# Syed Darain Hyder Kazmi -Data Science Enthusiast

Chart#1:

Insight: Most of the crowd is male (83%), with a small 17% female slice. The vibe is heavily tilted toward one gender.

Recommendation: For balanced viewpoints, we need to put some real effort into inviting more women—campaigns, outreach, maybe role models to make it less of a boys' club.

Chart#2:

Insight: Almost everyone's from Pakistan, with just one person from Nigeria. It's basically a local meetup

Recommendation: If international exposure matters, advertise outside the region and partner with groups abroad; otherwise embrace that it's homegrown.

Chart#3:

Insight: The average age hovers around 22, meaning most participants are early in their academic or professional life.

Recommendation: Keep sessions approachable for younger learners, but sprinkle in challenges so it's not baby steps for everyone.

Chart#4:

Insight: The bulk falls between 19–23, with one outlier in the thirties. The energy is clearly undergrad-heavy.

Recommendation: Aim material at that young cluster, but don't alienate the older participant— maybe offer optional advanced tracks.

Chart#5:

Insight: CS, Data Science, and Software Engineering dominate; a few people come from math and civil engineering. Most are in 3rd to 5th semesters.

Recommendation: Focus on mid-degree topics and hands-on tasks, while offering a few advanced discussions for seniors so they stay engaged.

Chart#6:

Insight: Islamabad leads with five participants, while everyone else is scattered across single entries from various cities.

Recommendation: Use Islamabad as a hub for meetups or live sessions, and give the outliers strong online access so they don't feel sidelined.

Chart#7:

Insight: A solid majority leans toward weekly tasks instead of daily assignments, showing people want breathing room.

Recommendation: Shift to weekly cycles with clear milestones; daily micro-deadlines will only burn them out faster.

Chart#8:

Instructor Clarity

- Excellent: 50.0%
- Good: 41.7%
- Average: 8.3%

Insight: Half the group rates the instructor "excellent," another big chunk says "good," and only a few think "average."

Recommendation: Keep the teaching style but also try to bump the "good" crowd up.

Chart#9:

Concept Clarity

- Very Clearly: 58.3%
- Somewhat C... : 41.7%

Insight: Around 58% feel the concepts are "very clear," while the rest are "somewhat clear," leaving no one completely lost.

Recommendation: Add extra Q&A or practical demos to convert that "somewhat" group into confident learners.

Chart#10:

Peer Sharing

	Count
I Mostly Listened	1
A Little	5
Yes, Actively	6

Insight: Six people actively share learning, five dabble, and one stays silent. Collaboration exists but isn't universal.

Recommendation: Encourage group discussions, low-stakes peer reviews, or short sharing sessions to pull in the quiet ones.

Chart#11:

Insight: Perfectly split between "good" and "excellent." Nobody rated it poor, so the baseline is solid

Recommendation: Sprinkle in more engagement or recognition moments to push the "good" half over to "excellent."

Chart#12:

Insight: Motivation towers over everything with ten mentions; consistency and growth barely register.

Recommendation: Build structured plans to convert that motivation into measurable progress so it's more than hype.

Chart#13:

Insight: Two-thirds clicked "other," while guidance, community, and resources got tiny slices. People aren't clear on what they actually need.

Recommendation: Run a follow-up survey to unpack "other," then shape real support programs based on actual pain points.

Chart#14:

Insight: Most responses point to "others" (5) and "flexibility" (4), with just a few asking for clarity, hands-on practice, or no change.

Recommendation: Follow up to understand what "others" means and consider making schedules a bit more flexible while adding light, optional hands-on activities.