

# Part III - Modular Forms

Lectured by Jack Thorne

Artur Avameri

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Modular Forms on <math>\Gamma(1)</math></b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Introduction

06 Oct 2022,  
Lecture 1

**Definition 1.1.** We define the following groups:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{h} &= \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\tau) > 0\} \\ GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+ &= \{g \in GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \det(g) > 0\} \\ \Gamma(1) &= SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \{g \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(g) = 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Gamma(1)$  is a subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ .

**Lemma 1.1.**  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$  acts transitively on  $\mathfrak{h}$  by Möbius transformations.

*Proof.* Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ ,  $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Im}(g\tau) = \frac{1}{2i} \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} - \frac{a\bar{\tau} + b}{c\bar{\tau} + d} \right) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{(ad - bc)(\tau - \bar{\tau})}{|c\tau + d|^2} = \frac{\det(g)\operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{|c\tau + d|^2} > 0,$$

so  $g\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$ . This action is transitive since

$$x + iy \in \mathfrak{h} \implies \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i = x + iy,$$

so everything in  $\mathfrak{h}$  is conjugate to  $i$ . □

**Definition 1.2.** If  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$  and  $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then define

$$j(g, \tau) = c\tau + d.$$

This is called a **modular cocycle**. If  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then

$$f|_k[g] : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is defined by

$$f|_k[g](\tau) = \det(g)^{k-1} f(g\tau) j(g, \tau)^{-k}.$$

This is the **weight  $k$  action of  $g$  on  $f$** .

**Lemma 1.2.** This is a right action of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ : if  $g, h \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ , then

$$f|_k[gh] = (f|_k[g])|_k[h].$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (f|_k[g])|_k[h](\tau) &= \det(h)^{k-1} f|_k[g](h\tau) j(h, \tau)^{-k} = \\ \det(h)^{k-1} \det(g)^{k-1} f(gh\tau) j(g, h\tau)^{-k} j(h, \tau)^{-k} &\stackrel{?}{=} \\ \det(gh)^{k-1} f(gh\tau) j(gh, \tau)^{-k} &= f|_k[gh](\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we need to check that  $j(gh, \tau) = j(gh, \tau)j(h, \tau)$ . Note that if  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$g \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\tau + b \\ c\tau + d \end{pmatrix} = j(g, \tau) \begin{pmatrix} g\tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now get

$$j(gh, \tau) \begin{pmatrix} gh\tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = gh \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = g \left( j(h, \tau) \begin{pmatrix} h\tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = j(h, \tau) j(g, h\tau) \begin{pmatrix} gh\tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which finishes the computation and proof.  $\square$

**Formulae.** For  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ ,  $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(g\tau) = \det(g) \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{|j(g, \tau)|^2} \text{ and } j(g, \tau) \begin{pmatrix} g\tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = g \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma \leq \Gamma(1)$  of finite index<sup>1</sup>. A **weakly modular function of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma$**  is a meromorphic function  $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is invariant under the weight  $k$  action of  $\Gamma$ , i.e. such that

$$\forall \tau \in \mathfrak{h}, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, f|_k(\gamma) = f.$$

We will define modular forms next time: they are weakly modular functions which are holomorphic both in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and at  $\infty$ .

It is a fact that modular forms of fixed weight and level live in finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces called  $M_k(\Gamma)$ . These form the main objects of study in this course.

**Motivation.** Why study modular forms?

- (1) They are related to the theory of elliptic functions. Let  $E/\mathbb{C}$  be an elliptic curve and  $\omega$  a holomorphic non-zero 1-form. Then there exists a unique lattice<sup>2</sup>  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and isomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \rightarrow E$  such that  $\phi^*(\omega) = dz$ . Then

<sup>1</sup>In other words,  $\gamma$  is a (finite index) subgroup of  $\Gamma(1)$ .

<sup>2</sup>i.e. a discrete cocompact subgroup, or an abelian subgroup which is freely generated by two elements that are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$E$  is isomorphic to the elliptic curve  $y^2 = 4x^3 - 60G_4(\Lambda)x - 140G_6(\Lambda)$  where if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $G_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{0\}} \lambda^{-k}$ . This converges absolutely for  $k > 2$ .

If  $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $\Lambda\tau = \mathbb{Z}\tau \oplus \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a lattice and  $G_k(\tau) = G_k(\Lambda_\tau)$ . This is a modular form of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma(1)$ , called an Eisenstein series.

$\mathfrak{h}/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  can be identified with the set of (isomorphism classes of) elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- (2) Modular forms  $f$  have Fourier expansions  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n g^n$ ,  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and they often serve as a generating functions for arithmetically interesting sequences  $a_n$ .

For example, take  $\theta(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau}$ . If  $k \in 2\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\theta^k$  is a modular form with  $q$ -expansion  $\theta^k = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r_k(n) e^{\pi i n \tau}$ , where  $r_k(n)$  is the number of ways of writing  $n$  as a sum of  $k$  squares, i.e.  $r_k(n) = |\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^k \mid \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 = n\}|$ . By expressing  $\theta^k$  in terms of other modular forms, we can prove formulae such as  $r_4(n) = 8 \sum_{d|n, 4 \nmid d} d$ .

- (3) The Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  is an important object of study. Its pleasant features include:

- The Euler product  $\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ .
- It has a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  and has a functional equation relating  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta(1-s)$ .

A Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$  which has similar properties (Euler product, meromorphic extension, some nice function equation) is called an  $L$ -function. Modular forms can be used to construct interesting examples of  $L$ -functions. In practice, we take  $M_k(\Gamma)$  and decompose it under Hecke operators to get Hecke eigenforms, the nicest possible modular forms, which have the above properties.

- (4) The Langlands program predicts a relation between modular forms and objects in arithmetic geometry. A special case of this is the modularity conjecture, which says that there is a bijective correspondence between elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{C}$  up to isogeny and the set of Hecke eigenforms of weight 2. This implies Fermat's last theorem. Note that this is formulated in the language of Hecke operators and  $L$ -functions.

**Homework.** There is a handout on Moodle called "Reminder on Complex Analysis". Have a look at it before the next lecture.

## 2 Modular Forms on $\Gamma(1)$

09 Oct 2022,  
Lecture 2

**Reminder.** A **meromorphic** function in an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a closed subset  $A \subset U$  and a holomorphic function  $f : U \setminus A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\forall a \in A$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $D^*(a, \delta) \subset U \setminus A$  and  $\exists n \geq 0$  such that  $(z - a)^n f(z)$  extends to a holomorphic function in  $D(a, \delta)$ .

$f$  then has a Laurent expansion  $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m (z - a)^m$  valid on  $D^*(a, \delta)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f$  be a weakly modular function of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma(1)$ . Then there exists a meromorphic function  $\tilde{f}$  in  $D^*(0, 1)$  such that

$$f(\tau) = \tilde{f}(e^{2\pi i \tau}).$$

*Proof.*  $f$  is meromorphic in  $\mathfrak{h}$  by assumption. Take  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$ . Then  $f|_h[\gamma](\tau) = f(\gamma\tau) = f(\tau)$ , as  $f$  is invariant under the weight  $k$  action of  $\gamma$ . But also  $f(\gamma\tau) = f(\tau + 1)$ , so  $f$  is periodic.

Now map a strip of  $\mathfrak{h}$  of width 1 to  $D^*(0, 1)$  by  $\tau \mapsto e^{2\pi i \tau}$ . Let  $a \in D^*(0, 1)$  and  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $D(a, \delta) \subset D^*(0, 1)$ . Define  $\tilde{f}$  on  $D(a, \delta)$  by

$$\tilde{f}(q) = f\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log q\right),$$

for any branch of  $\log$  defined in  $D(a, \delta)$ . This is meromorphic and independent of the choice of the branch of  $\log$ , as  $f$  is periodic with period 1. This defines  $\tilde{f}$  in  $D^*(0, 1)$ . Finally,  $\tilde{f}$  is unique since  $\tau \mapsto e^{2\pi i \tau}$  is surjective.  $\square$

If  $\tilde{f}$  extends to a meromorphic function<sup>3</sup> in  $D(0, 1)$ , then  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\tilde{f}$  has a Laurent expansion  $\tilde{f}(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n q^n$  valid in  $D^*(0, \delta)$ .

In the region  $\{\tau \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{Im}(\tau) > \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \delta\}$ , we have

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n q^n,$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ . This is called the  **$q$ -expansion** of the weakly modular function  $f$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f$  be a weakly modular function of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma(1)$ . We say that  $f$  is **meromorphic at  $\infty$**  if  $\tilde{f}$  extends to a meromorphic function in  $D(0, 1)$ .

We say  $f$  is **holomorphic at  $\infty$**  if  $\tilde{f}$  is meromorphic at  $\infty$  and has a

<sup>3</sup>This might not be the case if the set of poles has a limit inside the disk.

removable singularity at  $q = 0$ . In this case, we define

$$f(\infty) = \tilde{f}(0) = \lim_{\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty} f(\tau).$$

We say  $f$  **vanishes at  $\infty$**  if  $f$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$  and  $f(\infty) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A **modular function** (of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma(1)$ ) is a weakly modular function (of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma(1)$ ) which is meromorphic at  $\infty$ .

A **modular form** is a weakly modular function which is holomorphic in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and holomorphic at  $\infty$ .

A **cuspidal modular form** is a modular form that vanishes at  $\infty$ .

**Remark.** We let  $M_k(\Gamma(1))$  denote the set of modular forms of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma(1)$ . We write  $S_k(\Gamma(1))$  for the set of cuspidal modular forms of weight  $k$ , level  $\Gamma(1)$ . Note  $S_k(\Gamma(1)) \subset M_k(\Gamma(1))$ . These are  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. If  $k$  is odd, then these both only contain the zero function, since taking  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$  gives  $f|_k[\gamma](\tau) = f(\tau)(-1)^k = f(\tau)$ .

We now consider even weights only. If  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is even, let

$$G_k(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\tau \setminus 0} \lambda^{-k} = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0} (m\tau + n)^{-k},$$

where  $\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z}\tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

If  $\gamma \in \Gamma(1)$ , then formally we have

$$G_k|_k[\gamma](\tau) = G_k(\gamma\tau)j(\gamma, \tau)^{-k} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\gamma\tau} \setminus 0} \lambda^{-k}j(\gamma, \tau)^{-k},$$

but  $\Lambda_{\gamma\tau} = \mathbb{Z} \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = (c\tau+d)^{-1} (\mathbb{Z}(a\tau+b) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(c\tau+d)) = (c\tau+d)^{-1} \Lambda_\tau$ .  
Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G_k|_k[g](\tau) &= \sum_{\lambda \in (c\tau+d)^{-1} \Lambda_\tau \setminus 0} \lambda^{-k} (c\tau+d)^{-k} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\tau \setminus 0} ((c\tau+d)^{-1} \lambda)^{-k} (c\tau+d)^{-k} = G_k(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

This is justified only when the series defining  $G_k(\tau)$  converges absolutely. Hence:

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $k > 2$  be an even integer. Then  $G_k(\tau)$  converges absolutely and defines a modular form of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma(1)$  which has

$G_k(\infty) = 2\zeta(k)$ .  $G_k$  is the **weight  $k$  Eisenstein series**.

We will later see that  $M_2(\Gamma(1)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We want to show absolute and locally uniform convergence in  $\mathfrak{h}$ . This will show that  $G_k$  is holomorphic by complex analysis. Let  $A \geq 2$  and define  $\Omega_A = \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{Im}(\tau) \geq \frac{1}{A}, \text{Re}(\tau) \in [-A, A]\}$ . We show uniform convergence in  $\Omega_A$ . If  $\tau \in \Omega_A, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $|\tau + x| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{A} & |x| \leq 2A \\ \frac{|x|}{2} & |x| \geq 2A. \end{cases}$  Hence

$$|\tau + x| \stackrel{(\dagger)}{\geq} \sup \left( \frac{1}{A}, \frac{|x|}{2A^2} \right) \geq \sup \left( \frac{1}{2A^2}, \frac{|x|}{2A^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2A^2} \sup(1, |x|).$$

( $\dagger$ ) follows by drawing a diagram with the lines  $y = \frac{1}{A}$  and  $y = \frac{x}{2A^2}$  and marking the point  $(2A, \frac{1}{A})$  on it, then noticing that our supremum always lies above the supremum of these two lines. If  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, m \neq 0$ , then

$$|m\tau + n| = |m| \left| \tau + \frac{n}{m} \right| \geq |m| \frac{1}{2A^2} \sup \left( 1, \left| \frac{n}{m} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{2A^2} \sup(|m|, |n|).$$

This is also valid when  $m = 0$  by inspection. If  $\tau \in \Omega_A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0} |m\tau + n|^{-k} \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1}{2A^2} \right)^{-k} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0} \sup(|m|, |n|)^{-k} \\ & = (2A^2)^k \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} d^{-k} \cdot |\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \sup(|m|, |n|) = d\}| \\ & = (2A^2)^k \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} d^{-k} 8d = 8(2A^2)^k \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} d^{1-k} \\ & < \infty \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $k - 1 > 1$ , i.e.  $k > 2$ . This shows absolute convergence, and uniform convergence in  $\Omega_A$  by the Weierstrass M-test<sup>4</sup>. Hence  $G_k$  is holomorphic in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and invariant under the weight  $k$  action of  $\Gamma(1)$ . It remains to show that  $G_k$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$  with  $G_k(\infty) = 2\zeta(k)$ . For this, it suffices to check that

$$\lim_{\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty} G_k(\tau) = 2\zeta(k).$$

<sup>4</sup>If we have a sequence of functions  $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and values  $M_n > 0$  with  $|f_n(x)| < M_n$  and  $\sum M_n < \infty$ , then  $\sum f_n$  converges absolutely and uniformly on  $\Omega$ . Here, replace  $n$  with  $d$  and sum  $d$  over  $\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0, \sup(|m|, |n|) = d} |m\tau + n|^{-k}$ .

This follows from uniform convergence in  $\Omega_A$ : we get

$$\lim_{\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty} G_k(\tau) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0} \lim_{\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty} (m\tau + n)^{-k} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0} n^{-k} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-k} = 2\zeta(k).$$

□