

Part III - Elliptic Curves

Lectured by Tom Fisher

Artur Avameri

Contents

0	Introduction	3
1	Fermat's Method of Infinite Descent	3
2	Some remarks on algebraic curves	4
2.1	The degree of a morphism	7
3	Weierstrass equations	8
4	The Group Law	10
5	Isogenies	14
6	The invariant differential	18
7	Elliptic curves over finite fields	21
8	Formal groups	24
9	Elliptic curves over local fields	29
10	Elliptic curves over number fields	36
10.1	The torsion subgroup	36
11	Kummer theory	38
12	Elliptic curves over number fields continued	41
12.1	The weak Mordell-Weil theorem	41
13	Heights	42
14	Dual isogenies and the Weil pairing	46

15	Galois cohomology	49
16	Descent by cyclic isogeny	54
16.1	Descent by 2-isogeny	55
16.2	The Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture	58

0 Introduction

19 Jan 2024,

Lecture 1

The best books for the course include *The arithmetic of elliptic curves* by Silverman, Springer 1996, and *Lectures on elliptic curves* by Cassels, CUP 1991.

1 Fermat's Method of Infinite Descent

A right-angled triangle Δ has $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ and $\text{area}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{2}ab$.

Definition 1.1. Δ is **rational** if $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Δ is **primitive** if $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ are coprime.

Note that a primitive triangle has pairwise coprime side lengths because $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.

Lemma 1.1. Every primitive triangle is of the form $(u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2)$ for some integers $u > v > 0$.

Proof. WLOG let a, b, c be odd, even, odd. Then $(\frac{b}{2})^2 = \frac{c+a}{2} \frac{c-a}{2}$, where we note that the RHS is a product of positive coprime integers. By unique factorization, $\frac{c+a}{2} = u^2, \frac{c-a}{2} = v^2$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives the desired result. \square

Definition 1.2. $D \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is a **congruent** number if there exists a rational triangle Δ with $\text{area}(\Delta) = D$.

Note that it suffices to consider $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ squarefree.

Example 1.1. $D = 5, 6$ are congruent.

Lemma 1.2. $D \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ is congruent $\iff Dy^2 = x^3 - x$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}, y \neq 0$.

Proof. Lemma 1.1 shows that D congruent $\implies Dw^2 = uv(u^2 - v^2)$ for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Q}, w \neq 0$. This implication also obviously goes the other way. To finish, divide through by w^4 and take $x = \frac{u}{v}, y = \frac{w}{v^2}$. \square

Fermat showed that 1 is not a congruent number.

Theorem 1.3. There is no solution to $w^2 = uv(u + v)(u - v)$ for $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}, w \neq 0$.

Proof. WLOG assume u, v are coprime and that $u, w > 0$. If $v < 0$, then replace (u, v, w) by $(-v, u, w)$. If u, v are both odd, then replace (u, v, w) by $(\frac{u+v}{2}, \frac{u-v}{2}, \frac{w}{2})$. Then $u, v, u+v, u-v$ are pairwise coprime positive integers with their product a square, so by unique factorization in \mathbb{Z} , $u = a^2, v = b^2, u + v = c^2, u - v = d^2$ for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $u \not\equiv v \pmod{2}$, both c and d are odd. Then $(\frac{c+d}{2})^2 + (\frac{c-d}{2})^2 = \frac{c^2+d^2}{2} = u = a^2$. This gives a primitive triangle with area $\frac{c^2-d^2}{8} = \frac{v}{4} = (\frac{b}{2})^2$. Let $w_1 = \frac{b}{2}$,

then by Lemma 1.1, $w_1^2 = u_1 v_1 (u_1 + v_1)(u_1 - v_1)$ for some $u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have a new solution to our original question, with $4w_1^2 = b^2 = v \mid w^2 \implies w_1 \leq \frac{w}{2}$, so we're done by infinite descent. \square

A variant for polynomials. In the above, K is a field with $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Let \overline{K} be the algebraic closure of K and consider for this whole section K with $\text{char } K \neq 2$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $u, v \in K[t]$ be coprime. If $\alpha u + \beta v$ is a square for 4 distinct $(\alpha : \beta) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, then $u, v \in K$.

Proof. WLOG let $K = \overline{K}$ by extending if necessary. Changing coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 (i.e. multiplying by a 2×2 invertible matrix), we may assume that the points $(\alpha : \beta)$ are $(1 : 0)$, $(0 : 1)$, $(1 : -1)$, $(1 : -\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Since our field is algebraically closed, let $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}$. Then $u = a^2, v = b^2, u - v = (a + b)(a - b), u - \lambda v = (a + \mu b)(a - \mu b)$.

Unique factorization in $K[t]$ implies that $a + b, a - b, a + \mu b, a - \mu b$ are squares (since the necessary terms are coprime up to units, i.e. constants). But $\max(\deg(a), \deg(b)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max(\deg(u), \deg(v))$, so by Fermat's method of infinite descent, $u, v \in K$. \square

Definition 1.3. (i) An **elliptic curve** E/K is the projective closure of the plane affine curve $y^2 = f(x)$ (this is called a Weierstrass equation) where $f \in K[x]$ is a monic cubic polynomial with distinct roots in \overline{K} .

(ii) For L/K any field extension, $E(L) = \{(x, y) \in L^2 \mid y^2 = f(x)\} \cup \{0\}$ (the point at infinity in the projective closure), it turns out that $E(L)$ is naturally an abelian group.

In this course, we study $E(K)$ for K a finite field, local field, number field.

Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 show that if $E : y^2 = x^3 - x$, then $E(\mathbb{Q}) = \{0, (0, 0), (\pm 1, 0)\}$.

Corollary 1.5. Let E/K be an elliptic curve. Then $E(K(t)) = E(K)$.

Proof. WLOG $K = \overline{K}$. By a change of coordinates, we may assume $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Suppose $(x, y) \in E(K(t))$. Write $x = \frac{u}{v}$ for $u, v \in K(t)$ coprime. Then $w^2 = uv(u-v)(u-\lambda v)$ for some $w \in K[t]$. Unique factorization in $K[t]$ shows that $u, v, u-v, u-\lambda v$ are all squares, so by Lemma 1.4, $u, v \in K$, so $x, y \in K$. \square

2 Some remarks on algebraic curves

In this section, work over an algebraically closed field $K = \overline{K}$.

22 Jan 2024,
Lecture 2

Definition 2.1. A plane curve $C = \{f(x, y) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ (for $f \in K[x, y]$ irreducible) is **rational** if it has a rational parametrization, i.e. $\exists \phi, \psi \in K(t)$ such that

- (i) The map $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ by $t \mapsto (\phi(t), \psi(t))$ is injective on $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{\text{finite set}\}$.
- (ii) $f(\phi(t), \psi(t)) = 0$ in $K(t)$.

Example 2.1. (a) Any nonsingular conic is rational. For example, for $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, take a line with slope t through $(-1, 0)$ (the anchor) and solve to get the rational parametrization $(x, y) = \left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}, \frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right)$.

(b) Any singular plane cubic is rational, for example $y^2 = x^3$ giving $(x, y) = (t^2, t^3)$ with the anchor at the singularity $(0, 0)$ and $y^2 = x^2(x+1)$ with the parametrization to be computed on Ex. Sheet 1 (anchor still at $(0, 0)$).

(c) Corollary 1.5 shows that elliptic curves are not rational.

Remark. The genus $g(C) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is an invariant of a smooth projective curve C . If $K = \mathbb{C}$, then $g(C)$ is the genus of the Riemann surface. A smooth plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree d has genus $g(C) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$.

Proposition 2.1. (Here we still assume $K = \overline{K}$). Let C be a smooth projective curve.

- C is rational (see Definition 2.1) $\iff g(C) = 0$.
- C is an elliptic curve $\iff g(C) = 1$.

Proof. (i) Omitted.

(ii) (\implies): Check C is a smooth plane curve in \mathbb{P}^2 (see Ex. Sheet 1) and use the above remark.

(\impliedby): We will see this later.

□

Order of vanishing. Let C be an algebraic curve with function field $K(C)$ and let $P \in C$ be a smooth point. Write $\text{ord}_P(f)$ for the order of vanishing of $f \in K(C)$ at P (which is negative if f has a pole at P).

Fact. $\text{ord}_P : K(C)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a discrete valuation, i.e. $\text{ord}_P(f_1 f_2) = \text{ord}_P(f_1) + \text{ord}_P(f_2)$ and $\text{ord}_P(f_1 + f_2) \geq \min(\text{ord}_P(f_1), \text{ord}_P(f_2))$.

Definition 2.2. We say $t \in K(C)^\times$ is a **uniformizer** at P if $\text{ord}_P(t) = 1$.

Example 2.2. $C = \{g = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ for $g \in K[x, y]$. Then $K(C) = \text{Frac} \left(\frac{K[x, y]}{(g)} \right)$. Write $g = g_0 + g_1(x, y) + g_2(x, y) + \dots$ for g_i homogeneous of degree i . Suppose $P = (0, 0)$ is a smooth point, e.g. $g_0 = 0$ and let $g_1(x, y) = \alpha x + \beta y$ with α, β not both zero ($\alpha x + \beta y = 0$ gives a tangent to the curve at P). Let $\gamma, \delta \in K$ and consider also the line $\gamma x + \delta y$ through P . Then it is a fact that $\gamma x + \delta y \in K(C)$ is a uniformizer at P if and only if $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$.

Example 2.3. Consider $\{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ for $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ and consider its projective closure by taking $x = \frac{X}{Z}, y = \frac{Y}{Z}$ to get $\{Y^2Z = X(X-Z)(X-\lambda Z)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. This has only one point at infinity, $P = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Our aim is to compute $\text{ord}_P(x)$ and $\text{ord}_P(y)$.

For this, put $t = \frac{X}{Y}, w = \frac{Z}{Y}$, so $w \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} t(t-w)(t-\lambda w)$. Now P is the point $(t, w) = (0, 0)$, which is a smooth point with $\text{ord}_P(t) = \text{ord}_P(t-w) = \text{ord}_P(t-\lambda w) = 1$, so (\dagger) gives $\text{ord}_P(w) = 3$. We now find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_P(x) &= \text{ord}_P \left(\frac{X}{Z} \right) = \text{ord}_P \left(\frac{t}{w} \right) = 1 - 3 = -2 \\ \text{ord}_P(y) &= \text{ord}_P \left(\frac{Y}{Z} \right) = \text{ord}_P \left(\frac{1}{w} \right) = -3. \end{aligned}$$

Riemann–Roch space. Let C be a smooth projective curve.

Definition 2.3. A **divisor** is a formal sum of points on C , say $D = \sum_{P \in C} n_P P$ where $n_P \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_P = 0$ for all but finitely many $P \in C$. We say $\deg D = \sum_{P \in C} n_P$.

D is **effective** (written $D \geq 0$) if $n_P \geq 0 \ \forall P \in C$. If $f \in K(C)^\times$, then $\text{div}(f) = \sum_{P \in C} \text{ord}_P(f) P$. The Riemann–Roch space of $D \in \text{Div}(C)$ is

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \{f \in K(C)^\times \mid \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

i.e. the K -vector space of rational functions on C with "poles no worse than specified by D " (i.e. every coefficient of $\text{div}(f) + D$ is nonnegative).

We quote Riemann–Roch for surfaces of genus 1: We have

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(D) = \begin{cases} \deg D & \text{if } \deg D > 0 \\ 0 \text{ or } 1 & \text{if } \deg D = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \deg D < 0. \end{cases}$$

Example 2.4. We revisit Example 2.3. We have $\mathcal{L}(2P) = \langle 1, x \rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}(3P) = \langle 1, x, y \rangle$.

We still have $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and $\overline{K} = K$.

24 Jan 2024,
Lecture 3

Proposition 2.2. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane cubic and let $P \in C$ be a point of inflection. Then we may change coordinates such that $C : Y^2Z = X(X - Z)(X - \lambda Z)$ and $P = (0 : 1 : 0)$ (for some $\lambda \neq 0, 1$).

Proof. First change coordinates such that $P = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Then change coordinates such that the tangent line becomes $T_P C = \{Z = 0\}$. Say $C = \{F(X, Y, Z) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. A point on the tangent line is of the form $(t : 1 : 0)$ and since $P \in C$ is a point of inflection, we get $F(t, 1, 0) = \text{const} \cdot t^3$, i.e. F has no terms X^2Y, XY^2 or Y^3 .

Hence $F = \langle Y^2Z, XYZ, YZ^2, X^3, X^2Z, XZ^2, Z^3 \rangle$. Notably, Y^2Z has a nonzero coefficient, otherwise $P \in C$ would be singular, a contradiction to C being smooth. The coefficient of X^3 is nonzero as well, otherwise $Z \mid F$. We are free to rescale X, Y, Z, F , so WLOG C is defined by

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3.$$

Substituting $Y \mapsto Y - \frac{1}{2}a_1X - \frac{1}{2}a_3Z$, we may assume $a_1 = a_3 = 0$. This gives

$$C : Y^2Z = Z^3 f\left(\frac{X}{Z}\right)$$

for a monic cubic polynomial f . Since C is smooth, f has distinct roots, WLOG $0, 1, \lambda$, so $C : Y^2Z = X(X - Z)(X - \lambda Z)$. \square

The form $Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3$ is the Weierstrass form. The form $Y^2Z = X(X - Z)(X - \lambda Z)$ is the Legendre form.

Remark. It can be shown that the points of inflection of a plane curve $C = \{F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ are given by solving the Hessian:

$$\begin{cases} \det H = \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} \right) = 0 \\ F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0. \end{cases}$$

2.1 The degree of a morphism

Let $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ be a nonconstant morphism of smooth projective curves. Then $\phi^* : K(C_2) \rightarrow K(C_1)$ by $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$, giving an injective map $\phi^* K(C_2)$ to $K(C_1)$.

Definition 2.4. The **degree** of ϕ is $\deg \phi = [K(C_1) : \phi^* K(C_2)]$.

We say ϕ is **separable** if $K(C_1)/\phi^* K(C_2)$ is a separable field extension.

Suppose $P \in C_1, Q \in C_2$ and $\phi : P \mapsto Q$. Let $t \in K(C_2)$ be a uniformizer at Q .

Definition 2.5. $e_\phi(P) = \text{ord}_P(\phi^* t)$, which is always ≥ 1 and independent of t .

Theorem 2.3. Let $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ be a nonconstant morphism of smooth projective curves. Then

$$\sum_{P \in \phi^{-1}(Q)} e_\phi(P) = \deg \phi \quad \forall Q \in C_2.$$

Moreover, if ϕ is separable, then $e_\phi(P) = 1$ for all but finitely many $P \in C_1$.

We don't prove this.

In particular, this shows that:

- (i) ϕ is surjective (very important here that we're in \overline{K}).
- (ii) $|\phi^{-1}(Q)| \leq \deg \phi$.
- (iii) If ϕ is separable, then equality holds in (ii) for all but finitely many points $Q \in C_2$.

Important remark. Let C be an algebraic curve. A rational map is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \\ \phi &\mapsto (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_0, \dots, f_n \in K(C)$ are not all zero. Then we have a fact: If C is smooth, then ϕ is a morphism. This saves us a lot of time (we can go from a rational map to a morphism immediately).

3 Weierstrass equations

We now drop the assumption that $\overline{K} = K$, but we will still assume that K is perfect.

Definition 3.1. An **elliptic curve** E/K is a smooth projective curve of genus 1 defined over K with a specified K -rational point $O = 0_E$.

Example 3.1. $\{X^3 + pY^3 + p^2Z^3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is not an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , since it has no \mathbb{Q} -rational point.

Theorem 3.1. Every elliptic curve E is isomorphic over K to a curve in Weierstrass form via an isomorphism taking 0_E to $(0 : 1 : 0)$.

Remark. Proposition 2.2 treated the special case where E is a smooth plane cubic and 0_E is a point of inflection.

Fact. If $D \in \text{Div}(E)$ is defined over K , then $\mathcal{L}(D)$ has a basis in $K(E)$ (not just in $\overline{K}(E)$). Here D is defined over K if it is fixed by $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ (this is unimportant for us and we just write it down to be rigorous).

Proof. $\mathcal{L}(2 \cdot 0_E) \subset \mathcal{L}(3 \cdot 0_E)$. Pick bases $1, x$ and $1, x, y$. Note $\text{ord}_{0_E}(x) = -2$ and $\text{ord}_{0_E}(y) = -3$ (else x, y don't give a basis). The 7 elements $1, x, y, x^2, xy, x^3, y^2$ lie in the 6-dimensional vector space $\mathcal{L}(60_E)$ (as they have at most a sixth order pole), so they must satisfy a linear dependence relation.

Leaving out x^3 or y^2 leaves us with 6 elements, all with different order poles, giving a basis for $\mathcal{L}(60_E)$. Hence the coefficients of x^3 and y^2 are nonzero, so by rescaling x, y (if necessary) we get

$$E' : y^2 + a_1xy + a_2y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

for some $a_i \in K$. Let E' be the curve defined by this equation (or rather its projective closure). There is a morphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ by $P \mapsto (x(P) : y(P) : 1) = \left(\frac{x}{y}(P) : 1 : \frac{1}{y}(P)\right)$. (Since E is smooth, we know that this rational map is a morphism). Hence $0_E \mapsto (0 : 1 : 0)$.

We have $E \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{P}^1$ by $x \mapsto (x : 1)$ (and similarly for y), so

$$\begin{aligned} [K(E) : K(x)] &= \deg(E \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{P}^1) = \text{ord}_{0_E} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) = 2 \\ [K(E) : K(y)] &= \deg(E \xrightarrow{y} \mathbb{P}^1) = \text{ord}_{0_E} \left(\frac{1}{y} \right) = 3. \end{aligned}$$

This gives an inclusion of fields $K(x) \leq K(E)$ of degree 2, $K(y) \leq K(E)$ of degree 3, while $K(x), K(y) \leq K(x, y) \leq K(E)$, so tower law gives $[K(E) : K(x, y)] = 1 \implies K(E) = K(x, y) = \phi^* K(E') \implies \deg \phi = 1$. (draw a picture!). This gives us an inverse that is a rational map, which we want to show is a morphism. For this, we just need to show that E' is smooth.

If E' were singular, then E and E' are rational, a contradiction. So E' is smooth and hence ϕ^{-1} is a morphism, so ϕ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let E, E' be elliptic curves over K in Weierstrass form. Then $E \cong E'$ over $K \iff$ the equations are related by a change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} x &= u^2x' + r \\ y &= u^3y' + u^2sx' + t \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s, t, u \in K$ with $u \neq 0$.

Proof. $\mathcal{L}(2 \cdot 0_E) = \langle 1, x \rangle = \langle 1, x' \rangle \implies x = \lambda x' + r$ for some $\lambda, r \in K, \lambda \neq 0$. Similarly $\mathcal{L}(3 \cdot 0_E) = \langle 1, x, y \rangle = \langle 1, x', y' \rangle \implies y = \mu y' + \sigma x' + t$ for some $\mu, \sigma, t \in K, \mu \neq 0$.

Looking at the coefficients of x^3 and y^2 tells us that $\lambda^3 = \mu^2$, so $\lambda = u^2, \mu = u^3$ for some $u \in K^\times$. Put $s = \frac{\sigma}{u^2}$ to conclude. \square

A Weierstrass equation defines an elliptic curve \iff it defines a smooth curve $\iff \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_6) \neq 0$, where $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6]$ is a certain polynomial.

If $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$, we may reduce to the case $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. In this case, the discriminant is $\Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2)$.

Corollary 3.3. Assume $\text{char } K \neq 2, 3$. Elliptic curves

$$\begin{aligned} E : y^2 &= x^3 + ax + b \\ E' : y^2 &= x^3 + a'x + b' \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphic over $K \iff \begin{cases} a' = u^4a \\ b' = u^6b \end{cases} \text{ for some } u \in K^\times.$

Proof. E, E' are related by a substitution as in Proposition 3.2 with $r = s = t = 0$. \square

Definition 3.2. The j -invariant is $j(E) = \frac{1728(4a^3)}{4a^3 + 27b^2}$.

Corollary 3.4. $E \cong E' \implies j(E) = j(E')$ and the converse holds if $K = \overline{K}$.

Proof. $E \cong E' \iff \begin{cases} a' = u^4a \\ b' = u^6b \end{cases} \text{ for some } u \in K^\times \implies (a^3 : b^2) = ((a')^3 : (b')^2) \iff j(E) = j(E').$ The middle step is reversible if $K = \overline{K}$. \square

4 The Group Law

Let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane cubic with $0_E \in E(K)$ (not immediately assumed to be in Weierstrass form). E meets any line in 3 points, counted with multiplicity.

For $P, Q \in E$, let S be the 3rd point of intersection of PQ with E and then let R be the 3rd intersection of $0_E S$ with E . We define $P \oplus Q = R$. (Later we drop the circle and just write $+$). If $P = Q$, instead take the tangent line at P , i.e. $T_P E$, etc. This is the "chord and tangent process".

Theorem 4.1. (E, \oplus) is an abelian group.

Remark. Here E means $E(\overline{K})$ since we haven't specified a field yet.

Proof. (i) \oplus is commutative trivially.

(ii) 0_E is the identity, since the line through $0_E P$ meets E for the 3rd time at S and then SP meets E for the 3rd time at 0_E (drawing a picture makes this obvious).

(iii) Inverses: Let S be the 3rd intersection of T_{0_E} with E and Q the 3rd intersection of PS with E . Then $P \oplus Q = 0_E$.

(iv) Associativity is much harder. We have some setup:

Definition 4.1. $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(E)$ are **linearly equivalent** if $\exists f \in K(E)^\times$ such that $\text{div}(f) = D_1 - D_2$. Write $D_1 \sim D_2$ and $[D] = \{D' \mid D' \sim D\}$.

Definition 4.2. The **Picard group** is $\text{Pic}(E) = \text{Div}(E)/\sim$. Also define $\text{Pic}^0(E) = \text{Div}^0(E)/\sim$ where $\text{Div}^0(E) = \{D \in \text{Div}(E) \mid \deg(D) = 0\}$.

We define $\psi : E \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E)$ by $P \mapsto [(P) - (0_E)]$.

Proposition 4.2. (i) $\psi(P \oplus Q) = \psi(P) + \psi(Q)$.

(ii) ψ is a bijection.

Proof. (i) WLOG let the lines PQ and 0_ES be given by $l = 0$ and $m = 0$.

Then

$$\text{div}\left(\frac{l}{m}\right) = (P) + (S) + (Q) - (0_E) - (S) - (R),$$

hence $(P) + (Q) \sim (P \oplus Q) + (0_E)$, so $(P \oplus Q) - (0_E) \sim (P) - (0_E) + (Q) - (0_E)$, so $\psi(P \oplus Q) = \psi(P) + \psi(Q)$.

(ii) Injectivity: Suppose $\psi(P) = \psi(Q)$ for $P \neq Q$. Then $\exists f \in \overline{K}(E)^\times$ such that $\text{div}(f) = (P) - (0_E) - (Q) + (0_E) = (P) - (Q) \implies E \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree 1 (for example since evaluation at 0 on the affine line gives that P has one root and Q has one pole), so $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, a contradiction.

Surjectivity: Let $[D] \in \text{Pic}^0(E)$. Then $D + (0_E)$ has degree 1, so by Riemann–Roch, $\dim \mathcal{L}(D + (0_E)) = 1$, so $\exists 0 \neq f \in \overline{K}(E)$ such that $\text{div}(f) + D + (0_E) \geq 0$, but $\text{div}(f) + D + (0_E)$ has degree 1, so $\text{div}(f) + D + (0_E) = (P)$ for some $P \in E \implies (P) - (0_E) \sim D \implies \psi(P) = [D]$.

□

We conclude that ψ identifies (E, \oplus) with $(\text{Pic}^0(E), +)$, so \oplus is associative.

□

29 Jan 2024,
Lecture 5

Formulae for E in Weierstrass form. Let $E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$. Choose two points $P_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $P_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ on it. Let the line through P_1 and P_2 be given by $y = \lambda x + \nu$ and let it meet E again at $P' = (x', y')$. We want to find $P_1 \oplus P_2 = P_3 = (x_3, y_3) = \ominus P'$ for $\ominus P$ the reflection of P across the x -axis. We easily compute $\ominus P_1 = (x_1, -(a_1x + a_3) - y_1)$.

Substituting $y = \lambda x + \nu$ into our equation for E and looking at the coefficient of x^2 gives $\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda - a_2 = x_1 + x_2 + x' = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$, so $x_3 = \lambda^2 + a_1\lambda - a_2 - x_1 - x_2$. For y_3 we find

$$y_3 = -(a_1x' + a_3) - y' = -(a_1x_3 + a_3) - (\lambda x_3 + \nu) = -(\lambda + a_1)x_3 - a_3 - \nu.$$

It remains to find formulas for λ and ν .

- Case 1. $x_1 = x_2$, but $P_1 \neq P_2$. Then $P_1 \oplus P_2 = 0_E$.
- Case 2. $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then $\lambda = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$ and $\nu = y_1 - \lambda x_1 = \frac{x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2}{x_2 - x_1}$.
- Case 3. $P_1 = P_2$. In this case, compute the equation for the tangent line to get λ, ν as rational expressions in x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 .

Corollary 4.3. $E(K)$ is an abelian group.

Proof. $E(K)$ is a subgroup of (E, \oplus) .

- It has identity 0_E by definition.
- We have closure and inverses through the formulae above.
- Associativity and commutativity is inherited.

□

Theorem 4.4. Elliptic curves are group varieties, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} [-1] : E &\rightarrow E, P \mapsto \ominus P \\ \oplus : E \times E &\rightarrow E, (P, Q) \mapsto P \oplus Q \end{aligned}$$

are morphisms of algebraic varieties.

Proof. By the above formulae, $[-1] : E \rightarrow E$ is a rational map, i.e. a morphism by our important remark.

For \oplus , note by the above formulae that $\oplus : E \times E \rightarrow E$ is a rational map regular on

$$U = \{(P, Q) \in E \times E \mid 0_E \notin \{P, Q, P \oplus Q, P \ominus Q\}\}.$$

For $P \in E$, let $\tau_P : E \rightarrow E$ be the "translation by P " map, given by $X \mapsto P \oplus X$. τ_P is a rational map, hence a morphism. Now for $A, B \in E$, we factor \oplus as

$$E \times E \xrightarrow{\tau_{\ominus A} \times \tau_{\ominus B}} E \times E \xrightarrow{\oplus} E \xrightarrow{\tau_{A \oplus B}} E.$$

This shows \oplus is regular on $(\tau_A \times \tau_B)(U)$, so \oplus is regular on $E \times E$. □

Statement of results. The following isomorphisms in (i), (ii), (iv) respect the relevant topologies.

(i) $K = \mathbb{C}$. Then $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for Λ a lattice.

(ii) $K = \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$E(\mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \Delta > 0 \\ \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \Delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

(iii) $K = \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $||E(\mathbb{F}_q)| - (q + 1)| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$. This is Hasse's Theorem.

(iv) For a local field $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K , $E(K)$ has a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$.

(v) For a number field $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$, $E(K)$ is a finitely generated abelian group (this is the Mordell–Weil Theorem). Basic group theory says that if A is a finitely generated abelian group, then $A \cong (\text{finite subgroup}) \times \mathbb{Z}^r$. Here r is called the rank of A . The proof of Mordell–Weil gives an upper bound for $\text{rank } E(K)$, but there is no known algorithm to compute the rank in all cases.

Brief remarks on the case $K = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Lambda = \{a\omega_1 + b\omega_2 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where ω_1, ω_2 are a basis for \mathbb{C} as an \mathbb{R} -vector space. Then meromorphic functions on the Riemann surface \mathbb{C}/Λ correspond bijectively with Λ -invariant meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} . The function field of \mathbb{C}/Λ is generated by $\wp(z)$ and $\wp'(z)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \wp(z) &= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{1}{(z - \lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \\ \wp'(z) &= -2 \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{(z - \lambda)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

These satisfy $\wp'(z)^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - g_2\wp(z) - g_3$ for some constants $g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ depending on Λ . One shows $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong E(\mathbb{C})$, where $E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ which is an isomorphism on both groups (via $z \mapsto (\wp(z), \wp'(z))$) and on Riemann surfaces. We have the following result:

Theorem 4.5 (Uniformization theorem). Every elliptic curve over \mathbb{C} arises in this way.

Definition 4.3. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[n] : E \rightarrow E$ be given by $P \mapsto \underbrace{P \oplus P \oplus \dots \oplus P}_{n \text{ copies}}$

if $n > 0$ and $[-n] = [-1] \circ [n]$.

Definition 4.4. The n -torsion subgroup of E is

$$E[n] = \ker(E \xrightarrow{[n]} E).$$

If $K = \mathbb{C}$, then $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$, so $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $\deg[n] = n^2$. Call these results (1) and (2). We will show that (2) holds over any field $K = \overline{K}$ and (1) holds if $\text{char } K \nmid n$. We sometimes abuse notation and write $E[n] = E[n](\overline{K})$.

31 Jan 2024,
Lecture 6

Lemma 4.6. Assume $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and $E : y^2 = f(x) = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$ (with $e_i \in \overline{K}$). Then $E[2] = \{0, (e_1, 0), (e_2, 0), (e_3, 0)\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Proof. Let $P = (x, y) \in E$. Then $2[P] = 0 \iff P = -P \iff (x, y) = (x, -y) \iff y = 0$. \square

5 Isogenies

Let E_1, E_2 be elliptic curves.

Definition 5.1. (i) An **isogeny** $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a nonconstant morphism with $\phi(0_{E_1}) = 0_{E_2}$.

(ii) We say E_1 and E_2 are **isogenous** if there is an isogeny between them.

In (i), nonconstant is equivalent to surjective on \overline{K} -points. See Theorem 2.3.

Definition 5.2. $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) = \{\text{isogenies } E_1 \rightarrow E_2\} \cup \{0\}$ (the constant map at 0_E). This is an abelian group under $(\phi + \psi)(P) := \phi(P) \oplus \psi(P)$.

If $E_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} E_2 \xrightarrow{\psi} E_3$ are isogenies, then $\psi \circ \phi$ is an isogeny. By tower law, $\deg(\psi \circ \phi) = \deg(\psi)\deg(\phi)$.

Proposition 5.1. If $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $[n] : E \rightarrow E$ is an isogeny.

Proof. $[n]$ is a morphism by Theorem 4.4. We need to show $[n] \neq [0]$. Assume $\text{char } K \neq 2$.

- Case $n = 2$. Lemma 4.6 implies that $E[2] \neq E$, so $[2] \neq 0$.
- Case n odd. Lemma 4.6 implies that $\exists 0 \neq T \in E[2]$. Then $nT = T \neq 0$, so $[n] \neq [0]$.

Now use $[mn] = [m] \circ [n]$ to conclude.

If $\text{char } K = 2$, then we can replace Lemma 4.6 with an explicit lemma about 3-torsion points. \square

Corollary 5.2. $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ is a torsion-free \mathbb{Z} -module.

Theorem 5.3. Let $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be an isogeny. Then

$$\phi(P + Q) = \phi(P) + \phi(Q) \quad \forall P, Q \in E.$$

Sketch proof. ϕ induces a map $\phi_* : \text{Div}^0(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(E_2)$ by $\sum_{P \in E_1} n_P P \mapsto \sum_{P \in E_2} n_P \phi(P)$. Recall $\phi^* : K(E_2) \hookrightarrow K(E_1)$.

Fact. If $f \in K(E_1)$, then $\text{div}(N_{K(E_1)/K(E_2)}f) = \phi^*(\text{div } f)$. So ϕ_* sends principal divisors to principal divisors. Since $\phi(0_{E_1}) = 0_{E_2}$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_2 \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ \text{Pic}^0(E_1) & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & \text{Pic}^0(E_2) \end{array}$$

(with $f(P) = [(P) - (0_{E_1})]$, $g(Q) = [(Q) - (0_{E_2})]$). Since ϕ_* is a group homomorphism, ϕ is a group homomorphism. \square

Lemma 5.4. Let $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be an isogeny. Then there exists a morphism ξ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_2 \\ \downarrow x_1 & & \downarrow x_2 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

with x_i the x -coordinate in a Weierstrass equation for E_i . Moreover, if $\xi(t) = \frac{r(t)}{s(t)}$ with $r, s \in K[t]$ coprime, then $\deg(\phi) = \deg(\xi) = \max(\deg(r), \deg(s))$.

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, $K(E_i)/K(x_i)$ is a degree 2 Galois extension with Galois group generated by $[-1]^*$. By Theorem 5.3, $\phi \circ [-1] = [-1] \circ \phi$, so if $f \in K(x_2)$, then $[-1]^*(\phi^*f) = \phi^*([-1]^*f) = \phi^*f$ and hence $\phi^*f \in K(x_1)$. Hence we find

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & K(E_1) = K(x_1, y_1) & \\ & \swarrow 2 & \downarrow \\ K(x_1) & & K(E_2) = K(x_2, y_2) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow 2 & \\ K(x_2) & & \end{array} \cdot$$

In particular, $\phi^*x_2 = \xi(x_1)$ for some $\xi \in K(t)$. By tower law, $2\deg(\phi) = 2\deg(\xi) \implies \deg(\phi) = \deg(\xi)$. Now $K(x_2) \hookrightarrow K(x_1)$ by $x_2 \mapsto \xi(x_1) = \frac{r(x_1)}{s(x_1)}$ for $r, s \in K[t]$ coprime. Then minimal polynomial of x_1 over $K(x_2)$ is $F(t) = r(t) - s(t)x_2 \in K(x_2)[t]$. This is true as $F(x_1) = 0$, F is irreducible on $K[x_2, t]$ (since r, s are coprime) and by Gauss' Lemma, F is irreducible on $K(x_2)[t]$. Hence $\deg(\phi) = \deg(\xi) = [K(x_1) : K(x_2)] = \deg(F) = \max(\deg(r), \deg(s))$. \square

Lemma 5.5. $\deg[2] = 4$.

Proof. Assume char $K \neq 2, 3$, so $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b = f(x)$. If $P = (x, y)$, then $x(2P) = \left(\frac{3x^2+a}{2y}\right)^2 - 2x = \frac{(3x^2+a)^2 - 2xf(x)}{4f(x)}$. The numerator and denominator are coprime, since otherwise $\exists \theta \in \overline{K}$ with $f(\theta) = f'(\theta) = 0$, meaning f has a multiple root, contradiction. We are now done by Lemma 5.4, since $\deg[2] = \max(3, 4) = 4$. \square

Definition 5.3. Let A be an abelian group. Then a map $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a quadratic form if

- (i) $q(nx) = n^2q(x) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in A$.
- (ii) $(x, y) \mapsto q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$ is \mathbb{Z} -bilinear.

Lemma 5.6. $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a quadratic form if and only if it satisfies the parallelogram law $q(x+y) + q(x-y) = 2q(x) + 2q(y) \forall x, y \in A$.

Proof. (\implies). Let $\langle x, y \rangle = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$. Then $\langle x, x \rangle = q(2x) - 2q(x) = 2q(x)$ by (i) with $n = 2$. By (ii), $\langle x+y, x+y \rangle + \langle x-y, x-y \rangle = 2\langle x, x \rangle + 2\langle y, y \rangle$, which implies $q(x+y) + q(x-y) = 2q(x) + 2q(y)$.

(\impliedby). This is on Ex. Sheet 2. \square

02 Jan 2024,
Lecture 7

Theorem 5.7. $\deg : \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a quadratic form (with $\deg(0) = 0$).

Proof. Assume char $K \neq 2, 3$ and write $E_2 = y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Let $P, Q \in E_2$ with $P, Q, P+Q, P-Q$ all nonzero and let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 be the x -coordinates of these points.

Lemma 5.8. There exist polynomials $W_0, W_1, W_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[a, b][x_1, x_2]$ of degree ≤ 2 in x_1 and of degree ≤ 2 in x_2 such that

$$(1 : x_3 + x_4 : x_3x_4) = (W_0 : W_1 : W_2)$$

Proof. Method 1: Direct calculation (results on the formula sheet) gives the result (e.g. $W_0 = (x_1 - x_2)^2$).

Method 2: Let $y = \lambda x + \nu$ be the line through P and Q . Substituting, we get $x^3 + ax + b - (\lambda x + \nu)^2 = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3) = x^3 - s_1x^2 + s_2x - s_3$ where s_i is the i^{th} symmetric polynomial in x_1, x_2, x_3 . Comparing coefficients gives $\lambda^2 = s_1, -2\lambda\nu = s_2 - a, \nu^2 = s_3 + b$. Eliminating λ and ν gives

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (s_2 - a)^2 - 4s_1(s_3 + b) = 0,$$

where F has degree at most 2 in each x_i . Hence x_3 is a root of the quadratic $W(t) = F(x_1, x_2, t)$. Repeating this for the line through P and $-Q$ shows that

x_4 is the other root of $W(t)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= W_0(t - x_3)(t - x_4) = W_0t^2 - W_1t + W_2 \\ \implies (1 : x_3 + x_4 : x_3x_4) &= (W_0 : W_1 : W_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

We now show that if $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$, then $\deg(\phi + \psi) + \deg(\phi - \psi) \leq 2\deg(\phi) + 2\deg(\psi)$. We may assume that $\phi, \psi, \phi + \psi, \phi - \psi$ are not the zero maps (otherwise we're done trivially, or use $\deg[-1] = 1$, $\deg[2] = 4$). Now

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : (x, y) &\mapsto (\xi_1(x), \dots) \\ \psi : (x, y) &\mapsto (\xi_2(x), \dots) \\ \phi + \psi : (x, y) &\mapsto (\xi_3(x), \dots) \\ \phi - \psi : (x, y) &\mapsto (\xi_4(x), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.8 implies $(1 : \xi_3 + \xi_4 : \xi_3\xi_4) = ((\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2 : \dots)$. Say $\xi_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i}$ for $r_i, s_i \in K[t]$ coprime. This gives

$$(s_3s_4 : r_3s_4 + r_4s_3 : r_3r_4) \stackrel{(\star)}{=} ((r_1s_2 - r_2s_1)^2 : \dots)$$

where every term is quadratic in r_3, r_4, s_3 and s_4 . Hence (as the terms on the LHS of (\star) are coprime)

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\phi + \psi) + \deg(\phi - \psi) &= \max(\deg(r_3), \deg(s_3)) + \max(\deg(r_4), \deg(s_4)) \\ &= \max(\deg(s_3s_4), \deg(r_3s_4 + r_4s_3), \deg(r_3r_4)) \\ &\leq 2\max(\deg(r_1), \deg(s_1)) + 2\max(\deg(r_2), \deg(s_2)) \\ &= 2\deg(\phi) + 2\deg(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Now replace ϕ and ψ by $\phi + \psi$ and $\phi - \psi$ and use $\deg[2] = 4$ to get

$$4\deg(\phi) + 4\deg(\psi) = \deg(2\phi) + \deg(2\psi) \leq 2\deg(\phi + \psi) + 2\deg(\phi - \psi).$$

This gives the parallelogram law, so \deg is a quadratic form. □

Corollary 5.9. $\deg(n\phi) = n^2\deg(\phi)$. In particular, $\deg[n] = n^2$.

Example 5.1. Let E/K be an elliptic curve. Suppose $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and $0 \neq T \in E(K)[2]$. WLOG let $E : y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$ for $a, b \in K, b(a^2 - 4b) \neq 0$ (by moving a root to zero) and WLOG $T = (0, 0)$.

If $P = (x, y)$ and $P' = P + T = (x', y')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2 - a - x = \frac{x^2 + ax + b}{x} - a - x = \frac{b}{x} \\ y' &= -\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) x' = -\frac{by}{x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We let $\xi = x + x' + a = \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2$, $\eta = y + y' = \frac{y}{x} \left(x - \frac{b}{x}\right)$. Then

$$\eta^2 = \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2 \left(\left(x + \frac{b}{x}\right)^2 - 4b \right) = \xi((\xi - a)^2 - 4b) = \xi(\xi^2 - 2a\xi + a^2 - 4b).$$

Let $E' : y^2 = x(x^2 + a'x + b')$ with $a' = -2a$, $b' = a^2 - 4b$. There is an isogeny $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2 : \frac{y(x^2 - b)}{x^2} : 1\right)$.

Sanity check/finding where 0_E maps to: x is a double pole, y is a triple pole, so $\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2$ is a double pole and $\frac{y(x^2 - b)}{x^2}$ is a triple pole (and the last coordinate 1 has degree 0). Multiplying through by a cube of a uniformizer, the degrees go from $(-2, -3, 0)$ to $(1, 0, 3)$, so $0_E \mapsto (0 : 1 : 0)$.

To compute $\deg(\phi)$, $\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2 = \frac{x^2 + ax + b}{x}$ with the numerator and denominator coprime as $b \neq 0$, so by Lemma 5.4, $\deg(\phi) = 2$. We say ϕ is a **2-isogeny**.

6 The invariant differential

For C some algebraic curve over $K = \overline{K}$.

Definition 6.1. The space of differentials Ω_C (sometimes called one-forms) is the $K(C)$ -vector space generated by df for all $f \in K(C)$ subject to the relations

(i) $d(f + g) = df + dg$.

(ii) $d(fg) = f dg + g df$.

(iii) $da = 0 \ \forall a \in K$.

Fact. Ω_C is a 1-dimensional $K(C)$ -vector space.

Let $0 \neq \omega \in \Omega_C$, let $P \in C$ be a smooth point and let $t \in K(C)$ be a uniformizer at P . Then $\omega = f dt$ for some $f \in K(C)^\times$. We define $\text{ord}_P(\omega) = \text{ord}_P(f)$, which is independent of the choice of t .

Fact. Suppose $f \in K(C)^\times$ with $\text{ord}_P(f) = n \neq 0$. If $\text{char } K \nmid n$, then $\text{ord}_P(df) = n - 1$.

We assume that C is a smooth projective curve.

Definition 6.2. We define $\text{div}(\omega) = \sum_{P \in C} \text{ord}_P(\omega) P \in \text{Div}(C)$. Here we use the fact that $\text{ord}_P(\omega) = 0$ for all but finitely many $P \in C$.

05 Feb 2024,
Lecture 8

Definition 6.3. A differential $\omega \in \Omega_C$ is regular if $\text{div}(\omega) \geq 0$. We define the genus $g(C)$ of C to be

$$g(C) = \dim_K \{\omega \in \Omega_C \mid \text{div}(\omega) \geq 0\},$$

where the set on the RHS is the set of regular differentials.

As a consequence of Riemann–Roch, we have that if $0 \neq \omega \in \Omega_C$, then $\deg(\text{div}(\omega)) = 2g(C) - 2$.

Lemma 6.1. Assume $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and let $E : y^2 = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3)$ for e_1, e_2, e_3 distinct. Then $\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$ is a differential on E with no zeroes or poles, which implies $g(E) = 1$. In particular, the K -vector space of regular differentials on E is 1-dimensional (see previous fact), spanned by ω .

Proof. Let $T_i = (e_i, 0)$. Then $E[2] = \{0, T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ and $\text{div}(y) \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} (T_1) + (T_2) + (T_3) - 3(0)$. For $0 \neq P \in E$, $\text{div}(x - x_P) = (P) + (-P) - 2(0)$.

- If $P \in E \setminus E[2]$, then $\text{ord}(x - x_P) = 1 \implies \text{ord}_P(dx) = 0$.
- If $P = T_i$, then $\text{ord}_P(x - x_P) = 2 \implies \text{ord}_P(dx) = 1$.
- If $P = 0$, then $\text{ord}_P(x) = -2 \implies \text{ord}_P(dx) = -3$.

Hence $\text{div}(dx) = (T_1) + (T_2) + (T_3) - 3(0)$, which with (\dagger) gives $\text{div}\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right) = 0$. \square

Definition 6.4. For $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ a nonconstant morphism, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* : \Omega_{C_2} &\rightarrow \Omega_{C_1} \\ fdg &\mapsto \phi^* fd(\phi^* g). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.2. Let $P \in E$, $\tau_P : E \rightarrow E$ by $X \mapsto X + P$ and $\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$ as above. Then $\tau_P^* \omega = \omega$. We say ω is the **invariant differential**.

Proof. $\tau_P^* \omega$ is a regular differential on E , so $\tau_P^* \omega = \lambda_P \omega$ for some $\lambda_P \in K^\times$. The map $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ by $P \mapsto \lambda_P$ is a morphism of smooth projective curves, but it is not surjective (as it misses 0 and ∞). Hence it is constant by Theorem 2.3, i.e. $\exists \lambda \in K^\times$ such that $\tau_P^* \omega = \lambda \omega \forall P \in E$. Taking $P = 0$ shows $\lambda = 1$. \square

Remark. If $K = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong E(\mathbb{C})$ by $z \mapsto (\wp(z), \wp'(z)) := (x, y)$, then $\frac{dx}{y} = \frac{\wp'(z)dz}{\wp'(z)} = dz$, which is invariant under $z \mapsto z + \text{const}$.

Lemma 6.3. Let $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$. Let ω be the invariant differential on E_2 . Then $(\phi + \psi)^* \omega = \phi^* \omega + \psi^* \omega$.

Proof. Write E for E_2 . We have the maps

$$\begin{aligned} E \times E &\rightarrow E \\ \mu : (P, Q) &\mapsto P + Q \\ \text{pr}_1 : (P, Q) &\mapsto P \\ \text{pr}_2 : (P, Q) &\mapsto Q. \end{aligned}$$

Fact. $\Omega_{E \times E}$ is a 2-dimensional $K(E \times E)$ -vector space with basis $\text{pr}_1^* \omega$ and $\text{pr}_2^* \omega$. Consequently, $\mu^* \omega \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} f \text{pr}_1^* \omega + g \text{pr}_2^* \omega$ for some $f, g \in K(E \times E)$.

For fixed $Q \in E$, let $i_Q : E \rightarrow E \times E$ by $P \mapsto (P, Q)$. Applying i_Q^* to (\dagger) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{(\mu \circ i_Q)^* \omega}_{\tau_Q} &= (i_Q^* f) \underbrace{(\text{pr}_1 \circ i_Q)^* \omega}_{\text{identity map}} + (i_Q^* g) \underbrace{(\text{pr}_2 \circ i_Q)^* \omega}_{\text{constant map}} \\ \implies \tau_Q^* \omega &= (i_Q^* f) \omega + 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $\tau_Q^* \omega = \omega$ by the previous lemma, we conclude $i_Q^* f = 1 \ \forall q \in E$, so $f(P, Q) = 1 \ \forall P, Q \in E$. Similarly $g(P, Q) = 1 \ \forall P, Q \in E$, so (\dagger) gives $\mu^* \omega = \text{pr}_1^* \omega + \text{pr}_2^* \omega$. Now pull back using

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &\rightarrow E \times E \\ P &\mapsto (\phi(P), \psi(P)) \end{aligned}$$

to get $(\phi + \psi)^* \omega = \phi^* \omega + \psi^* \omega$. \square

Lemma 6.4. Let $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ be a nonconstant morphism. Then ϕ is separable if and only if $\phi^* : \Omega_{C_2} \rightarrow \Omega_{C_1}$ is nonzero.

Proof. Omitted. \square

Example 6.1. Let $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative group. For $n \geq 2$ an integer, consider $\phi : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ by $x \mapsto x^n$. Then $\phi^*(dx) = d(x^n) = nx^{n-1}dx$. So if $\text{char } K \nmid n$, then ϕ is separable, so $|\phi^{-1}(Q)| = \deg \phi$ for all but at most finitely many $Q \in \mathbb{G}_m$.

But ϕ is a group homomorphism, so $|\phi^{-1}(Q)| = |\ker(Q)| \ \forall Q \in \mathbb{G}_m$. Hence $|\ker Q| = \deg \phi = n$. This shows that $K = \overline{K}$ contains exactly n distinct n^{th} roots of unity.

07 Feb 2024,
Lecture 9

Theorem 6.5. ¹If $\text{char } K \nmid n$, then $E[n] = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$.

¹Remember that $\overline{K} = K$ here.

Proof. Lemma 6.3 and induction imply $[n]^*\omega = n\omega$ where $\text{char } K \nmid n$, so $[n]$ is separable by Lemma 6.4. Hence $|[n]^{-1}(Q)| = \deg[n]$ for all but finitely many points $Q \in E$. But $[n]$ is a group homomorphism, so $|[n]^{-1}Q| = |E[n]| \ \forall Q \in E$. We conclude that $|E[n]| = \deg[n] = n^2$ by Corollary 5.9.

By classification of finite abelian groups, $E[n] \cong \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/d_t\mathbb{Z}$ with $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_t$, but $d_t \mid n$, and if p is a prime with $p \mid d_1$, then $E[p] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^t$, so $|E[p]| = p^2$, so $t = 2$. Hence $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid n$ with $d_1 d_2 = n^2$, so $d_1 = d_2 = n$ and so $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$. \square

Remark. If $\text{char } K = p$, then $[p]$ is inseparable. It can be shown that either $E[p^r] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \ \forall r \geq 1$ or $E[p^r] = 0 \ \forall r \geq 1$ (the "ordinary" case and the "supersingular" case).

Remark about the remark. Do not use this remark to trivialize a question on Ex. Sheet 2.

7 Elliptic curves over finite fields

Lemma 7.1. Let A be an abelian group. Let $q : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a positive definite quadratic form. Then

$$\underbrace{|q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)|}_{\langle x, y \rangle} \leq 2\sqrt{q(x)q(y)}.$$

Proof. We may assume $x \neq 0$, otherwise the result is clear. Hence $q(x) \neq 0$. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq q(mx + ny) = \frac{1}{2} \langle mx + ny, mx + ny \rangle \\ &= m^2 q(x) + mn \langle x, y \rangle + n^2 q(y) \\ &= q(x) \left(m + \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{2q(x)} n \right)^2 + \left(q(y) - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle^2}{4q(x)} \right) n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Get rid of the first term by taking $m = -\langle x, y \rangle$ and $n = 2q(x)$ to deduce $\langle x, y \rangle^2 \leq 4q(x)q(y)$, so the result follows. \square

Theorem 7.2 (Hasse). Let E/\mathbb{F}_q be an elliptic curve. Then

$$|\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) - (q + 1)| \leq 2\sqrt{q}.$$

Proof. Recall $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is cyclic of order r , generated by the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^q$. Let E have Weierstrass equation with coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (and note that $a_i^q = a_i \ \forall i$).

Define the Frobenius endomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E$ by $(x, y) \mapsto (x^q, y^q)$, which is an isogeny of degree q . Then $E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{P \in E \mid \phi(P) = P\} = \ker(1 - \phi)$. We have

$$\phi^*\omega = \phi^*\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right) = \frac{d(x^q)}{y^q} = \frac{qx^{q-1}dx}{y^q} = 0$$

as $q = p^n$, so $p \mid q$. By Lemma 6.3,

$$(1 - \phi)^*\omega = \omega - \phi^*\omega = \omega \neq 0,$$

so $1 - \phi$ is separable. By Theorem 2.3 and the fact that $1 - \phi$ is a group homomorphism, we argue in the proof of Theorem 6.5 that

$$\underbrace{|\ker(1 - \phi)|}_{|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \deg(1 - \phi).$$

The map $\deg : \text{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a positive definite quadratic form by Theorem 5.7. Hence by Lemma 7.1,

$$\begin{aligned} |\deg(1 - \phi) - 1 - \deg\phi| &\leq 2\sqrt{\deg\phi} \\ \implies |\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) - q - 1| &\leq 2\sqrt{q}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Definition 7.1. For $\phi, \psi \in \text{End}(E) = \text{Hom}(E, E)$, we put $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \deg(\phi + \psi) - \deg(\phi) - \deg(\psi)$ and $\text{tr}(\phi) = \langle \phi, 1 \rangle$.

Corollary 7.3. Let E/\mathbb{F}_q be an elliptic curve and let $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$ be the q^{th} power Frobenius map. Then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - \text{tr}(\phi)$ and $|\text{tr}(\phi)| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$.

Zeta functions. For K a number field,

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \frac{1}{(N(\mathfrak{a}))^s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K, \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(N(\mathfrak{p}))^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

For K a function field, i.e. $K = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$ where C is a smooth projective curve,

$$\zeta_K(s) = \prod_{x \in |C|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(Nx)^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where $|C| = \{\text{closed points of } C\} = \{\text{orbits for the action of } \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q) \text{ on } C(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})\}$ and $Nx = q^{\deg x}$, where $\deg x$ is the size of the corresponding orbit (these definitions are borrowed from scheme theory). We have $\zeta_K(s) = F(q^{-s})$ for

some $F \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(T) &= \prod_{x \in |C|} (1 - T^{\deg x})^{-1} \\
 \implies \log F(T) &= \sum_{x \in |C|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} T^{m \deg x} \\
 \implies T \frac{d}{dT} \log F(T) &= \sum_{x \in |C|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \deg x T^{m \deg x} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{x \in |C|, \deg x | n} \deg x \right) T^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) T^n \\
 \implies F(T) &= \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}{n} T^n \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 7.2. The zeta function of a smooth projective curve C/\mathbb{F}_q is

$$Z_C(T) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})}{n} T^n \right).$$

09 Feb 2024,
Lecture 10

Theorem 7.4. Let E/\mathbb{F}_q be an elliptic curve with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - a$. Then

$$Z_E(T) = \frac{1 - aT + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E$ be the q -power Frobenius map. By Corollary 7.3, $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - \text{tr}(\phi)$, so $\text{tr}(\phi) = a$ and $\deg(\phi) = q$. By a result from Ex. Sheet 2, $\phi^2 - a\phi + q = 0$. Hence $\phi^{n+2} - a\phi^{n+1} + q\phi^n = 0$. As the trace is linear, $\text{tr}(\phi^{n+2}) - a\text{tr}(\phi^{n+1}) + q\text{tr}(\phi^n) = 0$. The second order difference equation with initial conditions $\text{tr}(1) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle = 2^2 - 1^2 - 1^2 = 2$ and $\text{tr}(\phi) = a$ has solution

$$\text{tr}(\phi^n) = \alpha^n + \beta^n$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ are roots of $X^2 - aX + q = 0$.² Apply Corollary 7.3 again to get

²We don't need to worry about the case where the roots are equal, since we don't want a general solution, just a solution satisfying our initial conditions.

that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = q^n + 1 - \text{tr}(\phi^n) = 1 + q^n - \alpha^n - \beta^n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_E(T) &= \exp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{T^n}{n} + \frac{(qT)^n}{n} - \frac{(\alpha T)^n}{n} - \frac{(\beta T)^n}{n} \right) \\
 &= \exp(-\log(1-T) - \log(1-qT) + \log(1-\alpha T) + \log(1-\beta T)) \\
 &= \frac{(1-\alpha T)(1-\beta T)}{(1-T)(1-qT)} \\
 &= \frac{1 - aT + qT^2}{(1-T)(1-qT)}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Hasse's theorem tells us that $|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$, so the discriminant $a^2 - q$ is nonpositive, so the roots are complex conjugates, i.e. $\alpha = \bar{\beta}$, and $|\alpha| = |\beta| \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \sqrt{q}$.

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q(E)$, then $\zeta_K(s) = 0 \implies Z_E(q^{-s}) = 0 \implies q^{-s} \in \{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}\} \implies q^s \in \{\alpha, \beta\} \implies q^{\text{Re}(s)} = |\alpha| = |\beta| \implies \text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. This proves the Riemann hypothesis for elliptic curves over finite fields.

8 Formal groups

Definition 8.1. Let R be a ring and $I \subset R$ an ideal. The I -**adic topology** on R has basis $\{r + I^n \mid r \in R, n \geq 1\}$.

Definition 8.2. A sequence (x_n) in R is **Cauchy** if $\forall k, \exists N$ such that $x_m - x_n \in I^k \forall m, n \geq N$.

Definition 8.3. R is **complete** if

- (i) $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = \{0\}$ (this is a Hausdorff-type condition).
- (ii) Every Cauchy sequence converges.

Useful remark. If $x \in I$, then $\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots$. This exists as the sequence of partial sums form a Cauchy sequence, and then we check that the result it converges to is an inverse for $\frac{1}{1-x}$. Hence $1 - x \in R^\times$.

Example 8.1. Basically the only two examples we care about in this course are:

- $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$, the p -adic integers, and $I = p\mathbb{Z}_p$.
- $R = \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ and $I = (t)$.

Lemma 8.1 (Hensel's lemma). Let R be complete with respect to an ideal I . Let $F \in R[X]$, $s \geq 1$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $a \in R$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} F(a) &\equiv 0 \pmod{I^s} \\ F'(a) &\in I^\times \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a unique $b \in R$ such that $F(b) = 0$ and $b \equiv a \pmod{I^s}$.

Proof. Let $u \in R^\times$ be such that $F'(a) = u \pmod{I}$ (e.g. we could take $u = F'(a)$). Replacing $F(X)$ by $\frac{F(X+a)}{u}$ we may assume $a = 0$ and $F'(0) \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$. We put $x_0 = 0$ and $x_{n+1} \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} x_n - F(x_n)$. Each induction shows that $x_n \equiv 0 \pmod{I^s} \forall n$ (\ddagger) . Now use the useful identity

$$F(X) - F(Y) = (X - Y)(F'(0) + XG(X, Y) + YH(X, Y))$$

for some $G, H \in R[X, Y]$. Call this identity (\star) .

We claim that $x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{I^{n+s}} \forall n \geq 0$. To prove this, use induction. The case $n = 0$ is clear. Suppose $x_n \equiv x_{n-1} \pmod{I^{n+s-1}}$. By (\star) ,

$$F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1}) = (x_n - x_{n-1})(1 + c)$$

for some $c \in I$. Modulo I^{n+s} we now use (\ddagger) to get

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1}) &\equiv x_n - x_{n-1} \pmod{I^{n+s}} \\ \implies x_n - F(x_n) &= x_{n-1} - F(x_{n-1}) \pmod{I^{n+s}} \\ \implies x_{n+1} &\equiv x_n \pmod{I^{n+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is Cauchy, and R is complete, so $x_n \rightarrow b$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $b \in R$. Taking the limit in (\dagger) gives $b = b - F(b)$ (as the polynomial is continuous in our topology), so $F(b) = 0$. Taking the limit in (\ddagger) gives $b \equiv 0 \equiv a \pmod{I^s}$.

For uniqueness, if b_1, b_2 work, then plug them into (\star) and use the useful remark that $1 - x$ is a unit to get that $b_1 = b_2$. \square

Write $E : Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3$ and look at its affine piece $Y \neq 0$ with $t = -\frac{X}{Y}, w = -\frac{Z}{Y}$ (the minus signs are here to match Silverman's book). We get

$$w = t^3 + a_1tw + a_2t^2w + a_3w^2 + a_4tw^2 + a_6w^3 = f(t, w).$$

We apply Hensel's lemma (Lemma 8.1) with $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]]$, $I = (t)$ and $F(X) = X - f(t, X) \in R[X]$. We take $s = 3$, $a = 0$ and check that $F(a) = F(0) = -f(t, 0) = -t^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{I^3}$ and $F'(0) = 1 - a_1t - a_2t^2 \in R^\times$

by our useful remark, so the assumptions hold. Hence there exists a unique $\omega(t) \in R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]]$ such that $\omega(t) = f(t, w(t))$ and $w(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^3}$.

Remarks.

(i) Taking $u = 1$ in the proof of Hensel's lemma gives $w(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(t)$ where $w_0(t) = 0$, $w_{n+1}(t) = f(t, w_n(t))$.

(ii) In fact, $w(t) = t^3(1 + A_1t + A_2t^2 + \dots)$ where $A_1 = a_1$, $A_2 = a_1^2 + a_2$, $A_3 = a_1^3 + 2a_1a_2 + 2a_3$, etc. (i.e. we can compute the series explicitly).

12 Feb 2024,
Lecture 11

Lemma 8.2. Let R be an integral domain, complete with respect to an ideal I . Let $a_0, \dots, a_6 \in R$ and let $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Then

$$\widehat{E}(I) := \{(t, w) \in E(K) \mid t, w \in I\}$$

is a subgroup of $E(K)$.

Remark. By uniqueness in Hensel's lemma, $\widehat{E}(I) = \{(t, w(t)) \in E(K) \mid t \in I\}$.

Proof. Taking $(t, w) = (0, 0)$ shows $0_E \in \widehat{E}(I)$. So it suffices to show that if $P_1, P_2 \in \widehat{E}(I)$, then $P_3 := -P_1 - P_2 \in \widehat{E}(I)$. Since we're working over an affine piece with the identity at 0, we know three points sum to zero if and only if they lie on the same line. Say $P_i = (t_i, w_i)$ with the line P_1P_2 given by $w = \lambda t + \nu$. We have $P_1, P_2 \in \widehat{E}(I) \implies t_1, t_2 \in I$ and $w_1 = w(t_1), w_2 = w(t_2)$. Write $w(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n-2}t^{n+1}$ with $A_0 = 1$. We have

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \frac{w(t_2) - w(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} & \text{if } t_1 \neq t_2 \\ w'(t_1) & \text{if } t_1 = t_2 \end{cases} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n-2}(t_1^n + t_1^{n-1}t_2 + \dots + t_2^n) \in I,$$

$$\nu = w_1 - \lambda t_1 \in I.$$

Substituting $w = \lambda t + \nu$ into $w = f(t, w)$ gives

$$\lambda t + \nu = t^3 + a_1t(\lambda t + \nu) + a_2t^2(\lambda t + \nu) + a_3(\lambda t + \nu)^2 + a_4t(\lambda t + \nu)^2 + a_6(\lambda t + \nu)^3.$$

Let

$$A = (\text{coeff. of } t^3) = 1 + a_2\lambda + a_4\lambda^2 + a_6\lambda^3,$$

$$B = (\text{coeff. of } t^2) = a_1\lambda + a_2\nu + a_3\lambda^2 + 2a_4\lambda\nu + 3a_6\lambda^2\nu.$$

We have $A \in R^\times$, $B \in I$. Hence $t_3 = \frac{-B}{A} - t_1 - t_2 \in I$ and $w_3 = \lambda t_3 + \nu \in I$. \square

Taking $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]]$ and $I = (t)$ and using Lemma 8.2 implies $\exists \iota \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t]]$ with $\iota(0) = 0$ such that $[-1](t, w(t)) = (\iota(t), w(\iota(t)))$.

Taking $R = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t_1, t_2]]$ and $I = (t_1, t_2)$ and using Lemma 8.2 implies $\exists F \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_6][[t_1, t_2]]$ with $F(0, 0) = 0$ and

$$(t_1, w(t_1)) + (t_2, w(t_2)) = (F(t_1, t_2), w(F(t_1, t_2))).$$

In fact, $F(X, Y) = X + Y - a_1XY - a_2(X^2Y + XY^2) + \dots$

By properties of the group law, we deduce

- (i) $F(X, Y) = F(Y, X)$,
- (ii) $F(X, 0) = X$ and $F(0, Y) = Y$,
- (iii) $F(X, F(Y, Z)) = F(F(X, Y), Z)$,
- (iv) $F(X, \iota(X)) = 0$.

Definition 8.4. Let R be a ring. A **formal group** over R is a power series $F(X, Y) \in R[[X, Y]]$ satisfying the first three axioms above.

An exercise on Ex. Sheet 2 asks us to show that the first three conditions imply the fourth, i.e. there is a unique $\iota(X) = -X + \dots \in R[[X]]$ such that $F(X, \iota(X)) = 0$.

Example 8.2. (i) The additive formal group $F(X, Y) = X + Y$, called $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$.

(ii) The multiplicative formal group $F(X, Y) = X + Y + XY = (1 + X)(1 + Y) - 1$, called $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$.

(iii) The formal group of an elliptic curve, $F(X, Y) = [\text{see above}]$, called \widehat{E} .

Definition 8.5. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be formal groups over R given by power series F and G .

- (i) A **morphism** $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a power series $f \in R[[T]]$ such that $f(0) = 0$ satisfying $f(F(X, Y)) = G(f(X), f(Y))$.
- (ii) We say \mathcal{F} is **isomorphic** to \mathcal{G} , i.e. $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{G}$ if there exist morphisms $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{F}$ such that $f(g(T)) = g(f(T)) = T$.

Theorem 8.3. If $\text{char } R = 0$, then any formal group \mathcal{F} over R is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ over $R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. (In other words, our conditions are $\text{char } R = 0$ and "the integers are invertible"). More precisely:

- (i) There is a unique power series $\log(T) = T + \frac{a_2}{2}T^2 + \frac{a_3}{3}T^3 + \dots$ with $a_i \in R$ such that

$$\log(F(X, Y)) = \log(X) + \log(Y). \quad (\star)$$

- (ii) There is a unique power series $\exp(T) = T + \frac{b_2}{2!}T^2 + \frac{b_3}{3!}T^3 + \dots$ with $b_i \in R$ such that

$$\exp(\log(T)) = \log(\exp(T)) = T.$$

Proof. (i) Notation: Write $F_1(X, Y) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}(X, Y)$. Uniqueness: Let $p(T) = \frac{d}{dT} \log T = 1 + a_2T + a_3T^2 + \dots$. Differentiating (\star) with respect to X gives $p(F(X, Y))F_1(X, Y) = p(X) + 0$. Putting $X = 0$ gives $P(Y)F_1(0, Y) = 1$, so $p(Y) = \frac{1}{F_1(0, Y)}$, proving uniqueness.

Existence: Let $p(T) = F_1(0, T)^{-1} = 1 + a_2T + a_3T^2 + \dots$ for some $a_i \in R$. Define $\log T = T + \frac{a_2}{2}T^2 + \frac{a_3}{3}T^3 + \dots$, so $p(T) = \frac{d}{dT} \log T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(F(X, Y), Z) &= F(X, F(Y, Z)) \\ \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{dX}} F_1(F(X, Y), Z)F_1(X, Y) &= F_1(X, F(Y, Z)) \\ \xrightarrow{X=0} F_1(Y, Z)p(Y)^{-1} &= p(F(Y, Z))^{-1} \\ \implies F_1(Y, Z)p(F(Y, Z)) &= p(Y) \\ \xrightarrow{\text{intg. wrt } Y} \log(F(Y, Z)) &= \log(Y) + h(Z) \end{aligned}$$

for some power series H . But the symmetry in Y and Z implies that $h(Z) = \log Z$, so we're done.

14 Feb 2024,
Lecture 12

- (ii) For this, use

Lemma 8.4. Let $f(T) = aT + \dots \in R[[T]]$ with $a \in R^\times$. Then there exists a unique $g(T) = a^{-1}T + \dots \in R[[T]]$ with $f(g(T)) = g(f(T)) = T$.

Proof. We construct polynomials $g_n(T) \in R[T]$ such that $f(g_n(T)) \equiv T \pmod{T^{n+1}}$ and $g_{n+1}(T) \equiv g_n(T) \pmod{T^{n+1}}$. Then $g(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(T)$ satisfies $f(g(T)) = T$. To start the induction, set $g_1(T) = a^{-1}T$.

Now suppose $n \geq 2$, so $g_{n-1}(T)$ exists, so $f(g_{n-1}(T)) \equiv T + bT^n \pmod{T^{n+1}}$ for some $b \in R$. We put $g_n(T) = g_{n-1}(T) + \lambda T^n$ for $\lambda \in R$ to be chosen later. Then $f(g_n(T)) = f(g_{n-1}(T) + \lambda T^n) = f(g_{n-1}(T)) + \lambda aT^n \equiv T + (b + \lambda a)T^n \pmod{T^{n+1}}$, so we take $\lambda = -ba^{-1}$ (then $\lambda \in R$ as $b \in R, a \in R^\times$), completing the induction step.

We get $g(T) = a^{-1}T + \dots \in R[[T]]$ such that $f(g(T)) = T$ (\dagger). Applying the same construction to g gives $h(T) = a + \dots \in R[[T]]$ such that $g(h(T)) = T$ (\ddagger). Now note that $f(T) \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} f(g(h(T))) \stackrel{(\ddagger)}{=} h(T)$, so $f = h$. \square

The result now follows from this lemma and Ex. Sheet 2 Q5 (which allows us to control the denominators, so they'd be $n!$).

\square

Notation. Let \mathcal{F} be a formal group (e.g. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a, \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m, \widehat{E}$) given by a power series $F \in R[[X, Y]]$. Suppose R is complete with respect to an ideal I . For $x, y \in I$, define $x \oplus_{\mathcal{F}} y = F(x, y) \in I$. Then $\mathcal{F}(I) = (I, \oplus_{\mathcal{F}})$ is an abelian group.

Example 8.3. • $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(I) = (I, +)$,

• $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(I) = (1 + I, \times)$,

• $\widehat{E}(I) = \text{subgroup of } E(K) \text{ in Lemma 8.2.}$

Corollary 8.5. Let \mathcal{F} be a formal group over R and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $n \in R^\times$. Then

- (i) $[n] : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism of formal groups.
- (ii) If R is complete with respect to an ideal I , then $\mathcal{F}(I) \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathcal{F}(I)$ is an isomorphism of groups. In particular, $\mathcal{F}(I)$ has no n -torsion.

Proof. We define $[1](T) = T$ and $[n](T) = F([n-1]T, T) \forall n \geq 2$. (For $n < 0$, use $[-1](T) = \iota(T)$). Since $F(X, Y) = X + Y + XY(\dots)$, we have $[2](T) = f(T, T) = 2T + \dots$. By induction we get $[n](T) = nT + \dots \in R[[T]]$. Lemma 8.4 shows that if $n \in R^\times$, then $[n]$ is an isomorphism. This proves (i). Part (ii) now follows. \square

9 Elliptic curves over local fields

Let K be a field, complete with respect to a discrete valuation $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. (Here complete means complete with respect to the metric given by the absolute value arising from v .)

- The **valuation ring** is $\mathcal{O}_K = \{x \in K^\times \mid v(x) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$.
- The **unit group** is $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{x \in K^\times \mid v(x) = 0\}$.
- The **maximal ideal** is $\pi\mathcal{O}_K$, where $v(\pi) = 1$.
- The **residue field** is $k = \mathcal{O}_K / \pi\mathcal{O}_K$.

We assume that $\text{char } K = 0$, but $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ (i.e. we are in the mixed characteristic case). The key example to keep in mind is $K = \mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}_p, k = \mathbb{F}_p$. Now let E/K be an elliptic curve.

Definition 9.1. A Weierstrass equation for E with coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in K$ is **integral** if $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and **minimal** if $v(\Delta)$ is minimal among all integral Weierstrass equations for E .

Remarks.

- (i) Rescaling $x = u^2 x', y = u^3 y'$ gives $a_i = u^i a'_i$, so we can clear denominators, so integral Weierstrass equations exist.
- (ii) $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K \implies \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_K \implies v(\Delta) \geq 0 \implies$ minimal Weierstrass equations exist.
- (iii) If $\text{char}(k) \neq 2, 3$, then there exists a minimal Weierstrass equation of the form $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$.

Lemma 9.1. Let E/K have integral Weierstrass equation $y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$. Let $0 \neq P = (x, y) \in E(K)$. Then either $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ or $\begin{cases} v(x) = -2s \\ v(y) = -3s \end{cases}$ for some $s \geq 1$. (Compare this with Ex. Sheet 1 Q5.)

Proof. • Case $v(x) \geq 0$: Suppose $v(y) < 0$. Then $v(\text{LHS}) = v(y^2) < 0$ while $v(\text{RHS}) \geq 0$, a contradiction. Hence $v(y) \geq 0$, so $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

- Case $v(x) < 0$: We have $v(\text{LHS}) \geq \min(2v(y), v(x) + v(y), v(y))$ and $v(\text{RHS}) = 3v(x)$. Go through 3 cases based on which element is minimal to get $v(y) < v(x)$ in every case. Now $v(\text{LHS}) = 2v(y)$, $v(\text{RHS}) = 3v(x)$, so we're done.

□

If K is complete, then \mathcal{O}_K is complete with respect to $\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$ for any $r \geq 1$. We fix a minimal Weierstrass equation for E/K . This gives rise to a formal group \hat{E} over \mathcal{O}_K . Take $R = \mathcal{O}_K$, $I = \pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$ for $r \geq 1$ in Lemma 8.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) &= \left\{ (x, y) \in E(K) \mid -\frac{x}{y}, -\frac{1}{y} \in \pi^r \mathcal{O}_K \right\} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \left\{ (x, y) \in E(K) \mid v\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \geq r, v\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) \geq r \right\} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x) = -2s, v(y) = -3s \text{ for some } s \geq r\} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x) \leq -2r, v(y) \leq -3r\} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8.2 this is a subgroup of $E(K)$, call it $E_r(K)$. It is also clear that $\dots \subset E_3(K) \subset E_2(K) \subset E_1(K) \subset E(K)$. More generally, for \mathcal{F} a formal group over \mathcal{O}_K we have $\dots \subset \mathcal{F}(\pi^3 \mathcal{O}_K) \subset \mathcal{F}(\pi^2 \mathcal{O}_K) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We claim that

- $\mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \cong (\mathcal{O}_K, +)$ for r sufficiently large,
- $\mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) / \mathcal{F}(\pi^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_K) \cong (k, +) \forall r \geq 1$.

Reminder. Remember that we always have $\text{char } K = 0, \text{char}(k) = p > 0$.

Theorem 9.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a formal group over \mathcal{O}_K . Let $e = v(p)$. If $r > \frac{e}{p-1}$, then

$$\log : \mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)$$

is an isomorphism of groups with inverse

$$\exp : \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K).$$

Remark. We have $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) = (\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K, +) \cong (\mathcal{O}_K, +)$.

Proof. For $x \in \pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$, we must show that the power series $\log(x)$ and $\exp(x)$ converge to elements in $\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$. Recall $\exp(T) = T + \frac{b_2}{2!}T^2 + \frac{b_3}{3!}T^3 + \dots$ with $b_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Claim. $v_p(n!) \leq \frac{n-1}{p-1}$.

Proof of claim. Write

$$v_p(n!) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^r} \right\rfloor < \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p^r} = \frac{n \cdot \frac{1}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} = \frac{n}{p-1}.$$

Clearing denominators, $(p-1)v_p(n!) < n \implies v_p(n!) \leq \frac{n-1}{p-1}$. \square

Now $v\left(\frac{b_n x^n}{n!}\right) \geq nr - e\left(\frac{n-1}{p-1}\right) = (n-1)\underbrace{\left(r - \frac{e}{p-1}\right)}_{>0} + r$. This is always

$\geq r$ and tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\exp(x)$ converges to an element of $\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$. The same argument works for \log . \square

Lemma 9.3. We have $\mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K)/\mathcal{F}(\pi^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_K) \cong (k, +) \forall r \geq 1$.

Proof. Our definition of a formal group gives $F(X, Y) = X + Y + XY(\dots)$. So if $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$, then $F(\pi^r x, \pi^r y) \equiv \pi^r(x + y) \pmod{\pi^{r+1}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow (k, +)$ by $\pi^r x \mapsto x \pmod{\pi}$ is a surjective group homomorphism with kernel $\mathcal{F}(\pi^{r+1} \mathcal{O}_K)$. \square

Corollary 9.4. If $|k| < \infty$, then $\mathcal{F}(\pi \mathcal{O}_K)$ has a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$.

Notation. Denote reduction mod π , $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\pi \mathcal{O}_K = k$ by $x \mapsto \tilde{x}$.

Proposition 9.5. Let E/K be an elliptic curve. Then the reductions mod π of any two minimal Weierstrass equations for E define isomorphic curves over k .

Proof. Say the Weierstrass equations are related by $[u, r, s, t]$ with $u \in K^\times, r, s, t \in K$. Then $\Delta_1 = u^{12}\Delta_2$, but both equations are minimal, so $v(u) = 0 \implies u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. The transformation formulae (on the formula sheet) for the a_i and b_i combined with the fact that \mathcal{O}_K is algebraically closed imply $r, s, t \in \mathcal{O}_K$. The Weierstrass equations of the reduction mod π are now related by $[\tilde{u}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{t}]$ with $\tilde{u} \in k^\times, \tilde{r}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{t} \in k$. \square

Definition 9.2. The reduction \tilde{E}/k of E/K is defined by the reduction mod π of a minimal Weierstrass equation for E . We say E has **good reduction** if \tilde{E} is nonsingular (and so \tilde{E} is an elliptic curve), otherwise E has **bad reduction**.

For an integral Weierstrass equation,

- $v(\Delta) = 0 \implies$ good reduction.
- $0 < v(\Delta) < 12 \implies$ bad reduction.
- $v(\Delta) \geq 12 \implies$ beware that the equation might not be minimal, more information is needed.

There is a well-defined map $\mathbb{P}^2(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(k)$ by $(x : y : z) \mapsto (\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z})$. (Here we must choose a representative for $(x : y : z)$ such that $\min(v(x), v(y), v(z)) = 0$.) We restrict to get a map $E(K) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k)$ by $P \mapsto \tilde{P}$.

If $P = (x, y) \in E(K)$, then by Lemma 9.1, either $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$, so $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{E}(k)$, or $v(x) = -2s, v(y) = -3s$ for some $s \geq 1$, so $P = (x : y : 1) = (\pi^{3s}x : \pi^{3s}y : \pi^{3s})$, so $\tilde{P} = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Therefore

$$\hat{E}(\pi\mathcal{O}_K) = E_1(K) = \{P \in E(K) \mid \tilde{P} = 0\},$$

the **kernel of reduction**. Let

$$\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}} = \begin{cases} \tilde{E} & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction,} \\ \tilde{E} \setminus \{\text{singular point}\} & \text{if } E \text{ has bad reduction.} \end{cases}$$

We have a remarkable fact: the chord and tangent process still defines a group law on \tilde{E}_{ns} . However, in the case of bad reductions, either $\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}} \cong \mathbb{G}_a$ (over k) or $\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}} \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ (over k or possibly over a quadratic extension of k). These are the additive reduction and the multiplicative reduction.

19 Feb 2024,

For simplicity, assume $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Then for $\tilde{E} : y^2 = f(x)$, $\deg f = 3$, we have that \tilde{E} is singular if and only if f has a repeated root.

Lecture 14

- If this is a double root, we get \mathbb{G}_m (e.g. for $y^2 = x^2(x+1)$, a curve with a node).
- If this is a triple root, we get \mathbb{G}_a (e.g. for $y^2 = x^3$, a curve with a cusp).

The proof of the former is on Ex. Sheet 3. For the latter, consider the map $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}$ by $t \mapsto (t^{-2}, t^{-3})$, so $\frac{x}{y} \mapsto (x, y)$ and the point at infinity $\leftrightarrow 0$. Suppose we have a line through P_1, P_2 meeting the curve again at P_3 (with none of these points at the origin), so this line is $ax + by = 1$. Write $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $t_i = \frac{x_i}{y_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^3 &= y_i^2 = y_i^2(ax_i + by_i) \\ \implies t_i^3 at_i - b &= 0 \\ \implies t_1, t_2, t_3 &\text{ are roots of } X^3 - aX - b = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the coefficient of X^2 gives $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 0$, so $\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}} \cong \mathbb{G}_a$.

Definition 9.3. We define

$$E_0(K) = \{P \in E(K) \mid \tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k)\}.$$

Proposition 9.6. $E_0(K)$ is a subgroup of $E(K)$ and reduction mod π is a surjective group homomorphism $E_0(K) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(K)$.

Proof. The group homomorphism part: A line ℓ in \mathbb{P}^2 defined over K has equation $\ell : aX + bY + cZ = 0$ for $a, b, c \in K$, where we may assume that $\min(v(a), v(b), v(c)) = 0$ by scaling.

Reduction mod π gives a line $\tilde{\ell} : \tilde{a}X + \tilde{b}Y + \tilde{c}Z = 0$. If $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in E(K)$ with $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = 0$, then these points lie on a line ℓ , so $\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2, \tilde{P}_3$ lie on the line $\tilde{\ell}$. If $\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2 \in \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k)$, then $\tilde{P}_3 \in \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k)$. Hence if $P_1, P_2 \in E_0(K)$, then $P_3 \in E_0(K)$ and $\tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2 + \tilde{P}_3 = 0$. It is left as an exercise to check that this still works if $\#\{\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2, \tilde{P}_3\} < 3$.

For surjectivity, let $f(x, y) = y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y - (x^3 + \dots)$. Let $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k) \setminus \{0\}$, say $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$ for some $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$. As \tilde{P} is nonsingular, we either have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ or $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$.

In the first case, we put $g(t) = f(t, y_0) \in \mathcal{O}_K[t]$ to get $\begin{cases} g(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}, \\ g'(x_0) \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times, \end{cases}$

so by Hensel's lemma $\exists b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\begin{cases} g(b) = 0, \\ b \equiv x_0 \pmod{\pi}. \end{cases}$ Then $(b, y_0) \in$

$E(K)$ has reduction \tilde{P} . The second case is analogous. \square

Recall that for $r \geq 1$, we put

$$E_r(K) = \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x) \leq -2r, v(y) \leq -3r\} \cup \{0\}$$

and we have $\dots \subset E_r(K) \subset \dots \subset E_1(K) \subset E_0(K) \subset E_K$. Recall that $\widehat{E}(\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K) = E_r(K)$ by definition. We know that we have $E_r(K) \cong (\mathcal{O}_K, +)$

if $r > \frac{e}{p-1}$ and $E_r(K)/E_{r+1}(K) \cong (k, +) \forall r \geq 1$. We can extend this to include $E_0(K)/E_1(K) \cong \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(K)$. What about $E_0(K)/E(K)$?

Lemma 9.7. If $|k| < \infty$, then $E_0(K) \subset E_K$ has finite index.

Proof. $|k| < \infty \implies \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\pi^r \mathcal{O}_K}$ is finite $\forall r \geq 1$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_K = \lim_{\leftarrow r} \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^r \mathcal{O}_K$ is a profinite group, hence compact. Then $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$ is a union of sets of the form

$$\{(a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : \dots : a_{i-1} : 1 : a_{i+1} : \dots : a_n) \mid a_j \in \mathcal{O}_K\}$$

and hence is compact (with respect to the π -adic topology on K). $E(K) \subset \mathbb{P}^2(K)$ is a closed subset and hence compact, so $E(K)$ is a compact topological group. If \tilde{E} has a singular point $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$, then

$$E(K) \setminus E_0(K) = \{(x, y) \in E(K) \mid v(x - x_0) \geq 1, v(y - y_0) \geq 1\}$$

is a closed subset of $E(K)$, so $E_0(K)$ is an open subgroup of $E(K)$. But the cosets of $E_0(K)$ are open, so $[E(K) : E_0(K)] < \infty$ by compactness of $E(K)$. \square

Definition 9.4. $c_K(E) = [E_K : E_0(K)]$ is called the **Tamagawa number**.

Remarks.

- (i) Good reduction implies $c_K(E) = 1$, but the converse is false.
- (ii) It can be shown that either $c_K(E) = v(\Delta)$ or $c_K(E) \leq 4$ (here it is essential that we work with a minimal Weierstrass equation).

We deduce the following:

Theorem 9.8. If $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$, then $E(K)$ contains a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$.

Some setup: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$, L/K a finite extension with residue fields k

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^\times & \xrightarrow{v_K} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \cap & & \downarrow \times e \\ L^\times & \xrightarrow{v_L} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

and k' and $f = [k' : k]$. This gives us the map

Facts.

- (i) $[L : K] = ef$.
- (ii) If L/K is Galois, then the natural map $\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ is surjective with kernel of order e .

Definition 9.5. L/K is **unramified** if $e = 1$.

Facts.

21 Feb 2024,
Lecture 15

- (i) For each $m \geq 1$, k has a unique extension of degree m (say k_m).
- (ii) For each $m \geq 1$, K has a unique unramified extension of degree m (say K_m).

These extensions are Galois with cyclic Galois group.

Definition 9.6. We have the maximal unramified extension of K ,

$$K^{\text{nr}} = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} K_m \subset \overline{K}.$$

Theorem 9.9. Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Suppose E/K has good reduction and $p \nmid n$. If $P \in E(K)$, then $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$ is unramified.

Notation. We have

$$[n]^{-1}(P) = \{Q \in E(\overline{K}) \mid nQ = P\}$$

and we let

$$K(\{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}) = K(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_r, y_r),$$

where $Q_i = (x_i, y_i)$.

Proof. For each $m \geq 1$, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_1(K_m) \rightarrow E(K_m) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{k_m} \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the union $\bigcup_{m \geq 1}$ gives us a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1(K^{\text{nr}}) & \longrightarrow & E(K^{\text{nr}}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}(\overline{k}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \times n & & \downarrow \times n & & \downarrow \times n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1(K^{\text{nr}}) & \longrightarrow & E(K^{\text{nr}}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}(\overline{k}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array},$$

The first multiplication map is an isomorphism by Corollary 8.5 applied to each K_m (using $p \nmid n$).

The third is surjective by Theorem 2.3, and has kernel $\cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ by Theorem 6.5 (again using $p \nmid n$). Using the snake lemma on this diagram gives $E(K^{\text{nr}})[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $E(K^{\text{nr}})/nE(K^{\text{nr}}) = 0$. So if $P \in E(K)$, then $\exists Q \in E(K^{\text{nr}})$ with $nQ = P$ and $[n]^{-1}P = \{Q + T \mid T \in E[n]\} \subset E(K^{\text{nr}})$. Hence $K([n]^{-1}P) \subset K^{\text{nr}}$ and so $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$ is unramified. \square

10 Elliptic curves over number fields

10.1 The torsion subgroup

Notation. Let E/K be an elliptic curve for $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. We write \mathfrak{p} for a prime of K (i.e. of \mathcal{O}_K), $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion of K , and $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$.

Definition 10.1. \mathfrak{p} is a prime of good reduction for E/K if $E/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has good reduction.

Lemma 10.1. E/K has only finitely many primes of bad reduction.

Proof. Take a Weierstrass equation for E with $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Since E is nonsingular, $0 \neq \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Write $(\Delta) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{\alpha_r}$ for the factorization into prime ideals and let $S = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$. If $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta) = 0$, so $E/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has good reduction. Hence $\{\text{bad primes of } E\} \subset S$ is finite. \square

Remark. If K has class number 1 (e.g. if $K = \mathbb{Q}$), then we can always find a Weierstrass equation for E with $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ which is minimal at all primes \mathfrak{p} .

Basic group theory. If A is a finitely generated abelian group, then

$$A \cong (\text{finite group}) \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

for the finite group the **torsion subgroup** and r the **rank**.

Lemma 10.2. $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

Proof. Take any prime \mathfrak{p} . We saw that $E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has a subgroup A of finite index with $A \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, +)$. In particular, A is torsion-free. Hence we get

$$E(K)_{\text{tors}} \subset E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\text{tors}} \hookrightarrow E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/A,$$

and this last group is finite. \square

Lemma 10.3. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of good reduction. Then reduction mod \mathfrak{p} gives an injective group homomorphism $E(K)[n] \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Proof. Proposition 9.6 implies that $E(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a group homomorphism with kernel $E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Corollary 8.5 and the fact that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid n$ imply now that $E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ has no n -torsion. \square

Example 10.1. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be given by $y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2$. Then $\Delta = -11$, so E has good reduction at all $p \neq 11$. We can count

p	2	3	5	7	11	13
$\#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$	5	5	5	10	–	10

By Lemma 10.3, $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 5 \cdot 2^a$ for some $a \geq 0$ and $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 5 \cdot 3^b$ for some $b \geq 0$. This implies that $\#E(\mathbb{Q}) \mid 5$. If we let $T = (0, 0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, then calculation shows $5T = 0$, so $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 10.2. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be given by $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2$. Then $\Delta = -43$, and we get

p	2	3	5	7	11	13
$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$	5	6	10	8	9	19

By Lemma 10.3, $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 5 \cdot 2^a$ for some $a \geq 0$ and $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 9 \cdot 11^b$ for some $b \geq 0$, so $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = \{0\}$. Hence the point $P = (0, 0)$ is a point of infinite order. In particular, $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite.

23 Feb 2024,
Lecture 16

Example 10.3. Let E_D/\mathbb{Q} be given by $E_D : y^2 = x^3 - D^2x$ for $D \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree. Then $\Delta = 2^6 D^6$ and we spot

$$E_D(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \supset \{0, (0, 0), (\pm D, 0)\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Let $f(x) = x^3 - D^2x$. If $p \nmid 2D$, then

$$\#\tilde{E}_D(\mathbb{F}_p) = 1 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\left(\frac{f(x)}{p} \right) + 1 \right).$$

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\#\tilde{E}_D(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$, since

$$\left(\frac{f(-x)}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{-f(x)}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{f(x)}{p} \right) = - \left(\frac{f(x)}{p} \right).$$

Let $m = \#E_D(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$. We have $4 \mid m \mid (p + 1)$ for all sufficiently large primes p with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ($p \nmid 2Dm$ suffices).

If $8 \mid m$ or $l \mid m$ for some odd prime l , then this contradicts Dirichlet's Theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. Hence $m = 4$ and so $E_D(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. Thus

$$\text{rank } E_D(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 1 \iff \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } y \neq 0 \text{ and } y^2 = x^3 - D^2x.$$

By Lecture 1, this is equivalent to D being a congruent number.

Lemma 10.4. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be given by a Weierstrass equation with $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $0 \neq T = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$. Then

- (i) $4x, 8y \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (ii) If $2 \mid a_1$ or $2T \neq 0$, then $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The Weierstrass equation defines a formal group \widehat{E} over \mathbb{Z} . For $r \geq 1$, we have

$$\widehat{E}(p^r \mathbb{Z}_p) = \{(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid v_p(x) \leq -2r, v_p(y) \leq -3r\} \cup \{0\}.$$

By Theorem 9.2, $\widehat{E}(p^r \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$ if $r > \frac{1}{p-1}$. Hence $\widehat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\widehat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for p odd are torsion-free. This means that $v_2(x) \geq -2, v_2(y) \geq -3$ and $v_p(x), v_p(y) \geq 0$ for all odd primes p , which proves (i).

For the second part, suppose $T \in \widehat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2)$, i.e. $v_2(x) = -2, v_2(y) = -3$. Since $\widehat{E}(2\mathbb{Z}_2)/\widehat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2, +)$ and $\widehat{E}(4\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is torsion-free, we get $2T = 0$. Also, $(x, y) = T = -T = (x, -y - a_1x - a_3) \implies 2y + a_1x + a_3 = 0$, so $8y + a_1(4x) + 4a_3 = 0$. Since $8y, 4x, 4a_3$ are even, we require a_1 to be odd. So if $2T \neq 0$ or a_1 is even, then $T \notin \widehat{E}(2\mathbb{Z}_2)$, so $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Example 10.4. For $E : y^2 + xy = x^3 + 4x + 1$, $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}) \in E(\mathbb{Q})[2]$.

Theorem 10.5 (Lutz-Nagell). Let E/\mathbb{Q} be given by $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b = f(x)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $0 \neq T = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$. Then $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and either $y = 0$ or $y^2 \mid (4a^3 + 27b^2)$.

Proof. Lemma 10.4 implies that $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $2T = 0$, then $y = 0$. Otherwise, $0 \neq 2T = (x_2, y_2) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$, so by Lemma 10.4, $x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. But $x_2 = \left(\frac{f'(x)}{2y}\right)^2 - 2x \implies y \mid f'(x)$. As E is nonsingular, $f(X)$ and $f'(X)$ are coprime, so $f(X)$ and $f'(X)^2$ are coprime, so $\exists g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ with $g(X)f(X) + h(X)f'(X)^2 = 1$. In fact, we can check that

$$(3X^2 + 4a)f'(X)^2 - 27(X^3 + aX - b)f(X) = 4a^3 + 27b^2.$$

Since $y \mid f'(x)$ and $y^2 = f(x)$, we get $y^2 \mid (4a^3 + 27b^2)$. \square

Remark. Mazur showed that if E/\mathbb{Q} is an elliptic curve, then

$$E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & 1 \leq n \leq 12, n \neq 11, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} & 1 \leq n \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, all 15 possibilities occur.

11 Kummer theory

Let K be a field with $\text{char } K \nmid n$. Assume $\mu_n \subset K$ for μ_n the set of n^{th} (primitive?) roots of unity.

Lemma 11.1. Let $\Delta \subset K^\times / (K^\times)^n$ be a finite subgroup and let $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$. Then L/K is Galois and $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \text{Hom}(\Delta, \mu_n)$.

Proof. L/K is Galois since $\mu_n \subset K \implies L/K$ normal and $\text{char } K \nmid n \implies L/K$ separable. Define the **Kummer pairing**

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \text{Gal}(L/K) \times \Delta &\rightarrow \mu_n \\ (\sigma, x) &\mapsto \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{x})}{\sqrt[n]{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is well-defined: If $\alpha, \beta \in L$ with $\alpha^n = \beta^n = x$, then $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^n = 1$, so $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \in \mu_n \subset K$, so $\sigma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ and so $\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha} = \frac{\sigma(\beta)}{\beta}$.

This is bilinear: we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma\tau, x \rangle &= \frac{\sigma(\tau \sqrt[n]{x})}{(\tau \sqrt[n]{x})} \frac{\tau \sqrt[n]{x}}{\sqrt[n]{x}} = \langle \sigma, x \rangle \langle \tau, x \rangle, \\ \langle \sigma, xy \rangle &= \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{xy}}{\sqrt[n]{xy}} = \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{x}}{\sqrt[n]{x}} \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{y}}{\sqrt[n]{y}} = \langle \sigma, x \rangle \langle \sigma, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This is nondegenerate: Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$. If $\langle \sigma, x \rangle = 1 \ \forall x \in \Delta$, then $\sigma(\sqrt[n]{x}) = \sqrt[n]{x} \ \forall x \in \Delta$, so σ fixes L pointwise, i.e. $\sigma = 1$. Now let $x(K^\times)^n \in \Delta$. If $\langle \sigma, x \rangle = 1 \ \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, then $\sigma(\sqrt[n]{x}) = \sqrt[n]{x} \ \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, so $\sqrt[n]{x} \in K$, so $x \in (K^\times)^n$ and so $x(K^\times)^n \in \Delta$ is trivial.

We get injective group homomorphisms

$$(i) \quad \text{Gal}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta, \mu_n),$$

$$(ii) \quad \Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(L/K), \mu_n).$$

From (i), $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian and of exponent dividing n . Recall the following **Fact**: If G is a finite abelian group of exponent dividing n , then $\text{Hom}(G, \mu_n) \cong G$ (non-canonically). Hence $|\text{Gal}(L/K)| \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} |\Delta| \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} |\text{Gal}(L/K)|$, so (i) and (ii) are isomorphisms. \square

Example 11.1. $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$.

Reminder: we are assuming $\text{char } K \nmid n$ and $\mu_n \subset K$.

26 Feb 2024,
Lecture 17

Theorem 11.2. There is a bijection

$$\{\text{finite subgroups of } K^\times / (K^\times)^n\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{finite abelian extensions } L/K \text{ of exponent dividing } n\}$$

$$\Delta \mapsto K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$$

$$((L^\times)^n \cap K^\times) / (K^\times)^n \hookleftarrow L$$

Proof. (i). Let $\Delta \subset K^\times / (K^\times)^n$ be a finite subgroup. Let $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$ and

$\Delta' = ((L^\times)^n \cap K^\times)/(K^\times)^n$. We must show $\Delta = \Delta'$. Clearly $\Delta \subset \Delta'$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} L &= K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) \subset K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta'}) \subset L \\ \implies K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) &= K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta'}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|\Delta| = |\Delta'|$ by Lemma 11.1. Since $\Delta \subset \Delta'$, we get $\Delta = \Delta'$.

(ii). Let L/K be a finite abelian extension of exponent dividing n . Let $\Delta = ((L^\times)^n \cap K^\times)/(K^\times)^n$, then $K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) \subset L$ and we aim to prove that these are equal. Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. The Kummer pairing gives an injection $\Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mu_n)$, which we claim is surjective. Given the claim, we would have $[K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) : K] = |\Delta| = |G| = [L : K]$ by Lemma 11.1. Since $K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta}) \subset L$, $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$ follows.

It remains to prove the surjectivity claim. For this, let $\chi : G \rightarrow \mu_n$ be a group homomorphism. Distinct automorphisms are linearly independent, so $\exists a \in L$ such that $y := \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi(\tau)^{-1} \tau(a) \neq 0$. Let $\sigma \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(y) &= \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi(\tau)^{-1} \sigma\tau(a) \\ &\stackrel{\tau \mapsto \sigma^{-1}\tau}{=} \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi(\sigma^{-1}\tau)^{-1} \tau(a) \\ &= \chi(\sigma) \sum_{\tau \in G} \chi(\tau)^{-1} \tau(a) \\ &= \chi(\sigma)y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sigma(y^n) = y^n \forall \sigma \in G$, so $y^n \in K$. Let $x = y^n$, then $x \in (L^\times)^n \cap K^\times$, so $x(K^\times)^n \in \Delta$. Also by the calculation above, $\chi : \sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma(y)}{y} = \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{x})}{\sqrt[n]{x}}$, so the map $\Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mu_n)$ sends $x \mapsto \chi$, which proves the claim. \square

Proposition 11.3. Let K be a number field and $\mu_n \subset K$. Let S be a finite set of primes of K . Then there are only finitely many extensions L/K such that

- (i) L/K is finite and abelian of exponent dividing n .
- (ii) L/K is unramified at all primes $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$.

Proof. Theorem 11.2 implies that this extension is of the form $L = K(\sqrt[n]{\Delta})$ for some finite subgroup $\Delta \subset K^\times/(K^\times)^n$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of K . We have $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{P}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathcal{P}_r^{e_r}$ for \mathcal{P}_i distinct primes of L . If $x \in K^\times$ represents an element of Δ , then $nv_{\mathcal{P}_i}(\sqrt[n]{x}) = v_{\mathcal{P}_i}(x) = e_i v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, then all $e_i = 1$, so $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Hence $\Delta \subset K(S, n)$, where

$$K(S, n) = \{x \in K^\times/(K^\times)^n \mid v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \forall \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}.$$

We now complete the proof using the following lemma. \square

Lemma 11.4. $K(S, n)$ is finite.

Proof. The map $K(S, n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{|S|}$ by $x \mapsto (v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \bmod n)_{\mathfrak{p} \in S}$ is a group homomorphism with kernel $K(\emptyset, n)$. Since $|S| < \infty$, it suffices to prove the lemma with $S = \emptyset$.

Now, if $x \in K^\times$ represents an element of $K(\emptyset, n)$, then $(x) = \mathfrak{a}^n$ for some fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} . There is an exact short sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times / (\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^n \rightarrow K(\emptyset, n) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_K[n] \rightarrow 0$$

$$x \mapsto [\alpha].$$

Since $\text{Cl}_K[n]$ is finite and \mathcal{O}_K^\times is a finitely generated abelian group (by Dirichlet's unit theorem), we conclude that $K(\emptyset, n)$ is finite. \square

12 Elliptic curves over number fields continued

12.1 The weak Mordell-Weil theorem

Lemma 12.1. Let E/K be an elliptic curve and L/K a finite Galois extension. Then the natural map $E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow E(L)/nE(L)$ has finite kernel.

Proof. For each element in the kernel, we pick a coset representative $P \in E(K)$ and then $Q \in E(L)$ such that $nQ = P$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, $n(\sigma(Q) - Q) = \sigma P - P = 0$, so $\sigma(Q) - Q \in E[n]$. Since $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ and $E[n]$ are finite, there are only finitely many possibilities for the map $\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow E[n]$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(Q) - Q$. But if $P_1, P_2 \in E(K)$ with $P_i = nQ_i$ for $Q_i \in E(L)$ and $\sigma(Q_1) - Q_1 = \sigma(Q_2) - Q_2 \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, then $\sigma(Q_1 - Q_2) = Q_1 - Q_2 \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, so $Q_1 - Q_2 \in E(K)$ and so $P_1 - P_2 \in nE(K)$. We conclude that

$$\ker(E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow E(L)/nE(L)) \hookrightarrow \text{Maps}(\text{Gal}(L/K), E[n])$$

and the set on the right is finite, which finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 12.2 (Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem). Let K be a number field, E/K an elliptic curve and $n \geq 2$ an integer. Then $E(K)/nE(K)$ is finite.

Proof. By Lemma 12.1, we may replace K by a finite Galois extension of K . Hence WLOG assume $\mu_n \subset K$ and $E[n] \subset E(K)$. Let

$$S = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid n\} \cup \{\text{primes of bad reduction for } E/K\}.$$

28 Feb 2024,
Lecture 18

For each $P \in E(K)$, the extension $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$ is unramified outside S by Theorem 9.9. Since $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ acts on $[n]^{-1}P$, it follows that $K([n]^{-1}P)/K$ is a Galois extension.

Let $Q \in [n]^{-1}P$. Since $E[n] \subset E(K)$, we have $K(Q) = K([n]^{-1}P)$. Consider the map $\text{Gal}(K(Q)/K) \hookrightarrow E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma Q - Q$ (for $-$ being \ominus here). This is a group homomorphism, as $\sigma\tau Q - Q = \sigma(\tau Q - Q) + \sigma Q - Q$. It is also injective, as $\sigma Q = Q \implies \sigma$ fixes $K(Q)$ pointwise, i.e. $\sigma = 1$. Hence $K(Q)/K$ is an abelian extension of exponent dividing n unramified outside S . So by Proposition 11.3, as we vary $P \in E(K)$, there are only finitely many possibilities for $K(Q)$. Let L be the composite of all such extensions of K . Then L/K is finite and Galois and $E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow E(L)/nE(L)$ is the zero map, so by Lemma 12.1, $|E(K)/nE(K)| < \infty$. \square

Remark. If $K = \mathbb{R}, K = \mathbb{C}$ or $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$, then $|E(K)/nE(K)| < \infty$, yet $E(K)$ is uncountable, so not finitely generated.

Fact. If K is a number field, then there exists a quadratic form (known as the **canonical height**) $\hat{h} : E(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with the property that for any $B \geq 0$, the set $\{P \in E(K) \mid \hat{h}(P) \leq B\}$ is finite.

Theorem 12.3 (Mordell-Weil Theorem). Let K be a number field and E/K an elliptic curve. Then $E(K)$ is a finitely generated abelian group.

Proof. Fix an integer $n \geq 2$. By Weak Mordell-Weil, $|E(K)/nE(K)| < \infty$. Pick coset representatives P_1, \dots, P_m and let

$$\Sigma = \{P \in E(K) \mid \hat{h}(P) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \hat{h}(P_i)\}.$$

We claim that Σ generates $E(K)$. Indeed, if not, then there exists an element $P \in E(K) \setminus \{\text{subgroup generated by } \Sigma\}$ of minimal height (using our fact above). Then $P = P_i + nQ$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $Q \in E(K)$. Note that $Q \in E(K) \setminus \{\text{subgroup gen. by } \Sigma\}$ and the minimal choice of P implies

$$\begin{aligned} 4\hat{h}(P) &\leq 4\hat{h}(Q) \leq n^2\hat{h}(Q) = \hat{h}(nQ) = \hat{h}(P - P_i) \\ &\leq \hat{h}(P - P_i) + \hat{h}(P + P_i) = 2\hat{h}(P) + 2\hat{h}(P_i), \end{aligned}$$

(using the parallelogram law in the last step), so $\hat{h}(P) \leq \hat{h}(P_i)$ and so $P \in \Sigma$, a contradiction to the choice of P . But by our fact, Σ is finite, so we're done. \square

13 Heights

For simplicity, take $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Write $P = (a_0 : a_1 : \dots : a_n)$ for $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q})$, where we scale to have $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$.

Definition 13.1. We define the **height** of P as

$$H(P) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|.$$

Lemma 13.1. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2]$ be coprime homogeneous polynomials of degree d . Let $F : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be given by $(x_1 : x_2) \mapsto (f_1(x_1, x_2), f_2(x_1, x_2))$. Then $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 H(P)^d \leq H(F(P)) \leq c_2 H(P)^d \quad \forall P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. WLOG assume $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$. For the upper bound, write $P = (a : b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime, so

$$H(F(P)) \leq \max(|f_1(a, b)|, |f_2(a, b)|) \leq c_2 \max(|a|^d, |b|^d),$$

where $c_2 = \max_{i=1,2}(\text{sum of abs. values of coeffs. of } f_i)$, so $H(F(P)) \leq c_2 H(P)^d$.

For the lower bound, we claim $\exists (g_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$, homogeneous of degree $d-1$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 g_{ij} f_j = \kappa X_i^{2d-1}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Indeed, running Euclid's algorithm on $f_1(X, 1)$ and $f_2(X, 1)$ gives $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $< d$ such that $r(X)f_1(X, 1) + s(X)f_2(X, 1) = 1$. Homogenizing and clearing denominators gives the desired result for $i = 2$. The case for $i = 1$ is analogous. Write $P = (a_1 : a_2)$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime. The expression above implies $\sum_{j=1}^2 g_{ij}(a_1, a_2) f_j(a_1, a_2) = \kappa a_i^{2d-1}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence $\gcd(f_1(a_1, a_2), f_2(a_1, a_2))$ divides $\gcd(\kappa a_1^{2d-1}, \kappa a_2^{2d-1}) = \kappa$, but also

$$|\kappa a_i^{2d-1}| \leq \underbrace{\max_{j=1,2} |f_j(a_1, a_2)|}_{\leq \kappa H(F(P))} \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^2 |g_{ij}(a_1, a_2)|}_{\leq \gamma_i H(P)^{d-1}},$$

where $\gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 (\text{sum of abs. values of coefficients of } g_{ij})$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa |a_i|^{2d-1} &\leq \kappa H(F(P)) \gamma_i H(P)^{d-1} \\ \implies H(P)^{2d-1} &\leq \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) H(F(P)) H(P)^{d-1} \\ \implies \frac{1}{\max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} H(P)^d &\leq H(F(P)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $c_2 = \frac{1}{\max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$ finishes the proof. \square

Notation. For $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, write $H(x) = H((x : 1)) = \max(|r|, |s|)$ for $x = \frac{r}{s}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime. 01 Mar 2024,
Lecture 19

Definition 13.2. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve given by $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. The **height** is defined as

$$H : E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$$

$$P \mapsto \begin{cases} H(x) & \text{if } P = (x, y). \\ 1 & \text{if } P = 0_E. \end{cases}$$

We also define the **logarithmic height** $h : E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $P \mapsto \log H(P)$.

Lemma 13.2. Let E, E' be elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} and let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny defined over \mathbb{Q} . Then $\exists c > 0$ such that

$$|h(\phi(P)) - (\deg \phi)h(P)| < c \quad \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Importantly, note that c depends on E and E' , but not on P .

Proof. Recall from Lemma 5.4 that we have a morphism ξ making our diagram commute with $\deg \phi = \deg \xi := d$. By Lemma 13.1, $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 H(P)^d \leq H(\phi(P)) \leq c_2 H(P)^d \quad \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. Taking logarithms gives

$$|h(\phi(P)) - dh(P)| \leq \max(\log c_2, -\log c_1) := c$$

as desired. □

Example 13.1. Take $\phi = [2] : E \rightarrow E$. Then $\exists c > 0$ such that $|h(2P) - 4h(P)| \leq c \quad \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 13.3. The **canonical height** is defined as

$$\hat{h}(P) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} h(2^n P).$$

We need to check that this converges. Let $m \geq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{4^m} h(2^m P) - \frac{1}{4^n} h(2^n P) \right| &\leq \sum_{r=n}^{m-1} \left| \frac{1}{4^{r+1}} h(2^{r+1} P) - \frac{1}{4^r} h(2^r P) \right| \\ &= \sum_{r=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{4^{r+1}} |h(2(2^r P)) - 4h(2^r P)| < c \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{r+1}} = c \cdot \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4^n} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the sequence is Cauchy, so converges, so $\hat{h}(P)$ exists.

Lemma 13.3. $|h(P) - \hat{h}(P)|$ is bounded for $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Put $n = 0$ in the above calculation to get $|\frac{1}{4^m}h(2^m P) - h(P)| \leq \frac{c}{3}$. Take the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ to conclude. \square

Lemma 13.4. For any $B > 0$,

$$\#\{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \widehat{h}(P) \leq B\} < \infty.$$

Proof. $\widehat{h}(P)$ is bounded $\implies h(P)$ is bounded by Lemma 13.3. But there are only finitely many possibilities for x , and each of them gives ≤ 2 choices of y , so we're done. \square

Lemma 13.5. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny over \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$\widehat{h}(\phi(P)) = (\deg \phi) \widehat{h}(P) \quad \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 13.2, $\exists c > 0$ such that $|h(\phi(P)) - (\deg \phi)h(P)| < c \quad \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. Replace P by $2^n P$, divide by 4^n and take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to conclude. \square

Remarks.

- (i) The case $\deg \phi = 1$ shows that \widehat{h} (unlike h) is independent of the choice of Weierstrass equation for E .
- (ii) Taking $\phi = [n] : E \rightarrow E$ shows $\widehat{h}(nP) = n^2 \widehat{h}(P) \quad \forall P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 13.6. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. Then $\exists c > 0$ such that

$$H(P+Q)H(P-Q) \leq cH(P)^2H(Q)^2$$

for all $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ with $P, Q, P \pm Q \neq 0_E$.

Proof. Let E have Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $P, Q, P+Q, P-Q$ have x -coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . By Lemma 5.8, there exist $W_0, W_1, W_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$ of degree ≤ 2 in both x_1 and x_2 such that $(1 : x_3 + x_4 : x_3 x_4) = (W_0 : W_1 : W_2)$ (and $W_0 = (x_1 - x_2)^2$). Write $x_i = \frac{r_i}{s_i}$ for $r_i, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime. Then we get

$$(s_3 s_4 : r_3 s_4 + r_4 s_3 : r_3 r_4) = ((r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1)^2 : \dots).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(P+Q)H(P-Q) &= \max(|r_3|, |s_3|) \max(|r_4|, |s_4|) \\ &\leq 2 \max(|s_3 s_4|, |r_3 s_4 + r_4 s_3|, |r_3 r_4|) \\ &\leq 2 \max(|(r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1)^2|, \dots) \\ &\leq cH(P)^2H(Q)^2. \end{aligned}$$

where c depends on E , but not on P, Q .³ □

Theorem 13.7. $\hat{h} : E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a quadratic form.

Proof. By Lemma 13.6 and the fact that $|h(2P) - 4h(P)|$ is bounded, $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h(P + Q) + h(P - Q) \leq 2h(P) + 2h(Q) + c \quad \forall P, Q \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Replacing P, Q by $2^n P, 2^n Q$, dividing by 4^n and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\hat{h}(P + Q) + \hat{h}(P - Q) \leq 2\hat{h}(P) + 2\hat{h}(Q).$$

Replacing P, Q by $P + Q, P - Q$ and using $\hat{h}(2P) = 4\hat{h}(P)$ gives the reverse inequality. Hence \hat{h} satisfies the parallelogram law, so it is a quadratic form. □

Remark. For K a number field and $P = (a_0 : a_1 : \dots : a_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$, we define

$$H(P) = \prod_v \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|_v$$

where the product is over all places v of K , and the absolute values are normalized such that $\prod_v |\lambda|_v = 1 \quad \forall \lambda \in K^\times$. All results proved in this section then generalize from \mathbb{Q} to K . Note further that the places are the finite places given by $|x|_p = c^{v_p(x)}$ for some $c < 1$ and the infinite places $|x|_\sigma = |\sigma(x)|^d$ for some $d > 0$ (and now we choose appropriate c, d to satisfy the product formula).

14 Dual isogenies and the Weil pairing

Let K be a perfect field and E/K an elliptic curve.

04 Mar 2024,
Lecture 20

Proposition 14.1. Let $\Phi \subset E(\overline{K})$ be a finite $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -stable subgroup. Then there exists an elliptic curve E'/K and a separable isogeny $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ defined over K with kernel Φ such that every isogeny $\psi : E \rightarrow E''$ with $\Phi \subset \ker(\psi)$ factors uniquely via ϕ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E'' \\ & \searrow \phi \quad \exists \text{ unique} & \nearrow \\ & E' & \end{array}.$$

Proof. Omitted. (See e.g. Silverman, Chapter 3, Proposition 4.12). □

³I watched this lecture online and Fisher spent a few minutes explaining how the above inequalities follow, so it might be nontrivial to deduce this (shouldn't be too hard though).

Proposition 14.2. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny of degree n . Then there exists a unique isogeny $\widehat{\phi} : E' \rightarrow E$ (called the **dual isogeny**) such that $\widehat{\phi}\phi = [n]$.

Proof. If ϕ is separable, then $|\ker(\phi)| = n$, so $\ker(\phi) \subset E[n]$. Apply Proposition 14.1 with $\psi = [n]$ to get the result.

The case where ϕ is inseparable is omitted.

For uniqueness, if $\psi_1\phi = \psi_2\phi$, then $(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\phi = 0$, so $\deg(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\deg(\phi) = 0$, but $\deg(\phi) \neq 0$, so $\psi_1 = \psi_2$. \square

Remarks.

(i) Write $E_1 \sim E_2 \iff E_1, E_2$ are isogenous. Then \sim is an equivalence relation.

(ii) $\deg [n] = n^2 \implies \begin{cases} \deg \phi = \deg \widehat{\phi}, \\ \widehat{[n]} = [n]. \end{cases}$

(iii) $\phi\widehat{\phi}\phi = \phi[n]_E = [n]_{E'}\phi$, so $\phi\widehat{\phi} = [n]_{E'}$. In particular, $\widehat{\widehat{\phi}} = \phi$.

(iv) If $E \xrightarrow{\psi} E' \xrightarrow{\phi} E''$, then $\widehat{\phi\psi} = \widehat{\psi}\widehat{\phi}$.

(v) If $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$, then $\phi^2 - [\text{tr}(\phi)]\phi + [\deg \phi] = 0$, so $\phi([\text{tr}(\phi)] - \phi) = [\deg \phi]$, so $\widehat{\phi} = [\text{tr}(\phi)] - \phi$, so $[\text{tr}(\phi)] = \phi + \widehat{\phi}$.

Lemma 14.3. If $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(E, E')$, then $\widehat{\phi + \psi} = \widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\psi}$.

Proof. (i) If $E = E'$, then this follows from $\text{tr}(\phi + \psi) = \text{tr}(\phi) + \text{tr}(\psi)$.

(ii) In general, let $\alpha : E' \rightarrow E$ be any isogeny (e.g. $\alpha = \widehat{\phi}$) and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\alpha\phi + \alpha\psi} &= \widehat{\alpha\phi} + \widehat{\alpha\psi} \\ \implies \widehat{\phi + \psi}\widehat{\alpha} &= (\widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\psi})\widehat{\alpha} \\ \implies \widehat{\phi + \psi} &= \widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\psi} \end{aligned}$$

where the first line follows by (i). \square

Remark. In Silverman's book, he proves Lemma 14.3 first and uses this to show that $\deg : \text{Hom}(E, E') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a quadratic form.

Definition 14.1. We define the following map: $\text{sum} : \text{Div}(E) \rightarrow E$ by $\sum n_P P \mapsto \sum n_P P$ where on the left we have a formal sum and on the right we sum using the group law.

Recall that $E \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(E)$ by $P \mapsto [(P) - (0_E)]$. Hence $\text{sum}(D) \mapsto [D] \forall D \in \text{Div}^0(E)$. Thus we conclude:

Lemma 14.4. Let $D \in \text{Div}(E)$. Then

$$D \sim 0 \iff \begin{cases} \deg D = 0, \\ \text{sum } D = 0_E. \end{cases}$$

Now let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be a isogeny of degree n with dual isogeny $\widehat{\phi} : E' \rightarrow E$. Assume that $\text{char } K \nmid n$ (so $\phi, \widehat{\phi}$ are separable). Write $E[\phi]$ for $\ker(\phi)$. We define the **Weil pairing**

$$e_\phi : E[\phi] \times E'[\widehat{\phi}] \rightarrow \mu_n$$

as follows: Let $T \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$. Then $nT = 0$, so there exists $f \in \overline{K}(E')^\times$ such that $\text{div}(f) = n(T) - n(0)$. Pick $T_0 \in E(\overline{K})$ with $\phi(T_0) = T$. Then $\phi^*(T) - \phi^*(0) = \sum_{P \in E[\phi]} (P + T_0) - \sum_{P \in E[\phi]} (P)$ has sum $nT_0 = \widehat{\phi}\phi T_0 = \widehat{\phi}(T) = 0$. So there exists $g \in \overline{K}(E)^\times$ such that $\text{div}(g) = \phi^*(T) - \phi^*(0)$. Now $\text{div}(\phi^*f) = \phi^*(\text{div } f) = n(\phi^*(T) - \phi^*(0)) = \text{div}(g^n)$. Hence $\phi^*f = cg^n$ for some $c \in \overline{K}^\times$. Rescaling f allows us to wlog assume $c = 1$, i.e. $\phi^*f = g^n$.

If $S \in E[\phi]$, then $\tau_S^*(\text{div } g) = \text{div } g$, so $\text{div}(\tau_S^*g) = \text{div } g$ and so $\tau_S^*g = \zeta g$ for some $\zeta \in \overline{K}^\times$, i.e. $\zeta = \frac{g(X+S)}{g(X)}$ is independent of the choice of $X \in E(\overline{K})$. Now $\zeta^n = \frac{g(X+S)^n}{g(X)^n} = \frac{f(\phi(X+S))}{f(\phi(X))} = 1$ since $S \in E[\phi]$, so $\zeta \in \mu_n$. We hence define $e_\phi(S, T) = \frac{g(X+S)}{g(X)}$.

Proposition 14.5. e_ϕ is bilinear and nondegenerate.

Proof. (i) Linearity in the first argument:

$$e_\phi(S_1 + S_2, T) = \frac{g(X + S_1 + S_2)}{g(X + S_2)} \frac{g(X + S_2)}{g(X)} = e_\phi(S_1, T) e_\phi(S_2, T).$$

(ii) Linearity in the second argument: Let $T_1, T_2 \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$ with $\text{div}(f_i)n(T_i) - n(0)$ and $\phi^*f_i = g_i^n$ for $i = 1, 2$. There exists $h \in \overline{K}(E')^\times$ such that $\text{div}(h) = (T_1) + (T_2) - (T_1 + T_2) - (0)$. We put $f = \frac{f_1 f_2}{h^n}$ and $g = \frac{g_1 g_2}{\phi^* h}$.

We can check $\text{div}(f) = n(T_1 + T_2) - n(0)$ and $\phi^*f = \frac{\phi^*f_1 + \phi^*f_2}{(\phi^*h)^n} = \left(\frac{g_1 g_2}{\phi^* h} \right)^n = g^n$, so

$$\begin{aligned} e_\phi(S, T_1 + T_2) &= \frac{g(X + S)}{g(X)} = \frac{g_1(X + S)}{g_1(X)} \frac{g_2(X + S)}{g_2(X)} \frac{h(\phi(X_1))}{h(\phi(X + S))} \\ &= e_\phi(S, T_1) e_\phi(S, T_2) \end{aligned}$$

where the last term cancels since $S \in E[\phi]$.

(iii) e_ϕ is nondegenerate. Fix $T \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$ and suppose $e_\phi(S, T) = 1 \forall S \in E[\phi]$, so

$\tau_S^* g = g \ \forall S \in E[\phi]$. We get that $\overline{K}(E)/\phi^* \overline{K}(E')$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $E[\phi]$ (here $S \in E[\phi]$ acts via τ_S^*). Hence $\tau_S^* g = g \ \forall S \in E[\phi]$, so $g = \phi^* h$ for some $h \in \overline{K}(E')$, so $\phi^* f = g^n = (\phi^* h)^n = \phi^*(h^n)$, so $f = h^n$, whence $\text{div}(h) = (T) - (0)$. This implies $T = 0$ (using, I think, the sum function). We've shown $E'[\widehat{\phi}] \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(E[\phi], \mu_n)$, which is actually an isomorphism as $\#E[\phi] = \#E'[\widehat{\phi}] = n$, so e_ϕ is nondegenerate. \square

Remarks.

06 Mar 2024,
Lecture 21

(i) If E, E', ϕ are defined over K , then e_ϕ is Galois invariant, i.e. $e_\phi(\sigma(S), \sigma(T)) = \sigma(e_\phi(S, T)) \ \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), S \in E[\phi], T \in E'[\widehat{\phi}]$.

(ii) Taking $\phi = [n] : E \rightarrow E$ (so $\widehat{\phi} = [n]$) gives $e_n = E[n] \times E[n] \rightarrow \mu_{n^2}$, but in fact the image is contained in $\mu_n \subset \mu_{n^2}$, as $E[n] \times E[n]$ has exponent n .

Corollary 14.6. If $E[n] \subset E(K)$, then $\mu_n \subset K$.

Proof. Let $T \in E[n]$ have order n . Since e_n is nondegenerate, $\exists S \in E[n]$ such that $e_n(S, T)$ is a primitive n^{th} root of unity, say ζ_n . Then $\sigma(\zeta_n) = \sigma(e_n(S, T)) = e_n(\sigma(S), \sigma(T)) = e_n(S, T) = \zeta_n \ \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, so $\zeta_n \in K$. \square

Example 14.1. There does not exist E/\mathbb{Q} with $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$, since $\zeta_3 \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark. In fact, e_n is alternating, i.e. $e_n(T, T) = 1 \ \forall T \in E[n]$. This implies $e_n(S, T) = e_n(T, S)^{-1}$.

15 Galois cohomology

Let G be a group and let A be an abelian group that's a G -module, i.e. it's an abelian group equipped with a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$.

Definition 15.1. We define the 0^{th} cohomology group as

$$H^0(G, A) = A^G = \{a \in A \mid \sigma(a) = a \ \forall \sigma \in G\}.$$

We define the collection of 1^{st} cochains as

$$C^1(G, A) = \{\text{maps } G \rightarrow A\}.$$

This contains the collection of 1^{st} cocycles

$$Z^1(G, A) = \{(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \mid a_{\sigma\tau} = \sigma(a_\tau) + a_\sigma \ \forall \sigma, \tau \in G\},$$

which contains the collection of 1st coboundaries

$$B^1(G, A) = \{(\sigma(b) - b)_{\sigma \in G} \mid b \in A\}.$$

We set the 1st group cohomology to be

$$H^1(G, A) = \frac{Z^1(G, A)}{B^1(G, A)} = \frac{\text{cocycles}}{\text{coboundaries}}.$$

Remark. If G acts trivially on A , then $H^1(G, A) = \text{Hom}(G, A)$.

Theorem 15.1. A short exact sequence of G -modules

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^G \xrightarrow{\phi} B^G \xrightarrow{\psi} C^G \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} H^1(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^*} H^1(G, C).$$

Proof. Omitted. □

However, we give the definition of δ . Let $c \in C^G$. There exists some $b \in B$ with $\psi(b) = c$. Then $\psi(\sigma(b) - b) = \sigma\psi(b) - \psi(b) = \sigma c - c = 0 \ \forall \sigma \in G$, so $\sigma b - b = \phi(a_\sigma)$ for some $a_\sigma \in A$. We can check that $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in Z^1(G, A)$, so we define $\delta(c) =$ the class of $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G}$ in $H^1(G, A)$.

Theorem 15.2. Let A be a G -module and $H \leq G$ a normal subgroup. Then there is an inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(H, A).$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Let K be a perfect field. $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ is a topological group with its topology generated by the basis of open subgroups of the form $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)$ for L a finite extension of K . If $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, we modify the definition of $H^1(G, A)$ by insisting that

- (1) The stabilizer of each $a \in A$ is an open subgroup of G .
- (2) All cochains $G \rightarrow A$ are continuous, where A is given the discrete topology.

Then

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), A) = \varinjlim_{\substack{L/K \text{ finite} \\ L/K \text{ Galois}}} = H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), A^{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)}),$$

the direct limit with respect to inflation maps.

Theorem 15.3 (Hilbert's Theorem 90). Let L/K be a finite Galois extension. Then $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^\times) = 0$.

Proof. Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and let $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in Z^1(G, L^\times)$. Distinct automorphisms are linearly independent, so $\exists y$ such that

$$x := \sum_{\tau \in G} a_\tau^{-1} \tau(y) \neq 0.$$

Then, using the fact that $a_{\sigma\tau} = \sigma(a_\tau)a_\sigma$, so $\sigma(a_\tau)^{-1} = a_\sigma a_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= \sum_{\tau \in G} \sigma(a_\tau^{-1}) \sigma\tau(y) \\ &= a_\sigma \sum_{\tau \in G} a_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \sigma\tau(y) \\ &= a_\sigma x \\ \implies a_\sigma &= \frac{\sigma(x)}{x} \\ \implies (a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} &\in B^1(G, L^\times). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H^1(G, L^\times) = 0$. □

Corollary 15.4. With the setup as before, $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), \bar{K}^\times) = 0$.

Application. Assume $\text{char } K \nmid n$. Then there is a short exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \bar{K}^\times \rightarrow \bar{K}^\times \rightarrow 0 \\ x \mapsto x^n \end{aligned}$$

giving a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} K^\times \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), \bar{K}^\times) = 0 \\ x \mapsto x^n \end{aligned}$$

by Hilbert's Theorem 90. Consequently, $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n$. If $\mu_n \subset K$, then

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n.$$

Here the finite subgroups of the LHS are of the form $\text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(L/K), \mu_n)$ for L/K a finite abelian extension of exponent dividing n . This gives another proof of Theorem 11.2.

08 Mar 2024,
Lecture 22

Notation. We write $H^1(K, -)$ to mean $H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), -)$.

Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny of elliptic curves of K . We have a short exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow E[\phi] \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} E' \rightarrow 0,$$

and a long exact sequence

$$E(K) \xrightarrow{\phi} E'(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} H^1(K, E').$$

We get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E'[K]/\phi E[K] \rightarrow H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0.$$

Now take K a number field. For each place v of K , we fix an embedding $\overline{K} \subset \overline{K}_v$. Then $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}_v/K_v) \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Taking the product over all places gives another short exact sequence compatible with the one above as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E'[K]/\phi E[K] & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E[\phi]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E)[\phi_*] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_v & \searrow & \downarrow \text{res}_v \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_v E'[K_v]/\phi E[K_v] & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E[\phi]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E)[\phi_*] \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Definition 15.2. The ϕ -Selmer group is

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(\phi)}(E/K) &= \ker(\searrow) \\ &= \ker \left(H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E) \right) \\ &= \{ \alpha \in H^1(K, E[\phi]) \mid \text{res}_v(\alpha) \in \text{Im}(\delta_v) \forall v \}. \end{aligned}$$

The **Tate-Shafarevich group** is

$$\text{III}(E/K) = \ker \left(H^1(K, E) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E) \right).$$

We get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E'[K]/\phi E[K] \rightarrow S^{(\phi)}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking $\phi = [n]$ gives

$$0 \rightarrow E[K]/nE[K] \rightarrow S^{(n)}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Rearranging the proof of weak Mordell-Weil (Theorem 12.2) gives

Theorem 15.5. $S^{(n)}(E/K)$ is finite.

Proof. For L/K a finite Galois extension, there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), E(L)[n]) & \xrightarrow{\inf} & H^1(K, E[n]) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & H^1(L, E[n]) \\ & & & & \cup & & \cup \\ & & & & S^{(n)}(E/K) & & S^{(n)}(E/L). \end{array}$$

Consequently, by extending our field we may assume $E[n] \subset E(K)$ and hence $\mu_n \subset K$. Hence $E[n] \cong \mu_n \times \mu_n$ as a $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -module, so

$$H^1(K, E[n]) \cong H^1(K, \mu_n) \times H^1(K, \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n \times K^\times / (K^\times)^n.$$

Let $S = \{\text{primes of bad reduction for } E\} \cup \{v \mid n\infty\}$, which is a finite set of places.

Definition 15.3. The subgroup of $H^1(K, A)$ unramified outside S is

$$H^1(K, A; S) = \ker \left(H^1(K, A) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(K_v^{\text{nr}}, A) \right).$$

There is a commutative with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E(K_v) & \xrightarrow{\times n} & E(K_v) & \xrightarrow{\delta_v} & H^1(K_v, E[n]) \\ \cap & & \cap & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ E(K_v^{\text{nr}}) & \xrightarrow{\times n} & E(K_v^{\text{nr}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_v^{\text{nr}}, E[n]), \end{array}$$

where the first arrow on the second row is surjective $\forall v \notin S$ (Theorem 9.9). Hence

$$S^{(n)}(E/K) \subset H^1(K, E[n]; S) \cong H^1(K, \mu_n; S) \times H^1(K, \mu_n; S).$$

But

$$H^1(K, \mu_n; S) \cong \ker \left(K^\times / (K^\times)^n \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} (K_v^{\text{nr}})^\times / ((K_v^{\text{nr}})^\times)^n \right) \subset K(S, n)$$

which is finite by Lemma 14.4. (For the last inclusion we have an optional exercise: we can check that the \subset is actually $=$ using $\{v \mid n\} \subset S$.) \square

Remark. $S^{(n)}(E/K)$ is finite and effectively computable. It is conjectured that $|\text{III}(E/K)| < \infty$. This would imply that $\text{rank} E(K)$ is effectively computable.

16 Descent by cyclic isogeny

Let E, E' be elliptic curves over a number field K and let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny of degree n defined over K . Suppose $E'[\widehat{\phi}] \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, generated by $T \in E'(K)$. Then $E[\phi] \cong \mu_n$ as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -module via $S \mapsto e_\phi(S, T)$. This gives a short exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_n \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} E' \longrightarrow 0$$

and a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E(K) & \longrightarrow & E'(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(K, \mu_n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & & \searrow \alpha & \downarrow \text{|| } \mathbb{Z}, H^9_0 & & \\ & & & & K^\times / (K^\times)^n & & \end{array}$$

Theorem 16.1. Let $f \in K(E')$ and $g \in K(E)$ with $\text{div}(f) = n(T) - n(0)$ and $\phi^* f = g^n$. Then

$$\alpha(P) = f(P) \pmod{(K^\times)^n} \quad \forall P \in E'(K) \setminus \{0, T\}.$$

Proof. Let $Q \in \phi^{-1}P$. Then $\delta(P) \in H^1(K, \mu_n)$ is represented by the cocycle $\sigma \mapsto \sigma Q - Q \in E[\phi] \cong \mu_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e_\phi(\sigma Q - Q, T) &= \frac{g(\sigma Q - Q + X)}{g(X)} \\ &= \frac{g(\sigma Q)}{g(Q)} \\ &= \frac{\sigma(g(Q))}{g(Q)} \\ &= \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{f(P)}}{\sqrt[n]{f(P)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality holds for any $X \in E$ avoiding the zeros and poles of g , so we take $X = Q$ in the second equality, and for the last equality use $\phi^* f = g^n$, so $g(Q)^n = f(P)$. But $H^1(K, \mu_n) \cong K^\times / (K^\times)^n$ via $\left(\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{x}}{\sqrt[n]{x}} \right) \leftarrow x$, so $\alpha(P) \equiv f(P) \pmod{(K^\times)^n}$. \square

16.1 Descent by 2-isogeny

11 Mar 2024,
Lecture 23

Consider $E : y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$ with $b(a^2 - 4b) \neq 0$ and $E' : y^2 = x(x^2 + a'x + b')$ with $a' = -2a, b' = a^2 - 4b$. Recall we have a 2-isogeny $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ via $(x, y) \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^2, \frac{y(x^2 - b)}{x^2} \right)$ with dual $\hat{\phi} : E' \rightarrow E$ via $(x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^2, \frac{y(x^2 - b')}{8x^2} \right)$.

We have $E[\phi] = \{0, T\}$ for $T = (0, 0) \in E(K)$ and $E'[\hat{\phi}] = \{0, T'\}$ for $T' = (0, 0) \in E'(K)$.

Proposition 16.2. There is a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} E'(K) &\rightarrow K^\times / (K^\times)^2 \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \begin{cases} x \bmod (K^\times)^2 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ b' \bmod (K^\times)^2 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with kernel $\phi(E(K))$.

Proof. Either apply Theorem 16.1 with $f = x \in K(E')$ and $g = \frac{y}{x} \in K(E)$, or we can prove this via direct calculation (see Ex. Sheet 4). \square

This gives injective group homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_E &= E(K) / \hat{\phi} E'(K) \hookrightarrow K^\times / (K^\times)^2 \\ \alpha_{E'} &= E'(K) / \phi E(K) \hookrightarrow K^\times / (K^\times)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 16.3. We have

$$2^{\text{rank } E(K)} = \frac{|\text{Im } \alpha_E| |\text{Im } \alpha_{E'}|}{4}.$$

Proof. If $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ are homomorphisms of abelian groups, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(f) \rightarrow \ker(gf) \xrightarrow{f} \ker(g) \rightarrow \text{coker}(f) \xrightarrow{g} \text{coker}(gf) \rightarrow \text{coker}(g) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\hat{\phi}\phi = [2]_E$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{E(K)[\phi]}_{\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow E(K)[2] \xrightarrow{\phi} \underbrace{E'(K)[\hat{\phi}]}_{\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underbrace{E'(K)/\phi E(K)}_{\text{Im } \alpha_{E'}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} E(K)/2E(K) \rightarrow \underbrace{E(K)/\hat{\phi} E'(K)}_{\text{Im } \alpha_E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, $\frac{|E(K)/2E(K)|}{|E(K)[2]|} \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \frac{|\text{Im } \alpha_E| |\text{Im } \alpha_{E'}|}{4}$. By Mordell-Weil, write $E(K) \cong$

$\Delta \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ for Δ a finite group and $r = \text{rank} E(K)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(K)/2E(K) &\cong \Delta/2\Delta \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \\ E(K)[2] &\cong \Delta[2] \end{aligned}$$

and $\Delta/2\Delta, \Delta[2]$ have the same order as Δ is finite. Hence $\frac{|E(K)/2E(K)|}{|E(K)[2]|} = 2^r$, which with (†) implies the claim. \square

Lemma 16.4. If K is a number field and $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$, then $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) \subset K(S, 2)$, where S is the set of primes dividing b .

Proof. We want to show that for $x, y \in K$, if $y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$ and $v_p(b) = 0$, then $v_p(x)$ is even.

If $v_p(x) < 0$, then Lemma 9.1 implies $\begin{cases} v_p(x) = -2r \\ v_p(y) = -3r \end{cases}$ so we're done.

If $v_p(x) > 0$, then $v_p(x^2 + ax + b) > 0$, so $v_p(x) = v_p(y^2) = 2v_p(y)$ and we're done (and of course $v_p(x) = 0$ is clear). \square

Lemma 16.5. If $b_1 b_2 = b$, then $b_1(K^\times)^2 \in \text{Im}(\alpha_E) \iff w^2 = b_1 u^4 + a u^2 v^2 + b_2 v^4$ is soluble for $u, v, w \in K$ not all zero.

Proof. If $b_1 \in (K^\times)^2$ or $b_2 \in (K^\times)^2$, then both conditions are satisfied. Hence now assume that $b_1, b_2 \notin (K^\times)^2$, i.e. they are not squares in K .

Now $b_1(K^\times)^2 \in \text{Im}(\alpha_E) \iff \exists (x, y) \in E(K)$ such that $x = b_1 t^2$ for some $t \in K^\times$, so $y^2 = b_1 t^2((b_1 t^2)^2 + a b_1 t^2 + b)$ and so $\left(\frac{y}{b_1 t}\right)^2 = b_1 t^4 + a t^2 + \underbrace{\frac{b}{b_1}}_{b_2}$, so

our equation has a solution $(u, v, w) = \left(t, 1, \frac{y}{b_1 t}\right)$.

Conversely, if (u, v, w) is a solution to our equation, then $uv \neq 0$ and hence $\left(b_1 \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^2, b_1 \frac{uw}{v^3}\right) \in E(K)$. \square

Now take $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

Example 16.1. $E : y^2 = x^3 - x$, so $a = 0, b = -1$. Then $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) = \langle -1 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$. We have $E' : y^2 = x^3 + 4x$ and $\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) \subset \langle -1, 2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.

Applying the previous lemma (Lemma 16.5) to $b_1 = 1, b_1 = 2, b_1 = -2$ gives the equations $w^2 = -u^4 - 4v^4$, $w^2 = 2u^4 + 2v^4$, $w^2 = -2u^4 - 2v^4$. The first and third have no nontrivial solutions over \mathbb{R} , while for the second we can take $(u, v, w) = (1, 1, 2)$. Hence $\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) = \langle 2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ and so $2^{\text{rank} E(\mathbb{Q})} = \frac{2 \cdot 2}{4} = 1$, so $\text{rank} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and hence 1 is not a congruent number.

Example 16.2. Take $E : y^2 = x^3 + px$ for p a prime that is 5 modulo 8. Taking $b_1 = -1$ gives $w^2 = -u^4 - pv^4$, which has no nontrivial solutions over \mathbb{R} , so $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) = \langle p \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.

Note that $\alpha_{E'}(T') = (-4p)(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 = (-p)(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$, so we check $b_1 = 2, b_1 = -2, b_1 = p$ which give $w^2 = 2u^4 - 2pv^4, w^2 = -2u^4 + 2pv^4, w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4$.

Suppose the first of these is soluble and WLOG take $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$. If $p \mid u$, then $p \mid w$, so $p \mid v$, contradiction, so $w^2 \equiv 2u^4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = +1$, a contradiction as $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.

Likewise the second equation has no solutions since $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = -1$. Hence $\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) \subset \langle -1, p \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ and so

$$\text{rank} E(\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4 \text{ has no nontrivial solutions over } \mathbb{Q}, \\ 1 & \text{if } w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4 \text{ has a nontrivial solution over } \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

The setup from last time is $E : y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$ with an isogeny $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ and the equation $w^2 = b_1u^4 + au^2v^2 + b_2v^4$ (\dagger) (for $b_2 = b/b_1$). We have the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbb{Q})/\widehat{\phi}E'(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & S^{(\widehat{\phi})}(E'/\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E'/\mathbb{Q})[\widehat{\phi}_*] \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & \searrow \alpha_E & & \cap & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 & & \end{array}$$

The image of α_E is $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) = \{b_1 \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 \mid (\dagger) \text{ is soluble over } \mathbb{Q}\}$, which is contained in

$$S^{(\widehat{\phi})}(E'/\mathbb{Q}) = \{b_1 \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 \mid (\dagger) \text{ is soluble over } \mathbb{R} \text{ and over } \mathbb{Q}_p \forall p\}.$$

Fact. If $a, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \nmid 2b(a^2 - 4b)$, then (\dagger) is soluble over \mathbb{Q}_p . This follows from Ex. Sheet 3, Q9 and Hensel's lemma.

Example 16.2 continued. We have $E : y^2 = x^3 + px$ for p a prime that is 5 modulo 8 and $w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4$ with

$$\text{rank} E(\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4 \text{ has no nontrivial solutions over } \mathbb{Q}, \\ 1 & \text{if } w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4 \text{ has a nontrivial solution over } \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

This equation is soluble over \mathbb{Q}_p , since $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = +1 \implies -1 \in (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2$. It is also soluble over \mathbb{Q}_2 , since $p - 1 \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \implies p - 4 \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^\times)^2$. (Here both implication signs use Hensel's lemma). Finally, this equation is soluble over \mathbb{R} , since $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{R}$.

13 Mar 2024,
Lecture 24

A conjecture by Selmer says that $\text{rank} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1 \ \forall p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Indeed, numerical evidence suggests

p	u	v	w
5	1	1	1
13	1	1	3
29	1	1	5
37	5	3	151
53	1	1	7

Example 16.3 (Lund). Let $E : y^2 = x^3 + 17x$. Then $\text{Im}(\alpha_E) = \langle 17 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ and $E' : y^2 = x^3 - 68x$ with $\text{Im}(\alpha_{E'}) \subset \langle -1, 2, 17 \rangle \mathbb{Q}^\times / (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$. Take $b_1 = 2$, so $w^2 = 2u^4 - 34v^4$. Replace $w \mapsto 2$ and divide by 2 to get

$$C : 2w^2 = u^4 - 17v^4.$$

Notation. Write $C(K) = \{(u, v, w) \in K^3 \setminus \{0\} \text{ satisfying our equation}\} / \sim$ where $(u, v, w) \sim (u, \lambda v, \lambda^2 w)$.

We have $C(\mathbb{Q}_2) \neq \emptyset$ since $17 \in (\mathbb{Q}_2^\times)^4$, $C(\mathbb{Q}_{17}) \neq \emptyset$ since $2 \in (\mathbb{Z}_{17}^\times)^2$, and $C(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ since $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, $C(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all places v of \mathbb{Q} .

Suppose $(u, v, w) \in C(\mathbb{Q})$, WLOG $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$. If $17 \mid w$, then $17 \mid u$ and so $17 \mid v$, contradiction. Thus if $p \mid w$, then $p \neq 17$ and $\left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = +1 \implies \left(\frac{p}{17}\right) = \left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = +1$ by quadratic reciprocity. This only works for p odd, but also $\left(\frac{2}{17}\right) = +1$, so we're fine. Hence $\left(\frac{w}{17}\right) = +1$. But $2w^2 \equiv u^4 \pmod{17}$, so $2 \in (\mathbb{F}_{17}^\times)^2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$, a contradiction, so $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$. In other words, C is a counterexample to the Hasse principle. It represents a nontrivial element of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

16.2 The Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve.

Definition 16.1. We define

$$L(E, s) = \prod_p L_p(E, s)$$

where

$$L_p(E, s) = \begin{cases} (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1} & \text{if good reduction,} \\ (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if split mult. reduction,} \\ (1 + p^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if additive reduction.} \end{cases}$$

where $\#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a_p$.

Hasse's Theorem implies $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$, so $L(E, s)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{3}{2}$.

Theorem 16.6 (Wiles, Breuil, Conrad, Diamond, Taylor). $L(E, s)$ is the L -function of a weight 2 modular form and hence has an analytic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} (and a functional equation relating $L(E, s)$ to $L(E, 2 - s)$).

Proposition 16.7 (Weak BSD). We have $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Call this rank r .

Proposition 16.8 (Strong BSD). We have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(s-1)^r} L(E, s) = \frac{\Omega_E \operatorname{Reg} E(\mathbb{Q}) |\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})| \prod_p c_p}{|E(\mathbb{Q})_{\operatorname{tors}}|^2}$$

where

- c_p is the Tamagawa number of E/\mathbb{Q}_p , i.e. $[E(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$.
- For the regulator, suppose $E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{\operatorname{tors}} = \langle P_1, \dots, P_r \rangle$, then $\operatorname{Reg} E(\mathbb{Q}) = \det([P_i, P_j])_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ where $[P, Q] = \hat{h}(P + Q) - \hat{h}(P) - \hat{h}(Q)$.
- $\Omega_E = \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} \left| \frac{dx}{2y + a_1 x + a_3} \right|$, where a_i are the coefficients of a globally minimal Weierstrass equation.

Theorem 16.9 (Kolyvagin). If $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 0$ or 1, then weak BSD holds and $|\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})| < \infty$.