

# Part III - Local Fields

Lectured by Rong Zhou

Artur Avameri

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Basic Theory</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Absolute values . . . . .	2
1.2	Valuation rings . . . . .	5

## 0 Introduction

This is a first class in graduate algebraic number theory. Something we'd like to do is solve diophantine equations, e.g.  $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ . In general, solving  $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$  is very difficult. A simpler question we might consider is solving  $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , or  $\pmod{p^2}$ ,  $\pmod{p^3}$ , etc. Local fields package all of this information together.

## 1 Basic Theory

### 1.1 Absolute values

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $K$  be a field. An **absolute value** on  $K$  is a function  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying:

- (1)  $|x| = 0 \iff x = 0$ .
- (2)  $|xy| = |x||y| \forall x, y \in K$ .
- (3)  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y| \forall x, y \in K$  (triangle inequality).

We say that  $(K, |\cdot|)$  is a **valued field**. Examples:

- Take  $K = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  with the usual absolute value  $|a + ib| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ . We call this  $|\cdot|_\infty$ .
- For  $K$  any field, we have the trivial absolute value  $|x| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

We will ignore this in this course.

- Take  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $p$  a prime. For  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , write  $x = p^n \frac{a}{b}$  where  $(a, p) = (b, p) = 1$ . Then the  **$p$ -adic absolute value** is defined to be

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0 \\ p^{-n} & x = p^n \frac{a}{b}. \end{cases}$$

We can check the axioms:

- (1) The first axiom is clear.

(2)

$$|xy|_p = \left| p^{n+m} \frac{ac}{bd} \right|_p = p^{-(n+m)} = |x|_p |y|_p.$$

- (3) WLOG let  $m \geq n$ . Then

$$|x + y|_p = \left| p^n \left( \frac{ad + p^{m-n}bc}{bd} \right) \right|_p \leq p^{-n} = \max(|x|_p, |y|_p).$$

Any absolute value  $|\cdot|$  on  $K$  induces a metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  on  $K$ , hence induces a topology on  $K$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Suppose we have two absolute values  $|\cdot|, |\cdot|'$  on  $K$ . We say these absolute values are **equivalent** if they induce the same topology. An equivalence class is called a **place**.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $|\cdot|, |\cdot|'$  be (nontrivial) absolute values on  $K$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $|\cdot|$  and  $|\cdot|'$  are equivalent.

(ii)  $|x| < 1 \iff |x'| < 1 \forall x \in K$ .

(iii)  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $|x|^c = |x'| \forall x \in K$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii):  $|x| < 1 \iff x^n \rightarrow 0$  with respect to  $|\cdot| \iff x^n \rightarrow 0$  with respect to  $|\cdot|'$  (since the topologies are the same)  $\iff |x'| < 1$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Note that  $|x|^c = |x'| \iff c \log |x| = \log |x'|$ . Take  $a \in K^\times$  such that  $|a| > 1$ . This exists since  $|\cdot|$  is nontrivial. We need to show that  $\forall x \in K^\times$ ,

$$\frac{\log |x|}{\log |a|} = \frac{\log |x'|}{\log |a'|}.$$

Assume  $\frac{\log |x|}{\log |a|} < \frac{\log |x'|}{\log |a'|}$ . Choose  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\frac{\log |x|}{\log |a|} < \frac{m}{n} < \frac{\log |x'|}{\log |a'|}$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{cases} n \log |x| < m \log |a| \\ n \log |x'| > m \log |a'| \end{cases} \\ \implies & \left| \frac{x^n}{a^m} \right| < 1, \left| \frac{x^n}{a^m} \right|' > 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. The other inequality is analogous.

(iii)  $\implies$  (i): Clear, since they have the same open balls.  $\square$

**Remark.**  $|\cdot|_\infty^2$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  is not an absolute value by our definition (doesn't satisfy the triangle inequality). Some authors replace the triangle inequality by the condition  $|x + y|^\beta \leq |x|^\beta + |y|^\beta$  for some fixed  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . The equivalence classes are the same in either case.

In this course, we will mainly be interested in the following:

**Definition 1.3.** An absolute value  $|\cdot|$  on  $K$  is said to be **non-archimedean** if it satisfies the **ultrametric inequality**

$$|x + y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|).$$

If  $|\cdot|$  is not non-archimedean, we say it is **archimedean**.

**Example 1.1.** •  $|\cdot|_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is archimedean.

•  $|\cdot|_p$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is non-archimedean.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be non-archimedean and  $x, y \in K$ . If  $|x| < |y|$ , then  $|x - y| = |y|$ .

*Proof.* On the one hand,  $|x - y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|) = |y|$  (using  $|x| = |-x|$ ).

On the other,  $|y| \leq \max(|x|, |x - y|) = |x - y|$ .  $\square$

Convergence is easier in non-archimedean fields:

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be non-archimedean and  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  a sequence on  $K$ . If  $|x_n - x_{n+1}| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is Cauchy. In particular, if  $K$  is complete, then the sequence converges.

*Proof.* For  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $N$  such that  $|x_n - x_{n+1}| < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq N$ . Then for  $N < n < m$ ,

$$|x_n - x_m| = |(x_n - x_{n+1}) + (x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}) + \dots + (x_{m-1} - x_m)| < \epsilon,$$

so  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy.  $\square$

**Example 1.2.** For  $p = 5$ , we can construct a sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  satisfying:

- (i)  $x_n^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5^n}$ ,
- (ii)  $x_n \equiv x_{n+1} \pmod{5^n}$ .

We construct it by induction. Take  $x_1 = 2$ . Now suppose we've constructed  $x_n$  and write  $x_n^2 + 1 = a \cdot 5^n$  and set  $x_{n+1} = x_n + b \cdot 5^n$ . We compute

$$x_{n+1}^2 + 1 = x_n^2 + 2bx_n5^n + b^25^{2n} + 1 = a5^n + 2bx_n5^n + \underbrace{b^25^{2n}}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{5^{n+1}}} + 1.$$

Hence we choose  $b$  such that  $a + 2bx_n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and we're done.

Now (ii) tells us that  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, but we claim it doesn't converge. Suppose it does,  $x_n \rightarrow l \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $x_n^2 \rightarrow l^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . But by (i),  $x_n^2 \rightarrow -1$ , so  $l^2 = -1$ , a contradiction.

This tells us that  $(\mathbb{Q}, |\cdot|_5)$  is not complete.

**Definition 1.4.** The  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to  $|\cdot|_p$ .

Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be a non-archimedean valued field. For  $x \in K$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , we define  $B(x, r) = \{y \in K \mid |y - x| < r\}$  and  $\overline{B} = \{y \in K \mid |y - x| \leq r\}$  to be the open and closed balls of radius  $r$ .

10 Oct 2022,  
Lecture 2

**Lemma 1.4.** (i) If  $z \in B(x, r)$ , then  $B(z, r) = B(x, r)$ , i.e. open balls don't have centers.

(ii) If  $z \in \overline{B}(x, r)$ , then  $\overline{B}(x, r) = \overline{B}(z, r)$ .

(iii)  $B(x, r)$  is closed.

(iv)  $\overline{B}(x, r)$  is open.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $y \in B(x, r)$ . Then  $|x - y| < r \implies |z - y| = |(z - x) + (x - y)| \leq \max(|z - x|, |x - y|) < r$ , so  $B(x, r) \subset B(z, r)$ . The reverse inclusion is analogous.

(ii) Analogous to (i) by replacing  $<$  with  $\leq$ .

(iii) Let  $y \in K \setminus B(x, r)$ . If  $z \in B(x, r) \cap B(y, r)$ , then  $B(x, r) = B(z, r) = B(y, r)$  by (i), so  $y \in B(x, r)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $B(x, r) \cap B(y, r) = \emptyset$ . Since  $y$  was arbitrary,  $K \setminus B(x, r)$  is open, so  $B(x, r)$  is closed.

(iv) If  $z \in \overline{B}(x, r)$ , then  $B(z, r) \subset \overline{B}(z, r) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \overline{B}(x, r)$ .

□

## 1.2 Valuation rings

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $K$  be a field. A **valuation** on  $K$  is a function  $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

(i)  $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$ .

(ii)  $v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$ .

Fix  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . If  $v$  is a valuation on  $K$ , then  $|x| = \begin{cases} \alpha^{v(x)} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$  determines

a non-archimedean absolute value on  $K$ . Conversely, a non-archimedean absolute value on  $K$  determines a valuation  $v(x) = \log_\alpha |x|$ .

**Remark.** We ignore the trivial evaluation  $v(x) = 0 \forall x \in K$ , which corresponds to the trivial absolute value.

**Definition 1.6.** We say valuations  $v_1, v_2$  are equivalent if  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $v_1(x) = cv_2(x) \forall x \in K^\times$ .

**Example 1.3.** • If  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $v_p(x) = -\log_p |x|_p$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation.

- Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $K = k(t) = \text{Frac}(k[t])$  be a rational function field. We let

$$v \left( t^n \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right) = n$$

for  $f, g \in k[t]$ ,  $f(0) \neq 0, g(0) \neq 0$ . This is called a  $t$ -adic valuation.

- Let  $K = k((t)) = \text{Frac}(k[[t]]) = \{\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i t^i \mid a_i \in k, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , the field of formal Laurent series over  $k$ . We define

$$v\left(\sum_i a_i t^i\right) = \min\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\},$$

the  $t$ -adic valuation on  $K$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be a non-archimedean valued field. The **valuation ring** of  $K$  is defined to be

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \{x \in K \mid |x| \leq 1\}.$$

(i.e. the closed unit ball,  $\mathcal{O}_K = \overline{B}(0, 1)$ ).<sup>1</sup>

**Proposition 1.5.** (i)  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is an open subring of  $K$ .

- (ii) The subsets  $\{x \in K \mid |x| \leq r\}$  and  $\{x \in K \mid |x| < r\}$  for  $r \leq 1$  are open ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

- (iii)  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{x \in K \mid |x| = 1\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) We find:

- $|0| = 0$  and  $|1| = 1$ , so  $0, 1 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .
- If  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $|-x| = |x| \implies -x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .
- If  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $|x + y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|) \leq 1$ , so  $x + y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .
- If  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $|xy| = |x||y| \leq 1$ , so  $xy \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a subring, and since  $\mathcal{O}_K = \overline{B}(0, 1)$ , it is open.

- (ii) Similar to (i), left as an exercise.

- (iii) Note that  $|x||x^{-1}| = |xx^{-1}| = 1$ . Thus  $|x| = 1 \iff |x^{-1}| = 1 \iff x, x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K \iff x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ .

□

**Notation.** Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K \mid |x| < 1\}$ . It turns out this is a maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Also let  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}$ , the residue field.

**Corollary 1.6.**  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a **local ring** (i.e. a ring with a unique maximal ideal) with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}'$  be a maximal ideal. If  $\mathfrak{m}' \neq \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\exists x \in \mathfrak{m}' \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $|x| = 1$ , so by (iii) above,  $x$  is a unit, so  $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathcal{O}_K$ , a contradiction. □

<sup>1</sup>Another alternative definition given was  $\mathcal{O}_K = \{x \in K^\times \mid v(x) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$ . Is this equivalent to the above?

**Example 1.4.**  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  with  $|\cdot|_p$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \{\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p \nmid b\}$ . In this case,  $\mathfrak{m} = p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  and  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a valuation. If  $v(K^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , then we say  $v$  is a **discrete valuation**. In this case,  $K$  is said to be a **discretely valued field**.

An element  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is said to be a **uniformizer** if  $v(\pi) > 0$  and  $v(\pi)$  generates  $v(K^\times)$ .

**Example 1.5.** •  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  with the  $p$ -adic valuation and  $K = k(t)$  with the  $t$ -adic valuation are discretely valued fields.

- $K = k(t)(t^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{\frac{1}{4}}, t^{\frac{1}{8}}, \dots)$  with the  $t$ -adic valuation is not a discretely valued field.

**Remark.** If  $v$  is a discrete valuation, we can scale  $v$ , i.e. replace it with an equivalent valuation such that  $v(K^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Such  $v$  are called **normalized valuations**. Then  $\pi$  is a uniformizer  $\iff v(\pi) = 1$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $v$  be a valuation on  $K$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $v$  is discrete;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a PID;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is Noetherian;
- (iv)  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal.

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii):  $\mathcal{O}_K \subset K$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is an integral domain. Let  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  be a nonzero ideal and pick  $x \in I$  such that  $v(x) = \min\{v(a) \mid a \in I, a \neq 0\}$ , which exists as  $v$  is discrete. Then we claim that  $x\mathcal{O}_K = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_K \mid v(a) \geq v(x)\}$  is equal to  $I$ . The inclusion  $x\mathcal{O}_K \subset I$  is clear, as  $I$  is an ideal. For  $x\mathcal{O}_K \supset I$ , let  $y \in I$ , then  $v(x^{-1}y) \geq 0 \implies y = x(x^{-1}y) \in x\mathcal{O}_K$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Clear, as being a PID means every ideal is generated by one element, i.e. by finitely many.

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv): Write  $\mathfrak{m} = x_1\mathcal{O}_K + \dots + x_n\mathcal{O}_K$  and WLOG assume  $v(x_1) \leq v(x_2) \leq \dots \leq v(x_n)$ . Then  $x_2, \dots, x_n \in x_1\mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $\mathfrak{m} = x_1\mathcal{O}_K$ .

(iv)  $\implies$  (i): Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \pi\mathcal{O}_K$  for some  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $c = v(\pi)$ . Then if  $v(x) > 0$ , i.e.  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $v(x) \geq c$ . Thus  $v(K^\times) \cap (0, c) = \emptyset$ . Since  $v(K^\times)$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ , we have  $v(K^\times) = c\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$