TIMES CONTACT INITIATED BY POLICE

How many?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1170	0.9 %
2	-	112	0.1 %
3	-	39	0.0 %
4	-	9	0.0 %
5	-	3	0.0 %
6	-	5	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	4	0.0 %
10	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	4	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
20	-	3	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	4	0.0 %
30	-	8	0.0 %
98	Residue	5	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	128751	98.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 1.92
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.52

Location: 169-171 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

CHECK_ITEM_B: RESPONDENT ANSWERED YES TO ONE OR MORE SCREENERS

How many screeners (V23A, V23E, V23I, V23M, V23Q, V23T, V23W, V23Z, V24C, V24D or V24H) did the respondent say 'Yes' to?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	78272	60.2 %
2	One	14478	11.1 %
3	More than one	3975	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	33397	25.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 96,725 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

CHECK_ITEM_B1A: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23A

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23A.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3694	2.8 %
2	No	10784	8.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

CHECK_ITEM_B1B: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23E

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23E.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1974	1.5 %
2	No	12504	9.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

CHECK_ITEM_B1C: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23I

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23I.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1581	1.2 %
2	No	12897	9.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 175-175 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1D: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23M

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23M.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	260	0.2 %
2	No	14218	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 176-176 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1E: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23Q

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23Q.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1542	1.2 %
2	No	12936	9.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 177-177 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1F: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23T

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23T.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2931	2.3 %
2	No	11547	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 178-178 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1G: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23W

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23W.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	742	0.6 %
2	No	13736	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 179-179 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1H: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V23Z

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V23Z.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	413	0.3 %
2	No	14065	10.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 180-180 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1I: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V24C

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V24C.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	40	0.0 %
2	No	14438	11.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 181-181 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1J: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V24D

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V24D.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	446	0.3 %
2	No	14032	10.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 182-182 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B1K: RESPONDENT ONLY ANSWERED YES TO V24H

The respondent only answered 'Yes' to one screener, V24H.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	855	0.7 %
2	No	13623	10.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	115644	88.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 14,478 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 183-183 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V32: MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE

We just talked about several contacts with the police. Which of these was the most recent?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	You reported a crime, disturbance, or suspicious activity to the police	845	0.6 %
2	You reported an emergency that was not a crime to the police	578	0.4 %
3	You contacted or approached the police for non-emergency assistance	624	0.5 %
4	You participated in a neighborhood watch WITH police	75	0.1 %
5	You were involved in a traffic accident in which the police came to the scene	415	0.3 %
6	You were stopped by the police while driving a motor vehicle	655	0.5 %
7	You were riding in a motor vehicle that was stopped by the police	187	0.1 %
8	You were stopped by the police in a public place, but not while driving or riding in a vehicle	173	0.1 %
9	You were arrested during a contact with police not previously mentioned	15	0.0 %
10	You initiated contact with the police for something else not already mentioned	124	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	The police initiated contact with you for something else not already mentioned	279	0.2 %
98	Residue	1	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00

Location: 184-186 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

CHECK_ITEM_B2A: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23A AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23A as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	845	0.6 %
2	No	3130	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 187-187 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2B: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23E AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23E as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	578	0.4 %
2	No	3397	2.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 188-188 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2C: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23I AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23I as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	624	0.5 %
2	No	3351	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 189-189 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2D: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23M AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23M as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	75	0.1 %
2	No	3900	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 190-190 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2E: REPSONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23Q AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23Q as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	415	0.3 %
2	No	3560	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 191-191 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2F: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23T AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23T as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	655	0.5 %
2	No	3320	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 192-192 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2G: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23W AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23W as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	187	0.1 %
2	No	3788	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 193-193 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2H: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V23Z AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V23Z as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	173	0.1 %
2	No	3802	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 194-194 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2I: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V24C AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V24C as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	15	0.0 %
2	No	3960	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 195-195 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2J: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V24D AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V224D as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	124	0.1 %
2	No	3851	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 196-196 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B2K: RESPONDENT REPORTED MULTIPLE CONTACTS WITH POLICE AND V24H AS MOST RECENT

The respondent reported multiple contacts with police and reported V24H as the most recent.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	279	0.2 %
2	No	3696	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126147	96.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,975 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 197-197 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V33: MONTH OF POLICE CONTACT IN A PUBLIC PLACE BUT NOT DRIVING

During what month and year did that contact occur?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	January	605	0.5 %
2	February	767	0.6 %
3	March	1100	0.8 %
4	April	1296	1.0 %
5	May	1610	1.2 %
6	June	2162	1.7 %
7	July	2509	1.9 %
8	August	2181	1.7 %
9	September	1746	1.3 %
10	October	1585	1.2 %
11	November	1170	0.9 %
12	December	709	0.5 %
96	Outside the reference period	0	0.0 %
97	Don't know exact month within reference period	1002	0.8 %
98	Residue	11	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 198-199 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V33A: DID YOU MEAN 2021 OR 2022

Did you mean [MONTH] 2021, or [MONTH] 2022?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	2021	472	0.4 %
2	2022	1584	1.2 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128066	98.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 2,056 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 200-200 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V344: TIME OF DAY OF CONTACT

About what time of day did this contact occur?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	After 6 a.m. to 12 noon	4034	3.1 %
2	After 12 noon to 6 p.m.	8002	6.1 %
3	Don't know what time of day	494	0.4 %
4	After 6 p.m. to 12 midnight	4056	3.1 %
5	After 12 midnight to 6 a.m.	1127	0.9 %
6	Don't know what time of night	230	0.2 %
7	Don't know whether day or night	150	0.1 %
98	Residue	14	0.0 %
998	Refused	11	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	112004	86.1 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,118 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 998.00

Location: 201-203 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V345: MINUTES OF CONTACT

About how many minutes would you say this contact lasted?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5 minutes	7881	6.1 %
2	6-10 minutes	3819	2.9 %
3	11-15 minutes	2116	1.6 %
4	16-20 minutes	1305	1.0 %
5	21-30 minutes	1342	1.0 %
6	31-45 minutes	591	0.5 %
7	46-60 minutes (more than 45 minutes to an hour)	539	0.4 %
8	61-90 minutes (more than an hour to 1 1/2 hours)	179	0.1 %
9	91-120 minutes (more than 1 1/2 hours to 2 hours)	110	0.1 %
10	More than 120 minutes (more than 2 hours)	113	0.1 %
98	Residue	18	0.0 %
998	Refused	15	0.0 %
999	Don't know	90	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	112004	86.1 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,118 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00

Location: 204-206 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V346: APPROPRIATE AMOUNT OF TIME SPENT

Would you say the police spent an appropriate amount of time with you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	16550	12.7 %
2	No, too much time	477	0.4 %
3	No, too little time	602	0.5 %
4	Don't know	457	0.4 %
8	Residue	22	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	112004	86.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,118 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 207-208 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3A: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23A

The respondent's only or most recent contact was reporting any kind of crime, disturbance, or suspicious activity to the police.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4539	3.5 %
2	No	13914	10.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 209-209 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3B: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23E

The respondent's only or most recent contact was reporting an emergency that was not a crime to police.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2552	2.0 %
2	No	15901	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 210-210 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

CHECK_ITEM_B3C: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23I

The respondent's only or most recent contact was contacting or approaching the police for non-emergency assistance.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2205	1.7 %
2	No	16248	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 211-211 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3D: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23M

The respondent's only or most recent contact was participating in an organized neighborhood watch or other anti-crime programs with police.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	335	0.3 %
2	No	18118	13.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 212-212 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3E: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23Q

The respondent's only or most recent contact was a traffic accident involving the respondent in which the police came to the scene.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1957	1.5 %
2	No	16496	12.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 213-213 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3F: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23T

The respondent's only or most recent contact was being pulled over by the police while driving a motor vehicle.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3586	2.8 %
2	No	14867	11.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 214-214 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3G: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23W

The respondent's only or most recent contact was riding in a motor vehicle that was pulled over by police while someone else was driving.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	929	0.7 %
2	No	17524	13.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 215-215 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3H: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V23Z

The respondent's only or most recent contact was being stopped by police while standing, walking, or sitting in a public place or sitting in a parked vehicle.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	586	0.5 %
2	No	17867	13.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 216-216 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3I: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V24C

The respondent's only or most recent contact was being arrested during a contact with police not previously mentioned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	55	0.0 %
2	No	18398	14.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 217-217 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3J: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V24D

The respondent initiated the only or most recent contact with police for something else not already mentioned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	570	0.4 %
2	No	17883	13.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 218-218 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_B3K: RESPONDENT ONLY OR MOST RECENT CONTACT WAS V24H

The police initiated the only or most recent contact with the respondent for something else not already mentioned.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1134	0.9 %
2	No	17319	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 219-219 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V36: ANY OTHER PERSONS WITH YOU AT THE TIME OF STOP

Was anyone else with you at the time of the stop? Please remember to include babies or small children.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	285	0.2 %
2	No	301	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129536	99.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 586 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 220-221 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V38: HOW MANY OTHER PERSONS WERE WITH YOU AT THE TIME OF THE STOP

How many other people were with you at the time of the stop?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	151	0.1 %
2	-	36	0.0 %
3	-	42	0.0 %
4	-	16	0.0 %
5	-	12	0.0 %
6	-	11	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	7	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
999	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129837	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 285 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 2.68
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 20.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.26

Location: 222-224 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V34: POLICE GIVE REASON FOR STOPPING YOU

Did the police give a reason for stopping you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	520	0.4 %
2	No	64	0.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	1	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129536	99.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 586 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 225-226 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V35: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V34

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V34 was not changed during the literal response review.	586	0.5 %
1	Original value for V34 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129536	99.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 586 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 227-227 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V39: REASON FOR STOP: SUSPECT YOU OF SOMETHING

With this next question, I'm going to read a list of possible reasons that an officer may have given for stopping you. You can say yes to more than one reason but please choose ones that best fit the reason or reasons the officer(s) gave you during your most recent contact with police. Did the police say they suspected you of something?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	91	0.1 %
2	No	428	0.3 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 228-229 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V40: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V39

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V39 was not changed during the literal response review.	498	0.4 %
1	Original value for V39 was changed during the literal response review.	22	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 230-230 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

V41: REASON FOR STOP: MATCH SOMEONES DESCRIPTION

Did the police say you matched the description of someone they were looking for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	26	0.0 %
2	No	493	0.4 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 231-232 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V42: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V41

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V41 was not changed during the literal response review.	518	0.4 %
1	Original value for V41 was changed during the literal response review.	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 233-233 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V43: REASON FOR STOP: SEEKING INFORMATION

Were the police seeking information about another person?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	209	0.2 %
2	No	309	0.2 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 234-235 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V44: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V43

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V43 was not changed during the literal response review.	510	0.4 %
1	Original value for V43 was changed during the literal response review.	10	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 236-236 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V45: REASON FOR STOP: INVESTIGATING A CRIME

Were the police investigating a crime?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	162	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	345	0.3 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	13	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 237-238 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V46: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V45

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V45 was not changed during the literal response review.	516	0.4 %
1	Original value for V45 was changed during the literal response review.	4	0.0 %
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 130,122 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 9.00

Location: 239-239 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V47: REASON FOR STOP: PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO YOU

Were the police providing a service or assistance to you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	113	0.1 %
2	No	406	0.3 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 240-241 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V48: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V47

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V47 was not changed during the literal response review.	503	0.4 %
1	Original value for V47 was changed during the literal response review.	17	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 242-242 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_C: WAS RESPONDENT ALONE AT THE TIME OF THE STOP

Was the respondent alone at the time of the stop?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	259	0.2 %
2	No	261	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 243-243 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V49: REASON FOR STOP: SOMEONE WITH YOU MATCH DESCRIPTION

Did someone you were with match the description of someone the police were looking for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	10	0.0 %
2	No	249	0.2 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129861	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 261 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 244-245 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V50: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V49

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V49 was not changed during the literal response review.	261	0.2 %
1	Original value for V49 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129861	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 261 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 246-246 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V51: REASON FOR STOP: SOMEONE YOU WERE WITH SUSPECTED OF SOMETHING

Was someone you were with suspected of something?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	22	0.0 %
2	No	238	0.2 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129861	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 261 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 247-248 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V52: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V51

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V51 was not changed during the literal response review.	261	0.2 %
1	Original value for V51 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129861	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 261 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 0.00

Location: 249-249 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V53: REASON FOR STOP: OTHER

Was there some other reason?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
2	No	519	0.4 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 250-251 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V54: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V53

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V53 was not changed during the literal response review.	263	0.2 %
1	Original value for V53 was changed during the literal response review.	257	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 252-252 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V62: REASON FOR STOP - INFRACTION

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V53) were recoded to V62.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V53 was changed during the literal response review to V62 when the respondent was stopped by police in public due to an infraction

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V53 was changed during the literal response review to V62	48	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	472	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 253-253 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V63: REASON FOR STOP - PARKING OR DRIVING VIOLATION

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V53) were recoded to V63.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V53 was changed during the literal response review to V63 when the respondent was stopped by police in public due to a parking or driving violation.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V53 was changed during the literal response review to V63	25	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	495	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 254-254 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V64: REASON FOR STOP - UNSPECIFIED

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V53) were recoded to V64.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V53 was changed during the literal response review to V64 when there were not enough

similar verbatim responses to create a new category. If not recoded, these would have remained in the variable V53.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V53 was changed during the literal response review to V64	57	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	463	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129602	99.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 255-255 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V66: OFFICER(S) HAD A LEGITIMATE REASON FOR STOPPING YOU

Would you say the police had a legitimate reason for stopping you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	451	0.3 %
2	No	86	0.1 %
3	Don't know	48	0.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129536	99.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 586 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 98.00

Location: 256-257 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V205: OTHERS IN CAR DURING TIME

Was anyone else in the vehicle with you at the time of the traffic stop? Please remember to include babies and small children.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1052	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	2522	1.9 %
8	Residue	7	0.0 %
98	Refused	1	0.0 %
99	Don't know	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	126535	97.2 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 3,587 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 258-259 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V206: NUMBER OTHERS IN CAR

How many people OTHER than you were in the vehicle at the time of the traffic stop? [(If the respondent's only or most recent contact was riding in a motor vehicle that was stopped by police): Please remember to include the driver, babies and small children.]

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1179	0.9 %
2	-	422	0.3 %
3	-	231	0.2 %
4	-	90	0.1 %
5	-	33	0.0 %
6	-	9	0.0 %
7	-	10	0.0 %
98	Residue	9	0.0 %
998	Refused	3	0.0 %
999	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	128134	98.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,988 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Mean: 4.65

- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 50.34

Location: 260-262 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V210: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE

Did the police give a reason for stopping the vehicle?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4417	3.4 %
2	No	60	0.0 %
3	Don't know	25	0.0 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125606	96.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,516 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 263-264 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V211: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V210

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V210 was not changed during the literal response review.	4516	3.5 %
1	Original value for V210 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125606	96.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,516 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

V212: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - SPEEDING

With this next question, I'm going to read a list of 11 possible reasons that an officer may have given for stopping you. You can say yes to more than one reason but please choose ones that best fit the reason or reasons the officer(s) gave you during your most recent contact with police. Were you stopped for speeding?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2342	1.8 %
2	No	2071	1.6 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	3	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 266-267 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V213: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V212

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V212 was not changed during the literal response review.	4427	3.4 %
1	Original value for V212 was changed during the literal response review.	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 268-268 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V230: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - RECKLESS

Were you stopped for aggressive or reckless driving?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	117	0.1 %
2	No	4295	3.3 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	3	0.0 %
99	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 269-270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V231: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V230

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V230 was not changed during the literal response review.	4386	3.4 %
1	Original value for V230 was changed during the literal response review.	43	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 271-271 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V214: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - DEFECT

Were you stopped for vehicle defect like headlight or tail light out, window tinting, or obstructed plates?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	566	0.4 %
2	No	3846	3.0 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 272-273 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V215: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V214

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V214 was not changed during the literal response review.	4416	3.4 %
1	Original value for V214 was changed during the literal response review.	13	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 274-274 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V216: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - RECORD CHECK

Were you stopped for issues with or check of driver's license, license plate, or vehicle registration?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	626	0.5 %
2	No	3784	2.9 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 275-276 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V217: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V216

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V216 was not changed during the literal response review.	4396	3.4 %
1	Original value for V216 was changed during the literal response review.	33	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 277-277 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V218: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - ROADSIDE CHECK

Were you stopped for roadside check for drunk drivers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	56	0.0 %
2	No	4356	3.3 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 278-279 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V219: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V218

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V218 was not changed during the literal response review.	4426	3.4 %
1	Original value for V218 was changed during the literal response review.	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 280-280 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V220: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - SEATBELT VIOLATION

Were you stopped for seatbelt violation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	101	0.1 %
2	No	4311	3.3 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 281-282 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V221: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V220

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V220 was not changed during the literal response review.	4428	3.4 %
1	Original value for V220 was changed during the literal response review.	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 283-283 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V222: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - ILLEGAL LANE

Were you stopped for illegal turn or improper lane change?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	242	0.2 %
2	No	4170	3.2 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 284-285 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

V223: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V222

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V222 was not changed during the literal response review.	4414	3.4 %
1	Original value for V222 was changed during the literal response review.	15	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 286-286 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V224: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - STOP SIGN OR STOP LIGHT VIOLATION

Were you stopped for stop sign or stop light violation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	320	0.2 %
2	No	4092	3.1 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 287-288 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V225: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V224

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V224 was not changed during the literal response review.	4425	3.4 %
1	Original value for V224 was changed during the literal response review.	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 289-289 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V226: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - CELLPHONE

Were you stopped for using a cell phone while driving, including talking or texting?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	99	0.1 %
2	No	4313	3.3 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 290-291 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V227: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V226

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V226 was not changed during the literal response review.	4428	3.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V226 was changed during the literal response review.	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 292-292 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V232: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - INVESTIGATION

Were you stopped for police conducting an investigation or suspicious activity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	57	0.0 %
2	No	4354	3.3 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 293-294 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V233: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V233

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V232 was not changed during the literal response review.	4418	3.4 %
1	Original value for V232 was changed during the literal response review.	11	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 295-295 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V234: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - IMPROPER LANE

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V228) were recoded to V234.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V234 when the respondent reported that they were stopped by the police for being in the wrong lane, they failed to change lanes when passing a police car on the side of the road, or for staying in the left lane too long after passing a car.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V234	29	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	4400	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 296-296 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V228: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - OTHER

Were you stopped for some other reason?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
2	No	4413	3.4 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %
98	Refused	3	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 297-298 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V229: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V228

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V228 was not changed during the literal response review.	4072	3.1 %
1	Original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review.	357	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 299-299 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V246: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - OTHER TRAFFIC VIOLATION

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V228) were recoded to V246.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V246 when the respondent reported that they were stopped by the police for another traffic violation, such as illegally backing up on a highway, failure to yield, blocking, or driving too slow.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V246	39	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	4390	3.4 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 300-300 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V247: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - UNSPECIFIED

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V228) were recoded to V247.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V247 when there were not enough similar verbatim responses to create a new category. If not recoded, these would have remained in the variable V228.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review for V247	55	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	4374	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 301-301 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V248: WAS STOP LEGITIMATE

Would you say the police had a legitimate reason for stopping you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3881	3.0 %
2	No	454	0.3 %
3	Don't know	165	0.1 %
8	Residue	12	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125606	96.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,516 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 302-303 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V250: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - LIGHTS

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V228) were recoded to V250.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V250 when the respondent reported that they were stopped by the police because of the lights on their car, such as the lights not being on or failure to dim high beams.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V250	32	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	4397	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 304-304 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V251: REASON FOR STOPPING VEHICLE - INSPECTION

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for the reasons the police gave for stopping the respondent in a public place (reported in the field V228) were recoded to V251.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review to V251 when the respondent

reported that they were stopped by the police because of an inspection.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V228 was changed during the literal response review for V251	35	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	4394	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125693	96.6 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,429 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 305-305 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V65: AT THE TIME YOU WERE STOPPED, HOW MANY OFFICERS WERE PRESENT

How many officers were present at the beginning of the stop?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	One officer	4332	3.3 %
2	Two officers	574	0.4 %
3	More than two officers	143	0.1 %
4	Don't know	33	0.0 %
8	Residue	14	0.0 %
98	Refused	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125020	96.1 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,102 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 98.00

Location: 306-307 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V67A: WAS THE POLICE OFFICER MALE OR FEMALE

Was the police officer male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	4056	3.1 %
2	Female	255	0.2 %
3	Don't know	18	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
98	Refused	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 308-309 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V67: WAS THE POLICE OFFICER OF HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN

Was the police officer of Hispanic or Latino origin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	239	0.2 %
2	No	3372	2.6 %
3	Don't know	714	0.5 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
98	Refused	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 310-311 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V68: OFFICER 1 RACE

What race or races was the police officer? You may select more than one. Was the officer White?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	648	0.5 %
1	Response selected	3675	2.8 %
3	Refused	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 312-312 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V69: OFFICER 2 RACE

What race or races was the police officer? You may select more than one. Was the officer Black or African American?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	4106	3.2 %
1	Response selected	217	0.2 %
3	Refused	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 313-313 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V70: OFFICER 3 RACE

What race or races was the police officer? You may select more than one. Was the officer American Indian or Alaska Native?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	4312	3.3 %
1	Response selected	11	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Refused	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 314-314 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V71: OFFICER 4 RACE

What race or races was the police officer? You may select more than one. Was the officer Asian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	4287	3.3 %
1	Response selected	36	0.0 %
3	Refused	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 315-315 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V72: OFFICER 5 RACE

What race or races was the police officer? You may select more than one. Was the officer Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	4315	3.3 %
1	Response selected	8	0.0 %
3	Refused	7	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 316-316 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V73: OFFICER 6 RACE

What race or races was the police officer? You may select more than one. Was the officer Don't Know?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	3925	3.0 %
1	Response selected	398	0.3 %
3	Refused	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	125776	96.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 4,346 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 317-317 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V74A: WERE THE POLICE OFFICERS MALE OR FEMALE

Were the police officers male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All male	536	0.4 %
2	All female	12	0.0 %
3	Both male and female	150	0.1 %
4	Don't know	18	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 318-319 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V74B: WERE THE POLICE OFFICERS MOSTLY MALE OR MOSTLY FEMALE

Were most of the police officers male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Most were male	40	0.0 %
2	Most were female	4	0.0 %
3	Evenly divided	5	0.0 %
4	Don't know	3	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	130055	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 67 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 320-321 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V74C: ONE OR MORE OF THE POLICE OFFICERS OF HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN

Was one or more of the police officers of Hispanic or Latino origin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	87	0.1 %
2	No	417	0.3 %
3	Don't know	212	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 322-323 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V74D: WERE THE OFFICERS MOSTLY HISPANIC

Were most of the officers Hispanic, non-Hispanic, or an equal number of Hispanic and non-Hispanic?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Most were Hispanic or Latino	14	0.0 %
2	Most were non-Hispanic	15	0.0 %
3	Equal number of Hispanic and non-Hispanic	50	0.0 %
4	Don't know	8	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	130020	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 102 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 324-325 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V75: OFFICERS' RACE 1

What race or races were the officers? You may select more than one. Were they White?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	103	0.1 %
1	Response selected	612	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Refused	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 326-326 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V76: OFFICERS' RACE 2

What race or races were the officers? You may select more than one. Were they Black or African American?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	637	0.5 %
1	Response selected	78	0.1 %
3	Refused	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 327-327 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V77: OFFICERS' RACE 3

What race or races were the officers? You may select more than one. Were they American Indian or Alaska Native?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	713	0.5 %
1	Response selected	2	0.0 %
3	Refused	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 328-328 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V78: OFFICERS' RACE 4

What race or races were the officers? You may select more than one. Were they Asian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	709	0.5 %
1	Response selected	6	0.0 %
3	Refused	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 329-329 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V79: OFFICERS' RACE 5

What race or races were the officers? You may select more than one. Were they Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	709	0.5 %
1	Response selected	6	0.0 %
3	Refused	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 330-330 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V80: OFFICERS' RACE 6

What race or races were the officers? You may select more than one. Were they don't know?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Response not selected	619	0.5 %
1	Response selected	96	0.1 %
3	Refused	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 331-331 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_D: MORE THAN 1 ITEM IN V75 THROUGH V80 MARKED '1'

Is more than one item V75 through V80 marked '1'?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	84	0.1 %
2	No	647	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129391	99.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 731 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 332-332 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V81: WHAT RACE ARE MOST OF THE OFFICERS

What race were most of the officers? Were most of the officers...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White?	30	0.0 %
2	Black or African American?	5	0.0 %
3	American Indian or Alaska Native?	0	0.0 %
4	Asian?	0	0.0 %
5	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander?	1	0.0 %
6	Equal number of each race	38	0.0 %
7	Don't know	10	0.0 %
98	Residue	0	0.0 %
998	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	130038	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 84 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 333-335 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V249: DID OFFICER GIVE YOU A TICKET

During this contact were you given a ticket? Please do not include any verbal or written warning given to you by the police.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1926	1.5 %
2	No	6216	4.8 %
3	Don't know	24	0.0 %
8	Residue	21	0.0 %
98	Refused	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121929	93.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,193 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 336-337 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V321: WAS RESPONDENT TICKETED FOR SAME THING STOPPED FOR

Were you ticketed for the same thing that you were stopped for, or for something different?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The same thing	1786	1.4 %
2	Something different	136	0.1 %
8	Residue	21	0.0 %
98	Refused	2	0.0 %
99	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	128175	98.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 1,947 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 338-339 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V322: WAS RESPONDENT GIVEN VERBAL WARNING

Were you given a verbal warning?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1994	1.5 %
2	No	6124	4.7 %
3	Don't know	45	0.0 %
8	Residue	21	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121929	93.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,193 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 340-341 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V323: WAS RESPONDENT GIVEN WRITTEN WARNING

Were you given a written warning?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	754	0.6 %
2	No	7343	5.6 %
3	Don't know	65	0.0 %
8	Residue	21	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121929	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,193 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 342-343 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_E: WAS RESPONDENT IN MORE THAN ONE STREET STOP, TRAFFIC STOP, OR ACCIDENT IN LAST 12 MONTHS

STREET STOP PATH: Was the respondent involved in more than one street stop during the prior 12 months and was the respondent arrested during the street stop?

TRAFFIC STOP PATH: Was the respondent involved in more than one traffic stop during the prior 12 months and was the respondent arrested during a traffic stop?

ACCIDENT/OTHER INVOLUNTARY PATH: Was the respondent involved in more than one traffic accident during the prior 12 months and was the respondent arrested during contact involving a traffic accident?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	22	0.0 %
2	No	8171	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121929	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,193 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 344-344 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V324: WAS RESPONDENT ARRESTED

You reported earlier that you were arrested during a contact with the police. Were you arrested during this most recent contact?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	13	0.0 %
2	No	8	0.0 %
3	Don't know	0	0.0 %
8	Residue	1	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	130100	100.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 22 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 345-346 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V327: DID OFFICER SEARCH FRISK OR PAT YOU DOWN

At any time during this contact, did the police search you, frisk you, or pat you down?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	151	0.1 %
2	No	8056	6.2 %
3	Don't know	9	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Residue	21	0.0 %
98	Refused	11	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 347-348 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V333: OFFICER HAD LEGITIMATE REASON TO SEARCH FRISK OR PAT DOWN

Do you think the police had a legitimate reason to search you, frisk you, or pat you down?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	88	0.1 %
2	No	61	0.0 %
3	Don't know	2	0.0 %
8	Residue	21	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129950	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 172 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 349-350 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_F: WAS RESPONDENT MOST RECENT CONTACT TRAFFIC STOP OR ACCIDENT

Was the most recent contact a traffic stop or traffic accident?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	6472	5.0 %
2	No	1776	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 351-351 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V325: DID OFFICER CONDUCT VEHICLE SEARCH

At any time during the contact, did the police conduct a vehicle search?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	124	0.1 %
2	No	6288	4.8 %
3	Don't know	34	0.0 %
8	Residue	18	0.0 %
98	Refused	8	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	123650	95.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 6,472 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 352-353 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V332: OFFICER HAD LEGITIMATE REASON FOR VEHICLE SEARCH

Do you think the police had a legitimate reason to search the vehicle?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	66	0.1 %
2	No	51	0.0 %
3	Don't know	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	18	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129980	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 142 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 354-355 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_G: WAS RESPONDENT OR VEHICLE SEARCHED

Did the police search the respondent or the vehicle?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	212	0.2 %
2	No	8036	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 356-356 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V334: DID OFFICER FIND ILLEGAL ITEMS DURING SEARCH

During the search, did the police find any illegal items, such as a weapon, drugs, or an open container of alcohol?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	21	0.0 %
2	No	190	0.1 %
3	Don't know	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129910	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 212 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 357-358 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_H: RESPONDENT ACCIDENT/OTHER INVOLUNTARY PATH, STREET STOP PATH, TRAFFIC STOP PATH, ARREST PATH

ACCIDENT/OTHER INVOLUNTARY PATH: Was the respondent arrested and a search of vehicle or respondent performed?

STREET STOP PATH: Was the respondent arrested and personally searched?

TRAFFIC STOP PATH: Was the respondent arrested and a search of vehicle or respondent performed?

ARREST PATH: Was the respondent arrested and a search of vehicle or respondent performed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	83	0.1 %
2	No	8165	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 359-359 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V335: DID SEARCH OCCUR BEFORE ARREST

Earlier you said that you were arrested during the stop. Did the search occur before you were arrested?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	44	0.0 %
2	No	36	0.0 %
3	Don't know	3	0.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Out of universe	130039	99.9 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 83 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 360-361 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V279: DID POLICE SHOUT

At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: shout at you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	109	0.1 %
2	No	8105	6.2 %
8	Residue	23	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 362-363 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V280: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V279

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V279 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V279 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 364-364 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V281: POLICE CURSE

At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: curse at you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	38	0.0 %
2	No	8174	6.3 %
8	Residue	23	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
99	Don't know	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 365-366 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V282: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V281

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V281 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V281 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 367-367 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

V283: POLICE THREATEN ARREST

At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: threaten to arrest you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	89	0.1 %
2	No	8125	6.2 %
8	Residue	23	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
99	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 368-369 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V284: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V283

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V283 was not changed during the literal response review.	8247	6.3 %
1	Original value for V283 was changed during the literal response review.	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 370-370 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V285: POLICE THREATEN TICKET

At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: threaten you with a ticket (or other tickets)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	182	0.1 %
2	No	8030	6.2 %
8	Residue	23	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
99	Don't know	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 371-372 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V286: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V285

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V285 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V285 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 0.00

Location: 373-373 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V287: POLICE THREATEN FORCE

At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: threaten to use force against you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	29	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	8184	6.3 %
8	Residue	23	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
99	Don't know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 374-375 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V288: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V287

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V287 was not changed during the literal response review.	8247	6.3 %
1	Original value for V287 was changed during the literal response review.	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 376-376 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V291: POLICE HANDCUFF

At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: handcuff you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	123	0.1 %
2	No	8091	6.2 %
8	Residue	23	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 377-378 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V292: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V291

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V291 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V291 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 0.00

Location: 379-379 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V299: POLICE POINT GUN AT YOU

At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: point or fire a gun at you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	14	0.0 %
2	No	8199	6.3 %
8	Residue	24	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 380-381 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V320: POLICE SPRAY AND/OR USE STUNGUN

This is a new variable added for disclosure purposes based on responses to Item 37i, "At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: spray you with a chemical or pepper spray?" and Item 37j, "At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: use an electroshock weapon against you, such as a stun gun?" and Item 37l, "At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: use any other type of force?".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes for V37i and/or V37j and/or 37l	2	0.0 %
2	Did not select Yes for V37i or V37j or V37l, and selected No for at least one	8211	6.3 %
8	Residue	24	0.0 %
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 382-383 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V320A: POLICE GRAB AND/OR KICK

This is a new variable added for disclosure purposes based on responses to Item 37f, "At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: push or grab you?" and Item 37h, "At any point during the contact, did the police do any of the following: Kick or hit you?"

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes for 37f and/or 37h	36	0.0 %
2	Did not select Yes for 37f or 37h and selected No for at least one	8178	6.3 %
8	Residue	23	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	Refused	10	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 384-385 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V300: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V299

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V299 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V299 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 386-386 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_I: MORE THAN 1 ITEM IN V279 THROUGH V299 MARKED "1"

Is there at least one 'Yes' response in V279 through V299?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	364	0.3 %
2	No	7884	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 387-387 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V304: WERE THESE ACTIONS NECESSARY

You mentioned that the police [all questions marked 'yes' in V279 through V299]. Do you feel (this action was/these actions were) necessary?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	117	0.1 %
2	No	217	0.2 %
3	Don't know	30	0.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129758	99.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 364 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 388-389 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V305: WAS FORCE EXCESSIVE

Do you feel any of the actions used against you were excessive?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	136	0.1 %
2	No	217	0.2 %
3	Don't know	11	0.0 %
8	Residue	0	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129758	99.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 364 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 390-391 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V306: DID YOU DISOBEY THE OFFICER

At any time during this contact, did you disobey or interfere with the officer(s)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	25	0.0 %
2	No	8189	6.3 %
8	Residue	24	0.0 %
98	Refused	8	0.0 %
99	Don't Know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 392-393 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V307: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V306

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V306 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V306 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 394-394 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

V308: DID YOU TRY TO GET AWAY

At any time during this contact, did you try to get away?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5	0.0 %
2	No	8208	6.3 %
8	Residue	24	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
99	Don't Know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 395-396 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V309: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V308

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V308 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V308 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 0.00

Location: 397-397 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V310: DID YOU PUSH, GRAB, OR HIT THE OFFICER

At any time during this contact, did you push, grab, or hit the police officer(s)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5	0.0 %
2	No	8209	6.3 %
8	Residue	24	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
99	Don't Know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 398-399 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V311: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V310

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V310 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V310 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 0.00

Location: 400-400 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V312: DID YOU RESIST BEING HANDCUFFED, ARRESTED, OR SEARCHED

At any time during this contact, did you resist being handcuffed, arrested, or searched?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	10	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	8203	6.3 %
8	Residue	25	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
99	Don't Know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 401-402 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V313: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V312

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V312 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V312 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 0.00

Location: 403-403 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V314: DID YOU COMPLAIN TO THE OFFICER

At any time during this contact, did you complain to the officer(s)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	263	0.2 %
2	No	7949	6.1 %
8	Residue	25	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Don't Know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 99.00

Location: 404-405 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V315: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V314

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V314 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V314 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 0.00

Location: 406-406 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V316: DID YOU ARGUE WITH THE OFFICER

At any time during this contact, did you argue with the police officer(s)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	108	0.1 %
2	No	8105	6.2 %
8	Residue	25	0.0 %
98	Refused	8	0.0 %
99	Don't Know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 407-408 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V317: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V316

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V316 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V316 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 409-409 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V318: DID YOU CURSE, INSULT, OR VERBALLY THREATEN THE OFFICER

At any time during this contact, did you curse at, insult, or verbally threaten the police officer(s)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	22	0.0 %
2	No	8190	6.3 %
8	Residue	25	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
99	Don't Know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 410-411 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V319: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V318

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V318 was not changed during the literal response review.	8248	6.3 %
1	Original value for V318 was changed during the literal response review.	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00

Location: 412-412 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V328: DID YOU PHYSICALLY DO ANYTHING ELSE

At any time during this contact, did you physically do anything else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
2	No	8212	6.3 %
8	Residue	25	0.0 %
98	Refused	9	0.0 %
99	Don't Know	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 413-414 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V329: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V328

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V328 was not changed during the literal response review.	8242	6.3 %
1	Original value for V328 was changed during the literal response review.	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 415-415 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V330: RESPONSE - OTHER UNSPECIFIED

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed post-data collection. Some of the verbatim responses for respondent's actions during the police contact (reported in the field V328) were recoded to V330.

Category 1 denotes the original value for V328 was changed during the literal response review to V330 when there were not enough similar verbatim responses to create a new category. If not recoded, these would have remained in the variable V328.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Original value for V328 was changed during the literal response review for V330	5	0.0 %
8	Residue, variable became on path during the literal response review	8243	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	121874	93.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 8,248 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 416-416 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V337: HOW CONTACT POLICE

How did you contact the police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Called the police (this includes calls made to 911, 311, a sheriff's office or any other type of law enforcement)	7615	5.9 %
2	Went to police station	505	0.4 %
3	Security alarm contacted police	65	0.0 %
4	Someone else contacted the police for me	364	0.3 %
5	Approached an officer in a public place	994	0.8 %
6	Other specify	54	0.0 %
7	Don't remember	31	0.0 %
8	Contacted police online, through text, mail, etc.	116	0.1 %
9	Officer contacted respondent	107	0.1 %
98	Residue	15	0.0 %
998	Refused	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	120255	92.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 9,867 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 998.00

Location: 417-419 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99

V338: LITERAL RESPONSE REVIEW FOR V337

The verbatim responses captured during the original interview were reviewed during post-data collection and coded:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Original value for V337 was not changed during the literal response review.	9332	7.2 %
1	Original value for V337 was changed during the literal response review.	535	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	120255	92.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 9,867 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 420-420 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V339: DID POLICE RESPOND PROMPTLY TO REQUEST

Did the police respond right away to your request?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	8320	6.4 %
2	No	1246	1.0 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
98	Refused	8	0.0 %
99	Don't know	278	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	120255	92.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 9,867 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 421-422 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V340: SITUATION IMPROVE AFTER POLICE CONTACT

Did the situation improve after you contacted the police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	6261	4.8 %
2	No	1591	1.2 %
3	Don't know	1995	1.5 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
98	Refused	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	120255	92.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 9,867 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 423-424 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V341: SATISFIED WITH POLICE RESPONSE

Were you satisfied with the police response during your most recent contact?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	8580	6.6 %
2	No	1189	0.9 %
8	Residue	15	0.0 %
98	Refused	7	0.0 %
99	Don't know	76	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	120255	92.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 9,867 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 425-426 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V342: WOULD YOU BE LIKELY TO CONTACT THE POLICE IN THE FUTURE

Would you be more likely, less likely, or just as likely to contact the police in the future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More likely to contact	2314	1.8 %
2	Less likely to contact	548	0.4 %
3	Just as likely to contact	6797	5.2 %
4	Don't know	187	0.1 %
8	Residue	17	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	120255	92.4 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 9,867 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 427-428 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V347: POLICE BEHAVE PROPERLY

Looking back on this contact, do you feel the police behaved properly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	16628	12.8 %
2	No	903	0.7 %
3	Don't know	529	0.4 %
8	Residue	40	0.0 %
98	Refused	14	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	112008	86.1 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,114 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 429-430 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V347A: POLICE USE SLUR OR DEGRADING NAME

At any point during this contact, did the police refer to you using a slur or call you a degrading name?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	33	0.0 %
2	No	867	0.7 %
3	Don't know	3	0.0 %
8	Residue	40	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129179	99.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 943 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 431-432 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V347D: POLICE RACIAL OR ETHNIC BIAS

Do you feel that any of the police behaviors during this contact were motivated by prejudice or bias against you, due to your actual or perceived race or ethnicity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	113	0.1 %
2	No	739	0.6 %
3	Don't know	51	0.0 %
8	Residue	40	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129179	99.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 943 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 433-434 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V347E: POLICE GENDER OR SEXUAL ORIENTATION BIAS

Do you feel that any of the police behaviors during this contact were motivated by prejudice or bias against you, due to your actual or perceived gender or sexual orientation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	58	0.0 %
2	No	815	0.6 %
3	Don't know	29	0.0 %
8	Residue	41	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129179	99.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 943 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 8.00

Location: 435-436 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V347F: POLICE RELIGIOUS BIAS

Do you feel that any of the police behaviors during this contact were motivated by prejudice or bias against you, due to your actual or perceived religion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4	0.0 %
2	No	884	0.7 %
3	Don't know	14	0.0 %
8	Residue	41	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129179	99.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 943 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 437-438 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V347G: POLICE DISABILITY BIAS

Do you feel that any of the police behaviors during this contact were motivated by prejudice or bias against you, due to your actual or perceived disability?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	15	0.0 %
2	No	871	0.7 %
3	Don't know	16	0.0 %
8	Residue	41	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129179	99.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 943 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 439-440 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V347H: POLICE MAKE SEXUAL COMMENT OR TOUCH YOU SEXUALLY

This is a new variable added for disclosure purposes based on responses to Item 47b, "At any point during this contact, did the police make a sexual comment to you?" and Item 47c, "At any point during this contact, did the police touch you in a sexual way or have any physical contact with you that was sexual in nature?"

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes for 47b and/or 47c	6	0.0 %
2	Did not select Yes 47b or 47c and selected No for at least one	896	0.7 %
3	Don't know	1	0.0 %
8	Residue	40	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	129179	99.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 943 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 441-442 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V348: FILE COMPLAINT AGAINST POLICE

Did you file a complaint against the police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	22	0.0 %
2	No	879	0.7 %
8	Residue	41	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of Universe	129179	99.3 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 943 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 443-444 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V349: WITH WHOM DID YOU FILE THE COMPLAINT

Who did you file the complaint with?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The police	16	0.0 %
4	Don't know	0	0.0 %
8	Residue	42	0.0 %
51	Category added for disclosure purposes if respondent selected citizen review board, or other	5	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	130059	100.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 63 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 51.00

Location: 445-446 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V350B: OUTCOME OF COMPLAINT

What is the current status of your complaint?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Still in progress	7	0.0 %
6	Don't know	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	42	0.0 %
51	Disclosure category if complaint was withdrawn, dismissed, officer(s) were cleared, or officer(s) actions improper	7	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	130059	100.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 63 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 51.00

Location: 447-448 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V350C: HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH HOW THE COMPLAINT WAS HANDLED

How satisfied are you with how the complaint [is being/was] handled? Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Residue	42	0.0 %
51	Category added for disclosure purposes. Respondents selected if very satisfied or somewhat satisfied.	5	0.0 %
52	Category added for disclosure purposes. Respondents selected if somewhat dissatisfied or very dissatisfied.	8	0.0 %
98	Refused	0	0.0 %
99	Don't know	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	130066	100.0 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 56 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 99.00

Location: 449-450 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_J: CONTACT REPORT CRIME, NON-CRIME EMERGENCY, NON-EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE, NOT MENTIONED WAS FACE-TO-FACE LAST 12 MONTHS

Was the respondent's only or most recent contact with police in the prior 12 months to report a crime, disturbance, suspicious person, non-crime emergency to the police, contact the police for non-emergency assistance, or seek help from the police for something not mentioned?

Was the respondent's only or most recent contact with the police in the prior 12 months face-to-face (in person)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5612	4.3 %
2	No	12502	9.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	112008	86.1 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,114 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 451-451 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V351A: POLICE USE FORCE AGAINST RESPONDENT

During [this contact/your most recent contact] with police, did the police USE force against you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	42	0.0 %
2	No	5555	4.3 %
3	Don't know	8	0.0 %
8	Residue	5	0.0 %
98	Refused	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124510	95.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,612 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 452-453 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V351B: POLICE THREATEN FORCE AGAINST RESPONDENT

During [this contact/your most recent contact] with police, did the police THREATEN TO USE force against you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	44	0.0 %
2	No	5552	4.3 %
3	Don't know	9	0.0 %
8	Residue	5	0.0 %
98	Refused	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124510	95.7 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,612 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 454-455 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_K: RESPONDENT MORE THAN ONE POLICE CONTACT LAST 12 MONTHS

Did the respondent have more than one contact with police during the prior 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5799	4.5 %
2	No	12654	9.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 456-456 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V352A: EARLIER CONTACT POLICE USE FORCE LAST 12 MONTHS

During any of your EARLIER contacts with police in the last 12 months, did the police USE force against you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	39	0.0 %
2	No	5733	4.4 %
3	Don't know	9	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
98	Refused	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124323	95.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,799 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 98.00

Location: 457-458 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V352B: EARLIER CONTACT POLICE THREATEN FORCE LAST 12 MONTHS

During any of your EARLIER contacts with police in the last 12 months, did the police THREATEN TO USE force against you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	41	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	5731	4.4 %
3	Don't know	8	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
98	Refused	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124323	95.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,799 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 459-460 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V352C: EARLIER CONTACT POLICE USE SLUR OR DEGRADING NAME

During any of your EARLIER contacts with police in the last 12 months, did the police refer to you using a slur or call you a degrading name?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	23	0.0 %
2	No	5746	4.4 %
3	Don't know	10	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124323	95.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,799 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 461-462 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V352D: EARLIER CONTACT POLICE MAKE SEXUAL COMMENT TO RESPONDENT

During any of your EARLIER contacts with police in the last 12 months, did the police make a sexual comment to you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	13	0.0 %
2	No	5759	4.4 %
3	Don't know	7	0.0 %
8	Residue	16	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124323	95.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,799 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 463-464 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V352E: EARLIER CONTACT POLICE TOUCH YOU SEXUALLY

During any of your EARLIER contacts with police in the last 12 months, did the police touch you in a sexual way or have any physical contact with you that was sexual in nature?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	8	0.0 %
2	No	5762	4.4 %
3	Don't know	8	0.0 %
8	Residue	17	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124323	95.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,799 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 465-466 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V352F: EARLIER CONTACT FILE COMPLAINT AGAINST POLICE

Did you file a complaint against the police?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	25	0.0 %
2	No	5751	4.4 %
8	Residue	19	0.0 %
98	Refused	4	0.0 %
99	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	124323	95.5 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 5,799 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 98.00

Location: 467-468 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

CHECK_ITEM_L: RESPONDENT ARRESTED DURING PRIOR 12 MONTHS

Was the respondent arrested during the prior 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	207	0.2 %
2	No	18246	14.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	Out of universe	111669	85.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 18,453 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 469-469 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9

V353: HOW MANY TOTAL TIMES WERE YOU ARRESTED DURING THE PRIOR 12 MONTHS

How many total times were you arrested during the prior 12 months?

Notes: This variable is topcoded for disclosure reasons.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	168	0.1 %
2	-	10	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	5	0.0 %
98	Residue	7	0.0 %
998	Refused	9	0.0 %
999	Don't know	7	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	Out of universe	129915	99.8 %
	Total	**130,122**	**100%**

Based upon 207 valid cases out of 130,122 total cases.

- Mean: 81.51
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 266.61

Location: 470-472 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 99