

<pre> 100001111100 110110101101 110010110000 111101010011 101101010110 000010101110 110110010001 011101010111 000101101011 010110011010 101110110000 111110101011 010001111110 010011111110 101010111001 110010010111 110001100101 </pre>	<p>Wij en onze partners slaan informatie, zoals unieke identificatoren in cookies, op apparaten op en/of gebruiken deze om persoonsgegevens te verwerken. U kunt uw keuzes te kennen geven of beheren door hieronder te klikken. U kunt ze ook op elk moment wijzigen op de pagina met onze privacyverklaring. Uw keuzes worden aan onze partners doorgegeven en hebben geen effect op browsegegevens.</p>
<pre> public static String concatStringsWSep(Iterable<String> strings, String separator) { StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder(); String sep = ""; for(String s: strings) { sb.append(sep).append(s); sep = separator; } return sb.toString(); } </pre>	<p><u>Guava</u> is a pretty neat library from Google.</p> <pre> Joiner joiner = Joiner.on(", "); joiner.join(sList); </pre>
<pre>list.stream().collect(Collectors.joining(delimiter));</pre>	<p>If you are developing for Android, there is TextUtils.join provided by the SDK.</p>
<p>I really like this answer b/c you can use a foreach and it is very simple, but it is also more inefficient. How can you make it a tighter loop?</p>	<p>Have you seen this Coding Horror blog entry?</p> <p>The Sad Tragedy of Micro-Optimization Theater</p> <p>I am not shure whether or not it is "neater", but from a performance-standpoint it probably won't matter much.</p> <p>Your approach is dependent on Java's ArrayList#toString() implementation.</p> <p>While the implementation is documented in the Java API and very unlikely to change, there's a chance it could. It's far more reliable to implement this yourself (loops, StringBuilders, recursion whatever you like better).</p> <p>Sure this approach may seem "neater" or more "too sweet" or "money" but it is, in my opinion, a worse approach.</p>

--	--	--