

# Part II - Galois Theory

Lectured by Prof. A. J. Scholl

Artur Avameri

Michaelmas 2022

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Polynomials</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Symmetric polynomials</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Fields</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Algebraic elements and extensions</b>	<b>9</b>

## 0 Introduction

06 Oct 2022,  
Lecture 1

Galois Theory begins with polynomial equations and trying to solve them. Galois discovered certain **symmetries** of equations, which led to symmetries of fields (Steinitz, Artin).

Babylonians were able to solve the quadratic equation  $X^2 + bX + c$  thousands of years ago, and so can we - write it as  $(X + b/2)^2 + c - b^2/4$ , which leads to the quadratic formula, or use Vieta's formulas to get  $x_1x_2 = c, x_1 + x_2 = -b$ , from which we can solve for  $x_1$  by doing  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}((x_1 + x_2) + (x_1 - x_2))$  and  $(x_1 - x_2)^2 = (x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_1x_2$ .

A lot later people figured out how to solve the cubic equation,  $X^3 + aX^2 + bX + c$ . We get  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = -a, x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_1 = b, x_1x_2x_3 = -c$ . If we replace  $X \mapsto X - a/3$ , we end up with a cubic equation without a quadratic term. Now

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{3} [(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + (x_1 + \omega x_2 + \omega^2 x_3) + (x_1 + \omega^2 x_2 + \omega x_3)]$$

for  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$  a cube root of unity. Let  $u = (x_1 + \omega x_2 + \omega^2 x_3), v = (x_1 + \omega^2 x_2 + \omega x_3)$ .

If we cyclically permute  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , we find  $u \mapsto \omega u \mapsto \omega^2 u$  and  $v \mapsto \omega v \mapsto \omega^2 v$ , so  $u^3$  and  $v^3$  are invariant under cyclic permutations of the roots. Hence  $u^3 + v^3$  and  $u^3 v^3$  are invariant under permutations of the roots, so (as we prove in the next lecture) we can express them in terms of the coefficients of the polynomial.

In fact, they're given by  $u^3 + v^3 = -27c, u^3 v^3 = -27b^2$ , hence  $u^3, v^3$  are roots of  $Y^2 + 27cY - 27b^2$ , from which we can find  $u, v$  and hence  $x_1$ . This is **Cardano's formula**.

If we proceed similarly for quartics, we end up with a cubic equation which we can solve as above. Unfortunately, this doesn't work for quintics. The reason for this lies in group theory.

# 1 Polynomials

In this course, all rings will be commutative, with a one, and nonzero. For a ring  $R$ ,  $R[X]$  is the ring of polynomials over  $R$ , i.e. just the formal expressions  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$  for  $a_i \in R$ .

A polynomial  $f \in R[X]$  determines a **function**  $R \rightarrow R$ . However, the polynomial  $r \mapsto f(r)$  isn't in general determined by the function. For example, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  for  $p$  a prime, then  $\forall a \in R, a^p = a$ , so the polynomials  $X^p$  and  $X$  represent the same function, while being different polynomials.

In the case where  $R = K$  is a field, we know  $K[X]$  is a Euclidean domain, so it has a division algorithm: if  $f, g \in K[X]$  and  $g$  is nonzero, then there exist unique  $q, r$  such that  $f = gq + r$  and  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$  (note that  $\deg(0) = -\infty$ ). If  $g = X - a$  is linear, then we get  $f = (X - a)q + f(a)$ , the **remainder theorem**.

$K[X]$  is also a PID and UFD, so every polynomial is a product of irreducible polynomials, and there are GCDs, which we can compute using Euclid's algorithm.

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $K$  is a field and  $f \in K[x]$  is nonzero, then  $f$  has at most  $\deg(f)$  roots in  $K$ .<sup>1</sup>

*Proof.* If  $f$  has no roots, we're done. Otherwise, let  $f(a) = 0$  and write  $f = (X - a)g$  with  $\deg(g) = \deg(f) - 1$ . But if  $b$  is a root of  $f$ , then  $f(b) = 0 \implies b = a$  or  $g(b) = 0$ , so  $f$  has at most  $(1 + \text{number of roots of } g)$  roots and the claim follows by induction.  $\square$

# 2 Symmetric polynomials

Let  $R$  be a ring and consider  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A polynomial  $f \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is **symmetric** if for every permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $f(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)}) = f$ .

The set of symmetric polynomials is a subring of  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

**Example 2.1.**  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , or more generally,  $P_k = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$  are symmetric polynomials.

Alternative definition:

**Definition 2.2.** If  $f \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , define  $f\sigma = f(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)})$ . This is a (right) action on the group  $S_n$ . We say  $f$  is **symmetric** if  $f\sigma = f \forall \sigma \in S_n$ .

<sup>1</sup>Note that this is not true if  $K$  is a ring.

The **elementary symmetric polynomials** are

$$s_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_r}.$$

**Example 2.2.** For  $n = 3$ ,  $s_1 = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ ,  $s_2 = X_1X_2 + X_1X_3 + X_2X_3$ ,  $s_3 = X_1X_2X_3$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (i) Every symmetric polynomial over  $R$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $\{s_r \mid 1 \leq r \leq n\}$  with coefficients in  $R$ .

(ii) There are no non-trivial relations between  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  - they're independent.

**Remarks.**

08 Oct 2022,  
Lecture 2

(a) Consider the homomorphism

$$\theta : R[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] \rightarrow R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

by  $\theta(Y_r) = S_r$  (and identity on  $R$ ). Then (i) says that the image of  $\theta$  is the set of symmetric polynomials, and (ii) says that  $\theta$  is injective.

(b) An equivalent definition of the  $\{s_r\}$  is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (T + x_i) = T^n + s_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + s_{n-1} T + s_n.$$

(c) If we need to specify the number of variables, we write  $s_{r,n}$  instead of  $s_r$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Terminology:

- A **monomial** is some  $X_I = X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$  for some  $I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ .
- Its **(total) degree** is  $\sum i_\alpha$ .
- A **term**  $\beta$  is some  $cX_I$ ,  $0 \neq c \in R$ , so a polynomial is uniquely a sum of terms.
- The total degree of  $f$  is the maximal degree of any of the terms.

Define a lexicographical ordering on monomials  $X_I$  as follows:  $X_I > X_J$  if either  $i_1 > j_1$  or for some  $1 \leq r < n$ ,  $i_1 = j_1, \dots, i_r = j_r$  and  $i_{r+1} > j_{r+1}$ . This is a **total ordering**: for each pair  $I \neq J$ , exactly one of  $X_I > X_J$  or  $X_J > X_I$  holds.

Existence: Let  $d$  be the total degree of some symmetric polynomial  $f$  and let  $X_I$  be the lexicographically largest monomial in  $f$  with coefficient  $c \in R$ . As  $f$  is symmetric, we must have  $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_n$  (if not, say  $i_r < i_{r+1}$ , then

exchanging  $X_r$  and  $X_{r+1}$  gives a monomial occuring in  $f$  which is bigger than  $X_I$ ). So

$$X_I = X_1^{i_1-i_2} (X_1 X_2)^{i_2-i_3} \dots (X_1 \dots X_n)^{i_n}.$$

Consider  $g = s_1^{i_1-i_2} s_2^{i_2-i_3} \dots s_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}-i_n} s_n^{i_n}$ . The leading monomial (i.e. largest in lexicographical order) of  $g$  is  $X_I$ , and  $g$  is symmetric, so  $f - cg$  is also symmetric, of total degree  $\leq d$ , and its leading term is smaller (lexicographically) than  $X_I$ . As the set of monomials of degree  $\leq d$  is finite, this process terminates.

Uniqueness: By induction on  $n$ . Say  $G \in R[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$  with

$$G(s_{n,1}, \dots, s_{n,n}) = 0.$$

We want to show  $G = 0$ . If  $n = 1$ , this is trivial ( $s_{1,1} = X_1$ ). If  $G = Y_n^k H$  with  $Y_n \nmid H$ , then  $s_{n,n}^k H(s_{n,1}, \dots, s_{n,n}) = 0$ . As  $s_{n,n} = X_1 \dots X_n$ ,  $s_{n,n}$  is not a zero divisor in  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , hence  $H(s_{1,n}, \dots, s_{n,n}) = 0$ . So we may assume WLOG that  $G$  is not divisible by  $Y_n$ .

Replace  $X_n$  by 0. Then

$$s_{n,r}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0) = \begin{cases} s_{n-1,r}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) & \text{if } r < n \\ 0 & \text{if } r = n \end{cases}$$

and so  $G(s_{n-1,1}, \dots, s_{n-1,n-1}, 0) = 0$ . So by induction,  $G(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, 0) = 0$ , so  $Y_n \mid G$ , contradiction and we're done.  $\square$

**Example 2.3.** Say  $f = \sum_{i \neq j} X_i^2 X_j$  for some  $n \geq 3$ . Its leading term is  $X_1^2 X_2 = X_1(X_1 X_2)$ . Then

$$s_1 s_2 = \sum_i \sum_{j < k} X_i X_j X_k = \sum_{i \neq j} X_i^2 X_j + 3 \sum_{i < j < k} X_i X_j X_k.$$

So  $f = s_1 s_2 - 3s_3$ .

Computing, say  $\sum X_i^5$  by hand is tedious. But there are formulae for this! Recall  $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Newton's formulae). Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\forall k \geq 1$ ,

$$p_k - s_1 p_{k-1} + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} s_{k-1} p_1 + (-1)^k k s_k = 0.$$

(By convention,  $s_0 = 1$  and  $s_r = 0$  if  $r > n$ ).

*Proof.* We may assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the generating function

$$F(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - X_i T) = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r s_r T^r.$$

Take the logarithmic derivative w.r.t  $T$ , i.e.

$$\frac{F'(T)}{F(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-X_i}{1 - X_i T} = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} X_i^r T^r = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} p_r T^r.$$

Thus  $-TF'(T) = s_1 T - 2s_2 T^2 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} n s_n T^n$  from our generating function above, but we also have (from the previous line) that

$$-TF'(T) = F(T) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} p_r T^r = (s_0 - s_1 T + \dots + (-1)^n s_n T^n)(p_1 T + p_2 T^2 + \dots).$$

Comparing coefficients of  $T^k$  gives the identity.  $\square$

The **discriminant** polynomial is  $D(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \Delta(X_1, \dots, X_n)^2$  where  $\Delta = \prod_{i < j} (X_i - X_j)$ . (Recall from IA Groups that applying  $\sigma \in S_n$  to  $\Delta$  multiplies  $\Delta$  by  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ ). So  $D$  is symmetric. So  $D(X_1, \dots, X_n) = d(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  for some polynomial  $d$  (with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

**Example 2.4.** If  $n = 2$ , then  $D = (X_1 - X_2)^2 = s_1^2 - 4s_2$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $f = T^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{n-i} T^i \in R[T]$  be monic. Then its **discriminant** is  $\text{Disc}(f) = d(-a_1, a_2, -a_3, \dots, (-1)^n a_n) \in R$ .

Observe that if  $f = \prod_{i=1}^n (T - x_i)$ ,  $x_i \in R$ , then  $a_r = (-1)^r s_r(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , so  $\text{Disc}(f) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2 = D(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . If moreover  $R = K$  is a field, then  $\text{Disc}(f) = 0$  if and only if  $f$  has a repeated root (i.e.  $x_i = x_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ ).

**Example 2.5.**  $\text{Disc}(T^2 + bT + c) = b^2 - 4c$ .

11 Oct 2022,  
Lecture 3

### 3 Fields

Recall that a **field** is a ring  $K$  (commutative, nonzero, with a 1) in which every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. The set of nonzero elements of  $K$  is then a **group**  $K^*$  (or  $K^\times$ ), called the multiplicative group of  $K$ .

The **characteristic** of  $K$  is the least positive integer  $p$  (if it exists) such that  $p \cdot 1_K = 0_K$ , or 0 if no such  $p$  exists. For example,  $\mathbb{Q}$  has characteristic 0, and  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  has characteristic  $p$ .

The characteristic  $\text{char}(K)$  of  $K$  is always either 0 or prime. Inside  $K$ , there is a smallest subfield, called the **prime subfield** of  $K$ , which is either isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$  (if  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ ) or to  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (if  $\text{char}(K) = p$ ).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow L$  be a homomorphism of fields. Then  $\phi$  is an injection.

*Proof.*  $\phi(1_K) = 1_L \neq 0_L$ , so  $\ker(\phi) \subset K$  is a proper ideal of  $K$ , so  $\ker(\phi) = (0)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $K \subset L$  be fields (where the field operations on  $K$  are the same as those in  $L$ ). We say  $K$  is a **subfield** of  $L$ , and  $L$  is an **extension** of  $K$ , denoted  $L/K$ , " $L$  over  $K$ ".

**Remarks.** (i) This has nothing to do with quotients.

(ii): It is useful to be more general - if  $i : K \rightarrow L$  is a homomorphism of fields, then by Prop 3.1  $i$  is an isomorphism of  $K$  and the subfield  $i(K) \subset L$ . In this situation, we also say that " $L$  is an extension of  $K$ ".

**Example 3.1.** We have extensions  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}/\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Notation/definition.** Suppose we have two field  $K \subset L$  and  $x \in L$ . Define  $K[x] = \{p(x) \mid p \in K[T]\}$ , the set of polynomials in  $x$ . This is a **subring** of  $L$ .

We also define  $K(x) = \{\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \mid p, q \in K[T], q(x) \neq 0\}$ . This is a **subfield** of  $L$  (read " $K$  adjoin  $x$ ").

For  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$ , similarly define

$$K(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left\{ \frac{p(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{q(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \mid p, q \in K[T_1, \dots, T_n], q(x) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

We can check that  $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})(x_n) = K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , and likewise for  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

If we have  $L/K$  a field extension, then  $L$  is naturally a vector space over its subfield  $K$  (just forget multiplication by elements of  $L$ ). We can ask whether this is a **finite-dimensional** vector space.

- If so, we say  $L/K$  is a **finite extension** and write  $[L : K] = \dim_K(L)$  for the dimension. We call this the **degree** of the extension.
- If not, write  $[L : K] = \infty$ .

$\dim_K$  is the dimension as a  $K$ -vector space. Since  $L$  is a vector space over  $L$ , we have  $\dim_L(L) = 1$ . As a  $K$ -vector space,  $L \cong K^{[L:K]}$ .

**Example 3.2.** (i)  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  is a finite extension with  $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$ .

- (ii) Let  $K$  be any field,  $K(X)$  the field of rational functions in  $X$ , i.e. the field of fractions of the polynomial ring  $K[X]$ . Then  $[K(X) : K] = \infty$  since  $1, x, x^2, \dots$  are linearly independent.
- (iii)  $[\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q}] = \infty$  (use countability: every finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space is countable).

This course is largely about properties (and symmetries) of **finite** field extensions.

**Definition 3.2.** We say an extension  $L/K$  is **quadratic** if  $[L : K] = 2$ . Similarly for **cubic**, etc.

**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose  $K$  is a **finite** field (necessarily of characteristic  $p > 0$ ). Then the number of elements of  $K$  is a power of  $p$ .

*Proof.* Certainly  $K/\mathbb{F}_p$  is finite, so  $K \cong (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$  for  $n = [K : \mathbb{F}_p]$ , so  $|K| = p^n$ .  $\square$

Later we will show that for any prime power  $q = p^n$  there exists a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  elements. We have  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , but  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \neq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  if  $n > 1$ .

A simple, yet powerful fact:

**Theorem 3.1** (Tower law). Suppose we have two field extensions  $M/L$  and  $L/K$ . Then  $M/K$  is a finite extension if and only if both  $M/L$  and  $L/K$  are finite, and if so, then

$$[M : K] = [M : L][L : K].$$

In fact, a slightly more general statement by taking  $V = M$  in the above:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $L/K$  be a field extension,  $V$  a  $L$ -vector space. Then

$$\dim_K V = [L : K] \cdot \dim_L V$$

(with the obvious meaning if any of these are infinite).

**Example 3.3.**  $V = \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\dim_L V = d < \infty$ . Then  $V \cong L \oplus \dots \oplus L = L^d$  as a  $L$ -vector space, so also certainly as a  $K$ -vector space. If  $[L : K] = n < \infty$ , then  $L \cong K^n$  as a  $K$ -vector space, so  $V = K^n \oplus \dots \oplus K^n = K^{nd}$ , so  $\dim_K V = [L : K] \cdot \dim_L V$ .

If  $V$  is finite-dimensional over  $K$ , then a  $K$ -basis for  $V$  certainly spans  $V$  over  $L$ . So if  $\dim_L V = \infty$ , then  $\dim_K V = \infty$ . Likewise, if  $[L : K] = \infty$  and  $V \neq \emptyset$ , then  $V$  has a infinite linearly independent subst, so  $\dim_K V = \infty$ .  $\square$

Another important fact:

**Proposition 3.3.** (i) Let  $K$  be a field and  $G \subset K^\times$  a **finite** subgroup. Then  $G$  is **cyclic**.

(ii) If  $K$  is finite, then  $K^\times$  is cyclic.

*Proof.* (i): Write  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_k\mathbb{Z}$  as a product of cyclic groups such that  $1 < m_1 \mid m_2 \mid \dots \mid m_k = m$  (by GRM). So  $\forall x \in G, x^m = 1$ . As  $K$  is a field, the polynomial  $T^m - 1$  has at most  $m$  roots. So  $|G| \leq m$ , so  $k = 1$ , and hence  $G$  is cyclic.

(ii) is now obvious.  $\square$



**Remark.** If  $K = \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , the above says  $\exists a \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{0\} \cup \{a, a^2, \dots, a^{p-1} \pmod{p}\}$ . This  $a$  is called a **primitive root** mod  $p$ .

13 Oct 2022,  
Lecture 4

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $p$  a prime such that  $p1_R = 0_R$  (e.g.  $R$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ ). Then the map

$$\phi_p : R \rightarrow R \text{ by } \phi_p(x) = x^p$$

is a **homomorphism** from  $R$  to itself, called the **Frobenius endomorphism** of  $R$ .

*Proof.* We have to show that  $\phi_p(1) = 1$ ,  $\phi_p(xy) = \phi_p(x)\phi_p(y)$  and  $\phi_p(x+y) = \phi_p(x) + \phi_p(y)$ . But the first two are obvious, and for the last one we get

$$\phi_p(x+y) = (x+y)^p = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i} + y^p = x^p + y^p,$$

where all the terms  $\binom{p}{i}$  are divisible by  $p$  as  $p$  is a prime. □

**Remark.** This is a very important map. For example, this gives another proof of Fermat's little theorem  $x^p \equiv x \pmod{p}$ : induction on  $x$  and  $(x+1)^p \equiv x^p + 1 \pmod{p}$ .

## 4 Algebraic elements and extensions

Let  $L/K$  be an extension and  $x \in L$ .

**Definition 4.1.**  $x$  is **algebraic** over  $K$  if  $\exists$  a nonzero polynomial  $f \in K[T]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$ . If  $x$  is not algebraic, we say it is **transcendental** over  $K$ .

Suppose  $f \in K[T]$  with evaluation  $f(x) \in L$ . This gives a map

$$\text{ev}_x : K[T] \rightarrow L, f \mapsto f(x),$$

which is obviously a homomorphism of rings.

$I = \ker(\text{ev}_x) \subset K[T]$  is an ideal ( $= \{f \mid f(x) = 0\}$ ). As  $\text{Im}(\text{ev}_x)$  is a subring of  $L$ , it is an integral domain. So  $I$  is a **prime** ideal, so there are two possibilities:

- (i)  $I = \{0\} \implies$  the only  $f$  with  $f(x) = 0$  is  $f = 0$ , so  $x$  is transcendental over  $K$ .
- (ii)  $I \neq \{0\}$ . As  $K[T]$  is a PID, there exists a unique monic irreducible  $g \in K[T]$  such that  $I = (g)$ . So  $f(x) = 0 \iff f$  is a multiple of  $g$ .

So  $x$  is algebraic over  $K$  and we call  $g$  the **minimal polynomial** of  $x$  over  $K$ , which we might write as  $m_{x,K}$ . It is the unique irreducible monic polynomial with  $x$  as a root (and is the monic polynomial of least degree with  $x$  as a root - this depends on  $K$  as well as  $x$ ).

Some examples:

- $x \in K$ ,  $m_{x,K} = T - x$ .
- $p$  a prime,  $d \geq 1$ . Then  $T^d - p \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$  is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion, so it is the min. poly. of  $\sqrt[d]{p} = x$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- $z = e^{2\pi i/p}$  for  $p$  a prime is a root of  $T^p - 1$  and

$$\frac{T^p - 1}{T - 1} = g(T) = T^{p-1} + \dots + T + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[T].$$

As  $g(T + 1) = \frac{(T+1)^p - 1}{T} = T^{p-1} + \binom{p}{1}T^{p-2} + \dots + pT + p$ , this is also irreducible by Eisenstein and hence  $g$  is the min. poly. of  $z$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Terminology.** We say **the degree of  $x$  over  $K$**  (where  $x$  is algebraic over  $K$ ) is the degree of  $m_{x,K}$ , written  $\deg_K(x)$  or  $\deg(x/K)$ .

A ring/field-theoretic characterization of the notion of being algebraic:

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $L/K, x \in L$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $x$  is algebraic over  $K$ .
- (ii)  $[K(x) : K] < \infty$ .
- (iii)  $\dim_K K[x] < \infty$ .
- (iv)  $K[x] = K(x)$ .
- (v)  $K[x]$  is a field.

If these hold, then  $\deg_K(x) = [K(x) : K]$ .

Recall  $K[X] = \{p(x)\}$  and  $K(x) = \{\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \mid q(x) \neq 0\}$  for  $p, q \in K[T]$ . The most important results here are (i)  $\iff$  (ii) and the degree formula. (This is a part of a series of results relating properties of  $x$  and  $K(x)$ ).

*Proof.* (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) and (iv)  $\iff$  (v) are trivial.

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv) and (ii): Let  $0 \neq y = g(x) \in K[x]$ . Consider  $K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$  by  $z \mapsto yz$ . It is a  $K$ -linear transformation, it is injective as  $y \neq 0$ . As  $\dim_K K[X] < \infty$ , it is bijective. So  $\exists$  s.t.  $yz = 1$ . So  $K[x]$  is a field, equal to  $K(x)$ , and  $[K(x) : K]$  is finite-dimensional.

(v)  $\implies$  (i): WLOG  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x^{-1} = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in K[X]$  for  $a_i$  not all equal to 0, so  $a_nx^{n+1} + \dots + a_0x - 1 = 0$ , so  $x$  is algebraic over  $K$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (iii) and the degree formula: The image of  $\text{ev}_x : K[T] \rightarrow L$  is  $K[X] \subset L$ .  $x$  is algebraic over  $K \implies \ker(\text{ev}_x) = (m_{x,K})$  is a maximal ideal (GRM, because  $m$  is irreducible), so by the first isomorphism theorem,  $K[T]/(m_{x,K}) \cong K[x]$ . The LHS is a field, so  $K[X]$  is a field.  $m_{x,K}$  is monic of degree  $d = \deg_K(x)$ , so  $K[T]/(m_{x,K})$  has a  $K$ -basis  $1, T, \dots, T^{d-1}$ . Hence  $\dim_K K[x] = d < \infty$  (this gives (iii)) and so  $[K(x) : K] = d$  as well.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** (i) The elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are all algebraic over  $K$  if and only if  $L = K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a finite extension of  $K$ . If so, then **every** element of  $L$  is algebraic over  $K$ .

(ii) If  $x, y$  are algebraic over  $K$ , then so are  $x + y$ ,  $xy$ , and  $1/x$  (if  $x \neq 0$ ).

(iii) Let  $L/K$  be any extension. Then  $\{x \in L \mid x \text{ algebraic over } K\}$  is a subfield of  $L$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $x_n$  is algebraic over  $K$ , it is certainly algebraic over  $K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , so  $[L : K(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})] < \infty$ . So by tower law and induction on  $n$ ,  $[L : K] < \infty$ . Conversely, if  $[L : K] < \infty$ , then the subfield  $K(y)$  is finite over  $K$  for all  $y$  in  $L$ . So  $y$  is algebraic over  $K$  by the previous proposition.

(ii)  $x \pm y, xy, \frac{1}{x} \in K(x, y)$ , so by (i), every element of this field is algebraic.

(iii) This clearly follows from (ii).  $\square$

**Remark.** The key ingredient here is the tower law.