

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering National University of Singapore Dr Fan Shi, Dr Armin Lederer	Robotics and Embodied Artificial Intelligence CEG5306 Assignment 2: model-based and model-free tabular RL	Autumn 2025
--	---	----------------

1. Upper Confidence Bounds in Model-based RL (~ 7 pts)

The principle of optimism in the face of uncertainty can be realized using upper confidence bounds as demonstrated for multi-armed bandits. This paradigm can also be used for model-based reinforcement learning. For simplicity, assume an oracle providing you with a learning error bound for estimated transition probabilities in the following.

- A core element for implementing model-based reinforcement learning using upper confidence bounds is an approach to optimistically plan in MDPs. Describe how you can perform optimistic planning based on value iteration (pseudocode, mathematical equations, text).
- Write pseudocode for an upper confidence bound algorithm in model-based reinforcement learning.

2. Monte Carlo Control (~ 5 pts)

Consider an unknown MDP with 3 ($\{0, 1, 2, \}$) states and 2 actions ($\{0, 1\}$). We consider a known reward function

$$r(s, a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ 10 & \text{if } s = 2. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The following trajectories have been observed so far:

trajectory idx	state	action	state	action	state
1	0	0	1	0	1
2	0	1	2	1	0
3	0	0	0	0	1

- Approximate the state-action value function Q using Monte Carlo estimation. Assign a value of 0 for all state-action pairs that are not observed.
- After several more roll-outs with the same policy, we obtain the following estimate for the state-action value function

$$Q(0, 0) = 50 \quad \quad \quad Q(0, 1) = 47 \quad (2)$$

$$Q(1, 0) = 50 \quad \quad \quad Q(1, 1) = 49 \quad (3)$$

$$Q(2, 0) = 55 \quad \quad \quad Q(2, 1) = 50. \quad (4)$$

Specify the probability distribution of the ϵ -greedy policy induced by this Q -function for $\epsilon = 0.15$.

3. Q-learning (~ 7 pts)

Consider an unknown MDP with 3 ($\{0, 1, 2, \}$) states and 2 actions ($\{0, 1\}$). We consider a known reward function

$$r(s, a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ 10 & \text{if } s = 2. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The following trajectories have been observed so far:

trajectory idx	state	action	state	action	state
1	0	0	1	0	1
2	0	1	0	1	2
3	0	0	1	1	0

- (a) Estimate the function Q^* for $\gamma = 0.9$ like the Q-learning algorithm presented in lecture 3 does. Assume that the function Q^* is initialized with 0 for all states and actions and use a learning rate of $\alpha = 1$.
- (b) Assume that after several more episodes, you obtain the following estimate of the function Q^* :

$$Q^*(0, 0) = 45 \qquad \qquad \qquad Q^*(0, 1) = 55 \qquad \qquad \qquad (6)$$

$$Q^*(1, 0) = 50 \qquad \qquad \qquad Q^*(1, 1) = 49 \qquad \qquad \qquad (7)$$

$$Q^*(2, 0) = 50 \qquad \qquad \qquad Q^*(2, 1) = 60. \qquad \qquad \qquad (8)$$

Compute the deterministic policy maximizing the function Q^* .

- (c) As shown in the lecture, we can use gradient descent techniques to enable Q-learning with function approximation. Derive the update step when using linear function approximation for the value function, i.e., $Q(s, a; \theta) = \theta^\top \phi(s, a)$ where θ is a parameter vector and $\phi(\cdot, \cdot)$ are known nonlinear features. Express the update step in terms of the Q-function and reward function.