1 Basic probability

1.0.1 Inference by enumeration

$$P(\text{ Effect } | \text{ Cause }) = \frac{P(\text{ Effect } \wedge \text{ Cause })}{P(\text{ Cause })} = \alpha P(\text{ Effect }, \text{ Cause })$$

 $\alpha = Normalization constant$

1.0.2 Bayes theorem

$$P(\text{ Cause } | \text{ Effect }) = \frac{P(\text{ Effect } | \text{ Cause })P(\text{ Cause })}{P(\text{ Effect })} = \alpha P(\text{ Effect } | \text{ Cause })P(\text{ Cause })$$

2 Bayesian Networks

2.1 Flow of probabilistic infuence

- X direct cause of $Y: X \to Y$
- X direct effect of Y: $X \leftarrow Y$
- Causal trail from X to Y: $X \to Z \to Y$
- Evidential trail from X to Y: $X \leftarrow Z \leftarrow Y$
- Z common cause of X and Y: $X \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y$
- Z common effect of X and $Y: X \to Z \leftarrow Y$

2.1.1 Active trail

Let Z be a subset of observed variables. The trail $X_{i-1} = X_i = X_{i+1}$ is active given Z if

- $\forall X_{i-1} \to Xi \leftarrow X_{i+1}, X_i$ or one of its descendants are in Z
- No other node along the trail is in Z

2.1.2 Direct separation

To determine if $P \models (X \perp Y | Z)$ (X and Y are independent given Z):

- 1. Traverse the graph bottom-up marking all nodes in Z or having descendants in given Z
- 2. Traverse the graph from X to Y, stopping if we get to a blocked node*
- 3. If we can't reach Y, then X and Y are independent

2.2 Reasoning Patterns

- Causal (or predictive) reasoning: P(Descendant|Ancestor)
- Evidential (or explanatory) reasoning: P(Ancestor|Descendant)
- Intercausal reasoning (or explaining away): P(Y|X) where X is connected to Y via an active trail which passes through a common cause and/or a common effect

^{*}A node is blocked if either the middle of an unmarked v-structure, or in Z, but not both