Introduction to Additive Combinatorics

Part III

Lectured by Julia Wolf

Artur Avameri

Contents

1	Fourier-analytic techniques	2
2	Combinatorial methods	11

1 Fourier-analytic techniques

19 Jan 2024, Lecture 1

Let $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ for p a small fixed prime (usually p = 2, 3, 5) and n is large (often we consider $n \to \infty$).

Notation. Given a finite set B and any function $f: B \to \mathbb{C}$, we write $\mathbb{E}_{x \in B} f(x)$ to mean $\frac{1}{B} \sum_{x \in B} f(x)$. Also write $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p}$ for the p^{th} root of unity. Note that $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \omega^a = 0$.

Definition 1.1. Given $f: \mathbb{F}_p^n: \mathbb{C}$, we define its **Fourier transform** $\hat{f}: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{f}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} \ \forall t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$$

where $x \cdot t$ is the standard scalar product.

It is easy to verify the **inversion formula**:

$$f(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \ \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n.$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t)\omega^{-x \cdot t} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \left(\mathbb{E}_y f(y)\omega^{y \cdot t} \right) \omega^{-x \cdot t}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \underbrace{\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \omega^{(y-x) \cdot t}}_{p^n 1_{\{y=x\}}} = f(x).$$

Remark. We could use an unnormalized sum in our definition and a normalized sum in the inversion formula, or a minus sign in our definition and a plus sign in the inversion formula – this doesn't matter as long as we're consistent.

Given a subset A of a finite group G, write:

- 1_A for the **characteristic function** of A, i.e. $1_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$. This is also called the **indicator function**.
- f_A for the **balanced function** of A, i.e. $f_A(x) = 1_A(x) \alpha$, where $\alpha = \frac{|A|}{|G|}$.
- μ_A for the **characteristic measure** of A, i.e. $\mu_A(x) = \alpha^{-1} 1_A(x)$.

Note $\mathbb{E}_{x \in G} f_A(x) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \mu_A(x) = 1$. Given $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$, we have

$$\hat{1}_A(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(x) \omega^{x \cdot t}.$$

At t = 0, we get $\hat{1}_A(0) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(x) = \alpha$.

Writing $-A = \{-a \mid a \in A\}$, we have

$$\hat{1}_{-A}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_{-A}(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(-x) \omega^{x \cdot t}$$

$$\stackrel{y = -x}{=} \mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(y) \omega^{-y \cdot t} = \overline{\mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A(y) \omega^{y \cdot t}} = \overline{\hat{1}_A(t)}.$$

Example 1.2. Let $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Then

$$\hat{1}_{V}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} 1_{V}(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} = \frac{|V|}{p^{n}} 1_{\{x \cdot t = 0 \ \forall x \in V\}} = \frac{|V|}{p^{n}} 1_{V^{\perp}}(t),$$

so $\hat{\mu}_V(t) = 1_{V^{\perp}}(t)$. (Here we use the fact that if $t \notin \{x \cdot t = 0 \ \forall x \in V\}$, then $x \cdot t$ runs over the values uniformly and the sum is zero - details left as exercise).

Example 1.3. Let $R \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that each $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ lies in R independently with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Then with high probability (i.e. $\mathbb{P} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$),

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1}_R(t)| = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(p^n)}{p^n}}\right).$$

Proving this is on Ex. Sheet 1. This is proved using a Chernoff-type bound: given complex-valued independent random variables X_1, \ldots, X_n with mean 0, $\forall \theta \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right| \geq \theta \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n ||X_i||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P})}^2}\right) \leq 4 \exp\left(-\theta^2/4\right).$$

Example 1.4. Let $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot x = 0\}$. Then $|Q| = \left(\frac{1}{p} + O(p^{-n})\right) p^n$ and $\sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_Q(t)| = O(p^{-n/2})$. This is again on Ex. Sheet 1.

Notation. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$, write

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$$

and

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \overline{\hat{g}(t)}.$$

Consequently, $||f||_2^2 = \mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^2$ and $||\hat{f}||_2^2 = \sum_t |\hat{f}(t)|^2$.

Lemma 1.5. The following hold for all $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$:

- (i) $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle$ (Plancherel's identity).
- (ii) $||f||_2 = ||\hat{f}||_2$ (Parseval's identity).

Proof. (ii) follows from (i). For (i), compute

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \overline{\hat{g}(t)} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \overline{g(y)} \omega^{y \cdot t} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \overline{g(y)} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \omega^{(x-y)t} = \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} p^n f(x) \overline{g(x)} = \langle f, g \rangle. \end{split}$$

Definition 1.6. Let $\rho > 0$ and $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$. Define the ρ -large spectrum of f to be

$$\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f) = \{ t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid |\hat{f}(t)| \ge \rho ||f||_1 \}.$$

Example 1.7. By Example 1.2, if $f=1_V$ with $V\leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$, then $\forall \rho>0$, $\operatorname{Spec}_o(f)=V^{\perp}$.

Lemma 1.8. For all $\rho > 0$, $|\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f)| \leq \rho^{-2} \frac{||f||_2^2}{||f||_1^2}$

Proof. By Parseval,

$$||f||_2^2 = ||\hat{f}||_2^2 \geq \sum_{t \in \operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f)} |\hat{f}(t)^2| \geq |\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f)|(\rho||f||_1)^2.$$

22 Jan 2024,

Definition 1.9. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$, define their **convolution** $f * g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f * g(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(y) g(x - y) \ \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n.$$

Example 1.10. Given $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_A * \mathbf{1}_B(x) &= \mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \mathbf{1}_A(y) \mathbf{1}_B(x - y) = \frac{1}{p^n} |A \cap (x - B)| \\ &= \frac{1}{p^n} \# \text{ways } x \text{ can be written as } x = a + b \text{ with } a \in A, b \in B. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the support of $1_A * 1_B$ is the **sum set**

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

of A and B.

Lemma 1.11. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$\widehat{f * g}(t) = \widehat{f}(t)\widehat{g}(t) \ \forall t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n.$$

¹Here we have $0 < \rho \le 1$, since it is clear by triangle inequality that $||f||_1 \ge |\hat{f}(t)|$.

Proof. Set u = x - y to get

$$\widehat{f * g}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \left(\mathbb{E}_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(y) g(x - y) \right) \omega^{x \cdot t}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \mathbb{E}_u g(u) \omega^{(u+y) \cdot t}$$

$$= \hat{f}(t) \hat{g}(t).$$

Example 1.12. $||\hat{f}||_4^4 = \mathbb{E}_{x+y=z+w} f(x) f(y) \overline{f(z) f(w)}$. This is on Ex. Sheet 1.

Lemma 1.13 (Bogolyubov's Lemma). Given $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density $\alpha > 0$, there exists a subspace $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension at most $2\alpha^{-2}$ s.t. $A + A - A - A \supset V$.

Proof. Observe that

$$A + A - A - A = \text{supp}(\underbrace{1_A * 1_A * 1_{-A} * 1_{-A}}_{:=g}).$$

Hence we wish to find $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $g(x) > 0 \ \forall x \in V$. Let $K = \operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A)$ with ρ to be determined later and let $V = \langle K \rangle^{\perp}$. By Lemma 1.8², $|K| \leq \rho^{-2}\alpha^{-1}$ and hence $\operatorname{codim}(V) \leq |K| \leq \rho^{-2}\alpha^{-1}$. By the inversion formula,

$$\begin{split} g(x) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} (1_A * \widehat{1_A} * \widehat{1_{-A}} * 1_{-A})(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} |\widehat{1}_A(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \alpha^4 + \sum_{\substack{t \in K \setminus \{0\} \\ (1)}} |\widehat{1}_A(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t} + \sum_{\substack{t \not \in K \\ (2)}} |\widehat{1}_A(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t} \,. \end{split}$$

For (1), we see it is ≥ 0 since $x \cdot t = 0 \ \forall t \in K, x \in V$. (Note we could give better lower bounds but we don't need them).

For (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(2)| &\leq \sum_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^4 \leq \sup_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \sum_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \leq \sup_{t \notin K} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \sum_{t} |\hat{1}_A(t)|^2 \\ &\leq (\rho \alpha)^2 ||1_A||_2^2 = \rho^2 \alpha^3. \end{aligned}$$

Now pick
$$\rho$$
 such that $\rho^2 \alpha^3 \leq \frac{\alpha^4}{2}$, e.g. $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, so $g(x) \geq \frac{\alpha^4}{2} > 0 \ \forall x \in V$. \square
²Here $f = 1_A$ and $\alpha = \frac{||f||_1^2}{||f||_2^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} \sum |f|\right)^2}{\left(\frac{1}{p^n} \sum |f|^2\right)} = \frac{|A|}{p^n} = \alpha$.

Example 1.14. The set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid |x| \geq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\}$ has density at least $\frac{1}{4}$, and there is no coset C of any subspace of codimension at most \sqrt{n} such that $C \subset A + A$. This is on Ex. Sheet 1.

Lemma 1.15. Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density α be such that $\exists t \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A)$. Then $\exists V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension 1 and $\exists x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that

$$|A \cap (x+V)| \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}\right) |V|.$$

Proof. Let $t \neq 0$ be such that $|\hat{1}_A(t)| \geq \rho \alpha$ and let $V = \langle t \rangle^{\perp}$. Write $v_j + V$ for $j \in [p] := \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ for the cosets of V such that $v_j + V = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot t = j\}$. Then

$$\rho\alpha \leq \hat{1}_{A}(t) = \hat{f}_{A}(t)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}} (1_{A}(x) - \alpha) \omega^{x \cdot t}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{j \in [p]} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{x \in v_{j} + V} (1_{A}(x) - \alpha)}_{:=a_{j} = \frac{|A \cap (v_{j} + V)|}{|V|} - \alpha} \omega^{j}.$$

By the triangle inequality, $\mathbb{E}_{j\in[p]}|a_j|\geq \rho\alpha$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{j\in[p]}a_j=\frac{|A|}{p^{n-1}}-p\alpha=0$, $\mathbb{E}_{j\in[p]}(a_j+|a_j|)\geq \rho\alpha$, so $\exists j\in[p]$ such that $a_j+|a_j|\geq \rho\alpha \implies a_j\geq \frac{\rho\alpha}{2}$.

24 Jan 2024, Lecture 3

Lemma 1.16. Let $p \geq 3$ and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density $\alpha > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_A(t)| = o(1).$$

Then A contains $(\alpha^3 + o(1))(p^n)^2$ 3-term arithmetic progressions (3-APs).

In other words, a set with small Fourier coefficients has the same number of 3–APs as a truly random set of the same density.

Notation. Given $f,g,h:\mathbb{F}_p^n\to\mathbb{C},\,T_3(f,g,h)=\mathbb{E}_{x,d}f(x)g(x+d)h(x+2d).$ Given $A\subset\mathbb{F}_p^n,$ write $2\cdot A=\{2a\mid a\in A\}.$ This is different from $2A=A+A=\{a+a'\mid a,a'\in A\}.$

Proof. The number of 3-APs in A is $(p^n)^2$ times $T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A)$, where

$$T_{3}(1_{A}, 1_{A}, 1_{A}) = \mathbb{E}_{x,d} 1_{A}(x) 1_{A}(x+d) 1_{A}(x+2d)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,y} 1_{A}(x) 1_{A}(y) 1_{A}(2y-x) \qquad y = x+d$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{y} 1_{A}(y) (1_{A} * 1_{A}) (2y)$$

$$= \langle 1_{2 \cdot A}, 1_{A} * 1_{A} \rangle \qquad z = 2y$$

$$= \langle \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}, \widehat{1_{A} * 1_{A}} \rangle. \qquad \text{by Plancherel.}$$

Continue the last manipulation to get

$$\begin{split} &= \langle \widehat{\mathbf{1}_{2 \cdot A}}, \widehat{\mathbf{1}}_A^2 \rangle \\ &= \alpha^3 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \widehat{\mathbf{1}_A}(t)^2 \overline{\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{2 \cdot A}}(t)}. \end{split}$$

The last sum in absolute value is at most

$$\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \sum_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t) \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}(t)}|$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \left(\sum_t |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_t |\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \cdot \alpha^{1/2} \cdot \alpha^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|$$

by C-S and Parseval.

Using the above two results, we prove:

Theorem 1.17 (Meshulam's Theorem). Let $p \geq 3$ and let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set containing no non-trivial 3-APs. Then $|A| = O\left(\frac{p^n}{n \log p}\right)$.

Proof. By assumption, $T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \frac{\alpha}{p^n}$, but as in Lemma 1.16,

$$T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \alpha^3 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \hat{1}_A(t)^2 \overline{\hat{1}_{2 \cdot A}(t)},$$

so $\left|\frac{\alpha}{p^n} - \alpha^3\right| \leq \sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_A(t)| \cdot \alpha$, which gives $\sup_{t \neq 0} |\hat{1}_A(t)| \geq \left|\frac{1}{p^n} - \alpha^2\right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{2}$ provided $p^n \geq 2\alpha^{-2}$. By Lemma 1.15 with $\rho = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $\exists V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension 1 and $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $|A \cap (x+V)| \geq \left(\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\right) |V|$.

We iterate this observation. Let $A_0=A, V_0=\mathbb{F}_p^n, \ \alpha_0=\alpha=\frac{|A_0|}{|V_0|}$. At step i of this iteration, we are given a set $A_{i-1}\subset V_{i-1}$ of density α_{i-1} with no nontrivial 3–APs. Provided that $p^{\dim(V_{i-1})}\geq 2\alpha_{i-1}^{-2},\ \exists V_i\leq V_{i-1}$ of codimension 1 and $x_i\in V_{i-1}$ such that $|A_{i-1}\cap(x_i+V_i)|\geq \left(\alpha_{i-1}+\frac{\alpha_{i-1}^2}{4}\right)|V_i|$. Set $A_i=A_{i-1}-x$. Note $\alpha_i\geq \alpha_{i-1}+\frac{\alpha_{i-1}^2}{4}$ and A_i is free of nontrivial 3–APs. Through this iteration, the density of A increases from α to 2α in at most $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2/4}=4\alpha^{-1}$ steps, from 2α to 4α in at most $\frac{2\alpha}{(2\alpha)^2/4}=2\alpha^{-1}$ steps, etc, which reaches 1 in at most

$$(4\alpha^{-1} + 2\alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-1} + \ldots) = 8\alpha^{-1}$$

steps. The argument must therefore end with $\dim(V_i) \geq n - 8\alpha^{-1}$, at which point we must have had $p^{\dim(V_i)} \leq 2\alpha_i^{-2} \leq 2\alpha^{-2}$ (or else we could have continued). But we may assume that $\alpha \geq \sqrt{2}p^{-n/4}$ (else we're done), whence $p^{n-8\alpha^{-1}} \leq p^{n/2}$, i.e. $\frac{n}{2} \leq 8\alpha^{-1}$, so $\alpha \leq \frac{16}{n}$, finishing the proof (in fact, we can now take $C = 16 \log p$ as an explicit constant in the big O notation).

26 Jan 2024, Lecture 4

So for $A \subset \mathbb{F}_3^n$ containing no nontrivial 3–APs, we have $|A| = O\left(\frac{3^n}{n}\right)$. The largest known subset of \mathbb{F}_3^n containing no notrivial 3–APs has size $\geq (2.218)^n$. (Proving 2^n is trivial: take all combinations of zeroes and ones with no twos).

From now on, let G be a finite abelian group. G comes equipped with a set of **characters**, i.e. group homomorphisms $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, which themselves form a group, denoted by \hat{G} , often referred to as the **dual** of G. It turns out that if G is finite and abelian, then $\hat{G} \cong G$. For instance:

- If $G = \mathbb{F}_n^n$, then $\hat{G} = \{ \gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{x \cdot t} \mid t \in G \}$.
- If $G = \mathbb{Z}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then $\hat{G} = \{ \gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{xt} \mid t \in G \}$.

Definition 1.18. Given $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$, define its **Fourier transform** $\hat{f}: \hat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} f(x) \gamma(x) \ \forall \gamma \in \hat{G}.$$

It is easy to verify that we have an inversion formula, given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\gamma(x)}.$$

We can also check that Definition 1.6 and 1.9, Examples 1.3 and 1.10 and Lemmas 1.5, 1.8 and 1.11 go through in this general context.

Example 1.19. Let p be a prime, let $L \leq p-1$ be even and consider $J = \left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\forall t \neq 0$,

$$|\hat{1}_J(t)| \le \min\left\{\frac{L+1}{p}, \frac{1}{2|t|}\right\}.$$

This is on Ex. Sheet 1.

Theorem 1.20 (Roth's Theorem). Let $A \subset [N] := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ be a set containing no non–trivial 3–APs. Then $|A| = O\left(\frac{N}{\log\log N}\right)$.

Lemma 1.21. Let $A \subset [N]$ be of density $\alpha > 0$ satisfying $N > 50\alpha^{-2}$ containing no nontrivial 3-APs. Let p be a prime in $\left[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}\right]$ and write $A' = A \cap [p] \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then either

(i) $\sup_{t\neq 0} |\hat{1}_{A'}(t)| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$ (where the Fourier coefficient is computed in \mathbb{Z}_p); or

(ii) \exists interval $J \subset [N]$ of length $\geq \frac{N}{3}$ such that $|A \cap J| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right) |J|$.

Proof. We may assume that $|A'| = |A \cap [p]| \ge \alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right) p$, since otherwise $|A \cap [p+1,N]| \ge \alpha N - \alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right) p = \alpha (N-p) + \frac{\alpha^2 p}{200} \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right) (N-p)$, so case (ii) holds with J = [p+1,N].

Let $A'' = A' \cap \left[\frac{p}{3}, \frac{2p}{3}\right]$. Note that all 3–APs of the form $(x, x + d, x + 2d) \in A' \times A'' \times A''$ are in fact proper APs in [N] (and not only in \mathbb{Z}_p , since there's no "wrapping around", since $x + d, x + 2d \in \left[\frac{p}{3}, \frac{2p}{3}\right]$).

If $|A' \cap [p/3]|$ or $|A' \cap [2p/3, p]|$ are at least $\frac{2|A'|}{5}$, then we are again in case (ii) (details left as exercise). Hence we may assume that $|A''| \ge \frac{|A'|}{5}$. Now as in Lemma 1.16 and Theorem 1.17 with $\alpha' = |A'|/p$, $\alpha'' = |A''|/p$,

$$\frac{\alpha''}{p} = \frac{|A''|}{p^2} = T_3(1_{A'}, 1_{A''}, 1_{A''}) = \alpha' \cdot \alpha''^2 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \hat{1}_{A'}(t) \hat{1}_{A''}(t) \overline{\hat{1}}_{2 \cdot A''}(t),$$

so as before,

$$\left| \frac{\alpha''}{p} - \alpha' \alpha''^2 \right| \le \frac{\alpha' \cdot \alpha''^2}{2} \le \sup_{t \ne 0} |\hat{1}_{A'}(t)| \cdot \alpha''$$

$$\implies \sup |\hat{1}_{A'}(t)| \ge \frac{\alpha' \cdot \alpha''}{2} \ge \frac{(\alpha')^2}{10}$$

provided that $\frac{\alpha''}{p} \leq \frac{\alpha'(\alpha'')^2}{2}$ which holds since (using $p \geq \frac{N}{3}$ and $N > 50\alpha^{-2}$)

$$\alpha'\alpha''p \geq \alpha'\alpha''\frac{N}{3} > \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\frac{\alpha''}{\alpha} \cdot 50 \geq \left(\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right)^2 \cdot 10 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right)^2 \cdot 10 \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

where the last step holds for $\alpha = 1$ and hence for any $\alpha \leq 1$.

29 Nov 2024, Lecture 5

We first now convert the large Fourier coefficient into a density increment.

Lemma 1.22. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\phi : [m] \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $x \mapsto xt$ for some nonzero t. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a partition of [m] into progressions P_i of length $\in [\epsilon \sqrt{m}/2, \epsilon \sqrt{m}]$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(\phi(P_i)) = \max_{x,y \in P_i} |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \le \epsilon p \ \forall i$.

Proof. Set $u = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$ and consider $0, t, 2t, \ldots, ut$. By pigeonhole, we can find $0 \le v < w \le u$ such that $|wt - vt| \le \frac{p}{u}$. Divide [m] into residue classes mod s, where s = w - v (so $|st| \le \frac{p}{u}$). Each of these has size at least $\frac{m}{s} \ge \frac{m}{u}$. But each residue class can be divided into progressions of the form a, a + s, a + 2s, a + ds with $\frac{\epsilon u}{2} < d \le \epsilon u$. The diameter of the image of each progression under ϕ is $|dst| \le \epsilon p$.

Lemma 1.23. Let $A \subset [N]$ be of density $\alpha > 0$. Let p be a prime in $\left[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}\right]$ and write $A' = A \cap [p]$ as a subset of \mathbb{Z}_p . Suppose $\exists t \neq 0$ such that $\left|\widehat{1_A'}(t)\right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$.

Then there exists a progression P of length at least $\frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{N}}{500}$ such that $|A \cap P| \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{80}\right) |P|$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon = \frac{\alpha^2}{40\pi}$ and use Lemma 1.22 to partition [p] into progressions P_i of length at least $\frac{\epsilon\sqrt{p}}{2} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{40\pi}\sqrt{\frac{N}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \geq \alpha^2\sqrt{N} \cdot \frac{1}{500}$ and $\operatorname{diam}(\phi(P_i)) \leq \epsilon p$. Fix one x_i from each P_i . Now work with the balanced function: since $t \neq 0$, the Fourier coefficient at t is the same for the indicator function and the balanced function.

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{10} \le \left| \widehat{f_{A'}}(t) \right| = \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xt} \right| = \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xt} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{x_i t} + \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \left(\omega^{xt} - \omega^{x_i t} \right) \right|$$

$$\le \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} |f_{A'}(x)| 2\pi\epsilon$$

$$\le \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| + \frac{\alpha^2}{20}$$

since $|t(x_i - x)| \le \epsilon p \ \forall x \in P_i$. Hence

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_{i}} f_{A'}(x) \right| \ge \frac{\alpha^{2}}{20}.$$

Since $f_{A'}$ has mean zero,

$$\sum_{i} \left(\left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| + \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right) \ge \frac{\alpha^2 p}{20},$$

so $\exists i$ such that $\left|\sum_{x\in P_i} f_{A'}(x)\right| + \sum_{x\in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \ge \frac{a^2|P_i|}{40}$ and so

$$\sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \ge \frac{\alpha^2 |P_i|}{80}.$$

This is about as technical as we get in this course.

Proof of Roth's Theorem, theorem 1.20. This is on Ex. Sheet 1. \Box

Example 1.24 (Behrend's example). There exists a set $A \subset [N]$ containing no nontrivial 3-APs of size $|A| \ge C \exp\left(-c\sqrt{\log N}\right) N$, where c and C are absolute constants. This is again on Ex. Sheet 1.

Definition 1.25. Let $\Gamma \subset \widehat{G}$ and $\rho > 0$. By the **Bohr set**, written $B(\Gamma, \rho)$, we mean

$$B(\Gamma, \rho) = \{ x \in G \mid |\gamma(x) - 1| \le \rho \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \}.$$

We call $|\Gamma|$ the **rank** and ρ the **radius** of the Bohr set.

Example 1.26. When $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ and p = 3, we have $B(\Gamma, \rho) = \langle \Gamma \rangle^{\perp} \ \forall \rho < 1$ (draw a picture!). For larger p, the same holds for smaller ρ .

Lemma 1.27. Let $\Gamma \subset \widehat{G}$ be of size d and let $\rho > 0$. Then $|B(\Gamma, \rho)| \geq \left(\frac{\rho}{2\pi}\right)^d |G|$.

Proof. This is on Ex. Sheet 2.

Lemma 1.28 (Bogolyubov's lemma, again). Given $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ of density $\alpha > 0$, $\exists \Gamma \subset \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ of size at most $2\alpha^{-2}$ such that $B\left(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2}\right) \subset A + A - A - A$.

31 Jan 2024, Lecture 6

Proof. Recall $1_A*1_A*1_{-A}*1_{-A}(x) = \sum_{t \in \widehat{Z_p}} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \omega^{-xt}$. Let $\Gamma = \operatorname{Spec}_{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}(1_A)$ and note that for all $x \in B\left(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $t \in \Gamma$, $\cos(2\pi xt/p) > 0$. Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{t\in\widehat{Z_p}}\left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|^4\omega^{-xt}\right) = \underbrace{\sum_{t\in\Gamma}\left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|^4\cos\left(2\pi xt/p\right)}_{\geq\alpha^4} + \underbrace{\sum_{t\not\in\Gamma}\left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|^4\cos(2\pi xt/p)}_{\text{in absolute value}} \le \sup_{t\not\in\Gamma}\left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|^2\sum\left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|^2 \le \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\cdot\alpha\right)^2\cdot\alpha = \frac{\alpha^4}{2}$$

2 Combinatorial methods

For now, let G be an abelian group. Given $A, B \subset G$. We defined $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ and can define $A - B = \{a - b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. If A and B are finite, then

$$\max(|A|, |B|) < |A \pm B| < |A| |B|$$

(and better bounds are available in certain settings).

Example 2.1. Let $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a subspace. Then V + V = V, so |V + V| = |V|. In fact, if $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is such that |A + A| = |A|, then A must be a coset of a subspace.

Example 2.2. Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A+A| < \frac{3}{2} |A|$. Then $\exists V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $A \subset V$ and $|V| < \frac{3}{2} |A|$. This is on Ex. Sheet 2.

Example 2.3. Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set of linearly independent vectors. Then A+A has size $\binom{|A|}{2}$. However, $|A| \leq n$, which is a small set.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set chosen randomly with probability $p^{-\theta n}$ with $\theta \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. Then with high probability, $|A + A| = (1 - o(1)) \frac{|A|^2}{2}$.

Definition 2.4. Given finite sets $A, B \subset G$, we define the **Rusza distance** d(A, B) between A and B by

$$d(A,B) = \log \frac{|A - B|}{\sqrt{|A||B|}}.$$

Observe that d(A, B) is nonnegative and symmetric.

Lemma 2.5 (Rusza's triangle inequality). Given finite sets A, B, C, we have

$$d(A,C) < d(A,B) + d(B,C).$$

Proof. Observe that $|B||A-C| \leq |A-B||B-C|$. Indeed, writing each $d \in A-C$ as $d=a_d-c_d$ for some $a_d \in A, c_d \in C$, the map

$$\phi: B \times (A - C) \to (A - B) \times (B - C)$$
$$(b, d) \mapsto (a_d - b) \times (b - c_d)$$

is injective (easy exercise). The triangle inequality now follows from the definition of the Rusza distance. $\hfill\Box$

Definition 2.6. Given a finite set $A \subset G$, we write $\sigma(A) = \frac{|A+A|}{|A|}$ for the doubling constant and $\delta(A) = \frac{|A-A|}{|A|}$ for the difference constant.

Then by Lemma 2.5

$$\log \delta(A) = d(A, A) < d(A, -A) + d(A, -A) = 2 \log \sigma(A),$$

so
$$\delta(A) \le \sigma(A)^2$$
, i.e. $|A - A| \le \frac{|A + A|^2}{|A|}$.

Notation. Given $A \subset G$ and $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, write lA - mA for the set

$$\underbrace{A + A + \ldots + A}_{l \text{ times}} - \underbrace{A - A - \ldots - A}_{m \text{ times}}.$$

Theorem 2.7 (Plünnecke's inequality). Let $A, B \subset G$ be finite sets such that $|A + B| \leq K |A|$ for some K > 0. Then for any $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$|lB - mB| \le K^{l+m} |A|.$$

02 Feb 2024, Lecture 7 *Proof.* WLOG assume that $|A+B|=K\,|A|$. Choose a nonempty subset $A'\subset A$ such that the ratio $\frac{|A'+B|}{|A'|}$ is minimized, and call this ratio K'. Then $|A'+B|=K'\,|A'|,\,K'\leq K$ and $|A''+B|\geq K'\,|A''|\,\,\forall A''\subset A$.

Claim. For any finite $C \subset G$, $|A' + B + C| \leq K' |A' + C|$.

We first finish the proof assuming this claim, and then prove it. We first show that $|A'+mB| \leq (K')^m |A| \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The cases m=0 and m=1 are clear. Now suppose that m>1 and the result holds for m-1. By the claim with C=(m-1)B,

$$|A' + mB| = |A' + B + (m-1)B| \le K' |A' + (m-1)B| \le K' \cdot (K')^{m-1} |A'|.$$

But as in the proof of Rusza's triangle inequality,

$$|A'| |lB - mB| \le |A' + lB| |A' + mB| \le (K')^l |A'| (K')^m |A'|$$

 $\implies |lB - mB| \le (K')^{l+m} |A'| \le K^{l+m} |A|.$

Finally, we prove the claim by induction on |C|. For |C| = 1, we are just translating sets, so the claim holds. Now suppose the claim holds for some |C| and consider $C' = C \cup \{x\}$ for some $x \notin C$. Observe

$$A' + B + C' = (A' + B + C) \cup (A' + B + x)$$

and in fact

$$A' + B + C' = (A' + B + C) \cup (A' + B + x) \setminus (D + B + x)$$

where $D = \{a \in A' \mid A' + B + x \subset A' + B + C\}$. By the definition of K, $|D + B| \ge K' |D|$, so

$$|A' + B + C'| \le |A' + B + C| + |(A' + B + x) \setminus (D + B + x)|$$

$$\le |A' + B + C| + |A' + B| - |D + B|$$

$$\le K' |A' + C| + K' |A'| - K' |D|$$

$$= K'(|A' + C| + |A'| - |D|).$$

Now apply the same argument again for $A'+C'=(A'+C)\sqcup((A'+x)\backslash(E+x))$, where $E=\{a\in A'\mid a+x\in A'+C\}\subset D$. Notice that the union is disjoint in this case. We conclude that

$$|A' + C'| = |A' + C| + |A'| - |E| \ge |A' + C| + |A'| - |D|$$

$$\implies |A' + B + C'| \le K'(|A' + C| + |A'| - |D|) \le K'|A' + C'|,$$

proving the claim and hence the proof.

We are now in a position to generalize Example 2.2.

Theorem 2.8 (Freiman–Rusza theorem). Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A+A| \leq K|A|$ (i.e. $\sigma(A) = K$) for some K > 0. Then A is contained in a coset of a subspace $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of size $|H| \leq K^2 p^{K^4} |A|$.

Proof. Choose maximal $X \subset 2A - A$ such that the translates x + A for $x \in X$ are disjoint. X cannot be too large: $\forall x \in X, x + A \subset 3A - A$ and by Plünnecke, $|3A - A| \leq K^4 |A|$. But the translates x + A for $x \in X$ are isjoint and each of size |A|, so

$$|X||A| = \left|\bigcup_{x \in X} (x+A)\right| \le |3A - A| \le K^4 |A|,$$

hence $|X| \leq K^4$. We next show that $2A - A \stackrel{(\star)}{\subset} X + A - A$. Indeed, if $y \in 2A - A$ and $y \notin X$, then $y + A \cap (x + A) \neq \emptyset$ for some $x \in X$ by maximality of X, so $y \in X + A - A$. If $y \in X$, then trivially $y \in X + A - A$. It follows by induction from (\star) that for all $l \geq 2$,

$$lA - A \stackrel{(\star\star)}{\subset} (l-1)X + A - A,$$

since using the induction hypothesis,

$$lA - A = A + (l-1)A - A \stackrel{\text{hyp}}{\subset} A + (l-2)X + A - A$$
$$= (l-2)X + 2A - A \stackrel{(\star)}{\subset} (l-2)X + X + (A-A) = (l-1)X + A - A.$$

Now let H be the subgroup of \mathbb{F}_p^n generated by A, which we can write in the form $H = \bigcup_{l \geq 1} (lA - A) \overset{(\star\star)}{\subset} Y + A - A$, where Y is the subgroup generated by X. Then $|Y| \leq p^{|X|} \leq p^{K^4}$, so

$$|H| \leq |Y+A-A|\,|Y|\,|A-A| \leq p^{K^4}K^2\,|A|\,.$$

05 Feb 2024, Lecture 8

Example 2.9. This example shows that we need a constant that is exponential in K in the previous result. Let $A = H \cup R \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ where $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is a subspace of dimension $K \ll d \ll n - K$, and R consists of K - 1 linearly independent vectors in H^{\perp} . Then $|A| = |H \cup R| \approx |H|$ and

$$|A + A| = |(H \cup R) + (H \cup R)| = |(H + H) \cup (H + R) \cup (R + R)| \approx K |H| \approx K |A|$$

since H + H = H and H + R gives us K - 1 cosets of H, while R + R has tiny size.

However, a subspace $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ containing A must have size $\geq p^{d+(K-1)} = |H| \cdot p^{K-1} \approx |A| \cdot p^{K-1}$, where the constant is exponential in K.

Conjecture 2.10 (Polynomial Freiman–Rusza). Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A+A| \leq K|A|$. Then there is a subspace $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of size at most $C_1(K)|A|$ such that for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$,

$$|A \cap (x+H)| \ge \frac{|A|}{C_2(K)}$$

where $C_1(K)$ and $C_2(K)$ are polynomials in K. For p = 2, this is now a theorem since November 2023 (by Gowers, Green, Manning, Tao).

Definition 2.11. Given an abelian group G and finite sets $A, B \subset G$, define the **additive energy** between A and B to be

$$E(A,B) = \frac{\#\{(a,a',b,b') \in A \times A \times B \times B \mid a+b=a'+b'\}}{|A|^{3/2} |B|^{3/2}}.$$

We refer to quadruples $(a, a', b, b') \in A^2 \times B^2$ such that a + b = a' + b' as additive quadruples.

Observe that if G is finite and abelian, then

$$|A^{3}| E(A, A) = |G|^{3} \mathbb{E}_{x+y=z+w} 1_{A}(x) 1_{A}(y) 1_{A}(z) 1_{A}(w) \stackrel{(\star)}{=} |G|^{3} ||\widehat{1_{A}}||_{4}^{4}$$

where (\star) follows from Ex. Sheet 1, Q3.

Example 2.12. When $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$, then E(V, V) = 1, i.e. the additive energy achieves its maximum. Exercise on Ex. Sheet 2: think of an example where the additive energy is small.

Lemma 2.13. Let G be abelian and let $A, B \subset G$ be finite. Then

$$E(A,B) \ge \frac{\sqrt{|A|\,|B|}}{|A+B|}.$$

Proof. Note that for some x in G,

$$|A|^{3/2} |B|^{3/2} E(A,B) = \#\{(a,a',b,b') \in A \times A \times B \times B \mid a+b=a'+b'\} = x = \sum_{x \in G} r_{A+B}(x)^2,$$

where $r_{A+B}(x) = \#$ ways of writing x = a + b with $a \in A, b \in B$. Observe that

$$\sum_{x \in G} r_{A+B}(x) = |A| |B|,$$

so

$$|A|^{3/2} |B|^{3/2} E(A,B) = \sum_{x \in G} r_{A+B}(x)^2 \ge \frac{\left(\sum_{x \in G} r_{A+B}(x)\right)^2}{\sum_{x \in G} 1_{A+B}(x)^2} = \frac{(|A| |B|)^2}{|A+B|}$$

using Cauchy–Schwarz and the fact that we're only summing over $x \in G$ that are in A+B.

In particular, if $A \subset G$ such that $|A+A| \leq K|A|$, then $E(A) \geq \frac{1}{K}$. The converse is not true.

Remark. The same proof goes through for A - B instead of A + B.

Example 2.14. Let G be our favorite abelian group (really our favorite class of abelian groups, e.g. \mathbb{Z}_p for p running over primes). Then there exist constants $\eta, \theta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large n, there exists $A \subset G$ with |A| = n satisfying $E(A, A) \ge \eta$ and $|A + A| \ge \theta |A|^2$. This is on Ex. Sheet 2.

Theorem 2.15 (Balog–Szemeredi–Gowers). Let G be an abelian group and let $A \subset G$ be finite such that $E(A,A) \geq \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$. Then $\exists A' \subset A$ of size at least $c(\eta) |A|$ such that

$$|A' + A'| \le C(\eta) |A|.$$

Furthermore, here $c(\eta)$ and $C(\eta)$ are polynomials in η .

We first prove a technical lemma using a method called "dependent random choice".

Lemma 2.16. Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m \subset [n]$ and suppose $\sum_{i,j \in [m]} |A_i \cap A_j| \ge \delta^2 n m^2$. Then there exists $X \subset [m]$ of size at least $\frac{\delta^5 m}{\sqrt{2}}$ such that $|A_i \cap A_j| \ge \frac{\delta^2 n}{2}$ for at least 90% of the pairs $(i,j) \in X^2$.

Proof. First choose x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 at random from [n], and then define the set $X = \{i \in [m] \mid x_j \in A_i \ \forall j \in [5]\}$. Observe that if $|A_i \cap A_j| = \gamma n$, then $\mathbb{P}\left((i,j) \in X^2\right) = \gamma^5$, and hence (by convexity or Hölder)

$$\mathbb{E}\left|X\right|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{P}\left((i,j) \in X^2\right) \ge \delta^{10} m^2.$$

Call a pair (i,j) "bad" if $|A_i \cap A_j| < \frac{\delta^2 n}{2}$. As before,

$$\mathbb{E}(\#\text{bad pairs in }X^2) \leq \frac{\delta^{10}}{2^5}m^2.$$

Hence $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X^2\right|-16\cdot\#\text{bad pairs in }X^2\right)=\frac{\delta^{10}}{2^5}m^2$, so there must be a choice of x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_5 such that $|X|\geq \frac{\delta^5m}{\sqrt{2}}$ and the proportion of bad pairs in X is at most $\frac{1}{16}<10\%$.