

# Lecture 5: Multivariate linear prediction and partial autocorrelation

Josep Vives

May 11, 2023

## 1 Multivariate linear prediction

Assume now we have  $n$  predictors  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  to predict  $Y$ . In this case, we have to impose

$$Y - b_0 - b_1X_1 - b_2X_2 - \cdots - b_nX_n \perp 1, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n,$$

that is,

$$b_0 + \mathbb{E}(X_1)b_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{E}(X_n)b_n = \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

and

[illegible]

Then, if we denote  $b^t := (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ ,  $\mu_X^t := (\mathbb{E}X_1, \dots, \mathbb{E}X_n)$  and  $\mu_Y = \mathbb{E}Y$  we have, on one hand,

$$b_0 = \mu_Y - b^t \mu_X.$$

Multiplying this equation by any  $\mathbb{E}(X_j)$  we obtain the family of equations

$$\mathbb{E}[X_j]b_0 = \mathbb{E}(X_j)\mu_Y - \mathbb{E}(X_j)b^t\mu_X, \quad \forall j = 1 \dots, n$$

and substituting every  $\mathbb{E}[X_j]_{b_0}$  in every equation of the system (1.1) and reordering terms we obtain the system

$$\mathbb{C}(X_j, X_1)b_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{C}(X_j, X_n)b_n = \mathbb{C}(X_j, Y), j = 1, \dots, n.$$

That is,

$$\Sigma b = \mathbb{C}(Y, X) \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Sigma : \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)(X - \mu_X)^t]$  is the covariance matrix of vector  $X$ .

Therefore, the general formula is given by

$$P(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\mu_Y - \hat{b}^t \mu_X) + \hat{b}^t X = \mu_Y + \hat{b}^t (X - \mu_X)$$

with

$$\widehat{b} = \Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{C}(Y, X)$$

Recall that given two vectors  $v$  and  $w$  we have  $v^t w = w^t v$ . Using this property and Equation (1.2) it is immediate to see that the prediction error is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[(Y - P(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n))^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu_Y - \widehat{b}^t(X - \mu_X))^2] \\ &= \mathbb{V}(Y) + \mathbb{E}[(\widehat{b}^t(X - \mu_X))^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu_Y)\widehat{b}^t(X - \mu_X)] \\ &= \mathbb{V}(Y) + \widehat{b}^t \Sigma \widehat{b} - 2\mathbb{C}(Y, X)^t \widehat{b} \\ &= \sigma_Y^2 - \mathbb{C}(Y, X)^t \widehat{b}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1** *The optimal predictor  $E(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n)$  can be much more difficult to compute.*

Recalling that  $P(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is the orthogonal projection over the linear subspace generated by  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , the following properties are immediate

1.  $P(X_j | X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .
2.  $P(\alpha + \beta Y_1 + \gamma Y_2 | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \alpha + \beta P(Y_1 | X_1, \dots, X_n) + \gamma P(Y_2 | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .
3. If  $\mathbb{C}(Y, X_j) = 0$ ,  $\forall j = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $P(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n) = E(Y)$ .
4.  $P(P(Y | X_1, X_2) | X_1) = P(Y | X_1)$ .

**Remark 1.2** *If  $Z_i := X_i - \mu_{X_i}$ , we have*

$$P(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n) = P(Y | Z_1, \dots, Z_n).$$

*Indeed we have*

$$P(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mu_Y + \widehat{b}^t \cdot Z$$

*and*

$$P(Y | Z_1 \dots Z_n) = \mu_Y + \widehat{b}_*^t \cdot Z$$

*with  $\widehat{b} = \Sigma_X^{-1} \mathbb{C}(Y, X)$  and  $\widehat{b}_* = \Sigma_Z^{-1} \mathbb{C}(Y, Z)$ .*

*But, on one hand,*

$$\mathbb{C}(Y, X) = \mathbb{C}(Y, \mu_X + Z) = \mathbb{C}(Y, \mu_X) + \mathbb{C}(Y, Z) = \mathbb{C}(Y, Z)$$

*and on the other hand,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}(X_i, X_j) &= \mathbb{C}(\mu_X + Z_i, \mu_X + Z_j) \\ &= \mathbb{C}(\mu_X, \mu_X) + \mathbb{C}(\mu_X, Z_j) + \mathbb{C}(Z_i, \mu_X) + \mathbb{C}(Z_i, Z_j) \\ &= \mathbb{C}(Z_i, Z_j). \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Prediction in Time Series

Let  $X = \{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  a second order stationary time series with mean  $\mu$  and covariance function  $\gamma$ . Consider the variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and denote  $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)^t$ . According to the formulas obtained in the previous section, we have

$$P(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mu + \hat{b}^t (X - \mu)$$

with

$$\hat{b} = \Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{C}(X_{n+1}, X). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{C}(X_{n+1}, X)^t = (\gamma(n), \gamma(n-1), \dots, \gamma(1))$$

and on other hand,

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(0) & \gamma(1) & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma(n-1) \\ \gamma(1) & \gamma(0) & \gamma(1) & \cdots & \gamma(n-2) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \gamma(n-1) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma(0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Equation (2.3), dividing by  $\gamma(0)$ , we have

$$R \hat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_n \\ \vdots \\ \rho_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_0 & \cdots & \cdots & \rho_{n-1} \\ \rho_1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \rho_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{b} = R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_n \\ \vdots \\ \rho_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and then, we can write

$$P(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mu + (\rho_n, \dots, \rho_1) R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 - \mu \\ \vdots \\ X_n - \mu \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 3 The partial autocorrelation function

Let  $X = \{X_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  a centered second order stationary time series. The partial autocorrelation function is defined as an application

$$\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$$

such that  $\alpha(0) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(1) = \rho_1$  and for  $l \geq 2$ ,

$$\alpha(l) = \rho(X_1 - P(X_1 | X_2, \dots, X_l), X_{l+1} - P(X_{l+1} | X_2, \dots, X_l)),$$

that is,  $\alpha(l)$  is the correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_{l+1}$  after erasing the influence of variables  $X_2, \dots, X_l$ .

To compute  $\alpha(2)$  we have to compute  $P(X_1 | X_2)$  and  $P(X_3 | X_2)$ .

We have

$$P(X_1 | X_2) = bX_2$$

such that

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_1 - bX_2)^2]$$

is minimal.

We know

$$\hat{b} = \frac{\mathbb{C}(X_1, X_2)}{\mathbb{V}(X_2)} = \frac{\gamma(1)}{\gamma(0)} = \rho(1)$$

and so

$$P(X_1 | X_2) = \rho_1 X_2.$$

Obviously, the same happens with  $P(X_3 | X_2)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(2) &= \rho(X_1 - \rho_1 X_2, X_3 - \rho_1 X_2) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{C}(X_1 - \rho_1 X_2, X_3 - \rho_1 X_2)}{\{\mathbb{V}(X_1 - \rho_1 X_2) \cdot \mathbb{V}(X_3 - \rho_1 X_2)\}^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{\gamma(2) - \rho_1 \gamma(1) \cdot 2 + \rho_1^2 \gamma(0)}{[\gamma(0) + \rho_1^2 \gamma(0) - 2\rho_1 \gamma(1)]} \\ &= \frac{\rho(2) - \rho(1)^2 \cdot 2 + \rho(1)^2}{1 + \rho(1)^2 - 2\rho(1)^2} \\ &= \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1^2}{1 - \rho_1^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Bigger partial auto-correlations can be computed similarly.

An alternative method to compute the partial auto-correlation function is the following. Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^t$  be the solution of the system

$$R_n \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\alpha(n) = x_n.$$

We check this in cases  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . If  $n = 1$ , we have to see

$$R_1 \cdot \alpha(1) = \rho(1)$$

and this is obvious because  $R_1 = (\rho_0) = (1)$ .

If  $n = 2$  we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 \\ \rho_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{1}{1 - \rho_1^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\rho_1 \\ -\rho_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 - \rho_1 \rho_2 \\ \rho_2 - \rho_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1 - \rho_1^2} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1(1 - \rho_2)/(1 - \rho_1)^2 \\ \rho_2 - \rho_1^2/(1 - \rho_1^2) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as expected,

$$\alpha(2) = \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1^2}{1 - \rho_1^2}.$$

To check the general case  $\alpha(k)$  is analogous.

**Remark 3.1** *Observe that on one hand*

$$R_n \widehat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_n \\ \vdots \\ \rho_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

*or equivalently,*

$$R_n \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{b}_n \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{b}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

*On other hand*

$$R_n \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Therefore,*

$$\hat{b}_1 = \alpha(n),$$

that is,  $\alpha(n)$  is the coefficient of  $X_1$  of the optimal linear predictor of  $X_{n+1}$  over the linear subspace generated by random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . This gives an interesting interpretation of  $\alpha(n)$  for a centered second order stationary time series model.