

Introduction to Additive Combinatorics

Part III

Lectured by Julia Wolf

Artur Avameri

Contents

1	Fourier-analytic techniques	2
2	Combinatorial methods	11

1 Fourier-analytic techniques

19 Jan 2024,
Lecture 1

Let $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ for p a small fixed prime (usually $p = 2, 3, 5$) and n is large (often we consider $n \rightarrow \infty$).

Notation. Given a finite set B and any function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we write $\mathbb{E}_{x \in B} f(x)$ to mean $\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{x \in B} f(x)$. Also write $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p}$ for the p^{th} root of unity. Note that $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \omega^a = 0$.

Definition 1.1. Given $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define its **Fourier transform** $\hat{f} : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{f}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$$

where $x \cdot t$ is the standard scalar product.

It is easy to verify the **inversion formula**:

$$f(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} (\mathbb{E}_y f(y) \omega^{y \cdot t}) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \underbrace{\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \omega^{(y-x) \cdot t}}_{p^n \mathbf{1}_{\{y=x\}}} = f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. We could use an unnormalized sum in our definition and a normalized sum in the inversion formula, or a minus sign in our definition and a plus sign in the inversion formula – this doesn't matter as long as we're consistent.

Given a subset A of a finite group G , write:

- 1_A for the **characteristic function** of A , i.e. $1_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$.

This is also called the **indicator function**.

- f_A for the **balanced function** of A , i.e. $f_A(x) = 1_A(x) - \alpha$, where $\alpha = \frac{|A|}{|G|}$.

- μ_A for the **characteristic measure** of A , i.e. $\mu_A(x) = \alpha^{-1} 1_A(x)$.

Note $\mathbb{E}_{x \in G} f_A(x) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \mu_A(x) = 1$. Given $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$, we have

$$\hat{1}_A(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(x) \omega^{x \cdot t}.$$

At $t = 0$, we get $\hat{1}_A(0) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(x) = \alpha$.

Writing $-A = \{-a \mid a \in A\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{1}_{-A}(t) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_{-A}(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(-x) \omega^{x \cdot t} \\ &\stackrel{y=-x}{=} \mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(y) \omega^{-y \cdot t} = \overline{\mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(y) \omega^{y \cdot t}} = \overline{\hat{1}_A(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.2. Let $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Then

$$\hat{1}_V(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_V(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} = \frac{|V|}{p^n} 1_{\{x \cdot t = 0 \ \forall x \in V\}} = \frac{|V|}{p^n} 1_{V^\perp}(t),$$

so $\hat{\mu}_V(t) = 1_{V^\perp}(t)$. (Here we use the fact that if $t \notin \{x \cdot t = 0 \ \forall x \in V\}$, then $x \cdot t$ runs over the values uniformly and the sum is zero - details left as exercise).

Example 1.3. Let $R \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that each $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ lies in R independently with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Then with high probability (i.e. $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$),

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_R(t)| = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(p^n)}{p^n}}\right).$$

Proving this is on Ex. Sheet 1. This is proved using a Chernoff-type bound: given complex-valued independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean 0, $\forall \theta \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right| \geq \theta \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})}^2}\right) \leq 4 \exp(-\theta^2/4).$$

Example 1.4. Let $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot x = 0\}$. Then $|Q| = \left(\frac{1}{p} + O(p^{-n})\right) p^n$ and $\sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_Q(t)| = O(p^{-n/2})$. This is again on Ex. Sheet 1.

Notation. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, write

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$$

and

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \overline{\hat{g}(t)}.$$

Consequently, $\|f\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^2$ and $\|\hat{f}\|_2^2 = \sum_t |\hat{f}(t)|^2$.

Lemma 1.5. The following hold for all $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

- (i) $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle$ (Plancherel's identity).
- (ii) $\|f\|_2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2$ (Parseval's identity).

Proof. (ii) follows from (i). For (i), compute

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \overline{\hat{g}(t)} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \overline{g(y) \omega^{y \cdot t}} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \overline{g(y)} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \omega^{(x-y)t} = \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} p^n f(x) \overline{g(x)} = \langle f, g \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1.6. Let $\rho > 0$ and $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Define the ρ -large spectrum of f to be

$$\text{Spec}_\rho(f) = \{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid |\hat{f}(t)| \geq \rho \|f\|_1\}.$$

Example 1.7. By Example 1.2, if $f = 1_V$ with $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$, then $\forall \rho > 0$, $\text{Spec}_\rho(f) = V^\perp$.¹

Lemma 1.8. For all $\rho > 0$, $|\text{Spec}_\rho(f)| \leq \rho^{-2} \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2}$.

Proof. By Parseval,

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 \geq \sum_{t \in \text{Spec}_\rho(f)} |\hat{f}(t)|^2 \geq |\text{Spec}_\rho(f)| (\rho \|f\|_1)^2.$$

□

22 Jan 2024,
Lecture 2

Definition 1.9. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define their **convolution** $f * g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f * g(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(y) g(x - y) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n.$$

Example 1.10. Given $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}1_A * 1_B(x) &= \mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(y) 1_B(x - y) = \frac{1}{p^n} |A \cap (x - B)| \\ &= \frac{1}{p^n} \# \text{ways } x \text{ can be written as } x = a + b \text{ with } a \in A, b \in B.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the support of $1_A * 1_B$ is the **sum set**

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

of A and B .

Lemma 1.11. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\widehat{f * g}(t) = \hat{f}(t) \hat{g}(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n.$$

¹Here we have $0 < \rho \leq 1$, since it is clear by triangle inequality that $\|f\|_1 \geq |\hat{f}(t)|$.

Proof. Set $u = x - y$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f * g}(t) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \left(\mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(y) g(x - y) \right) \omega^{x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \mathbb{E}_u g(u) \omega^{(u+y) \cdot t} \\ &= \hat{f}(t) \hat{g}(t).\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 1.12. $\|\hat{f}\|_4^4 = \mathbb{E}_{x+y=z+w} f(x) f(y) \overline{f(z)} \overline{f(w)}$. This is on Ex. Sheet 1.

Lemma 1.13 (Bogolyubov's Lemma). Given $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density $\alpha > 0$, there exists a subspace $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension at most $2\alpha^{-2}$ s.t. $A + A - A - A \supset V$.

Proof. Observe that

$$A + A - A - A = \text{supp}(\underbrace{1_A * 1_A * 1_{-A} * 1_{-A}}_{:=g}).$$

Hence we wish to find $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $g(x) > 0 \forall x \in V$. Let $K = \text{Spec}_\rho(1_A)$ with ρ to be determined later and let $V = \langle K \rangle^\perp$. By Lemma 1.8², $|K| \leq \rho^{-2} \alpha^{-1}$ and hence $\text{codim}(V) \leq |K| \leq \rho^{-2} \alpha^{-1}$. By the inversion formula,

$$\begin{aligned}g(x) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} (1_A * 1_A * \widehat{1_{-A}} * 1_{-A})(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \underbrace{\alpha^4 + \sum_{t \in K \setminus \{0\}} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\sum_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t}}_{(2)}.\end{aligned}$$

For (1), we see it is ≥ 0 since $x \cdot t = 0 \forall t \in K, x \in V$. (Note we could give better lower bounds but we don't need them).

For (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(2)| &\leq \sum_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^4 \leq \sup_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \sum_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \leq \sup_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \sum_t |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \\ &\leq (\rho \alpha)^2 \|1_A\|_2^2 = \rho^2 \alpha^3.\end{aligned}$$

Now pick ρ such that $\rho^2 \alpha^3 \leq \frac{\alpha^4}{2}$, e.g. $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, so $g(x) \geq \frac{\alpha^4}{2} > 0 \forall x \in V$. □

²Here $f = 1_A$ and $\alpha = \frac{\|f\|_1^2}{\|f\|_2^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} \sum |f|\right)^2}{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} \sum |f|^2\right)} = \frac{|A|}{p^n} = \alpha$.

Example 1.14. The set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid |x| \geq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\}$ has density at least $\frac{1}{4}$, and there is no coset C of any subspace of codimension at most \sqrt{n} such that $C \subset A + A$. This is on Ex. Sheet 1.

Lemma 1.15. Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density α be such that $\exists t \neq 0$ in $\text{Spec}_\rho(1_A)$. Then $\exists V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension 1 and $\exists x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that

$$|A \cap (x + V)| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}\right) |V|.$$

Proof. Let $t \neq 0$ be such that $|\hat{1}_A(t)| \geq \rho\alpha$ and let $V = \langle t \rangle^\perp$. Write $v_j + V$ for $j \in [p] := \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ for the cosets of V such that $v_j + V = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot t = j\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\alpha &\leq \hat{1}_A(t) = \hat{f}_A(t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} (1_A(x) - \alpha) \omega^{x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{j \in [p]} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{x \in v_j + V} (1_A(x) - \alpha) \omega^j}_{:= a_j = \frac{|A \cap (v_j + V)|}{|V|} - \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality, $\mathbb{E}_{j \in [p]} |a_j| \geq \rho\alpha$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{j \in [p]} a_j = \frac{|A|}{p^{n-1}} - p\alpha = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_{j \in [p]} (a_j + |a_j|) \geq \rho\alpha$, so $\exists j \in [p]$ such that $a_j + |a_j| \geq \rho\alpha \implies a_j \geq \frac{\rho\alpha}{2}$. \square

Lemma 1.16. Let $p \geq 3$ and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density $\alpha > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_A(t)| = o(1).$$

Then A contains $(\alpha^3 + o(1))(p^n)^2$ 3-term arithmetic progressions (3-APs).

In other words, a set with small Fourier coefficients has the same number of 3-APs as a truly random set of the same density.

Notation. Given $f, g, h : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $T_3(f, g, h) = \mathbb{E}_{x,d} f(x)g(x+d)h(x+2d)$.

Given $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$, write $2 \cdot A = \{2a \mid a \in A\}$. This is different from $2A = A + A = \{a + a' \mid a, a' \in A\}$.

Proof. The number of 3-APs in A is $(p^n)^2$ times $T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) &= \mathbb{E}_{x,d} 1_A(x) 1_A(x+d) 1_A(x+2d) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y} 1_A(x) 1_A(y) 1_A(2y-x) && y = x + d \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y 1_A(y) (1_A * 1_A)(2y) \\ &= \langle 1_{2 \cdot A}, 1_A * 1_A \rangle && z = 2y \\ &= \langle \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}, \widehat{1_A * 1_A} \rangle. && \text{by Plancherel.} \end{aligned}$$

Continue the last manipulation to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \langle \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}, \widehat{1_A}^2 \rangle \\
 &= \alpha^3 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \widehat{1_A}(t)^2 \overline{\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last sum in absolute value is at most

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \sum_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t) \overline{\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t)}| \\
 &\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \left(\sum_t |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_t |\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \cdot \alpha^{1/2} \cdot \alpha^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|
 \end{aligned}$$

by C-S and Parseval. \square

Using the above two results, we prove:

Theorem 1.17 (Meshulam's Theorem). Let $p \geq 3$ and let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set containing no non-trivial 3-APs. Then $|A| = O\left(\frac{p^n}{n \log p}\right)$.

Proof. By assumption, $T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \frac{\alpha}{p^n}$, but as in Lemma 1.16,

$$T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \alpha^3 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \widehat{1_A}(t)^2 \overline{\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t)},$$

so $\left| \frac{\alpha}{p^n} - \alpha^3 \right| \leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \cdot \alpha$, which gives $\sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \geq \left| \frac{1}{p^n} - \alpha^2 \right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{2}$ provided $p^n \geq 2\alpha^{-2}$. By Lemma 1.15 with $\rho = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $\exists V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension 1 and $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $|A \cap (x + V)| \geq \left(\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \right) |V|$.

We iterate this observation. Let $A_0 = A$, $V_0 = \mathbb{F}_p^n$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha = \frac{|A_0|}{|V_0|}$. At step i of this iteration, we are given a set $A_{i-1} \subset V_{i-1}$ of density α_{i-1} with no nontrivial 3-APs. Provided that $p^{\dim(V_{i-1})} \geq 2\alpha_{i-1}^{-2}$, $\exists V_i \leq V_{i-1}$ of codimension 1 and $x_i \in V_{i-1}$ such that $|A_{i-1} \cap (x_i + V_i)| \geq \left(\alpha_{i-1} + \frac{\alpha_{i-1}^2}{4} \right) |V_i|$. Set $A_i = A_{i-1} - x_i$. Note $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i-1} + \frac{\alpha_{i-1}^2}{4}$ and A_i is free of nontrivial 3-APs. Through this iteration, the density of A increases from α to 2α in at most $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2/4} = 4\alpha^{-1}$ steps, from 2α to 4α in at most $\frac{2\alpha}{(2\alpha)^2/4} = 2\alpha^{-1}$ steps, etc, which reaches 1 in at most

$$(4\alpha^{-1} + 2\alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-1} + \dots) = 8\alpha^{-1}$$

steps. The argument must therefore end with $\dim(V_i) \geq n - 8\alpha^{-1}$, at which point we must have had $p^{\dim(V_i)} \leq 2\alpha_i^{-2} \leq 2\alpha^{-2}$ (or else we could have continued). But we may assume that $\alpha \geq \sqrt{2}p^{-n/4}$ (else we're done), whence $p^{n-8\alpha^{-1}} \leq p^{n/2}$, i.e. $\frac{n}{2} \leq 8\alpha^{-1}$, so $\alpha \leq \frac{16}{n}$, finishing the proof (in fact, we can now take $C = 16 \log p$ as an explicit constant in the big O notation). \square

26 Jan 2024,
Lecture 4

So for $A \subset \mathbb{F}_3^n$ containing no nontrivial 3-APs, we have $|A| = O\left(\frac{3^n}{n}\right)$. The largest known subset of \mathbb{F}_3^n containing no nontrivial 3-APs has size $\geq (2.218)^n$. (Proving 2^n is trivial: take all combinations of zeroes and ones with no twos).

From now on, let G be a finite abelian group. G comes equipped with a set of **characters**, i.e. group homomorphisms $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which themselves form a group, denoted by \hat{G} , often referred to as the **dual** of G . It turns out that if G is finite and abelian, then $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong G$. For instance:

- If $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$, then $\hat{G} = \{\gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{x \cdot t} \mid t \in G\}$.
- If $G = \mathbb{Z}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then $\hat{G} = \{\gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{xt} \mid t \in G\}$.

Definition 1.18. Given $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define its **Fourier transform** $\hat{f} : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} f(x) \gamma(x) \quad \forall \gamma \in \hat{G}.$$

It is easy to verify that we have an inversion formula, given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\gamma(x)}.$$

We can also check that Definition 1.6 and 1.9, Examples 1.3 and 1.10 and Lemmas 1.5, 1.8 and 1.11 go through in this general context.

Example 1.19. Let p be a prime, let $L \leq p-1$ be even and consider $J = [-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}] \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\forall t \neq 0$,

$$|\hat{1}_J(t)| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{L+1}{p}, \frac{1}{2|t|} \right\}.$$

This is on Ex. Sheet 1.

Theorem 1.20 (Roth's Theorem). Let $A \subset [N] := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ be a set containing no non-trivial 3-APs. Then $|A| = O\left(\frac{N}{\log \log N}\right)$.

Lemma 1.21. Let $A \subset [N]$ be of density $\alpha > 0$ satisfying $N > 50\alpha^{-2}$ containing no nontrivial 3-APs. Let p be a prime in $[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}]$ and write $A' = A \cap [p] \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then either

- (i) $\sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_{A'}(t)| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$ (where the Fourier coefficient is computed in \mathbb{Z}_p); or

(ii) \exists interval $J \subset [N]$ of length $\geq \frac{N}{3}$ such that $|A \cap J| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right) |J|$.

Proof. We may assume that $|A'| = |A \cap [p]| \geq \alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right) p$, since otherwise $|A \cap [p+1, N]| \geq \alpha N - \alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right) p = \alpha(N-p) + \frac{\alpha^2 p}{200} \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right) (N-p)$, so case (ii) holds with $J = [p+1, N]$.

Let $A'' = A' \cap [\frac{p}{3}, \frac{2p}{3}]$. Note that all 3-APs of the form $(x, x+d, x+2d) \in A' \times A'' \times A''$ are in fact proper APs in $[N]$ (and not only in \mathbb{Z}_p , since there's no "wrapping around", since $x+d, x+2d \in [\frac{p}{3}, \frac{2p}{3}]$).

If $|A' \cap [p/3]|$ or $|A' \cap [2p/3, p]|$ are at least $\frac{2|A'|}{5}$, then we are again in case (ii) (details left as exercise). Hence we may assume that $|A''| \geq \frac{|A'|}{5}$. Now as in Lemma 1.16 and Theorem 1.17 with $\alpha' = |A'|/p, \alpha'' = |A''|/p$,

$$\frac{\alpha''}{p} = \frac{|A''|}{p^2} = T_3(1_{A'}, 1_{A''}, 1_{A''}) = \alpha' \cdot \alpha''^2 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \hat{1}_{A'}(t) \hat{1}_{A''}(t) \overline{\hat{1}_{2 \cdot A''}(t)},$$

so as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\alpha''}{p} - \alpha' \alpha''^2 \right| &\leq \frac{\alpha' \cdot \alpha''^2}{2} \leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_{A'}(t)| \cdot \alpha'' \\ \implies \sup |\hat{1}_{A'}(t)| &\geq \frac{\alpha' \cdot \alpha''}{2} \geq \frac{(\alpha')^2}{10} \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\frac{\alpha''}{p} \leq \frac{\alpha'(\alpha'')^2}{2}$ which holds since (using $p \geq \frac{N}{3}$ and $N > 50\alpha^{-2}$)

$$\alpha' \alpha'' p \geq \alpha' \alpha'' \frac{N}{3} > \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha} \cdot 50 \geq \left(\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right)^2 \cdot 10 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right)^2 \cdot 10 \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

where the last step holds for $\alpha = 1$ and hence for any $\alpha \leq 1$. \square

We first now convert the large Fourier coefficient into a density increment.

Lemma 1.22. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\phi : [m] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $x \mapsto xt$ for some nonzero t . Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a partition of $[m]$ into progressions P_i of length $\in [\epsilon\sqrt{m}/2, \epsilon\sqrt{m}]$ such that $\text{diam}(\phi(P_i)) = \max_{x,y \in P_i} |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq \epsilon p \forall i$.

Proof. Set $u = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$ and consider $0, t, 2t, \dots, ut$. By pigeonhole, we can find $0 \leq v < w \leq u$ such that $|wt - vt| \leq \frac{p}{u}$. Divide $[m]$ into residue classes mod s , where $s = w - v$ (so $|st| \leq \frac{p}{u}$). Each of these has size at least $\frac{m}{s} \geq \frac{m}{u}$. But each residue class can be divided into progressions of the form $a, a+s, a+2s, a+ds$ with $\frac{\epsilon u}{2} < d \leq \epsilon u$. The diameter of the image of each progression under ϕ is $|dst| \leq \epsilon p$. \square

Lemma 1.23. Let $A \subset [N]$ be of density $\alpha > 0$. Let p be a prime in $[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}]$ and write $A' = A \cap [p]$ as a subset of \mathbb{Z}_p . Suppose $\exists t \neq 0$ such that $\left| \widehat{1_{A'}}(t) \right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$.

Then there exists a progression P of length at least $\frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{N}}{500}$ such that $|A \cap P| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{80}\right) |P|$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon = \frac{\alpha^2}{40\pi}$ and use Lemma 1.22 to partition $[p]$ into progressions P_i of length at least $\frac{\epsilon \sqrt{p}}{2} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{40\pi} \sqrt{\frac{N}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \geq \alpha^2 \sqrt{N} \cdot \frac{1}{500}$ and $\text{diam}(\phi(P_i)) \leq \epsilon p$. Fix one x_i from each P_i . Now work with the balanced function: since $t \neq 0$, the Fourier coefficient at t is the same for the indicator function and the balanced function.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha^2}{10} &\leq \left| \widehat{f_{A'}}(t) \right| = \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xt} \right| = \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xt} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{x_i t} + \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) (\omega^{xt} - \omega^{x_i t}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| + \frac{1}{p} \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} |f_{A'}(x)| 2\pi\epsilon \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| + \frac{\alpha^2}{20} \end{aligned}$$

since $|t(x_i - x)| \leq \epsilon p \forall x \in P_i$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{20}.$$

Since $f_{A'}$ has mean zero,

$$\sum_i \left(\left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right) \geq \frac{\alpha^2 p}{20},$$

so $\exists i$ such that $\left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \geq \frac{\alpha^2 |P_i|}{40}$ and so

$$\sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \geq \frac{\alpha^2 |P_i|}{80}.$$

□

This is about as technical as we get in this course.

Proof of Roth's Theorem, theorem 1.20. This is on Ex. Sheet 1. □

Example 1.24 (Behrend's example). There exists a set $A \subset [N]$ containing no nontrivial 3-APs of size $|A| \geq C \exp(-c\sqrt{\log N}) N$, where c and C are absolute constants. This is again on Ex. Sheet 1.

Definition 1.25. Let $\Gamma \subset \widehat{G}$ and $\rho > 0$. By the **Bohr set**, written $B(\Gamma, \rho)$, we mean

$$B(\Gamma, \rho) = \{x \in G \mid |\gamma(x) - 1| \leq \rho \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

We call $|\Gamma|$ the **rank** and ρ the **radius** of the Bohr set.

Example 1.26. When $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ and $p = 3$, we have $B(\Gamma, \rho) = \langle \Gamma \rangle^\perp \ \forall \rho < 1$ (draw a picture!). For larger p , the same holds for smaller ρ .

Lemma 1.27. Let $\Gamma \subset \widehat{G}$ be of size d and let $\rho > 0$. Then $|B(\Gamma, \rho)| \geq \left(\frac{\rho}{2\pi}\right)^d |G|$.

Proof. This is on Ex. Sheet 2. \square

Lemma 1.28 (Bogolyubov's lemma, again). Given $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ of density $\alpha > 0$, $\exists \Gamma \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of size at most $2\alpha^{-2}$ such that $B(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2}) \subset A + A - A - A$.

31 Jan 2024,
Lecture 6

Proof. Recall $1_A * 1_A * 1_{-A} * 1_{-A}(x) = \sum_{t \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \omega^{-xt}$. Let $\Gamma = \text{Spec}_{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}(1_A)$ and note that for all $x \in B(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2})$ and $t \in \Gamma$, $\cos(2\pi xt/p) > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \left(\sum_{t \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \omega^{-xt} \right) &= \underbrace{\sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \cos(2\pi xt/p)}_{\geq \alpha^4} + \\ &\quad \underbrace{\sum_{t \notin \Gamma} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \cos(2\pi xt/p)}_{\text{in absolute value} \leq \sup_{t \notin \Gamma} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^2 \sum_{t \notin \Gamma} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^2 \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \alpha\right)^2 \cdot \alpha = \frac{\alpha^4}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

2 Combinatorial methods

For now, let G be an abelian group. Given $A, B \subset G$. We defined $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ and can define $A - B = \{a - b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. If A and B are finite, then

$$\max(|A|, |B|) \leq |A \pm B| \leq |A| |B|$$

(and better bounds are available in certain settings).

Example 2.1. Let $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a subspace. Then $V + V = V$, so $|V + V| = |V|$. In fact, if $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is such that $|A + A| = |A|$, then A must be a coset of a subspace.

Example 2.2. Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A + A| < \frac{3}{2} |A|$. Then $\exists V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $A \subset V$ and $|V| < \frac{3}{2} |A|$. This is on Ex. Sheet 2.

Example 2.3. Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set of linearly independent vectors. Then $A + A$ has size $\binom{|A|}{2}$. However, $|A| \leq n$, which is a small set.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set chosen randomly with probability $p^{-\theta n}$ with $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Then with high probability, $|A + A| = (1 - o(1)) \frac{|A|^2}{2}$.

Definition 2.4. Given finite sets $A, B \subset G$, we define the **Rusza distance** $d(A, B)$ between A and B by

$$d(A, B) = \log \frac{|A - B|}{\sqrt{|A||B|}}.$$

Observe that $d(A, B)$ is nonnegative and symmetric.

Lemma 2.5 (Rusza's triangle inequality). Given finite sets A, B, C , we have

$$d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C).$$

Proof. Observe that $|B||A - C| \leq |A - B||B - C|$. Indeed, writing each $d \in A - C$ as $d = a_d - c_d$ for some $a_d \in A, c_d \in C$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : B \times (A - C) &\rightarrow (A - B) \times (B - C) \\ (b, d) &\mapsto (a_d - b) \times (b - c_d) \end{aligned}$$

is injective (easy exercise). The triangle inequality now follows from the definition of the Rusza distance. \square

Definition 2.6. Given a finite set $A \subset G$, we write $\sigma(A) = \frac{|A+A|}{|A|}$ for the **doubling constant** and $\delta(A) = \frac{|A-A|}{|A|}$ for the **difference constant**.

Then by Lemma 2.5,

$$\log \delta(A) = d(A, A) \leq d(A, -A) + d(A, -A) = 2 \log \sigma(A),$$

so $\delta(A) \leq \sigma(A)^2$, i.e. $|A - A| \leq \frac{|A+A|^2}{|A|}$.

Notation. Given $A \subset G$ and $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, write $lA - mA$ for the set

$$\underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_{l \text{ times}} - \underbrace{A - A - \dots - A}_{m \text{ times}}.$$

Theorem 2.7 (Plünnecke's inequality). Let $A, B \subset G$ be finite sets such that $|A + B| \leq K|A|$ for some $K > 0$. Then for any $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$|lB - mB| \leq K^{l+m} |A|.$$

Proof. WLOG assume that $|A + B| = K |A|$. Choose a nonempty subset $A' \subset A$ such that the ratio $\frac{|A' + B|}{|A'|}$ is minimized, and call this ratio K' . Then $|A' + B| = K' |A'|$, $K' \leq K$ and $|A'' + B| \geq K' |A''| \ \forall A'' \subset A$.

Claim. For any finite $C \subset G$, $|A' + B + C| \leq K' |A' + C|$.

We first finish the proof assuming this claim, and then prove it. We first show that $|A' + mB| \leq (K')^m |A| \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The cases $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ are clear. Now suppose that $m > 1$ and the result holds for $m - 1$. By the claim with $C = (m - 1)B$,

$$|A' + mB| = |A' + B + (m - 1)B| \leq K' |A' + (m - 1)B| \leq K' \cdot (K')^{m-1} |A'|.$$

But as in the proof of Rusza's triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |A'| |lB - mB| &\leq |A' + lB| |A' + mB| \leq (K')^l |A'| (K')^m |A'| \\ \implies |lB - mB| &\leq (K')^{l+m} |A'| \leq K^{l+m} |A|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove the claim by induction on $|C|$. For $|C| = 1$, we are just translating sets, so the claim holds. Now suppose the claim holds for some $|C|$ and consider $C' = C \cup \{x\}$ for some $x \notin C$. Observe

$$A' + B + C' = (A' + B + C) \cup (A' + B + x)$$

and in fact

$$A' + B + C' = (A' + B + C) \cup (A' + B + x) \setminus (D + B + x)$$

where $D = \{a \in A' \mid A' + B + x \subset A' + B + C\}$. By the definition of K , $|D + B| \geq K' |D|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |A' + B + C'| &\leq |A' + B + C| + |(A' + B + x) \setminus (D + B + x)| \\ &\leq |A' + B + C| + |A' + B| - |D + B| \\ &\leq K' |A' + C| + K' |A'| - K' |D| \\ &= K' (|A' + C| + |A'| - |D|). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply the same argument again for $A' + C' = (A' + C) \sqcup ((A' + x) \setminus (E + x))$, where $E = \{a \in A' \mid a + x \in A' + C\} \subset D$. Notice that the union is disjoint in this case. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |A' + C'| &= |A' + C| + |A'| - |E| \geq |A' + C| + |A'| - |D| \\ \implies |A' + B + C'| &\leq K' (|A' + C| + |A'| - |D|) \leq K' |A' + C'|, \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim and hence the proof. \square

We are now in a position to generalize Example 2.2.

Theorem 2.8 (Freiman–Rusza theorem). Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A + A| \leq K|A|$ (i.e. $\sigma(A) = K$) for some $K > 0$. Then A is contained in a coset of a subspace $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of size $|H| \leq K^2 p^{K^4} |A|$.

Proof. Choose maximal $X \subset 2A - A$ such that the translates $x + A$ for $x \in X$ are disjoint. X cannot be too large: $\forall x \in X, x + A \subset 3A - A$ and by Plünnecke, $|3A - A| \leq K^4 |A|$. But the translates $x + A$ for $x \in X$ are disjoint and each of size $|A|$, so

$$|X| |A| = \left| \bigcup_{x \in X} (x + A) \right| \leq |3A - A| \leq K^4 |A|,$$

hence $|X| \leq K^4$. We next show that $2A - A \stackrel{(*)}{\subset} X + A - A$. Indeed, if $y \in 2A - A$ and $y \notin X$, then $y + A \cap (x + A) \neq \emptyset$ for some $x \in X$ by maximality of X , so $y \in X + A - A$. If $y \in X$, then trivially $y \in X + A - A$. It follows by induction from $(*)$ that for all $l \geq 2$,

$$lA - A \stackrel{(**)}{\subset} (l-1)X + A - A,$$

since using the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} lA - A &= A + (l-1)A - A \stackrel{\text{hyp}}{\subset} A + (l-2)X + A - A \\ &= (l-2)X + 2A - A \stackrel{(*)}{\subset} (l-2)X + X + (A - A) = (l-1)X + A - A. \end{aligned}$$

Now let H be the subgroup of \mathbb{F}_p^n generated by A , which we can write in the form $H = \cup_{l \geq 1} (lA - A) \stackrel{(**)}{\subset} Y + A - A$, where Y is the subgroup generated by X . Then $|Y| \leq p^{|X|} \leq p^{K^4}$, so

$$|H| \leq |Y + A - A| |Y| |A - A| \leq p^{K^4} K^2 |A|.$$

□