

10g rapport

Henrik Flindt
Nicolas Dyhrman
Adrian Joensen

January 2, 2019

Wolf in Moose's Clothing

"A single type of bird can be called a goose, but more is geese, but the plural of moose is not meese, and finally one wolf becomes several wolves. English is like coding. Nobody really know why we do it that way we do, but people on the internet will yell at you for getting it wrong."

-Based on common saying.

The main assignment is the creation of a game, that mimic the relations between mooses and wolfs in a closed park. Each iteration of the game will see the animals move, reproduce and potentially eat a moose. The game is played from the command line interface, by calling its main function called `experimentWAnimals.exe` and give it eight arguments, that fits with the assignment specifications. If done correct, after the program has run its cause a text file is created with information about the game.

1 Design

The overall sourcecode lay out is based upon the one given as part of the assignment, except the environment. To that the behaviors and events were added.

1.1 Two-lists makes a board

The board contains two lists, one for each animal type. Any update to animal position and number of animals is checked in comparison with the board width.

1.2 Animals

Animals are objects with properties symbol, position, reproduction. Symbol contains a character, either 'm', 'w' or ' ', and is used to identify the animal. Position contains either `Some(int * int)` or `None`, and is the position of the board. Reproduction contains an integer and is used to indicate when it is time

to reproduce.

Animals also has behaviours called `updateReproduction()` and `resetReproduction()`. The former reduces the reproduction property by 1, and the latter resets it to the start value. To move the animals, a random vector was pick based upon a random number from 0 to 7 (There are a total of $3 \times 3 - 1 = 8$ directions), where the direction was check if it's a valid, and if so change the animals' position with the vector.

To make the order of animals random, first the wolf list is selected and a tuole list is create a tuple list, where the first element will contain their symbol "w" and the second element will contain the index of the wolves. This is also done to the moose list. This is done for the entirety of the two lists, which are then joined and shuffled.

To shuffle the tuple list, a function with a for loop was used. It picks a random index, remove the element from the tuple list and put it in a new list. When the tuple is empty, the new list is returned.. After this shuffle, this tuple is used to deside the order of succession.

1.2.1 Wolf

Wolf inherits Animals, but has one more attribute hunger and many additional methods, `updateHunger()`, `resetHunger()` and `tick()`. Hunger is used to tell when it's time to hunt or die. `updateHunger()` reduces the hunger by 1 and changes position to None if hunger is 0. `resetHunger()` resets hunger to start value. `tick()` returns either a wolf object or None. The wolves ability to eat a moose was implemented specifically for them, and works in a similarly as the move function, but with mooses being a favored direction compared to a empty or wolf occupied location. If the wolf sees one or more mooses next to it, it will pick one at random and move there. The moose is noted as dead and removed later.

1.2.2 Moose

Moose inherits Animals, but has an additional method, `tick()`, which returns a Moose object or None.

2 Implementation

2.1 Manual

To compile the main function, type:

```
fsharpc animalsSmall.fs experimentWAnimals.fsx
```

To run the main function, type:

```
mono experimentWAnimals.exe tic file.txt size moose fMoose wolf fWolf hWolf
```

`tic` is the integer amount of iterations the game will run. `file.txt` is the name of the file where the data shall be saved. Must end with `.txt`. `size` is the

size of the squared board. `moose` and `wolf` is the amount of the two animals respectively. `fmoose` and `fwolf` is the time interval in tics, of where the two different animals shall multiply. Finally `hwolf` is when a wolf ought to eat to avoid starvation and death.

2.2 Main function and its arguments

The main function was designed to sanitize the user input, and only allowing valid inputs. First it is checked if all the inputs are integer integers, or in the case of the second argument, a string containing ".txt" in it.

Afterwards several minimum values was implemented, no max values were chosen. While this could be valid as to avoid overflows, all functions works on the premise of list, and thus overflow could only occur if it is an int32 overflow. Since the program begins to take prolonged time when the animal count increases, it is believed that this overflow risk is minimal in a human lifetime. All integer values give (except for the tick int) must be above 0.

While the code can handle 0 animals, a follow effect is that the other values then also should be zero. It was decided to solve this problem with avoiding it. As for the final tick int that can be all the subsets of integers, it is used to check that first round placement (i.e tick = 0) is random, and that a file is always created (tick > 0).

The overall design is a row of if-statements. It's a simple code, but quite effective.

3 Whitebox testing

3.1 moose.tick

`moose.tick` went as expected and only gave us an option if the mooses *repLen* was 0 or under. And otherwise gave us a None as it was not ready.

3.2 wolf.tick

`wolf.tick` went as expected and only gave us an option if the wolfs *repLen* was 0 or under. And otherwise gave us a None as it was not ready.

3.3 enviroment.genMoveVector

The general move functions was visually tested on single animals setup, with a low reproduction and hunger rate. This is due to the random nature of the movements, and the overall visual aspect of the movement. It was discovered that several animals, that could reproduce, sometimes appeared not to move. This is believed to be a false negative test, caused by the animals moving at random in synchronization for a single iteration. Therefore the test was done with a higher level of manual control.

3.4 `enviroment.moveAnimal`

`moveAnimal` went as expected and gave us the answers we expected.

3.5 `enviroment.countMoose`

The method went as expected and counted all the living mooses in the system.

3.6 `enviroment.countWolfs`

The method went as expected and counted all the living wolfs in the system.

3.7 `enviroment.checkForAnimalsAtPosition`

the method went as expected, and send a bool back wether there was animals at the specific position

3.8 `enviroment.checkValidMove`

The method went as expected, and send back a bool of wether the move the animal wanted to do, is a valid move.

3.9 `enviroment.findMooseAtPosition`

The method went as expected, and send back a bool of wether there was a moose at this position or not.

3.10 `enviroment.checkFieldsAround`

The method went as expected, and checked wether there was any valid moves around the given position

3.11 `enviroment.CheckEating`

The method went as expected, and sent back a generic list of all the the positions where there would be a moose.

3.12 `enviroment.KillMooseFromPosition`

The method went as expected, and made the moose at that position's, position to be None.

3.13 `enviroment.removeDeadAnimals`

The method went as expected, and killed all animals whom's position was None.

3.14 `enviroment.tick`

This method just called 2 others and therefore we didnt see the nessecarity of us actually testing it.

3.15 `enviroment.makeQueue`

Since this works by putting in all of them by random in random places, we have just displayed them, and looked that they were not the same, and that every animal was in the scrambled list. Also checked that they were scrambled different than the other 2 that we made.

3.16 `WriteOutInfo`

Since this is basicly the same as the function made for us, but with some text on it we assume it will still work, as assumed when it was given to us. Also tested while looking at our runs of our program.

4 Conclusion

While the program functions as expected, it does increase a disproportionate amount when the animal count or board size increases. This is believed to be cause by the high amount of lists being used to keep track of the animals. Each time an animal makes a move it checks the entire list as to ensure no animal is next to it. This ramification due to the design, was to monumental to redesign when discovered, but should be fixed in the case of more widespread use.